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Abstract

Carbapenem resistance is one of the major threats faced in antimicrobial treatment of infections caused by Gram negative organisms. In India and throughout the world the use of carbapenems has increased resulting in an increased resistance of pathogens to this class of antibiotics. Bacteria are capable enough to become resistant to antibiotics by a number of mechanisms both intrinsic and acquired, most common of which include enzymatic degradation of antibiotics [2]. Carbapenemases are diverse enzymes that vary in their ability to hydrolyze carbapenemases and other beta-lactams. Detection of carbapenemase is a crucial infection control issue because they are often associated with extensive antibiotic resistance, treatment failures and infection-associated mortality. For the identification of carbapenemase producers different nonmolecular methods are used such as E-test (Epsilometer Test), Modified hodge test, MIC by Agar Dilution Method, Carba NP Test, EDTA disk synergy test, Boronic Acid Test, 2-mercaptopropionic acid inhibition (2-MPA) test. Molecular techniques remain the gold standard for the precise identification of carbapenemase genes. Most of these techniques are based on PCR and may be followed by a sequencing step if a precise identification of the carbapenemase gene is needed. This review article describes clinical importance and methods used for the identification of carbapenemase producers.
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Introduction

Carbapenems (Imipenem, Meropenem, Ertapenem and Doripenem) have traditionally been reserved for therapy of suspected or confirmed infections with multi-drug resistant Gram negative bacilli, including extended spectrum β-Lactamase (ESBL) producing Enterobacteriaceae. In India and throughout the world the use of carbapenems has increased resulting in an increased resistance of pathogens to this class of antibiotics [1]. Bacteria are capable enough to become resistant to antibiotics by a number of mechanisms both intrinsic and acquired, most common of which include enzymatic degradation of antibiotics [2]. Carbapenemases are diverse enzymes that vary in their ability to hydrolyze carbapenemases and other beta-lactams. Detection of carbapenemase is a crucial infection control issue because they are often associated with extensive antibiotic resistance, treatment failures and infection-associated mortality. Among the beta-lactamases, the carbapenemases, especially transferrable metallo-beta-lactamases (MBLs) are the most feared because of their ability to hydrolyze virtually all drugs in that class, including the carbapenems.

The major concern is with transmissible carbapenemases. The transmissible enzymes can be acquired unpredictably by important nosocomial pathogens such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumannii and members of the family Enterobacteriaceae. The chromosomal enzymes occur predictably in less common pathogens such as Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Acinetobacter species, Chr- yseobacterium species and others [3]. The carbapenemase producing Gram negative bacteria spread easily between humans and acquire genetic material through horizontal gene transfer, mediated mostly by plasmids and by transposons [4]. Increasing resistance to carbapenems is now frequently being observed in many hospital acquired and several community-acquired infections [5].

Clinical Importance

The current worldwide emergence of carbapenem resistant Gram-negative bacteria constitutes an important growing public health threat. Although the presence of carbapenem resistant Gram-negative bacteria do not lead to the development of specific types of clinical infections, their importance to the clinician and the microbiologist are many. Most patients who are colonized with these organisms remain asymptomatic but they can serve as potential sources of infections following translocation across the intestinal lining or as a consequence of fecal contamination of wounds or devices [6]. To the microbiologist, presence of carbapenem resistant Gram-negative bacteria is important due to epidemiological concerns and concerns of resistance transfer in hospital and community settings. The presence of resistant bacteria in human intestines not only leads to sharing of resistance genes among themselves but can also acquire from or donate resistance genes to bacteria that are just passing through the intestine [7]. Carbapenemase producers are also multidrug resistant explaining the difficulty to treat infections. The heightened concern of carbapenem resistant Gram-negative bacteria is due to the understanding of the mechanisms of its spread and their implications in hospital and community based infections. Carbapenem resistant Gram-negative bacteria usually spreads in the hospital settings to other patients and caregivers or relatives by unwashed hands or from contact with soiled equipment and surfaces such as bedrails, tables, chairs, countertops and door handles. These carriers are the ultimate sources of dissemination in the community [8]. The outbreak of carbapenem resistant Gram-negative...
bacteria in the hospital or the community, health care associated infections will not only become difficult to treat but will also escalate health care costs. Also community acquired infections previously treated easily such as pyelonephritis, appendicitis may become difficult to treat [8]. Prevention of spread of carbapenemase producers relies on early detection of carriers. CDC has recommended certain core measures for all acute and long-term care facilities with risk of carbapenem resistant Gram-negative bacteria transmission in hospital setting. Although general measures like hand hygiene, contact precautions, education of caregivers, isolation of known carbapenem resistant Gram-negative bacteria carriers and epidemiologic screening are vital to prevent spread of bacteria and active surveillance for the prevalence of carriage and transmission in the hospital setting is also an integral part of such a program [8].

