Biowaiver Studies of Atenolol Tablets (100mg) - An Alternative to In Vivo Bioequivalence Studies
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Abstract

The aim of present study was to compare the quality of atenolol tablets and examine the possibility of biowaiver study for approval of generic drugs without additional in vivo bioequivalence study. Atenolol, a cardio selective β-blocker, could be clearly classified into BCS Class III and may be evaluated under biowaiver conditions. Due to the importance of atenolol and availability of different generics in a community basis, four products available in Ras Al Khaimah were analyzed. Four brands of atenolol 100 mg tablets have been evaluated using some quality control parameters, such as weight variation, hardness, content assay, disintegration and dissolution test. In vitro dissolution testing can be used in some cases not only to determine the quality of the pharmaceutical products but also to demonstrate bioequivalence to the generic product. Similarity factor (f₂) and Difference Factor (f₁) were used to assess bioequivalency among four products. The FDA recommended dissolution medium for atenolol is 0.1N HCl but it shows a good releasing pattern in water also. The dissolution profiles of Aten-4 and Aten-2 in pH 1.2 is rapid and good, only Aten -3 failed to cross the similarity factor but f₁ is within limit. In pH 4.5 and 6.8 all brands fulfilled biowaiver requirements, except Aten-2 in pH 6.8 that may be due to manufacturing process difference. In the same time Aten-2 has f₂ value 12 that is within the limit. Therefore, generic drugs with differing in vitro dissolution will not necessarily exhibit different in vivo performance. The results suggest that the formulation and/or the manufacturing process affect the dissolution and thus the bioavailability of the drug products. Thus the significance of the observed in-vitro differences must be confirmed by an in-vivo bioequivalence study.
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Introduction

The speed of drug introduction to the marketplace is dependent upon its development processes and clinical estimation. The bioavailability and bioequivalence studies of drugs cost up to $ 250,000 to $300,000 each and can require up to 12 months to complete. On the other hand, in vitro tests are relatively inexpensive ($2,000) and fast. Dissolution studies and f₂ profile calculations provide a significant economic support to a drug for its fast unveiling in the market at low rate.

FDA guideline permits waiver of in vivo bioavailability and bioequivalence studies on the basis of Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS). The BCS represents the framework for predicting the intestinal drug absorption based on its solubility and intestinal permeability. Recent research indicates that in vitro tests (Dissolution) can be used to waive additional in vivo bioequivalence studies for some pharmaceutical products and can also be used as cost-saving tool in approval of generic drugs.

The life expectancy at birth in the UAE population is 78.5 years [1]. Cardiovascular disease is the principal cause of death in the UAE, constituting 28% of total deaths [2]. Atenolol is a cardio selective β-blocker, widely used in the management of hypertension, angina pectoris, cardiac arrhythmias, and myocardial infarction [3].

The Biopharmaceutical characteristic of atenolol is described as sparingly to slightly soluble in water in different Pharmacopoeias [4,5]. Solubility of atenolol was evaluated in pH values (1.0-7.5 or 1.2-6.8) that vary from 24.8 to 31.3 mg/mL [6]. This indicates that the solubility of atenolol is pH dependent.

On the basis of studied biopharmaceutical data, atenolol could be clearly classified into BCS Class III. In addition, atenolol is listed in WHO Model List of Essential Medicines [7]. According to WHO Technical Report, atenolol in vitro equivalence may be evaluated under Biowaiver conditions for BCS Class III [8].

The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of experimental conditions on atenolol release from different immediate-release tablet formulations (available in Ras Al Khaimah market) by establishing the bioequivalence and to clarify about biowaiver application on drug by using dissolution profile that should be similar in three different pH media i.e., pH 1.2, 4.5, and 6.8.

This approach is meant to reduce unnecessary in vivo bioequivalence studies however, is restricted to non-critical drug substances in terms of solubility, permeability, and therapeutic range, and to non-critical pharmaceutical forms. Biowaivers are generally provided for multiple strengths and generic brands (manufactured by different pharmaceutical companies) after approval of a bioequivalence [9].

Materials and Methods

Instruments

Analysis of Atenolol was carried out on UV -Vis Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV 1800, Tokyo Japan), Electronic balance (Mettler Toledo, England), hardness tester (Tab Machines), pH meter (Hanna), disintegration (Veego VTD-D USP) and Tablet Dissolution Apparatus (Veego-VD-6D, USP).

