

Bovine Mastitis Caused By *Streptococcus uberis*: Virulence Factors and Biofilm

Reinoso EB*

Departamento de Microbiología e Inmunología, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Físico-Químicas y Naturales. Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Ruta 36 Km 601, X5804ZAB Río Cuarto, Córdoba, República Argentina

Abstract

Bovine mastitis is a multifactorial disease, commonly caused by microorganisms. The pathology affects dairy farms worldwide and causes significant economic losses. Different pathogens can cause the disease and they are classified as contagious, environmental and minor pathogens. *Streptococcus uberis* is a ubiquitous bacterium and is considered the main environmental agent. It is a very versatile microorganism able to use host factors to survive and colonize bovine mammary gland. Different virulence factors have been reported in *S. uberis* strains, such as proteoglycans and various proteins, which are secreting in milk facilitating the establishment of intramammary infections. Strategies for the control of environmental agents have less impact compared to those applied for contagious agents. Furthermore, intramammary infections are associated with biofilm formation which leads to antibiotic resistance making the treatment of recurrent infections hard. Thus, different alternative control methods have been proposed, as the use of bacteriocins and immunomodulatory compounds. The present review summarizes different studies about the characterization of *S. uberis* virulence factors and the importance of the studies to promote and design effective and novel therapeutic approaches.

Keywords: *Streptococcus uberis*; Mastitis; Virulence factors; Biofilm

Introduction

Bovine mastitis is the most common pathology that affects dairy farms around the world, causing significant economic losses due to reduced milk production and cow health, antibiotic therapy, slaughter and the death of livestock. Mastitis incidence differs locally and represents the highest cost in the dairy industry. The disease has been a reason of attention, and to improve its control has been of high concern for several decades. It is the most common disease of antibiotic treatment in dairy farms. In the world, clinical mastitis losses are estimated from US\$ 7561 to US\$ 119 per cow, with variances among different farms [1,2]. In Argentina, it was estimated that the decrease in milk production and quality was about more than 220 million pesos per year in the 1980s [3]. Then efforts were focused on improving the hygiene quality and milk health through a high mastitis control [4].

Mastitis pathogens are categorized as contagious and environmental. Different reports about frequency and type of microorganism isolated reveal that *Streptococcus uberis* is globally recognized as one of the most important environmental pathogens implicated in intramammary infections [5]. On the other hand, *Staphylococcus aureus* is the most prevalent contagious pathogen present in dairy herds [6]. However, pathogen incidence can vary geographically.

The intensive administration of antibiotics in the treatment and control of mastitis is associated to an increase in the resistance of microorganisms to antibiotics, with their implications for human health due to the risk of passage of resistant strains to the food chain and then to man. In addition, application of antibiotic therapy during lactation, involves the removal of the animal from the productive circuit in order to avoid the presence of antibiotics in milk which leads to significant economic losses [7]. The economic losses produced by the disease have guided studies towards the search of strategies for the prevention and / or treatment of bovine mastitis in order to optimize milk production, ensure safety products and promote regional economic growth. One of the biggest challenges of the dairy industry is to reduce the use of antibiotics in food-producing animals, focusing the research studies on the search for alternative control methods. Bacteriocins offer an

alternative as potential antibacterial agents for the treatment of mastitis [8-10]. In addition, the application of immunomodulatory compounds to stimulate the specific immune response of the mammary gland is one of the alternative therapies currently studied [11].

S. uberis, is the main environmental agent responsible for bovine mastitis, and it is characterized by virulence factors such as proteoglycans and several proteins, and when secreted in milk cause intramammary infections [12-14]. Furthermore, a high genetic diversity was found among the strains by using different techniques makes the searching of effective control strategies difficult. Pulse field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is the current standard method used in order to characterize genetic patterns. Albuquerque et al. (2017), showed the usefulness of dot blot and MLSA assays to evaluate *S. uberis* population structure [15].

Intramammary infections are difficult to eliminate due to their multifactorial nature, and their control requires a program based on the prevention of new infections and the elimination of existing ones [16]. The implementation of a 5-point control plan has allowed a reduction incidence of mastitis cases due to contagious pathogens, such as *S. aureus* and *Streptococcus agalactiae* [17]. However, these measures have shown less impact on the incidence of mastitis caused by environmental pathogens. Despite the economic impact caused by the high prevalence of environmental streptococci in most dairy herds with conventional management practices, there is still no in-depth knowledge of the

*Corresponding author: Reinoso EB, Departamento de Microbiología e Inmunología, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Físico-Químicas y Naturales. Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Ruta 36 Km 601, X5804ZAB Río Cuarto, Córdoba, República Argentina, Tel: +54-358-4676231; Email: ereinoso@exa.unrc.edu.ar

Received September 28, 2017; Accepted October 25, 2017; Published October 31, 2017

Citation: Reinoso EB (2017) Bovine Mastitis Caused By *Streptococcus uberis*: Virulence Factors and Biofilm. J Microb Biochem Technol 9:237-243. doi: 10.4172/1948-5948.1000371

Copyright: © 2017 Reinoso EB, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

virulence determinants associated with the pathogenesis of bovine mastitis caused by these microorganisms. Consequently, the strategies for the control of this type of mastitis are deficient and inadequate.

The present review summarizes different studies investigating *S. uberis* virulence factors and the importance of the studies to promote and design effective and novel therapeutic approaches.

Bovine mastitis

Bovine mastitis is an inflammatory disease of the mammary gland, most frequently caused by pathogen agents, to a lesser extent by trauma or chemical origin injuries [18]. The inflammatory process is generated by the presence of inflammatory mediators that lead to invasion of leukocytes into the mammary gland [19]. Mastitis is considered as a multifactorial disease because the risk of infection is related to the cow's immunity system, the inoculum and virulence of the microorganism, the environmental conditions and the milking management established in the herd [20].

