

Citizen Science Combined with Environmental Education can be a Powerful Tool for Coastal-Marine Management

Natalia Pirani Ghilardi-Lopes*

Center for Natural and Human Sciences, Federal University of ABC, Garden Antares, São Bernardo do Campo, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Abstract

In many places around the world, there is a lack of staff for marine and coastal protected areas management. One way of helping scientists to collect useful data and helping managers in the decision making is to engage the general public in the scientific process. In this regard, citizen science actions combined with environmental education activities in coastal and marine areas in which the visitation is allowed can be powerful tools.

Keywords: Citizen science; Critical environmental education; Scientific process

Introduction

The possible impacts caused by tourist visitation in marine natural areas are well described in literature [1-11]. In addition, many studies show that briefing, environmental education and interpretation activities can significantly reduce the impacts to the organisms that occupy these areas [12-19].

In the case

of recreational diving, it is fundamental that MPAs search for sustainable visitation, which requires a constant monitoring of the impacts and also the introduction of preventive or corrective measures whenever necessary. Besides, sustainable visitation must maintain a high level of visitor satisfaction and ensure a meaningful experience to the tourists, raising awareness on issues of environmental sustainability and promoting sustainable tourism practices [20].

Marine trails have been considered as an efficient way of promoting environmental education directed at divers [21-25]. The marine environment can serve as an “outdoor laboratory” where tourists can view examples of ecological principles [26], which can increase the interest and the feeling for marine conservation. More than that, the divers can collaborate on data collection and monitoring, contributing to the conservation of various organisms, adding information about population structure, spatial distribution or behavior [27-30].

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) recommends public involvement in the monitoring and management of the environment. The advantages of using such non-specialist volunteers include: 1) the supply of skilled manpower sufficient to conduct extensive research, while providing spatial coverage and putting research into their local context; 2) significant financial savings through voluntary work; 3) increase in scientific education, related to ecological problems, reaching audiences of different ages, through active participation in the ecological survey work; 4) providing a simple and low cost survey program, which can be continued using long-term experience and local funding [15,27,28,31]. This is important, for example, due to the fact that permanent monitoring can increase the chances of early detection and eradication of invasive species [30].

In this context, “Citizen Science”, which is a method that places the public in gathering scientific information, thus contributing to scientific projects in various spatial and temporal scales [32], is inserted.

In terrestrial environments, cases of ecological projects involving

public participation have been reported as successful, such as ornithological studies. Birds are generally good biodiversity indicators and are easy to monitor because many are easily identifiable and because there are several potential observers [33]. In the case of the marine environment, beyond the voluntary training, there is still the need for the volunteers to be qualified as divers. Research and monitoring programs have requested divers as volunteers, making use of their natural interest in marine life, such as the “Coral Cay Conservation” [34], “Fish Survey Project”, “Reef Check”, “CoralWatch” and “Divers for the Environment: Mediterranean Underwater Biodiversity Project” [28,35-38].

Volunteers of the “Coral Cay Conservation” participate in an eight-day training program, which involves information on marine organisms (macroalgae, marine phanerogams, coral and other invertebrates, as well as specific interactions, taxonomy, physiology and coastal management) and research techniques, through lectures, practical exercises, individual tutoring, videos, slides and periodic evaluations. In the “Fish Survey Project”, volunteers are classified as “beginners” or “experts” in identifying fish species according to the results of evaluations. The “Reef Check” recruits volunteers who undergo a training course involving research techniques and diving skills, and the participants carry out successive surveying (fish, invertebrates and substrate) in specific places, transects and depths, following a protocol and collecting biophysical and socioeconomic data under the mentoring of professional scientists. CoralWatch seeks to integrate education and global reef monitoring by examining coral bleaching, in which the participants simply match the colors of a chart to the coral color, record the codes, and enter the data via a website. In the “Divers for the Environment” project, non-specialist divers complete a questionnaire after diving, with the assistance of trained professional divers, in which they report the observation of plants, invertebrates and

*Corresponding author: Dr. Natalia Pirani Ghilardi-Lopes, Center for Natural and Human Sciences, Federal University of ABC, Artcturus Street, 03, CEP 09606-070, Garden Antares, São Bernardo do Campo, Sao Paulo, Brazil, Tel: +55 11 23206310, E-mail: natalia.lobes@ufabc.edu.br

Received May 05, 2015; Accepted June 05, 2015; Published June 12, 2015

Citation: Ghilardi-Lopes NP (2015) Citizen Science Combined with Environmental Education can be a Powerful Tool for Coastal-Marine Management. J Coast Zone Manag 18: 407. doi: [10.4172/2473-3350.1000407](https://doi.org/10.4172/2473-3350.1000407)

Copyright: © 2015 Ghilardi-Lopes NP This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

fish, as well as garbage.

