

Combined Heat and Power Cogeneration from Bioethanol and Fuel Cells: A Brief Overview on Demonstrative Units and Process Design

Ilenia Rossetti*

Department of Chemistry, University of Milano, INSTM Unit Milano-University and CNR-ISTM, via Golgi 19, 20133 Milano, Italy

Keywords: Bio-ethanol steam reforming; Process simulation; H₂ production; Fuel cells

Different strategies have been proposed for the co-generation of heat and power (CHP) from renewable raw materials. Bioethanol was proposed by many authors as a promising biomass derived compound, being easy to handle, non toxic, with sufficiently high power density [1-3]. Innovative routes for its production as second generation biofuel are becoming available, leading to environmentally, ethically and economically sustainable bioethanol. The economical plan proposed by Biochemtex, for instance, is based on 0.3 euro/L for the production of lignocellulosic anhydrous bioethanol [4] and a brief overview of the bioethanol production costs has been very recently proposed [5]. Thus, bioethanol can be effectively suggested as a feedstock for fuel cell based systems.

Ethanol can be used as substrate for steam reforming and the reformat can feed various types of fuel cells. For instance, Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs) are currently under study both for stationary and automotive power generation, the latter application with very short commercialisation perspective, according to the latest news [6].

A 250 W system based on autothermal reformer and a fuel cell stack has been studied [7]. A minimum amount of process controls and little internal heat integration kept the system architecture simple, as required for portable applications, at difference with bigger power systems, where heat integration represents the core for the sustainability of the process [8,9]. Indeed, for stationary applications the increase of efficiency is seen as a predominant factor with respect to simplification.

Typically, CHP units based on fuel cells fed with bioethanol should consist of a fuel reforming system (e.g., a steam reformer), followed by a hydrogen purification section, which should be more or less sophisticated depending on the types of fuel cells in use. The main issue is represented by the tolerance of the fuel cells catalysts to the presence of CO. Normally the catalysts are more tolerant at higher working temperature. Thus for instance low temperature Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFCs) operating at ca. 80°C are poorly tolerant to CO, with a maximum allowed value around 20 ppm. A new generation of membranes has been more recently developed, allowing operation at 160-170°C (High Temperature, HT-PEMFC) and thus increasing very much the tolerance to CO (ca. 0.5-1 vol%). Fuel cells intrinsically operating at higher temperature, such as SOFCs can stand reformat compositions almost without any CO concentration adjustment, with consequent simplification of the process layout and ultimately a decrease of cost.

Different power systems system have been proposed, with reformat purification from CO based on preferential oxidation and attention to the control logic and heat integration [10-12]. The technical feasibility of using existing steam reforming and hydrogen separation technologies to produce hydrogen from bioethanol at industrial level (100,000 Nm³/h) has been also explored [13]. The oxidative reforming of ethanol [14] and n-hexadecane [15] has been investigated in microreactors to feed micro-fuel cell systems and computational fluid dynamics simulation of ethanol steam reforming in catalytic wall

microchannels has been performed on a Co₃O₄-ZnO catalyst [16]. The application of membrane reactors was additionally proposed for CHP, in order to improve the hydrogen purification section [17-19].

A CHP system fed with bioethanol should consist of a fuel processor including an ethanol steam reforming catalyst. Various materials have been proposed recently as active for the present reaction. Two keypoints should be kept in mind. i) The highest hydrogen productivity at the lowest possible temperature: the reaction is endothermic, but much less energy demanding than rival processes, such as the steam reforming of methane. Therefore, materials can be designed to operate as low as 400-500°C with full substrate conversion. ii) Enhanced stability towards coking is compulsory when operating at relatively low temperature where possible carbon gasification reactions are not effective. Some examples can be found in the literature [20-26].

To improve the energy efficiency of the systems heat should be efficiently supplied by burning part of the fuel. Efficient reactor configurations are represented e.g., by multitubular reactors [26,27]. A possible alternative, especially required when diluted ethanol mixtures are used, is product split to use part of the reformat as fuel [9,28,29]. The most innovative designs provide a catalytic burner, the catalysts for the catalytic combustion of C₂H₅OH being coated on the outer surface of the reformer tubes, in very efficient thermal contact with the reforming catalyst which is coated on the internal skin of the same tubes [30].

Reformat purification from CO can be then accomplished by coupling in series different processes, such as Water Gas Shift (WGS) reactors in variable number, followed by a preferential oxidation (PROX) reactor, or, alternatively, a selective methanation (METH) reactor, needed when LT-PEMFCs are used. Alternatively, CO separation by Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA) is possible, but uneasy to handle for small applications.