Different pathogen agents can cause the disease and they are classified as contagious, environmental and minor pathogens. Contagious pathogens are associated with infected udders, nipple lesions and colonization of the nipple canal. The reservoir is the cow and the pathogens are transmitted by cow-cow contact, or from teat to teat during and after milking. The most representative microorganisms of this group are *S. aureus* and *S. agalactiae*. Environmental pathogens are widely distributed in different sites where the animals eat, sleep and transit, especially in humid places or with high content of organic matter. This group is formed by coliforms, *S. uberis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Infections caused by these pathogens are more difficult to control because they occur in cow transition periods from three weeks pre-calving to four weeks postpartum. Among the minor pathogens *Corynebacterium bovis* and coagulase negative staphylococci (CNS) are included. CNS group has become important in the last few years, as commensal and opportunistic agent causing important infections [21,22].

Streptococcus uberis

By the year 1928, descriptions of streptococci with different properties compared to the common pathogens that cause mastitis were reported. Over the following years and until 1932, reports from several researchers in Europe contributed to the importance of differentiate these microorganisms in different species. The name *S. uberis* was proposed by Diernhofer in 1932 in order to identify streptococci associated with bovine mastitis [23]. The agent was characterized according to the following biochemical characteristics: presence of smooth and round colonies on agar, turbidity in broth medium, presence of diplococci, ability to ferment glucose, lactose, sucrose, mannitol and salicin, lack of raffinose and glycerol fermentation, positive hipurate and esculin hydrolysis, and presence of greenish colonies on blood agar plates. Then, Selley in 1951 contributed to the characterization of this agent demonstrating the nutritional requirements as nicotinic acid, pyridoxine, thiamine, riboflavin, folic acid, pantothenic acid and biotin; tryptophan, phenylalanine, arginine, valine, leucine and glutamic acid [24]. Some years later, in 1997 Kitt and Leigh 1997 found *S. uberis* strains auxotrophic for 13 amino acids [25].

S. uberis is a gram-positive coccus, facultative anaerobe with high nutritional requirements. It is not a spore former and is negative for biochemical tests of oxidase and catalase. Currently it belongs to the *Streptococcaceae* family, which includes pathogenic, commensal and opportunistic species. Analysis of the *S. uberis* genome demonstrated

the ability of *S. uberis* to live in different ecological niches due to its nutritional flexibility indicating that it can adapt to different types of environments as an opportunistic pathogen [26].

Phylogenetic analysis proposed by Bentley et al. (1991) placed *S. uberis* within the pyogenic group with *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis*, *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*, *Streptococcus equi*, *Streptococcus canis* and *Streptococcus iniae* [27]. Lancefield's classification system is used to classify streptococcal species, although *S. uberis* is not classifiable by this method because no group of antigens is kept among the strains [28]. Phenotypic identification of *S. uberis* is determined on conventional protocols such as examination of cultural and morphological characteristics, standard biochemical tests, and enzyme activity [29,30]. In order to confirm identification, molecular assays were designed [31-34]. Correct identification is necessary for an efficient therapeutic choice and for also supervising the mastitis control schemes in the herds. A scheme designed by Odierno et al. (2006) could biochemically identify typical and atypical *S. uberis* isolates [30]. Furthermore, this scheme showed a clear correlation with 16S rDNA RFLP for most streptococcal and streptococcal-like species [35].

S. uberis is a ubiquitous agent isolated from different parts of the body cow, bedding and soil and elements of the dairy herd environment as well. It has been associated with subclinical and clinical mastitis in lactating and non-lactating cows and can also live on the mammary gland leading to chronic intramammary infections [36-38]. Mastitis subclinical chronic infections are considered extremely costly and difficult to treat [39]. *S. uberis* has a great ability to colonize epithelial cells of the mammary gland, evading the host defense mechanisms leading to antibiotic resistance through the virulence factors.

Virulence factors

Phenotypic studies have allowed the identification and characterization of potential virulence determinants (capsule, plasminogen activating factor, *uberis* factor, M-like and R-like proteins, neutrophilic toxin, hyaluronidase, extracellular matrix binding proteins) in *S. uberis* strains [36]. However, it is known that virulence factor expression in bacteria could be controlled by signals from the environment. Therefore, the *S. uberis* genome, has been sequenced completely, being a valuable resource to facilitate the study between *S. uberis* and the bovine host [26, 40].

Different virulence factors of *S. uberis* have been described, such as proteoglycans and various proteins, which are secreting in milk facilitating the establishment of intramammary infections [41-43]. Briefly, virulence factors includes: plasminogen activator proteins such as PauA and PauB and SK, resistance to phagocytosis presented by a hyaluronic acid capsule, CAMP factor, a surface dehydrogenase protein GapC, sortases, Opp proteins implicated in dynamic transport of solutes, lactoferrin binding proteins; and adherence and invasion of epithelial cells mediated by SUAM [42-51].

Streptococci agents are able to activate plasminogen thanks to the action of secreted enzymes [52]. Bacterial plasminogen activators comprise streptokinase produced by different *Streptococcus* pathogen species and differ greatly in structure [44,53]. Streptokinase Esk was purified from *S. equisimilis* and its amino acid sequence is quite different from the classical streptokinases studied [44,54]. Likewise, a plasminogen activator, named PadA was identified in bovine isolates of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* [55]. This activator could activate bovine, ovine, equine, and rabbit but not human plasminogens. Furthermore, Wiles et al. (2010) described a plasminogen named skizzle (SkzL),