Studies show that under appropriate conditions of recruitment and training, data collected by volunteers can be qualitatively equivalent to those collected by professional researchers and, therefore, useful for management [27-29,35,39]. For example, on the issue of global climate change, a study has been conducted using data obtained by volunteer divers, which allowed the identification of species that will likely present changes in their distribution limits in direct response to future global warming trends [40].

There are also huge educational and social gains of the involvement of citizen volunteers in scientific projects. Participation in citizen science projects provides a forum in which the participants are engaged in thought processes similar to those occurring in scientific research, and increase their knowledge of ecology and environmental issues [41].

The “self-education” of data collectors, the creation of a conservation force for transformation and the pride that citizen scientists present by helping in the advance of scientific knowledge and environmental protection are also recognized benefits [28].

Finally, the data collected by citizen scientists can allow scientists, managers, and policymakers to identify threats to and conservation priorities for MPAs [42].

In this context, we can think of a possible model for citizen science combined with environmental education in MPAs following the next steps:

- 1) The definition of the scientific questions that is of interest of scientists and MPAs’ managers;
- 2) Implantation of marine interpretative trails with an specific point of participative monitoring and collection of data;
- 3) The definition of basic protocols to be applied by citizen scientists;
- 4) Recruiting and training of citizen scientists;
- 5) Evaluation of citizen scientist’s affective and knowledge gaining;
- 6) Evaluation of the accuracy of the collected data.

References

1. Burak S, Dogan E, Gazioglu C (2004) Impact of urbanization and tourism on coastal environment. *Ocean & Coastal Management* 47: 515-527.
2. Hall CM (2001) Trends in ocean and coastal tourism: the end of the last frontier? *Ocean & Coastal Management* 44: 601-618.
3. Silva JN, Ghilardi-Lopes NP (2012) Indicators of the impacts of tourism on hard-bottom benthic communities of Ilha do Cardoso State Park (Cananéia) and Sonho Beach (Itanhaém), two southern coastal areas of São Paulo State (Brazil). *Ocean & Coastal Management* 58: 1-8
4. Davenport J, Davenport JL (2006) The impact of tourism and personal leisure transport on coastal environments: A review. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 67: 280-292.
5. Hawkins JP, Callum MR, Tom Van’t H, Kalli De M, Jamie T, et al. (1999) Effects of Recreational Scuba Diving on Caribbean Coral and Fish Communities. *Conservation Biology* 13: 888-897.
6. Hawkins JP, Roberts CM (1993) Effects of recreational scuba diving on coral reefs: trampling on reef-flat communities. *J of Applied Ecology* 30: 25-30.
7. Bally R, Griffiths CL (1989) Effects of human trampling on an exposed rocky shore. *International Journal Environmental Studies* 34: 115-125.
8. Brosnan DM, Crumrine LL (1994) Effects of Human Trampling on Marine Rocky Shore Communities. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 177: 79-97.
9. Schiel DR, Taylor DI (1999) Effects of trampling on a rocky intertidal algal assemblage in southern New Zealand. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 235: 213-235.
10. Smith JR, Fong P, Ambrose RF (2008) The Impacts of Human Visitation on Mussel Bed Communities Along the California Coast: Are Regulatory Marine Reserves Effective in Protecting These Communities? *Environmental Management* 41: 599-612.
11. Murray SN, Denis TG, Kido JS, Smith JR (1999) Human visitation and the frequency and potential effects of collecting on rocky intertidal populations in southern California marine reserves. *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigation Reports* 40: 100-106.
12. Townsend C (2000) The Effects of Environmental Education on the Behaviour of SCUBA Divers: A Case Study from British Virgin Island, University of Greenwich.
13. Walters RDM, Samways MJ (2001) Sustainable dive ecotourism on a South African coral reef. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 10: 2167-2179.
14. Salm RV (1985) Integrating marine conservation and tourism. *International Journal of Environmental Studies* 25: 229-238.
15. Medio D, Ormond RFG, Pearson M (1997) Effect of briefings on rates of damage to corals by scuba divers. *Biological Conservation* 79: 91-95.
16. Barker NHL, Roberts CM (2004) Scuba diver behaviour and the management of diving impacts on coral reefs. *Biological Conservation* 120: 481-489.
17. Pedrini AG, Christiana C, Vitor Guimarães S, Felipe Sarquis M, Tainá N, et al. (2008) Gestão de Áreas Protegidas e efeitos da visitação ecoturística pelo mergulho com snorkel: o caso do Parque Estadual da Ilha Anchieta (PEIA), Estado de São Paulo. *Revista Eletrônica do Mestrado em Educação Ambiental (REMEA)* 20: 1-20.
18. Luna B, Pérez CV, Sánchez-Lizaso JL (2009) Benthic impacts of recreational divers in a Mediterranean Marine Protected Area. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 66: 517-523.
19. Worachanananta S, Carter RB, Hockings M, Reopanichkul P (2008) Managing the Impacts of SCUBA Divers on Thailand’s Coral Reefs. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 16: 645-663.
20. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) (2009) Sustainable Coastal Tourism: An integrated planning and management approach.
21. Plathong K, Inglis J, Huber ME (2000) Effects of Self-Guided Snorkeling Trails on Corals in a Tropical Marine Park. *Conservation Biol* 14: 1821-1830.
22. Ríos-Jara E, Galván-Villa CM, Rodríguez-Zaragoza FA, López-Uriarte E, Muñoz-Fernández VT (2013) The Tourism Carrying Capacity of Underwater Trails in Isabel Island National Park, Mexico. *Environmental Management* 52: 335-347.
23. Baude JL, Blouet S, Grandrive RDdl, Jourdan É, Pianté C (2012) Guide méthodologique et technique des sentiers sous-marins, 80.
24. Berchez F, Natália G, Maria de Jesus R, Gusmão Pedrini A, Flora Hadel V, et al. (2007) Projeto Trilha Subaquática: sugestão de diretrizes para a criação de modelos de educação ambiental em unidades de conservação ligadas a ecossistemas marinhos. *OLAM Ciência & Tecnologia* 7: 181-209.
25. Wegner E, Tonioli FC, Cabral DQ (2006) Underwater Trails: a New Possibility of Marine Tourism. *Journal of Coastal Research* 39: 990-993.
26. Salm RV, Clark J, Siirila E (2000) Marine and Coastal Protected Areas: A guide for planners and managers 371.
27. Darwall WRT, Dulvy NK (1996) An evaluation of the suitability of non-specialist volunteer researchers for coral reef fish surveys. Mafia Island, Tanzania: a case study. *Biological Conservation* 78: 223-231.
28. Goffredo S, Pensa F, Neri P, Orlandi A, Gagliardi MS, et al. (2010) Unite research with what citizens do for fun: “recreational monitoring” of marine biodiversity. *Ecological Applications* 20: 2170-2187.
29. Foster-Smith J, Evans SM (2003) The value of marine ecological data collected by volunteers. *Biological Conservation* 113: 199-213.
30. Delaney DG, Sperling CD, Adams CS, Leung B (2008) Marine invasive species: validation of citizen science and implications for national monitoring networks.

