Buccal Film Drug Delivery System-An Innovative and Emerging Technology
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Abstract

Now days, an extensive research is being carried out on the design and development of innovative drug delivery systems to improve the safety, efficacy and patient compliance. One such delivery system is the buccal film technology. This technology has emerged as an advanced alternative to the other conventional types of drug delivery systems. It is the proven technology for the systemic delivery of active pharmaceutical ingredients [API’s]. The buccal mucosa is the best suited site for local, as well as systemic delivery of drugs due to its physiological features. The buccal film is an elegant and effective dosage form with improved bioavailability, when compared to other dosage forms as it bypasses the hepatic first pass metabolism. It is the most acceptable and palatable dosage form due to its small size, small dose and thickness of the film. Moreover, it does not require swallowing of the drug, which is most suitable for pediatric as well as geriatric patients. The present article provides insight into various issues like benefits of buccal films, manufacturing methods, evaluation parameters and also reviews on the market potentiality of dosage form and its future scenario on global market as an effective pharmaceutical dosage form.
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Introduction

Among the various routes of drug delivery, transmucosal drug delivery offer distinct advantages over peroral administration for systemic effect. Among various transmucosal routes, buccal mucosa is the most suited for local, as well as systemic delivery of drugs. The unique physiological features make the buccal mucosa as an ideal route for mucoadhesive drug delivery system. These advantages include bypass of hepatic first-pass effect and avoidance of pre systemic elimination within the gastrointestinal tract [1,2]. The use of the oral cavity membranes as sites of drug administration has been the topic of increasing interest for the past decade. It is well known that the absorption of therapeutic compounds from the oral mucosa provides a direct entry of the drug into the systemic circulation, thereby avoiding first-pass hepatic metabolism and gastrointestinal drug degradation, both of which are associated with peroral administration [3-5].

Buccal films are the most recently developed dosage form for buccal administration. They have gained importance as efficacious and novel drug delivery systems and are cost effective with a good patient compliance. As buccal films are implied for attachment to the buccal mucosa, they can be formulated to exhibit local as well as systemic delivery. Buccal films have direct access to the systemic circulation through the internal jugular vein, which bypasses the drug from the hepatic first pass metabolism leading to high bioavailability. Further, these dosage forms are self administrable, pharmacoeconomic and have superior patient compliance [6,7]. The film can be defined as a dosage form that employs a water dissolving polymer, which allows the dosage form to quickly hydrate, adhere and dissolve when placed on the tongue, or in the oral cavity, which results in systemic drug delivery [8]. The main property of the buccal film is that due to the large surface area of the film, it allows quick wetting of the film which accelerates absorption of the drug quickly when compared to tablets [9]. Buccal mucosa is rich with blood supply, which acts as a perfect and fast site for absorption of a drug [10]. Mucoadhesive buccal films have also been formulated to show the local action to treat fungal infections in the oral cavity [11-15].

Potential Benefits of Buccal Films

- Buccal films provide large surface area that leads to rapid disintegration and dissolution in the oral cavity due to which it promotes the systemic absorption of Active pharmaceutical ingredient.
- No need of chewing and swallowing.
- No risk of choking.
- The film increases the systemic bioavailability of the drugs, as it bypasses the hepatic first pass metabolism.
- Drug can be protected from degradation by GI enzymes and the acidic environment.
- Rapid onset of action and minimum side effects.
- Self administration is possible.
- Accurate dosing compared to liquid dosage forms.
- Taste masking is possible.
- Prolongs the residence time of the dosage form at the site of absorption, hence increases the bioavailability.
- Ease of administration to pediatric, geriatric patients, and also to the patients who are mentally retarded, disabled or non-cooperative.
- Good mouth feel and good stability.
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- Ease of transportation, storage and consumer handling.
- Requires less excipient.
- More economical.

However, the main limitation of the buccal films is that high doses cannot be incorporated.