Materials and reagents

Reference Atenolol was a kind gift sample from Julphar Pharmaceutical.
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Different brands of Atenolol tablets (100 mg) were purchased from randomly selected pharmacies in UAE. Distilled water was prepared freshly to prepare following dissolution medium.

1. Buffer pH 1.2, SGF without enzymes or 0.1N HCl;
2. Buffer pH 4.5;
3. Buffer pH 6.8 or SIF without enzymes

**Spectrophotometric condition**

Base line was adjusted to zero by using blank solvent respectively for different medium. Standard and test sample were analyzed.

**Physiochemical parameters**

Before performing the dissolution of drug in different dissolution medium it is important to analyze physiochemical properties of the finished product. Any deviation from the physical parameters can lead to marked difference in the dissolution profiles. Assessments of physiochemical parameters were done which included uniformity of weight, hardness, diameter, thickness, disintegration test and assay content [10,11].

**Dissolution study**

After the establishment of BCS classification dissolution has been used as a qualitative tool that provides measurement of the finished product. Any deviation from the physical parameters can lead to marked difference in the dissolution profiles. Assessments of physiochemical parameters were done which included uniformity of weight, hardness, diameter, thickness, disintegration test and assay content [10,11].

**Preparation of reagents**

1) pH 1.2: 8.5 ml of HCl and dissolve in 1000 ml of distilled water [10].
2) pH 4.5: 6.8 g of Potassium dihydrogen phosphate and dissolve in 1000 ml of distilled water [10].
3) pH 6.8: Potassium Phosphate, Monobasic, (0.2 M). Dissolve 27.22 g of Monobasic Potassium Phosphate (KH₂PO₄) in water, and dilute with water to 1000 ml.

Take 250 ml of 0.2 M Monobasic potassium phosphate solution and 112 ml of 0.2 M NaOH solution and make up the volume up to 1000 ml with distilled water [12].

**Dissolution procedure**

- The temperature and degree of agitation were set at 37°C ± 0.5 and 50 rpm respectively.
- 10 ml Samples were collected at predetermined time intervals 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 70 minutes and filtered (Millipore) to remove any insoluble excipients.
- 10 ml of fresh medium already equilibrated to 37°C was replaced into dissolution medium after each sampling in order to maintain sink condition.
- Six tablets per brand were used for the study.
- The filtered samples were analyzed by the Ultra-violet spectrophotometric method (UV) at 294 nm wavelength.
- The concentration and the percentage release in each time interval was determined.

**Standard preparation**

Weigh accurately and dissolve 50 mg of atenolol (reference standard) in 100 ml of medium (pH=1.2, 4.5, and 6.8 separately). Pipette out 2 ml from stock solution and dilute up to 100 ml with respective medium to obtain final concentration of 10 μg/ml.

**Data analysis**

The uniformity of weight, disintegration and content uniformity were analyzed with simple statistics – percentage deviation while differences in the in vitro dissolution profiles were evaluated using the model-independent approach based on the similarity factor (f₂) and difference factor (f₁) as follows:

**Similarity factor was calculated by using formula**

\[ f_2 = 50 \log \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left( R_t - T_t \right)^2 \right) + 100 \]

Where Rₜ and Tₜ are percent dissolved at each time point for reference and test respectively. Values of 50 or above (50-100) ensure similarity of the curves.

**Difference factor (f₁):** Difference factor can be mathematically computed by using

\[ f_1 = \frac{\left| \frac{S_{\text{test}}}{R_{\text{test}}} - \frac{S_{\text{ref}}}{R_{\text{ref}}} \right|}{\left( \frac{S_{\text{ref}}}{R_{\text{test}}} \right)} \times 100 \]

Difference factor of 0-15 ensures minor difference between two products [13].

**Results**

The generic brands that were used in this study had a significant variation in their prices (The lowest price is AED 20.5 and the highest one is AED 60) whereas the active ingredient as well as the excipient used should fulfill the specification of USP/BP.

**Physicochemical tests**

All the formulations confirmed to USP-32 regulations on Pharmacopeial tests (Table 1).