produced by *Streptococcus agalactiae*, which has a sequence identity lower than streptokinase and staphylokinase [56]. Reports have shown that *S. uberis* is able to activate bovine plasminogen [41]. Streptokinase was a plasminogen activator described in *Streptococcus spp.* [44]. Once plasminogen is activated to plasmin, it confers the access to deep tissues by its action on extracellular matrix proteins. Similarly, PauA activator (molecular weight of approximately 30 KDa) was the first plasminogen activator described in *S. uberis* strains capable of activating bovine, ovine and equine plasminogen, however it is not able to activate porcine or human plasminogen [42,57]. It is suggested that the activation of plasminogen by PauA could facilitate early colonization of the mammary gland because it promotes the removal of nutrients [41]. *In vitro*, it has been shown that PauA mediates the acquisition of plasmin in culture medium with the addition of plasminogen. During infection of the mammary gland, *S. uberis* is found predominantly in the luminal region of secretory alveoli and ductular tissue, indicating that bacterial growth occurs in residual and recently synthesized milk. This environment is probably deficient in free peptides and amino acids, so the activation of plasminogen by PauA could facilitate the growth of *S. uberis* because it indirectly affects the hydrolysis of casein to peptides with essential amino acids [44,57]. Binding of plasmin to the bacterial surface would also allow the availability of peptides near the cell surface [58]. In addition, plasmin allows the proteolytic breakdown of fibrin and connective tissue proteins, thus facilitating bacterial penetration of tissue barriers and their dissemination in the tissues around the infection. A second plasminogen activator called PauB, with a molecular weight of 45 kDa, was identified by Johnsen et al. (1999) in a *S. uberis* strain isolated from a case of clinical mastitis in Denmark [44]. Ward and Leigh (2002) determined the absence of the plasminogen activator PauA in this strain and found that *pauB* gene was present in the locus normally occupied by *pauA* [43]. The authors demonstrated its activity on bovine, ovine, equine, goat, porcine, rabbit and human plasminogen. Therefore, PauB represents another plasminogen activator with wide specificity but found at low frequency. Studies carried out by our research group found that *pauA* gene was found in 48 (61.5%) strains and no strain yielded *pauB* gene [59]. Most of the reported findings demonstrate that the PauA protein could also be used as an antigen for the possible development of a vaccine subunit [60].

In the different streptococci, including *S. pyogenes*, *Streptococcus milleri* and *Streptococcus suis* exopolysaccharide capsules in phagocytosis resistance and in bacterial virulence are crucial [61-65]. In addition, Okamoto et al. (2004) reported that *S. pyogenes* exopolysaccharide capsule increases the adherence to alveolar epithelial cells and stimulates the adhesion to keratinocytes via an M-protein-independent pathway [66,67]. The hyaluronic acid capsule is encoded by *hasABC* genes and is one of the main virulence factors due to the role that it plays in phagocytosis [68]. *has* genes homologues of *S. pyogenes* are implicated in the capsule formation of *S. uberis*. Nevertheless, *hasABC* operon structure is not conserved in *S. uberis* strains [45]. Even though this capsule does not prevent against macrophages and not all the *S. uberis* strains are able to produce the capsule [45,59]. Hyaluronic acid blocks the Fc receptors on the surface of phagocytic cells avoiding the binding of opsonic antibodies onto the membrane of phagocytes and, therefore, the union and envelopment of opsonized bacteria.

An additional potential virulence factor reported is CAMP factor encoding gene *cfu*. CAMP factor is a protein initially discovered in *S. agalactiae* which produces the synergistic lysis of ovine erythrocytes in the presence of a β -toxin *S. aureus* [69,70]. The deduced amino acid sequence of CAMP factor of *S. uberis* was found to be homologous

to CFB amino acid sequence of *S. agalactiae* [46]. Different studies reported that CAMP factor and CAMP factor-like genes are quite widespread among the streptococci group, leastwise in serogroups A, B, C, G, M, P, R and U [71]. Furthermore, a linkage among CAMP gene *cfu* of *S. pyogenes*, *cfb* gene of *S. agalactiae*, *cfu* gene of *S. uberis* and *cfg* gene of *S. canis* was described by Hassan et al. (2000) [72]. Skalka and Smola (1981) reported a lethal effect of this factor when was administered parenterally in mice and rabbit and the active substance showed a similar effect like the CAMP factor of *S. agalactiae* [73]. Different studies have shown that not all *S. uberis* tested strains have a positive CAMP reaction although it could be a virulence factor [13,74,75].

Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) is a ubiquitous enzyme found at the surface of several prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms, it is involved in glycolysis converting glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate to 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate and it has been implicated as virulence factor [76,77]. The enzyme was firstly identified located on the surface of *S. pyogenes* and then it was found in streptococci groups B, C, E, G, H, and L [78]. Winram and Lottenberg (1996) described that the enzyme is expressed on the streptococcal cell surface and it is involved in different functions such as the attaching of *S. pyogenes* to plasmin [79]. Pancholi and Fischetti (1992) showed the ability of GAPDH to bind several host proteins [78]. Madureira et al. (2007) demonstrated that *S. agalactiae* GAPDH is a virulence-associated immunomodulatory protein [80]. Oliveira et al. (2012) reported a new and different function of the secreted GAPDH as an inducer of apoptosis of murine macrophages [81]. As the enzyme has also different functions to the original, it has been called "moonlighting proteins" [82]. A recent study showed that GAPDH is an appropriate vaccine candidate against bacterial and parasitic infections [83]. Furthermore, homology among GapC products of *S. uberis*, *S. agalactiae* and *S. dysgalactiae* strains could lead to the design of a vaccine containing a specific chimeric protein covering protein regions of each species [84].

Sortase are enzymes involved in covalent attachment of enzymes, pilins, and adhesion-mediating large surface glycoproteins to the bacterial cell wall contributing to the development and maintenance of the infection, for which they are considered as virulence determinants [48]. Egan et al. (2010) identified sortaseA (SrtA) substrates in *S. uberis* strains and Leigh et al. (2010) studying a SrtA deficient strain of *S. uberis* reported that a number of sortase anchored proteins have a significant function in the pathogenesis of *S. uberis* infection [85,86]. In accordance with phylogenetic analyses, two different studies suggested the categorization of sortases in four subfamilies (A - D) or five subfamilies (SrtA, SrtB, and families 3 to 5) and GBS strains have sortases from two of these subfamilies [87,88].