- Biological Invasions 10: 117-128.
31. Evans C, Abrams E, Reitsma R, Roux K, Salmonsens L, et al. (2005) The Neighborhood Nestwatch Program: Participant Outcomes of a Citizen-Science Ecological Research Project. *Conservation Biology* 19: 589-594.
 32. Bonney R, Cooper CB, Dickinson J, Kelling S, Phillips T, et al. (2009) Citizen Science: A Developing Tool for Expanding Science Knowledge and Scientific Literacy. *BioScience* 59: 977-984.
 33. Greenwood JJD (2007) Citizens, science and bird conservation. *Journal of Ornithology* 148: 77-124.
 34. Mumby PJ, Harborne AR, Raines PS, Ridley JM (1995) A critical assessment of data derived from Coral Cay conservation volunteers. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 56: 737-751.
 35. Pattengill-Semmens CV, Semmens BX (2003) Conservation and management applications of the reef volunteer fish monitoring program. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 81: 43-50.
 36. Hodgson G (1999) A Global Assessment of Human Effects on Coral Reefs. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 38: 345-355.
 37. Marshall NJ, Kleine DA, Dean AJ (2012) CoralWatch: education, monitoring, and sustainability through citizen science. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 10: 332-334.
 38. Branchini S, Francesco P, Patrizia N, Bianca Maria T, Lisa M, et al. (2015) Using a citizen science program to monitor coral reef biodiversity through space and time. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 24: 319-336.
 39. Holt BG, Rioja-Nieto R, MacNeil MA, Lupton J, Rahbek C (2013) Comparing diversity data collected using a protocol designed for volunteers with results from a professional alternative. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4: 383-392.
 40. Bull JC, Mason S, Wood C, Price ARG (2013) Benthic marine biodiversity patterns across the United Kingdom and Ireland determined from recreational diver observations: A baseline for possible species range shifts induced by climate change. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management* 16: 20-30.
 41. Brossard D, Lewenstein B, Bonney R (2005) Scientific knowledge and attitude change: The impact of a citizen science project. *International Journal of Science Education* 27: 1099-1121.
 42. Bois ST, Silander JA, Mehrhoff LJ (2011) Invasive Plant Atlas of New England: The Role of Citizens in the Science of Invasive Alien Species Detection. *Bioscience* 6: 763-770.