**Carbapenemase Producing Gram Negative Bacteria in Correlation with Community**

Gram negative bacteria are normal intestinal flora and are important cause of most common clinical infections such as urinary tract infection, septicemia, pneumonia, meningitis, peritonitis, and device associated infections. They are often involved in community-acquired infections and are frequently observed to be multi-drug resistant. They have the propensity to spread easily between humans (hand carriage, contaminated food and water) and to acquire genetic material through horizontal gene transfer, mediated mostly by plasmids and transposons [9]. Enterobacteriaceae are common cause of community infections, raising the risk to spread of Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) into the community. These problems, combined with the limited treatment options have made CRE of public health important [10]. Since 2000, spread of community-acquired enterobacterial isolates (Escherichia coli) that produce extended-spectrum $\beta$-lactamases (ESBLs) capable of hydrolyzing almost all cephalosporins except carbapenems has been reported worldwide [11]. The example of the spread of ESBL producers in the community within the past 10 years shows us that a high rate of carbapenemase producers in E. coli may be reached rapidly worldwide. Such community-based outbreaks will be difficult to control. Hand carriage is probably the biggest factor in transmission of extended spectrum $\beta$-lactamase producers, and there is little evidence to suggest that carriers of carbapenemase-producing K. pneumoniae would be different. Environmental contamination plays a limited role in transmission of the organism [12]. Caregivers should be aware that multidrug-resistant organisms of nosocomial origin can be transmitted in the community [13]. Modulation of the factors that enhance spread of carbapenemase producers in the community is difficult because these factors are multiple and are associated with lack of hygiene, overuse and over-the-counter use of antibacterial drugs, and increased worldwide travel. In addition, many carbapenemase producers carry unrelated drug-resistance determinants. So there is a need of rapid detection of Carbapenemase producing Gram negative bacteria in carriers to stop the risk of its spread.

**Detection of Carbapenemase Production in Gram-negative Bacteria by Different Methods**

**Non-molecular methods**

- **E test (Epsilometer Test):** The E test is basically an agar diffusion method. The E test system comprises a predefined and continuous concentration gradient of different antimicrobial agents, which when applied to inoculated agar plates and incubated, create ellipses of microbial inhibition [14]. The E test utilizes a rectangular strip that has been impregnated with the drug to be studied. A lawn of bacteria is spread and grown on an agar plate and the E test strip is laid on top, the drug diffuses out into the agar, producing an exponential gradient of the drug to be tested. There is an exponential scale printed on the strip. After 24 hrs of incubation, an elliptical zone of inhibition produced and the point at which the ellipse meets the strip is considered a reading for minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the drug [15] (Figure 1).

**MIC by agar dilution method:** Agar dilution method used to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration of antimicrobial substances. Minimum inhibitory concentration is the lowest concentration of an antimicrobial that will inhibit the visible growth of a microorganism after overnight incubation. Minimum inhibitory concentrations are important in diagnostic laboratories to confirm resistance of microorganisms to an antimicrobial agent and also to monitor the activity of new antimicrobial agents [14]. A minimum inhibitory concentration is generally regarded as the most basic laboratory measurement of the activity of an antimicrobial agent against an organism [16]. Clinically, the minimum inhibitory concentrations are used not only to determine the amount of antibiotic that the patient will receive but also the type of antibiotic used, which in turn lowers the opportunity for microbial resistance to specific antimicrobial agents. Applying MIC testing to a number of bacterial strains in the same species provides an estimate of the concentration that inhibits 50% (MIC) and 90% (MIC) of bacterial isolates and can indicate shifts in the susceptibility of bacterial populations to antibiotics [17]. In agar dilution method a varying concentrations of the antimicrobial agent are incorporated in series of agar plates onto which a standardized suspension of the test organism is inoculated. The drug dilution should be 10 times the required concentration. Mix 2 mL of each dilution with 18 ml of molten agar at 50°C per plate. After incubation, the lowest concentration of the agent which shows no growth of test organism is the MIC.