**Anatomy and Physiology of Oral Mucosa**

Oro mucosal region is adhesive in nature and acts as a lubricant, allowing the cells to move relative to one another with less friction. Four sites namely buccal cavity, the lingual area, the palate and gingival region have been used for drug administration. The most commonly used site for drug administration of the four sites mentioned above is the buccal route. The anatomic site for drug administration between the cheek and gingival is known as the buccal mucosa [16]. The oral mucosa is composed of three layers. The first layer is the stratified squamous epithelium, underneath this layer lays the basement membrane. The basement membrane overlies the lamina propria and submucosa. The constitution of the epithelium within the different sites of the oral cavity shows dissimilarity. The epithelium in the soft palate, buccal and sublingual area is not keratinized, therefore not containing ceramides and acylceramidem which are associated with providing a barrier function [17]. The mucosa of the buccal and sublingual region have only small amounts of ceramidem and is thus more permeable when compared to other regions of the oral cavity [18].

A layer of mucus is present on the surface of the epithelial layer of cells. This plays a major role in cell-to-cell adhesion, oral lubrication, as well as mucoadhesion of mucoadhesive drug delivery systems. The buccal area has an expanse of smooth and relatively immobile surface, which is suitable for placement of a retentive system [19]. For buccal drug delivery, adhesion to the oral mucosa permits not only the intimacy of contact and the possibility of improved drug absorption, but also the ability to achieve an optimum residence time at the site of administration [20]. These characteristics make the buccal mucosa as a more appropriate site for prolonged systemic delivery of drugs Figures 1 and 2.

**Formulation Aspects of Buccal Films**

**Active pharmaceutical ingredient [APIs]**

Generally 5% w/w to 30% w/w of active pharmaceutical ingredients can be incorporated in the buccal film. Water soluble APIs are present in the dissolved state in the buccal film or in the solid solution form. The water insoluble drugs are dispersed uniformly in the film. This involves the distribution of water insoluble molecules in water miscible polymer, or the solubility of the drug can be enhanced by complexation with various cyclodextrins. Depending upon the desired release profile, APIs can also be added as milled, micronized, or in the form of nano crystals or particles. The use of micronized API will improve the texture of the film and also for better dissolution and uniformity in the buccal film. The buccal films are more advantageous in certain clinical situations where instantaneous release of the medicaments is necessary for prompt relief. Some of such type of clinical situations includes cough, allergy, motion sickness, pain and other local oral manifestations.

**Mucoadhesive polymers**

Polymers with different characteristics have to be considered depending on the type of formulation. Different situations for buccal mucoadhesion are possible depending on the dosage form. Mucoadhesive polymers are classified into two main groups, such as hydrophilic polymers and hydrogels. The hydrophilic polymers most commonly used in buccal dry or partially hydrated dosage forms include polyvinyl alcohol [PVA], sodium carboxy methylcellulose [NaCMC], hydroxyl propyl methyl cellulose [HPMC], hydroxyl ethyl cellulose and hydroxypropyl cellulose [HPC]. Hydrogels include anionic polymers like carbopol, polyacrylates, cationic polymers like chitosan and non ionic polymers like eudragit analogues (Table 1).

**Plasticizers**

Typically, the plasticizers are used in the concentration of 0-20% w/w of dry polymer. Plasticizer is an important ingredient of the film, which improves the flexibility of the film and reduces the bitterness of the film by reducing the glass transition temperature of the film. The selection of plasticizer depends upon the compatibility with the polymer and type of solvent employed in the casting of film. Plasticizers should be carefully selected because improper use of the plasticizers affects the mechanical properties of the film. PEG 400, Propylene glycol, Glycerol, castor oil is most commonly used plasticizers.
Penetration enhancers

Penetration enhancers are also important excipients to be added in the buccal film formulation. These are required when a drug has to reach the systemic circulation to exert its action. These must be non-irritant and have a reversible effect. The epithelium should recover its barrier properties after the drug has been absorbed. The most common classes of buccal penetration enhancers include fatty acids that act by disrupting intercellular lipid packing, surfactants, bile salts, and alcohols.