One of the strategies of *S. uberis* to survive and colonize bovine mammary gland is through the binding to lactoferrin, which is normally found in milk and mammary gland secretions of non-lactating cows [89]. The binding to lactoferrin is mediated by an adhesion molecule of *S. uberis*, called SUAM, encoded by *sua* gene. SUAM has a molecular weight of approximately 112 KDa and has been identified and characterized [90]. It has been proposed that this molecule plays an important role in the pathogenesis of mastitis and is considered a potential virulence factor of this microorganism. Almeida et al. (2006) and Patel et al. (2009) suggested that SUAM, through its binding to lactoferrin (Lf) and subsequent binding to a receptor present on the surface of the mammary epithelial cell, would facilitate bacterial adhesion by triggering the internalization of this pathogen into the cellular cytoplasm [90,91]. The internalization provides a protective environment against phagocytosis by neutrophils and

antimicrobials present in milk. *In vitro* studies showed that SUAM plays a central role through adhesion and internalization in bovine mammary epithelial cells of *S. uberis* and consequently could be involved in biofilm formation. The adhesion molecule SUAM, together with the oligopeptide transport system (OppA) and a lipoprotein receptor (MutA antigen), would be involved in the infection as well as in the ability to adhere to the cells [91]. Consequently these factors could be involved in the formation of biofilm and have also been described as virulence factors.

A study carried out by Lasagno et al. (2011), characterized phenotypically *S. uberis* strains by the presence of virulence factors as plasminogen activator factor (PAF), hyaluronidase (HYA), capsule (CAP) and CAMP factor [13]. Sixty five percent, 56.3%, 59.4% and 25% of the strains expressed plasminogen activator factor, hyaluronidase or capsule and CAMP factor, respectively [13]. Taking into account the combination of virulence factors thirteen different virulence profiles were identified indicating a notable heterogeneity in their phenotypic characteristics. Similarly, other results determining the distribution of virulence-associated genes in 78 *S. uberis* strains by PCR showed that *hasC* gene was present in 89.7% of the strains, being the most common gene in the examined isolates. *sua* gene was found in 83.3%, *gapC* in 79.4%, *cfu* in 76.9%, *hasA* in 74.3%, *hasB* in 66.6%, *skc* in 65.3%, *oppF* in 64.1%, *pauA* in 61.5% and *lbp* in 11.5%. *hasABC* genotype was found in 61.5% of the strains [59]. Perrig et al.; (2015) reported that *sua* and *pauA* are prevalent and highly conserved genes, being important candidates to be included in a mastitis vaccine against *S. uberis* [92]. Results of our group show that not all the virulence genes could be amplified in all the analyzed strains, nevertheless all of them were present in combination, indicating that other virulence factors may be implicated [12,59]. Furthermore, results revealed the absence of classical virulence factors such as those present in other species of *Streptococcus* [59].

Bovine mastitis and biofilm

Several species of streptococci, such as *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, have been recognized to have the ability to form biofilm [93]. *Streptococcus* spp. biofilm growth is particularly studied in *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus gordonii* [94-96]. A biofilm matrix is composed of microbial cells, polysaccharides, water and other extracellular products; which provide a sheltered and protected site for bacterial growth [97]. In this way the bacteria are more resistant to antibiotics, disinfectants and host defenses. Therefore, the difficulties to treat recurrent infections could be related to the capacity of the pathogens to produce biofilm [98]. Due to its size, biofilm is not susceptible to being phagocytosed by polymorphonuclear neutrophils or macrophages. In addition, it allows the bacteria to adapt to unfavorable conditions present in the surrounding environment as cold, heat, drying and particularly situations of rapid and constant flow, such as arteries and other living tissue structures or inert surfaces such as catheters or tubes used in mechanical milkers present in the livestock industry [99]. The literature reported that 65% of infections would be associated with biofilm formation in other mastitis agents, such as *S. aureus* [100]. *S. aureus* is one of agent most studied as a biofilm producer. The ability of this microorganism to persist in the mammary gland forming biofilm, would be one of the possible sources of persistent or chronic infections [101]. Biofilm growth is more resistant to antibiotics than planktonic growth, and commonly a high concentration of antimicrobial agents is necessary to remove biofilm formation [102].

The concentration and type of nutrient available in the environment influence the development and chemical composition of the biofilm; in oligotrophic environments, microorganisms respond to nutritional stress through alterations in the morphology and cell surface [103].

Different studies about biofilm production by mastitis strains have been reported [104,105]. Previously, we studied the biofilm formation ability of *S. uberis* strains the effects of several factors as additives and bovine milk compounds on biofilm production and the genetic variation among *S. uberis* isolates to establish relationship between virulence profiles and PFGE patterns [14,106,107]. The results showed that *S. uberis* isolates had a notable ability to produce biofilm at different degrees [14]. Our results agree with those of Moore (2009) who reported that the majority of the strains were able to produce biofilm [108]. Likewise, Varhimo et al. (2010) reported that the biofilm was produced at different degrees [104]. In addition, to establish the optimal conditions for biofilm formation of *S. uberis* strains, biofilm assays were carried out under various representative conditions of the mammary gland, and the most favorable conditions for biofilm formation were at pH 7 and at 37°C [106]. A decrease in biofilm formation was observed by the addition of bovine milk compounds as casein hydrolysate (3 mg/ml) and carbohydrates as glucose (5%) and lactose (0.5% and 5%). Furthermore, extrachromosomal ADN was observed in cell-free supernatants [106]. The *in vitro* biofilm formation of *S. uberis* strains has been investigated using different culture media and conditions, obtaining divergent results [105,106,109]. Similarly, Rossini et al. (2015) showed discrepancies between different studies in *S. agalactiae* (GroupB *Streptococcus*) [110].

Biofilm is an example of group behavior where different genes are involved. It has been associated with the presence of *quorum sensing* and bacterial competition genes [111]. *Quorum sensing* is a process involved in cell-cell communication essential for biofilm formation, but also for bioluminescence, expression of virulence factors, sporulation, mating, production of antibiotics and DNA exchange [112]. *luxS* gene is involved in *quorum sensing*, and this system controls the behavior when the population of bacteria reaches certain cell density. This reaction becomes effective by the simultaneous action of a significant number of cells [113]. On the other hand, the genes *comEA* and *comEC* are involved in the bacterial competence, allowing the transformation of genomic DNA through the uptake of DNA strands. *comEA* gene acts as a DNA receptor and passes through a protein channel that regulates uptake. This channel is encoded by the *comEC* gene [114]. According to a study performed by Moore (2009) most of the strains evaluated yielded genes associated with biofilm formation as *luxS*, *comEA*, *comEC* and *comX* [108]. Our results showed that the rate of *luxS*, *comEA* in *S. uberis* isolates was 42.8% and 21.4%, respectively [14]. Similarly, more than half of the strains were positive for *comEC* and *comEX* genes [115]. Results suggest that these genes would be necessary for biofilm formation. A better understanding of the *quorum sensing* process may contribute to develop effective methods to avoid microbial biofilm formation.

