Celiac Disease with Dermatitis Herpetiformis Case Report
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Introduction

Dermatitis herpetiformis (DH) is traditionally diagnostic by direct immunofluorescence (DIF) and histopathology punch. In DH there is granular IgA deposition along the dermoepidermal junction with concentration at the tips of the papillary dermis [1].

Case Report

A 9-year-old girl presented to dermatologist with a 9-month history of intensely pruritic vesicles on his elbows, buttocks and back and no gastrointestinal symptoms (Figure 1).

The dermatologist informed her parents that his clinical impression was DH and performed punch biopsies for DIF.

The DIF showed granular IgA deposition in the papillary dermis (Figure 2).

We performed:

- ELISA testing: IgA tissue transglutaminase antibody testing was positive at 327 U/ml (negative<25 U/ml, positive>25 U/ml); IgA+IgG anti deamidated gliadin-derived peptides antibodies was positive at 124 U/ml (negative<15 U/ml, positive>15 U/ml); IgA anti-Gliadin test was positive at 18 U/ml (negative<15 U/ml, positive>15 U/ml); IgG anti-Gliadin test was negative;
- Indirect immunofluorescence was positive on monkey esophagus (Figure 3);
- Other laboratory parameters: IgM=165 mg/dl (normal value 50-260mg/dl); IgA=143 mg/dl (normal value 29-270mg/dl); IgG=1338 mg/dl (normal value 700-1650 mg/dl); C3=134 mg/dl (normal value 90-180mg/dl);

The patient was then referred to a gastroenterologist who confirmed the diagnosis of celiac disease (CD).

Discussion

Demonstration of IgA immune deposits in the dermal papillae has been the only acceptable criterion for the diagnosis of dermatitis herpetiformis. However, granular IgA deposition showed by DIF can appear in other dermatitis: IgA bullous dermatosis (LABD), along the cutaneous basement membrane zone [2]. Diagnostics by DIF required a good microscope and depends by experience of laboratory man [3].

A patient with DH, diagnosed with LABD by DIF may not be started on a gluten-free diet and would be at risk for intestinal lymphoma.

Patients with DH produce IgA antibodies against endomysium. A number of studies have since shown anti-endomysial antibody to be a highly sensitive (90 %) and specific (96 %) marker for detecting untreated DH and CD [4]. Tissue transglutaminase (tTG) is the major target antigen recognized by anti-endomysial antibodies [5]. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for IgG antibodies against tTG presented sensitivity and specificity comparable to EMA testing and no need for an animal substrate [6].
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Therefore we suggest tTG-ELISA should replace DIF as a first step in DH diagnosis.

Conclusions

The case confirms again possibility association DH with asymptomatic CD.
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