Taste masking agents

Taste masking agents or taste masking methods should be used in the formulation if the APIs have bitter taste, as the bitter drugs make the formulation unpalatable, especially for pediatric preparations. Thus, before incorporating the API in the buccal film, the taste needs to be masked. Various methods can be used to improve the palatability of the formulation, such as complexation technology, salting-out technology, etc.

Sweetening agents

Sweeteners have become an important excipient for oral disintegrating drug delivery systems. The sweet taste in formulation is more important in case of pediatric population. Natural sweeteners, as well as artificial sweeteners, are used to improve the palatability of the mouth dissolving formulations. The natural sweeteners include sucrose, dextrose, fructose, glucose, liquid glucose and maltose. The sweetness of fructose is perceived rapidly in the mouth as compared to sucrose and dextrose. Artificial sweeteners should be used if the dosage form is meant for diabetic patients. Saccharin, cyclamate and aspartame are the first generation of artificial sweeteners, followed by acesulfame-K, sacralose, allitame and neotame, which come under the second generation artificial sweeteners.

Saliva stimulating agent

Generally, acids which are used in the preparation of food can be utilized as salivary stimulants. The purpose of using saliva stimulating agents is to increase the rate of production of saliva that would aid in the faster disintegration of the rapid dissolving film formulations. Citric acid, malic acid, lactic acid, ascorbic acid and tartaric acid are the few examples of salivary stimulants. citric acid being the most preferred amongst them. These agents are used alone or in combination between 2 to 6% w/w of weight of the film.

Flavoring agents

The flavoring agents are very important in case of oral dissolving systems. The acceptance of the oral disintegrating formulation by a patient depends on the initial flavor quality, which is observed in first few seconds after the product has been consumed and the after taste of the formulation which lasts for at least about 10 min. Peppermint oil, cinnamon oil, spearmint oil, oil of nutmeg are examples of flavor oils, while vanilla, cocoa, coffee, chocolate and citrus are fruity flavors. Apple, raspberry, cherry, pineapple are few examples of fruit essence type. Flavors can be used alone or in the combination. The amount of flavor needed to mask the taste depends on the flavor type and its strength. Preferably, up to 10% w/w flavors are added in the buccal film formulations. To improve the flavor strength and to enhance the mouth-feel effect of the product, cooling agents like monomethyl succinate can be added.

Coloring agents

To improve the elegant appearance of films, coloring agents are incorporated in the formulation. FD&C approved coloring agents are used (Table 2) [21-45].

Manufacturing Methods

The buccal film manufacturing process includes the following techniques.

1. Solvent casting technique
2. Hot melt extrusion technique

Solvent casting method

The solvent casting method is widely preferred for the manufacture of buccal films. This process involves the following steps:

- Water soluble ingredients (polymers) are dissolved in water to form homogenous viscous solution.
- API and other excipients are dissolved in suitable solvent to form a clear viscous solution.
- Both the solutions are mixed and the resulting solution is casted as a film and allowed to dry (Figure 3) [46-51].

Hot melt extrusion technique

Hot melt extruder is used in this process. This technique involves shaping a polymer into a film via the heating process. A blend of pharmaceutical ingredients including API in dry state is filled in the hopper, conveyed, mixed and subjected to the heating process, and then extruded out in molten state melted by the extruder. The molten mass thus formed is used to cast the film. A critical step is the casting and drying process. This technique has many advantages, such as this process involves lower temperature and shorter residence times of the drug carrier mix, absence of organic solvents, continuous operation.