**Modified Hodge Test (MHT):** Carbapenemase production is detected by MHT when the test isolate produces the enzyme and allows growth of a carbapenem susceptible strain (E. coli ATCC 25922) towards a carbapenem disk using Imipenem/Meropenem/Ertapenem.
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**EDTA disk synergy test:** EDTA disk synergy test is done with simultaneous testing of β-lactams (imipenem), for detection of metallo-β-lactamases in the imipenem and meropenem resistant isolates [18]. A 0.5 M EDTA solution is prepared by dissolving 186.1 g of disodium EDTA in 1000 ml of distilled water. The pH is adjusted to 8.0 by using NaOH and is sterilized by autoclaving [21]. An overnight liquid culture of the test isolate is adjusted to a turbidity of 0.5 McFarland standard and spread on the surface of a Mueller Hinton Agar plate. A 10 μg imipenem disk (H1 – MEDIA) is placed on the agar. A blank disk (6 mm in diameter) is then kept on the inner surface of the lid of the Mueller Hinton Agar plate and 10 μl of 0.5 M EDTA is added to it. This EDTA disk is then transferred to the surface of the agar and is kept 10 mm edge-to-edge apart from the imipenem disk. After incubating overnight at 37°C, the presence of an expanded growth inhibition zone between the two disks will be interpreted as positive for MBL production. On the same plate about 20 mm away 2 Imipenem discs is placed about 20 mm apart. On one of the disc 10 μL EDTA solution is added. If the zone enhancement of 5 mm is observed on the disc with imipenem and EDTA compared to the disc with imipenem alone it implies metallo-beta-lactamase production [21].

**2-mercaptopyrrolic acid inhibition (2-MPA) test:** For this test make 0.5 McFarland of standard suspension of test strain in normal saline. After that with the help of sterile swab stick, test strain is streaked as a lawn on the Mueller Hinton Agar plates. Than Two disk of ceftazidime (30 μg), two of 10 μg imipenem, two of 30 μg cefepime are streaked as a lawn on the Mueller Hinton Agar plates. Than Two disk of ceftazidime (30 μg), two of 10 μg imipenem, two of 30 μg cefepime are placed at the distance of 50 mm. 3 μl of 2-mercaptopyrrolic acid is put onto the each disk respectively. Plates are incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. The diameter of the growth-inhibitory zone around a beta-lactam disk with 2-mercaptopyrrolic acid is compared with that around the corresponding beta-lactam disk without 2-mercaptopyrrolic acid. The test is considered positive for the detection of MBL (metallo-beta-lactamase) enzyme production when the diameter of the growth-inhibitory zone around a beta-lactam disk with 2-mercaptopyrrolic acid is ≥5 mm larger than that around a disk containing the beta-lactam substrate alone [22].

**Boronic acid test for Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemases:** For the preparation of boronic acid the stock solution is prepared by adding 20 μg of phenyl boronic acid in 1 ml of dimethyl sulfoxide. For working solution 20 μl of the boronic acid is used (containing 400 μg of boronic acid). Two Control strains are used K. pneumoniae BAA 1705 (positive control), K. pneumoniae BAA 1706 (negative control). Make 0.5 McFarland suspension of test strain in normal saline. With the help of sterile swab stick, test strain is streaked as a lawn on the Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) plates. The tests is performed by inoculating MHA by the standard diffusion method and placing disks containing eight different beta-lactams (imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem, ceftazidime, cefotaxin, cefoxitin, cefotetan, cephalaxine and ceftazidime) with or without boronic acid onto the agar. The agar plates are incubated at 37°C overnight. The diameter of the growth-inhibitory zone around a beta-lactam disk with boronic acid is compared with that around the corresponding beta-lactam disk without boronic acid. The test is considered positive for the detection of KPC enzyme production when the diameter of the growth-inhibitory zone around a beta-lactam disk with boronic acid is ≥2.5 mm larger than that around a dish containing the beta-lactam substrate alone [23] (Figure 3).