Conclusion

Intramammary infections by *S. uberis* cause important economic losses in the dairy industry. Different studies have characterized phenotypically and genotypically *S. uberis* strains and aimed at researching to improve the knowledge of virulence factors and biofilm production in *S. uberis* strains. The studies could serve as basis for the future development of effective and appropriate treatment protocols that could alleviate the impact of mastitis caused by this environmental pathogen.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks the financial support from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONICET). Dr. EB Reinoso is member of the research career of CONICET. The author thanks to Prof. Susana Perotti for correction of the manuscript.

References

- Hogeveen H1, Huijps K, Lam TJ (2011) Economic aspects of mastitis: new developments. N Z Vet J 59: 16-23.
- Bogni C, Odierno L, Raspanti C, Larriestra A, Reinoso E, et al. (2011) War against mastitis: Current concepts on controlling bovine mastitis pathogens. Science against microbial pathogens: Communicating current research and technological advances. Microbiology Book Series 1: 2011.
- Asociación Argentina de Lucha Contra Mastitis (1983) Estimación de las pérdidas en volumen de producción de leche provocadas por la mastitis bovina en la República Argentina. Com Fed Lechería Arch, Lechería 6:73.
- Calvinho, LF, Tirante L (2005) Prevalencia de microorganismos patógenos de mastitis bovina y evolución del estado de salud de la glándula mamaria en argentina en los últimos 25 años. Revista FAVE Sección Ciencias Veterinarias.
- Krömker V, Friedrich J (2014) Importance and control of chronic mastitis in dairy herds. Der Praktische Tierarzt 95: 4.
- Keefe G (2012) Update on control of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus agalactiae* for management of mastitis. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract 28: 203-216.
- Persson Y, Olofsson I (2011) Direct and indirect measurement of somatic cell count as indicator of intramammary infection in dairy goats. Acta Vet Scand 53: 15.
- Cao LT, Wu JQ, Xie F, Hu SH, Mo Y (2007) Efficacy of nisin in treatment of clinical mastitis in lactating dairy cows. J Dairy Sci 90: 3980-3985.
- Wu J, Hu S, Cao L (2007) Therapeutic effect of nisin Z on subclinical mastitis in lactating cows. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51: 3131-3135.
- Navarro M, Reinoso E, Lasagno M, Odierno L (2014) *Streptococcus uberis*: Detection and genotypic characterization of bacteriocins with antimicrobial activity in order to control bovine mastitis. X Reunión Anual SAMIGE.
- Cariddi LN, Montironi I, Reinoso E (2017) Medicinal plants as immune response enhancers to prevent infectious diseases of veterinary interest. Frontiers in anti-infective drug discovery, Atta-ur-Rahman & M. Iqbal Choudhary 5: 151-170.
- Phuektes P, Mansell PD, Dyson RS, Hooper ND, Dick JS, et al. (2001) Molecular epidemiology of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates from dairy cows with mastitis. J Clin Microbiol 39: 1460-1466.
- Lasagno M, Reinoso E, Dieser S, Calvinho L, Buzzola F, et al. (2011) Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from bovine subclinical mastitis in argentinean dairy farms. Rev Argent Microbiol 43: 212-217.
- Moliva MV, Lasagno MC, Porporatto C, Reinoso EB (2017) Biofilm formation ability and genotypic analysis of *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from bovine mastitis.
- Albuquerque P, Ribeiro N, Almeida A, Pansch I, Porfirio A et al. (2017) Application of a dot blot hybridization platform to assess *Streptococcus uberis* population structure in dairy herds. Front Microbiol 8:54.
- Philpot WN, Nickerson S (1993) Mastitis: El contraataque. Surge International Babson Bros Ed., Ill., USA.
- Reneau J, Packard V (1991) Monitoring mastitis, milk quality and economic losses in dairy fields. Dairy Food Environ Sanit 11:4-11.
- Chavez C J (2009) Sistemas de producción de leche en Argentina y Cuba Calidad de leche y mastitis bovina.
- Craven N, Williams MR (1985) Defenses of the bovine mammary gland against infection and prospects for their enhancement. Vet Immunol and Immunopathol 10: 71-127.
- Corbellini CM (2002) La mastitis bovina y su impacto sobre la calidad de leche. Memorias III Seminario Internacional sobre competitividad en carne y leche, Colanta Medellín, Colombia 251-265.
- Schukken YH, González RN, Tikofsky LL, Schulte HF, Santisteban CG, et al. (2009) CNS mastitis: Nothing to worry about? Vet Microbiol 134: 9-14.