**Comparison of dissolution profiles**

Figures 1–4 and Table 2 represent the dissolution profiles comparison and corresponding data of the four formulations in dissolution medium, pH 1.2, 4.5 and 6.8. Table 2 shows the statistical result for similarity factor, f₂ and difference factor, f₁ using innovator product as the reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brands</th>
<th>Uniformity of Weight</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>Hardness</th>
<th>Disintegration</th>
<th>Content Assay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>kg/cm²</td>
<td>min</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aten-1</td>
<td>434.3 ± 1.02</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.03</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.03</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.59 ± 0.56</td>
<td>99.65 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aten-2</td>
<td>339 ± 1.23</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.011</td>
<td>10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.03</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.01</td>
<td>103.1 ± 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aten-3</td>
<td>415 ± 1.48</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.05</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.04</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.65</td>
<td>5.53 ± 1.24</td>
<td>101.31 ± 1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aten-4</td>
<td>394.5 ± 0.89</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.02</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.03</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.87</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.98</td>
<td>100.56 ± 1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Physicochemical properties of 4 different brands of Atenolol 100 mg tablets.
Discussion

Hypertension is a widely prevalent and is the leading risk factor for the development of cardiovascular disease (CVD). This post marketing surveillance study aimed to collect information on the efficacy, safety and interchangeability of atenolol tablets because more than 10 different brands of atenolol are present in the Ras Al Khaimah local market, coming from different sources (manufacturer).

In purposed study dissolution test was carried out in four different medium to establish bioequivalence among the different brands. The primary goal of dissolution testing is to use as a qualitative tool to provide measurement of the bioavailability of a drug. Generic drugs are copies of innovator drug products. So they are promoted for use in practice because they are usually less expensive than the innovator products, thereby improving access to life-saving drugs, especially in developing countries.

In case of present study four different brands of Atenolol tablets (100 mg) immediate release has been studied for their bioequivalence studies. First the dissolution was run in distilled water (Figure 1) because under the normal circumstances, the dissolution testing should be conducted at 37°C in distilled water then noted into different dissolution mediums (pH 1.2, 4.5, 6.8) to cover the whole GIT environment of different pH (Figures 2-4). The FDA recommended dissolution medium for atenolol is 0.1N HCl. Because it is not freely soluble in water but Figure 1 shows a good releasing pattern of atenolol in water also.

Similarity factor (f2) and difference factor, f1 is a simple and viable comparison approach to assess bioequivalent between two formulations. According to FDA (2000) [14], a drug product is considered to be very rapidly released if ≥ 85% of the drug is dissolved in 15 minute, which corresponds to gastric emptying half-life (T50%) in fasting conditions. The factor f1 is proportional to the average difference between the two profiles, whereas factor f2 is inversely proportional to the average squared difference between the two profiles, with emphasis on the larger difference among all the time-points. The factor f2 measures the closeness between the two profiles [15].

In present study, all of the four brands show the fulfillment of the compendial specification for uniformity of weight, hardness, disintegration and content assay (Table 1). All the brands are within their expiry dates but there is major difference in price that varies between 60 AED to 20.5 AED. Regardless of price, generic products should be compared with innovator for its quality and efficacy. The objective of the present study was to compare the quality among different brands of same active ingredient as well to examine the possibility of waiver for In vivo bioequivalence study.

Figures 2-4 and Table 2 show the dissolution profiles of tablets in 0.1N HCl (pH 1.2). Aten-4 shows very rapid dissolution, 86.03% in 15 minutes whereas Aten-2 have f2=59.33 with innovator. Only Aten-3 failed to cross the similarity factor. Whereas on the other hand the release patterns of all the four brands are supposed to be same because of f1 that is within limit (0-15).
In pH 4.5 and 6.8 all brands may be considered as very rapidly dissolving, as more than 85% of the labeled amounts of the drug substance dissolve within 15 minutes, except Aten-4 in pH 4.5 but it crossed the f2 and aten-2 after 90 minutes dissolved 90.3% in pH 6.8 but substance dissolve within 15 minutes, except Aten-4 in pH 4.5 but it dissolving, as more than 85% of the labeled amounts of the drug in vivo. By making fine tunings in the bioequivalence study we can reduce the time, cost and unnecessary exposure of healthy subjects in interpretation between different brands of same generic.

