Clubfoot since Ancient Time Up To Now
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**Introduction**

Idiopathic clubfoot or in other words congenital talipes equinovarus which dating too early as a pathology is a birth pathology [1,2]. Is a common deformity but very complex with four components: cavus, forefoot adductus heel varus and equinus. Some authors and researchers add another component which is atrophy of calf muscle associated with foot size and tibia length [3-5]. This bone deformity can affect one foot but also two feet in the same person and inhibits him to walk normally (Figure 1) [6].

Medical texts, but also many studies show that this pathology affects approximately 1 in every 1,000 children worldwide and the most affected gender are male [7-9]. The etiology of clubfoot is almost unknown although it’s divided into two major groups: genetic and environmental factors [10].

Genetic factors that contribute in this congenital pathology are unknown. And exactly this dysregulation of genes that affect extremities and muscles development can contribute to clubfoot. While in environmental factors we can mention: oligohydramnios (is a condition in pregnancy characterized by a deficiency of amniotic fluid), incorrect position of the foetus in the womb, placental insufficiency, high temperature, toxins etc. [11-13].

Clubfoot as a pathology can vary from middle to an extreme case to treat. But these two big classifications systems which are based at Dimeglio & Pirani scores are related to the clubfoot severity [14-16].

**Some Historical Facts**

Congenital talipes equinovarus is a pathology which is known since in ancient time. This deformity we find parts in paintings of Egyptians tomb. Meanwhile, the first treatment of this deformity dates approximately 1000 B.C in India. Then, Hippocrates approximately 400 B.C described and begins to treat clubfoot this birth deformity [17]. He threw ideas, how to treat clubfoot which are almost similar like conservative treatment nowadays.

Hippocrates explained the importance of starting treatment as soon as possible after birth but using gentle and repeated manipulations with hands and then application of bandages to maintain the correct position. Then in the end of the treatment the use of special shoes was important to prevent recurrent deformity.

In 1658 was Arcanys, who described his technique and also two mechanical devices in treating and correcting the deformity of foot. After the 18th century, in 1803 Scarpa presented his ideas, which were opposed to the ideas of Hippocrates and other authors until that time [18]. He developed another technique. A forceful manipulation and a mechanical device known as Scarpa’s shoe. Anyway he never succeeded.

In 1823, Delpech presented another way to treat patient with clubfoot. This new way was tenotomy, which means the cut of the Achilles tendon in those patients. As a surgical procedure it had its complications like infections. But anyway this technique was improved over time [19-22].

**Pathology Treatment**

After 1830s many physicians gave a successful contribution to the etiology and treatment of clubfoot, such as: Hugh Owen Thomas, Sir Robert Jones, Denis Browne, Michael Hoke, Kite, Ponseti, Huson etc.

Three main methods of conservative treatments described in literature are: Kite method (described in 1939), Ponseti Method (developed since 1950) and the French Method. In our Country when it was established the treatment of this pathology by Prof Dr. Panajot Boga was used gradual gentle manipulation and correction according to Kite method. This anomaly consists of distortion of the foot with four components: forefoot adduction and supination and heel varus and equinus. Often the cavus element can be added.

The difference between the Kite and Ponseti method is the point of counter pressure. In the Kite method the point of counter pressure is the calcaneocuboid joint and in the Ponseti Method is the talon talar neck. Also another difference is that in the Ponseti method the first immobilization of the foot is in supination and the Achilles tenotomy instead of Kite method which immobilizes the foot in pronation [23].

In the last 10 years we are using Ponseti technique (since in 2005) which is more effective than Kite method [24-28]. Conservative treatment consist in the gradual manual manipulation with cast by the
When treatment ends, family members were taught about foot stretching exercises and keeping the foot abduction brace. During the first 3 months after the cast treatment has end, the brace should take off only 1 hour during the day. After 3 months these braces should be wearing only in bedtime until the age 4 years old.

**Conclusion**

Clubfoot is a congenital deformity of the foot that can be treated in the most cases with non-surgical method. Genetic and environmental factors are most accused factors in the cause of this deformity. The evidences show that development of bone, joint, connective tissue, innervation, vasculature and muscle may each be implicated in the pathophysiology [29].

It is easy to diagnose this pathology because of prenatal ultrasonography when the child is intrauterine and clinic evaluation after birth. Both female and male patients at the age of 60 years or more experience decreased foot and ankle function, the outcome being worse for female patients [30]. The results of treatment vary, depending to early presentation for treatment, severity of the pathology and physician’s point of view about clubfoot [31], but in general, clubfoot is a congenital pathology which in the most cases the foot return to normality because of the improvement of the treatment techniques.
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