- Raspanti C, Bonetto C, Vissio C, Pellegrino M, Reinoso E, et al (2016) Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of coagulase-negative Staphylococcus species from bovine subclinical mastitis in dairy herds in the central region of Argentina. Rev Argent Microbiol 48: 50-56.
- Diermhofer K (1932) Aesculin bouillon als holfsmittel fur die differenzierung von euter- und milchstreptokokken bei massenuntersuchungen. Milchwirtsch Forsch 13: 368- 374.
- Seeley HW (1951) The physiology and nutrition of *Streptococcus uberis*. J Bacteriol 62: 107-115.
- Kitt AJ, Leigh JA (1997) The auxotrophic nature of *Streptococcus uberis*. The acquisition of essential acids from plasmin derived casein peptides. Adv Exp Med Biol 418: 647-650.
- Ward PN, Holden MT, Leigh JA, Lennard N, Bignell A, et al. (2009) Evidence for niche adaptation in the genome of the bovine pathogen *Streptococcus uberis*. BMC Genomics 10: 54.
- Bentley WE, Davis RH, Kompala DS (1991) Dynamics of induced CAT expression in E. coli. Biotechnol Bioeng 38: 749-760.
- Lancefield RC (1933) A serological differentiation of human and other groups of hemolytic Streptococci. J Exp Med 57: 571-595.
- Khan IU, Hassan AA, Abdulmawjood A, Laemmler C, Wolter W, et al. (2003) Identification and epidemiological characterization of *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from bovine mastitis using conventional methods. J Vet Sci 4: 213-223.
- Odierno L, Calvinho L, Traversa P, Lasagno M, Bogni C, et al. (2006) Conventional identification of *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from bovine mastitis in Argentinean dairy herds. J Dairy Sci 89: 3886-3890.
- Jayarao BM, Dore JJE, Oliver SP (1992) Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of 16S ribosomal DNA of Streptococcus and Enterococcus species of bovine origin. J Clin Microbiol 30: 2235-2240.
- Hassan AA, Khan IU, Abdulmawjood A, Lämmler C (2001) Evaluation of PCR methods for rapid identification and differentiation of *Streptococcus uberis* and *Streptococcus parauberis*. J Clin Microbiol 39: 1618-1621.
- Schlegel L, Grimont F, Grimont PA, Bouvet A (2003) Identification of major Streptococcal species by rrn-amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis. J Clin Microbiol 41: 657-666.
- Kawata K, Anzai T, Senna K, Kikuchi N, Ezawa A, et al. (2004) Simple and rapid PCR method for identification of streptococcal species relevant to animal infections based on 23S rDNA sequence. FEMS Microbiol Lett 237: 57-64.
- Reinoso E, Dieser S, Calvinho L, Bogni C, Odierno L (2010) Phenotyping and genotyping of streptococci in bovine milk in Argentinean dairy herds. Acta Vet Hung 58: 287-295.
- Oliver SP, Almeida RA, Calvinho LF (1998) Virulence factors of *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from cows with mastitis. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B 45: 461-471.
- Douglas VL, Fenwick SG, Pfeiffer DU, Williamson NB, Holmes CW (2000) Genomic typing of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates from cases of mastitis, in New Zealand dairy cows, using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. Vet Microbiol 75: 27- 41.
- Zadoks RN, Gillespie BE, Barkema HW, Sampimon OC, Oliver SP, et al. (2003) Clinical, epidemiological and molecular characteristics of *Streptococcus uberis* infections in dairy herds. Epidemiol Infect 130: 335-349.
- Steeneveld W, Swinkels J, Hogeveen H (2007) Stochastic modeling to assess economic effects of treatment of chronic subclinical mastitis caused by *Streptococcus uberis*. J Dairy Res 74: 459-467.
- Leigh J (2003) Exploiting the genome in the control of *Streptococcus uberis*. Proceedings of the British Mastitis Conference, Garstang, 15-22.
- Leigh JA (1993) Activation of bovine plasminogen by *Streptococcus uberis*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 114: 67-71.
- Rosey EL, Lincoln RA, Ward PN, Yancey RJ, Leigh JA (1999) PauA: a novel plasminogen activator from *Streptococcus uberis*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 178: 27-33.
- Ward PN, Leigh JA (2002) Characterization of PauB, a novel broad-spectrum plasminogen activator from *Streptococcus uberis*. J Bacteriol 184: 119-125.
- Johnsen LB, Poulsen K, Kilian M, Petersen TE (1999) Purification and cloning of a streptokinase from *Streptococcus uberis*. Infect Immun 67: 1072-1078.
- Ward PN, Field TR, Ditcham WG, Maguin E, Leigh JA (2001) Identification and disruption of two discrete loci encoding hyaluronic acid capsule biosynthesis genes hasA, hasB, and hasC in *Streptococcus uberis*. Infect Immun 69: 392-399.
- Jiang M1, Babiuk LA, Potter AA (1996) Cloning, sequencing and expression of the CAMP factor gene of *Streptococcus uberis*. Microb Pathog 20: 297-307.