### Table 1: Various categories of Mucoadhesive polymers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Examples of mucoadhesive polymers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Non-ionic polymers</td>
<td>Hydroxy ethyl cellulose, Hydroxy propyl cellulose, Poly vinyl pyrrolidone, Hydroxy propyl methyl cellulose, Polyvinyl alcohol, Polycarbophil, Polyethylene oxide, Eudragit analogues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Anionic polymers</td>
<td>Sodium alginate, Sodium carboxy methyl cellulose, carbopol, polyacrylates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Cationic polymer</td>
<td>Chitosan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: The typical composition of buccal films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>API</td>
<td>5-30% (w/w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mucoadhesive polymer</td>
<td>45% (w/w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Plasticizers</td>
<td>0-20% (w/w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sweetening agents</td>
<td>3-6% (w/w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Saliva stimulating agents</td>
<td>2-6% (w/w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Colors and Flavors</td>
<td>Q.S.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3:** Solvent casting film system.
possibilities, minimum product wastage, good control of operating parameters and possibilities to scale up (Figure 4) [51-53].

**Evaluation of Buccal Films**

The buccal films are evaluated by

**Weight and thickness of the film**

For evaluation of film weight, three films of every formulation are taken and weighed individually on a digital balance. The average weights are calculated. Similarly, three films of each formulation were taken and the film thickness is to be measured using micrometer screw gauge at three different places, and the mean value is to be calculated [54,55].

**Surface pH of films**

For determination of surface pH, three films of each formulation are allowed to swell for 2 h on the surface of an agar plate. The surface pH is to be measured by using a pH paper placed on the surface of the swollen patch. A mean of three readings is to be recorded [56].

**Swelling index**

After determination of the original film weight and diameter, the samples are allowed to swell on the surface of agar plate kept in an incubator maintained at 37 ± 0.2°C. Weight of the films (n=3) is determined at different time intervals (1-5 h). The percent swelling, % S is to be calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Percent swelling} = \left( \frac{S_t - S_0}{S_0} \right) \times 100
\]

Where, \(X_t\) = The weight of the swollen film after time \(t\), \(X_0\) = The initial film weight at zero time [57-59].

**Folding endurance**

Three films of each formulation of required size are cut by using sharp blade. Folding endurance is to be determined by repeatedly folding the film at the same place, till it is broken. The number of times, the film could be folded at the same place without breaking gives the value of folding endurance [60].

**Moisture content**

The prepared films are to be weighed individually and kept in desiccators containing calcium chloride at room temperature for 24 h. The films are to be weighed again after a specified interval, until they show a constant weight. The percent moisture content is to be calculated by using following formula [61].

\[
\text{Moisture content} = \left( \frac{W_f - W_i}{W_i} \right) \times 100
\]

**Moisture uptake**

Weighed films are kept in desiccators at room temperature for 24 h. These are then taken out and exposed to 84% relative humidity using saturated solution of potassium chloride in desiccators, until a constant weight is achieved. % moisture uptake is calculated as given below.

\[
\text{Moisture uptake} = \left( \frac{W_f - W_i}{W_i} \right) \times 100
\]

**In-vitro residence time**

The in vitro residence time is determined using IP disintegration apparatus using 900 mL of the disintegration medium maintaining at 37 ± 2°C. The segments of rat intestinal mucosa, each of 3 cm length, are to be glued to the surface of a glass slab, which is then vertically attached to the apparatus. Three mucoadhesive films of each formulation are hydrated on one surface and the hydrated surface is brought into contact with the mucosal membrane. The glass slab is vertically fixed to the apparatus and allowed to move up and down. The film is completely immersed in the buffer solution at the lowest point, and is out at the highest point. The time required for complete erosion or detachment of the film from the mucosal surface is to be recorded [62].

**Drug content uniformity**

Three film units (each of 20 mm diameter) of each formulation has to be taken in separate 100 mL volumetric flasks, 100 mL of solvent has to be added and continuously stirred for 24 h. The solutions have to be filtered, diluted suitably and analyzed at specified nm in UV spectrophotometer. The average of drug contents of three films has to be taken as final reading.

**Surface characterization studies**

The scanning electron photomicrograph of the film is taken at 6000 X magnification. The prepared film containing drug is examined for clear and colorless surface. The photomicrographs of the film with the drug and the blank film are compared, and are examined whether the drug is distributed uniformly throughout the film in an amorphous form [63].

