

Cancer Bioinformatics, its Impacts on Cancer Therapy

Lu DY^{1,4,5*}, Lu TR², Chen XL³, Chen EH⁴, Ding J^{4,5} and Xu B^{4,5}

¹School of Life Sciences, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

²College of Science, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

³Jing-An Division, Hua-Shan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

⁴Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, China

⁵Shanghai Institutes of Biology Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031, China

Abstract

Last 20 years, this world has witnessed the rapid progresses of bioinformatics. Cancer bioinformatics is one of such important omics branches for drug developments and clinical applications. Same as other biological techniques or systems, bioinformatics are not omni-potent now. They have their own limits and shortcomings. This article addresses the panorama of bioinformatics in cancer researches and clinical applications. Their advantageous and drawbacks are discussed and highlighted.

Keywords: Cancer bioinformatics; Cancer pathology; Neoplasm metastasis; Cancer stem cells; Drug response; Personalized cancer therapy; Antimetastatic drug development

Introduction

Cancer remains to be a serious human health problem despite great progresses in cancer researches. Cancer is a common disease that claims life about 7-10 million people annually in the world. As a result, cancer remains to be a great medical challenge worldwide [1-3]. Last 20 years, this world has witnessed the rapid progresses of bioinformatics in cancer treatment studies and clinical applications. Since cancer bioinformatics systems and applications have advantages and shortcomings, these shortcomings limit the popularity of cancer bioinformatics in general cancer therapeutic practices worldwide. Many obstacles need to be overcome. This article not only offers a panorama of bioinformatics in cancer researches and clinical applications, but also provided future perspectives for improvements.

Panorama of cancer bioinformatics in personalized cancer therapy (PCT)

Since cancer is a progressive disease with a lot of genetic alterations and molecular abnormalities [4,5], the best therapeutic approaches should target these genetic alterations and molecular abnormalities. However, different types of cancers are caused by different genetic abnormalities, such as mutation, translocation, deletion or replication etc. Thus before an appropriate therapy can be initiated, exact genetic alterations and molecular abnormalities of a specific cancer must be determined. Drug sensitivity testing, cancer bioinformatics, pharmacogenetics and individualized antimetastatic therapies are major parts of PCT that are designed to reveal these genetic alteration and molecular abnormality information and select optimal anticancer drugs [6-9]. Among these categories of personalized cancer therapies, cancer bioinformatics and individualized antimetastatic therapies are underestimated, yet of great clinical significances.

Since 84 anticancer drugs have been licensed in US [10] and 178 anticancer drugs have been approved worldwide (2013) [11], the determination of therapeutic efficacies of various anticancer drugs for individual cancer patient is an ideal model for clinical cancer treatments. Various biological molecules (bioinformatics) have been widely reported to have diagnostic, prognostic and/or therapeutic predictive values in cancer patients [5-9,11-21]. Before initiating antibody therapy (treatment with monoclonal antibodies or polyclonal antibodies) or other type of targeted anticancer drug or biotherapies, [22] it is ideal

to know the levels of their targeted antigens or receptors in tumors in order to provide a rational basis for the quality of anticancer treatments [5-9,11-21]. Besides, since the cancer tissue bioinformatics detection methodologies can be varied greatly, bioinformatics technical studies for pinpointing oncogenic status are also an interesting topic presently and in future.

Bioinformatics methods are high throughput assays for identifying the original and causative cancer biomarkers in personalized chemotherapy [9]. It includes mathematical or computational systems to facilitate the deciphering of oncogenic genomic and molecular information [23-25]. To attain this goal, mounting basic and clinical investigations are needed to establish good hospital routines and paradigms to follow. These hospital routines and paradigms need to be easy to be handled, less costly, high-throughput, and as effective as possible.

Future perspectives

Currently, bioinformatics techniques are diversified and standard techniques have not been made uniformly by manufacturers [6]. In order to safeguard the quality and cost of hospital routines, standard guidelines international must be issued every 4-5 years.

Validating and pinpointing the cancer biomarkers or bioinformatics from tumor samples and predicting which targeted anticancer drugs or biotherapies might be specifically targeted are general schemes of cancer bioinformatics applications. To achieve this goal, effectively targeted anticancer drugs are needed. If we have not equipped enough useful targeted anticancer drugs, we cannot properly control cancer growths and metastasis no matter how crystal clear we know the tumor causations by cancer bioinformatics. Development and approval of more effective targeted anticancer drugs are indispensable.

***Corresponding author:** Lu DY, School of Life Sciences, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China, Tel: +86 21 66163545; Fax: +821 66132177; E-mail: ludayong@shu.edu.cn

Received April 17, 2015; Accepted April 20, 2015; Published April 22, 2015

Citation: Lu DY, Lu TR, Chen XL, Chen EH, Ding J, et al. (2015) Cancer Bioinformatics, its Impacts on Cancer Therapy. Metabolomics 5: e133. doi:10.4172/2153-0769.1000e133

Copyright: © 2015 Lu DY. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Since cancer is a genetic disease, finally improvements of our knowledge about human cancer genomes by next generations of sequencing (NGS) [26] are urgently needed. Only by genomic studies of cancer pathogenesis and metastatic cascades, proper medical interventions can be innovated and effectively utilized.