**Screening method for carbapenemase production on primary culture plate:** At the time of primary culture of specimen on Mac Conkey agar plate a 10 μg disc of imipenem is placed on the primary...
streaks. After 24 hours of incubation when the growth is observed there is no growth within the zone of inhibition if the organism is a non carbapenemase producer. If any colony is observed within this zone of inhibition the clinician can be alerted towards a possible carbapenemase producing isolate from the patient specimen. This is help in more aggressive treatment of the patient especially in ICU as the carbapenemase producing isolate from the patient specimen. This is zone of inhibition the clinician can be alerted towards a possible non carbapenemase producer. If any colony is observed within this zone the growth is observed there is no growth within the zone of inhibition if the organism is a non carbapenemase producer. If any colony is observed within this zone of inhibition the clinician can be alerted towards a possible carbapenemase producing isolate from the patient specimen. This is help in more aggressive treatment of the patient especially in ICU as the alert turn-around time is shortened from 48 to 24 hours [24].

UV (Ultra Voilet) spectrophotometer method: Detection of carbapenemase activity can be done using a UV spectrophotometer, which is available in many microbiology laboratories. It is based on several steps, including: (i) an 18 h culture (which can be shortened in some cases to 8 h); (ii) a protein extraction step; and (iii) measurement of imipenem hydrolysis using a UV spectrophotometer [25]. This spectrophotometry-based technique has 100% sensitivity and 98.5% specificity for detecting any kind of carbapenemase activity [25]. This cheap technique can accurately differentiate carbapenemase producers from non-carbapenemase producers among carbapenem-non-susceptible isolates [outer membrane permeability defect, overproduction of cephalosporinases or/and extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs)]. It can be implemented in any reference laboratory, but this technique still requires time. Recently, the use of mass spectrometry for detection of carbapenemase activity has been proposed, based on the analysis of the degradation of a carbapenem molecule [26]. Although this technique has to be further evaluated, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF) equipment is increasingly used in the diagnostic bacteriology laboratory.

Molecular tests for carbapenemase genes identification: Molecular techniques remain the gold standard for the precise identification of carbapenemase genes [27]. Most of these techniques are based on PCR and may be followed by a sequencing step if a precise identification of the carbapenemase gene is needed (e.g. VIM type, KPC type, NDM type or OXA-48 type) [28]. They are either single or multiplex PCR techniques. A PCR technique performed directly on colonies can give results within 4-6 hrs (or less when using real-time PCR technology) with excellent sensitivity and specificity. Similarly, other molecular techniques are useful for this purpose [29]. The main disadvantages of the molecular-based technologies are their cost, the requirement for trained microbiologists and the inability to detect novel unidentified genes. Sequencing of the genes is interesting mostly for research and epidemiological purposes.

Conclusion

Carbapenem resistance in Gram-negative organisms is increasingly encountered in healthcare-associated infections in India. Facing the global crisis in antibiotic resistance, presented by rapid dissemination of carbapenemase producing Gram-negative bacteria, many issues remain controversial, especially detection methods and treatment options. However, active surveillance, hand hygiene, contact precautions, and appropriate antibiotic usage are part of effective approach in reducing incidence of colonization and infections caused by these life treating microorganisms. Nonmolecular tests for detection of carbapenemases have variable results for Modified Hodge Test, EDTA disk synergy test, MIC by Agar Dilution Test and MIC by E-test. Out of these four tests the Modified Hodge Test often lacks specificity (false positive results for high-level AmpC producers) and sensitivity (weak detection of NDM producers). But this test works well for detection of KPC and OXA-48 producers. The spectrophotometry-based technique has 100% sensitivity and 98.5% specificity for detecting any kind of carbapenemase activity. This cheap technique can accurately differentiate carbapenemase producers from non-carbapenemase producers. Although PCR-based methods have been proven to be highly sensitive and reliable for rapid diagnosis of carbapenemase producers but these methods are costly and require expertise that is not readily available in many centers.
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