**In-vitro dissolution studies**

Dissolution studies are carried out for all the formulations, employing USP dissolution apparatus at 37 ± 0.5°C, rotated at constant speed of 50 rpm using 900 mL of dissolution medium. A sample of drug film is used in each test. An aliquot of the sample is periodically withdrawn at suitable time interval and the volume is replaced with fresh dissolution medium. The sample is analyzed spectrophotometrically at specified nm [64-66].

**Organoleptic evaluation**

The prepared buccal film should possess the desired features of sweetness and flavor, which is acceptable to a large mass of population. Controlled human taste panels are used for psychophysical evaluation of the product. In-vitro methods of utilizing taste sensors, specially designed electronic tongue measurement devices can be used for this purpose [67,68].

**Packaging**

Many options are available for buccal films packing, such as single pouch, blister card with multiple units, multiple-unit dispenser and continuous roller dispenser. Single packaging is mandatory for films. An aluminium pouch is the most commonly used packaging system. There are some patented packaging systems for oral films. Labtec company has patented packaging technology called Rapid card and Amcor Flexibilities Company has patented Core-peel technology.

**Ex–vivo Permeation Studies**

The modified Franz diffusion cell is used for permeation studies. It
consists of two compartments, one is donor compartment and another is receptor compartment of 18 mL capacity and having 0.785 cm² effective diffusion area. The receptor compartment was covered with water jacket to maintain 37°C.

The porcine or rabbit buccal mucosa can be used for these studies. The buccal mucosa is carefully separated from fat and muscles using scalpel. The buccal epithelium is isolated from the underlying tissue. The buccal epithelium was used within 2 hrs upon removal. The separated buccal epithelium is mounted between two chambers and receptor chamber is filled with PBS pH 7.4. The buccal epithelium is allowed to stabilize for the period of 1 hr. After stabilization of buccal epithelium, the film is kept on buccal epithelium and periodically samples are withdrawn and some fresh volume is replaced. The aliquots are analyzed spectrophotometrically (Figure 5).

Flexibility in Formulation of Buccal Films

There is wide range of flexibility in developing the buccal films. The main benefits of buccal film formulation includes that many of the eligible Active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) can be formulated as buccal films and many of the physical properties can be altered, such as material composition, film dissolution rates and API absorption rates. The formulation of buccal films includes film forming polymers and other additives. Formulators can design the films to release the drug immediately in seconds as immediate drug release formulations, or to deliver the dose over a period of hours as controlled release formulations by modifying the combination of film-forming polymers and film thickness. The buccal mucosal area, as it has an expanse of smooth and relatively immobile surface, the area is well suited for placement of a retentive device and appears to be acceptable to the patient. The anatomical features of buccal mucosa make it as an appropriate site for prolonged systemic delivery of drugs. The buccal mucosa permits the intimacy of contact and the possibility of improved drug absorption, but also the ability to achieve an optimum residence time at the site of administration. Buccal film formulation is more feasible material composition, film dissolution rates and API absorption rates.

Applications

- Multilayer drug film construction is possible, which an emerging area for immediate application. Two or more drugs could be combined into one format and the layers may be formulated to have the same or various dissolution rates.
- The films can be formulated in such a way that the dissolution rates of the drugs can range from minutes to hours.
- Films acts as gastro retentive dosage forms, in which the dissolution of the films could be triggered by the pH or enzyme secretions of gastro intestinal tract, and could be potentially used to treat gastro intestinal disorders.

Conclusion

The present review concludes that the buccal film is the most accurate and acceptable dosage form, which bypasses the hepatic first pass effect and shows good bioavailability. This is the most promising and innovative technology, which is useful to all the age groups, specifically pediatric, geriatric patients and also to the patients with swallowing difficulties. Buccal films can replace the conventional dosage forms, including fast disintegrating tablets due to its advantages over the conventional dosage forms, and they can be manufactured with low cost. This technology provides a good tool for maintenance of drug therapeutic value, as well as pharmacoeconomic value.
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