47. Pancholi V, Fischetti VA (1993) Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase on the surface of group A streptococci is also an ADP-ribosylating enzyme. P Natl Acad Sci USA 90: 8154-8158.
48. Navarre WW, Schneewind O (1999) Surface proteins of gram-positive bacteria and mechanisms of their targeting to the cell wall envelope. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 63: 174-229.
49. Smith AJ, Kitt AJ, Ward PN, Leigh JA (2002) Isolation and characterization of a mutant strain of *Streptococcus uberis*, which fails to utilize a plasmin derived beta-casein peptide for the acquisition of methionine. J Appl Microbiol 93:631-639.
50. Moshynskyy I, Jiang M, Fontaine MC, Perez-Casal J, Babiuk LA, et al. (2003) Characterization of a bovine lactoferrin binding protein of *Streptococcus uberis*. Microb Pathog 35: 203-215.
51. Almeida RA, Luther DA, Park HM, Oliver SP (2006) Identification, isolation, and partial characterization of a novel *Streptococcus uberis* adhesion molecule (SUAM). Vet Microbiol 115:183-191.
52. McCoy HE, Broder CC, Lottenberg R (1991) Streptokinases produced by pathogenic group C streptococci demonstrate species specific plasminogen activation. J Infect Dis 164:515-521.
53. Felsia XF, Vijayakumar R, Kalpana S (2011) Production and partial purification of streptokinase from *Streptococcus pyogenes*. J Biochem Tech. 3:289-291.
54. Nowicki ST, Minning-Wenz D, Johnston KH, Lottenberg R (1994) Characterization of a novel streptokinase produced by *Streptococcus equisimilis* of non-human origin. Thromb Haemost 72: 595-603.
55. Leigh JA, Hodgkinson SM, Lincoln RA (1998) The interaction of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* with plasmin and plasminogen. Vet Microbiol 61: 121-135.
56. Wiles KG, Panizzi P, Kroh HK, Bock PE (2010) Skizzle is a novel plasminogen- and plasmin-binding protein from *Streptococcus agalactiae* that targets proteins of human fibrinolysis to promote plasmin generation. J Biol Chem 285: 21153-21164.
57. Leigh JA, Lincoln RA (1997) *Streptococcus uberis* acquires plasmin activity following growth in the presence of bovine plasminogen through the action of its specific plasminogen activator. FEMS Microbiol Lett 154:123-129.
58. Lincoln RA, Leigh JA (1998) Characterization of the interaction of bovine plasmin with *Streptococcus uberis*. J Appl Microbiol 84: 1104-1110.
59. Reinoso EB, Lasagno MC, Dieser SA, Odierno LM (2011) Distribution of virulence-associated genes in *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from bovine mastitis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 318: 183-188.
60. McVey DS, Shi J, Leigh JA, Rosey EL, Ward PN, et al. (2005) Identification of multiple linear epitopes of the plasminogen activator A (PauA) of *Streptococcus uberis* with murine monoclonal antibodies. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 104: 155-162.
61. Moses AE, Wessels MR, Zalzman K, Alberti S, Natanson-Yaron S, et al. (1997) Relative contributions of hyaluronic acid capsule and M protein to virulence in a mucoid strain of the group A *Streptococcus*. Infect Immun 65: 64-71.
62. Wessels MR, Moses AE, Goldberg JB, DiCesare TJ (1991) Hyaluronic acid capsule is a virulence factor for mucoid group A streptococci. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 88: 8317-8321.
63. Kanamori S, Kusano N, Shinzato T, Saito A (2004) The role of the capsule of the *Streptococcus milleri* group in its pathogenicity. J Infect Chemother 10: 105-109.
64. Brazeau C, Gottschalk M, Vincelette S, Martineau-Doize B (1996) In vitro phagocytosis and survival of *Streptococcus suis* capsular type 2 inside murine macrophages. Microbiology 142: 1231-1237.
65. Segura M, Gottschalk M, Olivier M (2004) Encapsulated *Streptococcus suis* inhibits activation of signaling pathways involved in phagocytosis. Infect Immun 72: 5322-5330.
66. Okamoto S, Kawabata S, Terao Y, Fujitaka H, Okuno Y, et al. (2004) The *Streptococcus pyogenes* capsule is required for adhesion of bacteria to virus-infected alveolar epithelial cells and lethal bacterial-viral superinfection. Infect Immun 72: 6068-6075.
67. Schragr HM, Alberti S, Cywes C, Dougherty G J, Wessels MR (1998) Hyaluronic acid capsule modulates M protein-mediated adherence and acts as a ligand for attachment of group A *Streptococcus* to CD44 on human keratinocytes. J Clin Invest 101:1708-1716.
68. Almeida RA, Oliver SP (1993) Antiphagocytic effect of the capsule of *Streptococcus uberis*. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B 40: 707-714.
69. Munch-petersen E, Christie R (1945) Further notes on a lytic phenomenon shown by group B streptococci. Aust J Exp Biol Med Sci 23: 193-195.
70. Lang S, Palmer M (2003) Characterization of *Streptococcus agalactiae* CAMP factor as a pore-forming toxin. J Biol Chem 278: 38167-38173.
71. Gase K, Gase A, Schirmer H, Malke H (1996) Cloning, sequencing and functional overexpression of the *Streptococcus equisimilis* H46A gapC gene encoding a glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase that also functions as a plasmin(ogen)-binding protein. Purification and biochemical characterization of the protein. Eur J Biochem 239: 42-51.
72. Hassan AA, Abdulmawjood A, Yildirim AO, Fink K, Lämmler C, et al. (2000) Identification of streptococci isolated from various sources by determination of cfb gene and other CAMP-factor genes. Can J Microbiol 46: 946-951.
73. Skalka B, Smola J (1981) Lethal effect of CAMP-factor and *Uberis*-factor- a new finding about diffusible exo-substances of *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Streptococcus uberis*. Zbl Bakt Hyg I Abt Orig 1981 A249:190-194.
74. Skalka B, Smola J, Pilich J (1979) Diagnostic availability of the hemolytically active exo-substance of *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* for isolation and identification of *Streptococcus agalactiae* and its comparison with the betatoxin of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Zbl Vet Med B26: 679-687.
75. Lämmler C (1991) Biochemical and serological properties of *Streptococcus uberis*. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B 38: 737-742.
76. Ling E, Feldman G, Portnoi M, Dagan R, Overweg K, et al. (2004) Glycolytic enzymes associated with the cell surface of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* are antigenic in humans and elicit protective immune responses in the mouse. Clin Exp Immunol 138: 290-298.
77. Maeda K, Nagata H, Kuboniwa M, Kataoka K, Nishida N, et al. (2004) Characterization of binding of *Streptococcus oralis* glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase to *Porphyromonas gingivalis* major fimbriae. Infect Immun 72: 5475-5477.
78. Pancholi V, Fischetti VA (1992) A major surface protein on group A streptococci is a glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase with multiple binding activity. J Exp Med 176: 415-426.
79. Winram SB, Lottenberg R (1996) The plasmin-binding protein Plr of group A streptococci is identified as glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Microbiology 142: 2311-2320.
80. Madureira P, Baptista M, Vieira M (2007) *Streptococcus agalactiae* GAPDH is a virulence-associated immunomodulatory protein. J Immunol 178: 1379-1387.
81. Oliveira L, Madureira P, Andrade EB, Bouaboud A, Morello E, et al (2012) Group B *streptococcus* GAPDH is released upon cell lysis, associates with bacterial surface, and induces apoptosis in murine macrophages. PLoS One 7:e29963.
82. Jeffery CJ (2009) Moonlighting proteins--an update. Mol Biosyst 5: 345-350.
83. Perez-Casal J, Potter AA (2016) Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase as a suitable vaccine candidate for protection against bacterial and parasitic diseases. Vaccine 34:1012-1027.
84. Perez-Casal J, Prysliak T, Potter AA (2004) A GapC chimera retains the properties of the *Streptococcus uberis* wild-type GapC protein. Protein Expr Purif 33: 288-296.
85. Egan SA, Kurian D, Ward PN, Hunt L, Leigh JA (2010) Identification of sortase A (SrtA) substrates in *Streptococcus uberis*: evidence for an additional hexapeptide (LPXXXD) sorting motif. J Proteome Res 9:1088-1095.
86. Leigh JA, Egan SA, Ward PN, Field TR, Coffey TJ (2010) Sortase anchored proteins of *Streptococcus uberis* play major roles in the pathogenesis of bovine mastitis in dairy cattle. Vet Res 41: 63.
87. Comfort D, Clubb RT (2004) A comparative genome analysis identifies distinct sorting pathways in gram-positive bacteria. Infect Immun 72:2710-2722.
88. Dramsi S, Trieu-Cuot P, Bierné H (2005) Sorting sortases: a nomenclature proposal for the various sortases of Gram-positive bacteria. Res Microbiol 156: 289-297.
89. Smith KL, Oliver SP (1981) Lactoferrin: a component of nonspecific defense of the involuting bovine mammary gland. Adv Exp Med Biol 137: 535-554.
90. Almeida RA, Luther DA, Park HM, Oliver SP (2006) Identification, isolation, and partial characterization of a novel *Streptococcus uberis* adhesion molecule (SUAM). Vet Microbiol 115: 183-191.