**Table 2:** Dissolution data of four brands of Atenolol (100 mg) tablets with f<sub>2</sub> comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Aten-1 % Released ± SD</th>
<th>Aten-2 % Released ± SD</th>
<th>Aten-3 % Released ± SD</th>
<th>Aten-4 % Released ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH 1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>85.939 ± 1.06</td>
<td>79.59 ± 3.59</td>
<td>67.09 ± 8.00</td>
<td>84.202 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90.895 ± 2.32</td>
<td>81.006 ± 3.27</td>
<td>75.04 ± 5.22</td>
<td>85.597 ± 1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>90.8354 ± 2.31</td>
<td>82.896 ± 2.85</td>
<td>77.202 ± 4.26</td>
<td>86.0286 ± 1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>91.0808 ± 2.25</td>
<td>84.12 ± 3.62</td>
<td>80.166 ± 3.27</td>
<td>85.9878 ± 1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>91.2436 ± 2.05</td>
<td>85.068 ± 3.47</td>
<td>83.68 ± 2.36</td>
<td>87.0905 ± 1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>91.65 ± 1.88</td>
<td>86.208 ± 3.67</td>
<td>85.418 ± 2.05</td>
<td>87.7684 ± 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91.69882 ± 1.68</td>
<td>88.44 ± 5.82</td>
<td>88.752 ± 1.86</td>
<td>88.1112 ± 1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>92.108 ± 1.58</td>
<td>90.86 ± 6.73</td>
<td>89.76 ± 1.45</td>
<td>88.2776 ± 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>Innovator</td>
<td>59.33</td>
<td>47.27</td>
<td>47.27</td>
<td>47.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>Innovator</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 4.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>61.99 ± 12.55</td>
<td>84.216 ± 4.24</td>
<td>79.272 ± 2.79</td>
<td>72.796 ± 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>79.696 ± 2.68</td>
<td>87.528 ± 1.02</td>
<td>84.078 ± 2.80</td>
<td>73.55 ± 1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>82.382 ± 1.49</td>
<td>87.962 ± 0.80</td>
<td>86.288 ± 1.62</td>
<td>75.422 ± 1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>85.502 ± 2.30</td>
<td>88.362 ± 1.19</td>
<td>88.62 ± 1.37</td>
<td>77.752 ± 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>87.01 ± 2.12</td>
<td>89.474 ± 0.91</td>
<td>89.862 ± 1.02</td>
<td>79.052 ± 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>88.502 ± 0.92</td>
<td>90.47 ± 1.04</td>
<td>91.768 ± 1.38</td>
<td>81.304 ± 1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91.436 ± 2.26</td>
<td>91.222 ± 1.12</td>
<td>92.646 ± 1.46</td>
<td>81.662 ± 2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>92.34 ± 1.45</td>
<td>92.466 ± 1.28</td>
<td>93.154 ± 1.73</td>
<td>82.28 ± 2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>Innovator</td>
<td>50.33</td>
<td>47.27</td>
<td>47.27</td>
<td>47.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>Innovator</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58.054 ± 3.80</td>
<td>63.552 ± 3.64</td>
<td>48.744 ± 7.39</td>
<td>73.482 ± 3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62.5092 ± 2.08</td>
<td>65.872 ± 1.72</td>
<td>81.144 ± 9.12</td>
<td>86.89 ± 4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>64.6526 ± 2.36</td>
<td>67.02 ± 1.50</td>
<td>87.102 ± 5.33</td>
<td>90 ± 3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68.0234 ± 4.06</td>
<td>70.46 ± 2.94</td>
<td>91.234 ± 1.41</td>
<td>91.682 ± 2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100.22 ± 5.75</td>
<td>79.918 ± 7.78</td>
<td>92.236 ± 1.39</td>
<td>96.35 ± 2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>103.92 ± 0.82</td>
<td>84.508 ± 7.46</td>
<td>92.754 ± 1.25</td>
<td>97.25 ± 1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>104.15 ± 0.89</td>
<td>89.422 ± 2.63</td>
<td>93.286 ± 1.39</td>
<td>99.32 ± 1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>104.34 ± 0.87</td>
<td>90.298 ± 2.37</td>
<td>93.65 ± 1.67</td>
<td>99.45 ± 1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>Innovator</td>
<td>51.53</td>
<td>47.27</td>
<td>47.27</td>
<td>47.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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