Presently, most cancer bioinformatics testing are referred to tumor tissue assays. With the increasing diversity of bioinformatics technology, we can understand disease progressions by testing cancer patient's blood, urine, or even saliva [11,20,26]. By these bio-sample assays, we can make decisions as easy as possible and as quick as possible.

Individualized cancer therapy by detecting cancer biomarkers or bioinformatics is a modern method and has a great clinical significance. Comparing with therapeutic anticancer drugs and the costs of residents in hospitals (more than \$10,000), the costs of standard cancer bioinformatics detection (\$100-5000) is relatively low. It is a cost-effective strategy for most early stage of cancer patients [9].

Conclusion

Cancer bioinformatics has been developing rapidly. However, it is still in its infantile stage and no decisive role has been played in clinical cancer treatment. Many new areas for cancer bioinformatics applications will be implemented. But are we ready for that yet? [27,28]

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by Shanghai Science and Technology Foundation of High Educations 97A49

References

1. O Siegel R, Ward E, Brawley, Jamal A (2011) Cancer statistics 2011. *CA Cancer J Clin* 61: 212-236.
2. Ali I, Rahis-ud-din, Saleem K, Aboul-Enein HY, Rather A (2011) Social aspects of cancer genesis. *Cancer Ther* 8: 6-14
3. Ali I, Wani WA, Saleem K (2011) Cancer scenario in India with future perspectives. *Cancer Ther* 8: 56-70.
4. Nowell PC (1976) The clonal evolution of tumor cell populations. *Science* 194:23-28.
5. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer, the next generation. *Cell* 144: 646-674.
6. Lu DY, Lu TR, Chen XL, Ding J (2012) Individualized cancer chemotherapy. Nova Science Publisher, USA.
7. Shoja MM, Agutter PS, Tubbs RS, Ghanei M, Ghabili K, et al. (2012) Hypotheses in Clinical Medicine. Nova Science Publisher, USA.
8. Lu DY, Lu TR, Wu HY (2014) Personalized cancer therapy, a perspective. *Clin Exp Pharmacol* 4:153.
9. Lu DY, Lu TR, Che JY, Wu HY (2014) Individualized cancer therapy. *IPP* 2: 458-469.
10. Lu DY (2014) Personalized cancer chemotherapy, an effective way for enhancing outcomes in clinics. Woodhead Publishing, UK.
11. Gupta SC, Sung B, Prasad S, Webb LJ, Aggarwal BB (2013) Cancer drug discovery by repurposing: teaching new tricks to old dogs. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 34: 508-517.
12. Ali I, Haque A, Wani WA, Saleem K, Al za'zbi M (2013) Analyses of anticancer drugs by capillary electrophoresis; a review. *Biomed Chromat* 27: 1296-1311.
13. Dalton WS, Friend ASH (2006) Cancer biomarkers-an invitation to the table. *Science* 312: 1165-1168.
14. Lu DY, Huang M, Zhang XH (2006) Bioinformatics and clinical individualized cancer therapy. *Chin J Prim Med Pharm* 13: 1565-1566.
15. Ocana A, Pandiella A (2010) Personalized therapies in the cancer "omics" era. *Mol Cancer* 9:202.
16. Stransky B, Galanten P (2010) Application of bioinformatics in cancer research. *An IMICS Perspective on Cancer Research* 211-233.
17. Lu DY, Lu TR, Chen XL, Xu B (2015) Cancer bioinformatics, an emerging role for personalized cancer therapy. *Int J Adv Cancer Engineering* 2: 10-22.
18. Strausberg RL, Simpson AG, Old LJ, Riggins GL (2004) Oncogenomics and the development of new cancer therapies. *Nature* 429: 469-474.
19. Lu DY, Lu TR, Cao S (2012) Individualized cancer chemotherapy by detecting cancer biomarkers. *Metabolomics* 2: e121.
20. Marx V (2013) Tracking metastasis and tracking cancer. *Nature* 494: 131-136.
21. Madhavan D, Cuk K, Burwinkel B, Yang RX (2013) Cancer diagnosis and prognosis decoded by blood-based circulating microRNA signatures. *Front Genet* 4:116.
22. Karagiannis P, Fittall M, Karagiannis SN (2015) Evaluating biomarkers in melanoma. *Front Oncol* 4: 383.
23. Couzin-Frankel J (2013) Breakthrough of the year 2013. *Cancer immunotherapy. Science* 342: 1432-1433.
24. Knight ZA, Shokat KM (2007) Chemical genetics: where genetics and pharmacology meet. *Cell* 128: 425-430.
25. Komarova NL (2005) Mathematical modeling of tumorigenesis, mission possible. *Curr Opin Oncol* 17: 39-43.
26. Khalil IG, Hill C (2006) System biology for cancer. *Curr Opin Oncol* 17: 44-48.
27. Braggio E, Egan JB, Fonseca R, Stewart AK (2013) Lessons from next-generation sequencing analysis in hematological malignancies. *Blood Cancer J* 3: e127.
28. Lu DY, Lu TR, Chen XL (2012) Individualized cancer chemotherapy, are we ready for that yet? *Metabolomics* 2: e113.