91. Patel D, Almeida RA, Dunlap JR, Oliver SP (2009) Bovine lactoferrin serves as a molecular bridge for internalization of *Streptococcus uberis* into bovine mammary epithelial cells. *Vet Microbiol* 137: 297-301.
92. Perrig MS, Ambroggio MB, Buzzola FR, Marcipar IS, Calvinho LF, et al. (2015) Genotyping and study of the pauA and sua genes of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates from bovine mastitis. *Rev Argent Microbiol* 47: 282-294.
93. Nobbs AH, Lamont RJ, Jenkinson HF (2009) *Streptococcus* adherence and colonization. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 73: 407-450.
94. Loo CY, Cortliss DA, Ganeshkumar N (2000) *Streptococcus gordonii* biofilm formation: identification of genes that code for biofilm phenotypes. *J Bacteriol* 182: 1374-1382.
95. Yoshida A, Kuramitsu HK (2002) Multiple streptococcus mutans genes are involved in biofilm formation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68: 6283-6291.
96. Gilmore KS, Srinivas P, Akins DR, Hatter KL, Gilmore MS (2003) Growth, development, and gene expression in a persistent *Streptococcus gordonii* biofilm. *Infect Immun* 71: 4759-4766.
97. Sutherland IW (2001) The biofilm matrix—an immobilized but dynamic microbial environment. *Trends Microbiol* 9: 222-227.
98. Melchior MB, Vaarkamp H, Fink-Gremmels J (2006) Biofilms: A role in recurrent mastitis infections? *Vet J* 171: 398-407.
99. Abdullahi UF, Igwenagu E, Mu'azu A, Aliyu S, Umar MI (2016) Intrigues of biofilm: A perspective in veterinary medicine. *Veterinary World* 9: 12-18.
100. Ymele-Leki P, Ross JM (2007) Erosion from *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms grown under physiologically relevant fluid shear forces yields bacterial cells with reduced avidity to collagen. *App Env Microbiol* 73: 1834-1841.
101. Vasudevan P, Nair MKM, Annamalai T, Venkitanarayanan KS (2003) Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of bovine mastitis isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* for biofilm formation. *Vet Microbiol* 92: 179-185.
102. Costerton W, Veeh R, Shirtliff M, Pasmore M, Post C, et al. (2003) The application of biofilm science to the study and control of chronic bacterial infections. *J Clin Invest* 112: 1466-1477.
103. Bowden GH, Li YH (1997) Nutritional influences on biofilm development. *Adv Dent Res* 11: 81-99.
104. Varhimo E (2011) Inducible mutagenesis and biofilm formation in *Streptococcus uberis*. Academic dissertation department of veterinary biosciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine University of Helsinki, Finland.
105. Abureema S (2013) Characterisation of *Streptococcus uberis* from Bovine Milk, Ph.D. Thesis, School of Applied Sciences RMIT University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.
106. Moliva MV, Cerioli F, Reinoso EB (2017) Evaluation of environmental and nutritional factors and sua gene on in vitro biofilm formation of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates. *Microbial Pathogenesis* 107: 144-148.
107. Reinoso EB, Lasagno MC1, Odierno LM2 (2015) Genetic patterns of *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from bovine mastitis. *Rev Argent Microbiol* 47: 108-111.
108. Moore G (2009) Biofilm production by *Streptococcus uberis* associated with intramammary infections. *Animal Science*, The University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN.
109. Xue T, Chen X, Shang F (2014) Effects of lactose and milk on the expression of biofilm-associated genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from a dairy cow with mastitis. *J Dairy Sci* 97: 6129-6134.
110. Rossini R, Margarit I (2015) Biofilm formation by *Streptococcus agalactiae*: Influence of environmental conditions and implicated virulence factors. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 5:6.
111. Suntharalingam P, Cvitkovitch DG (2005) Quorum sensing in streptococcal biofilm formation. *Trends Microbiol* 13: 3-6.
112. Neiditch MB, Federle MJ, Miller ST, Bassler BL, Hughson FM (2005) Regulation of LuxPQ receptor activity by the quorum-sensing signal autoinducer-2. *Mol Cell* 18: 507-518.
113. Bassler BL, Losick R (2006) Bacterially speaking. *Cell* 125: 237-246.
114. Bergé M, Moscoso M, Prudhomme M, Martin B, Claverys JP (2002) Uptake of transforming DNA in Gram-positive bacteria: a view from *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Mol Microbiol* 45: 411-421.
115. Reinoso E, Fambrini A, Lasagno M, Odierno L (2011) Biofilm production by *Streptococcus uberis* strains isolated from bovine mastitis. XLVII Reunión Anual Sociedad Argentina de Investigación en Bioquímica y Biología Molecular BIOCELL - 35:118.