Demonstrative systems have been proposed for CHP from bioethanol. The key for efficiency improvement should be a better thermal integration of the system, with the reformat production at the lowest possible temperature (taking the advantage of the relatively high reactivity of the substrate), keeping in mind catalyst resistance towards deactivation by coking. At the same time, an increase of the operating temperature of the fuel cell is envisaged. The latter would ensure a better tolerance to CO, with consequent lower need of hydrogen purification and a decrease of process complexity. The use of diluted bioethanol

*Corresponding author: Ilenia Rossetti, Department of Chemistry, University of Milano, INSTM Unit Milano-University and CNR-ISTM, via Golgi 19, 20133 Milano, Italy, E-mail: ilenia.rossetti@unimi.it

Received June 27, 2016; Accepted June 29, 2016; Published June 30, 2016

Citation: Rossetti I (2016) Combined Heat and Power Cogeneration from Bioethanol and Fuel Cells: A Brief Overview on Demonstrative Units and Process Design. Ind Chem 2: e104. doi: [10.4172/2469-9764.1000e104](https://doi.org/10.4172/2469-9764.1000e104)

Copyright: © 2016 Rossetti I. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

streams has been further proposed in order to cope with a much less expensive and energy intensive feedstock for CHP.

References

- Kirillov VA, Meshcheryakov VD, Sobyenin VA, Belyaev VD, Amosov YI, et al. (2008) Bioethanol as a promising fuel for fuel cell power plants. *Theoretical Foundations of Chemical Engineering* 42: 1-11.
- Alvarado FD, Gracia F (2010) Steam reforming of ethanol for hydrogen production: Thermodynamic analysis including different carbon deposits representation. *Chem Eng J* 165: 649.
- Ni M, Leung DY, Leung MKH (2007) A review on reforming bio-ethanol for hydrogen production. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 32: 3238.
- <http://betarenewables.neencloud.it/proesa/what-is>
- Rossetti I (2016) Economic Assessment of Biorefinery Processes: The Case of Bioethanol. *Ind Chem* 1: e101.
- Green Car Congress (2016) Energy, technologies, issues and policies for sustainable mobility.
- Aicher T, Full J, Schaadt A (2009) A portable fuel processor for hydrogen production from ethanol in a 250 W fuel cell system. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 34: 8006.
- Rossetti I, Compagnoni M, Torli M (2015) Process simulation and optimisation of H₂ production from ethanol steam reforming and its use in fuel cells. 1. Thermodynamic and kinetic analysis. *Chem Eng J* 281: 1024.
- Rossetti I, Compagnoni M, Torli M (2015) Process simulation and optimization of H₂ production from ethanol steam reforming and its use in fuel cells. 2. Process analysis and optimization. *Chem Eng J* 281: 1036.
- Biset S, Degliuomini LN, Basualdo M, Garcia VM, Serra M (2009) Analysis of the control structures for an integrated ethanol processor for proton exchange membrane fuel cell systems. *J Power Sources* 192: 107.
- Degliuomini LN, Zumoffen D, Basualdo M (2012) Plant-wide control design for fuel processor system with PEMFC. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 37: 14801.
- Degliuomini LN, Biset S, Luppi P, Basualdo MS (2012) A rigorous computational model for hydrogen production from bio-ethanol to feed a fuel cell stack. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 37: 3108.
- Oakley JH, Hoadley AFA (2010) Industrial scale steam reforming of bioethanol: A conceptual study. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 35: 8472.
- Hou T, Zhang S, Xu T, Cai W (2014) Hydrogen production from oxidative steam reforming of ethanol over Ir/CeO₂ catalysts in a micro-channel reactor. *Chem Eng J* 255: 149.
- Thormann J, Pfeifer P, Kunz U (2012) Dynamic performance of hexadecane steam reforming in a microstructured reactor. *Chem Eng J* 191: 410.
- Uriz I, Arzamendi G, Lopez E, Llorca J, Gandia LM (2011) Computational fluid dynamics simulation of ethanol steam reforming in catalytic wall microchannels. *Chem Eng J* 167: 603.
- Cheng YS, Pena MA, Yeung KL (2009) Hydrogen production from partial oxidation of methane in a membrane reactor. *J Taiwan Institute of Chem Eng* 40: 281.
- Yeung KL, Aravind R, Szegner J, Varma A (1996) Metal composite membranes: Synthesis, characterization and reaction studies. *Stud Surf Sci Catal* 101: 1349.
- Montane D, Bolshak E, Abello S (2011) Thermodynamic analysis of fuel processors based on catalytic-wall reactors and membrane systems for ethanol steam reforming. *Chem Eng J* 175: 519.
- Ramis G, Rossetti I, Finocchio E, Compagnoni M, Signoretto M, et al. (2016) Metal Dispersion and Interaction with the Supports in the Coke Production over Ethanol Steam Reforming Catalysts. In *Progress in Clean Energy: Analysis and Modeling*, Dincer I, Colpan CO, Kizilkan O, Ezan MA (eds.), Springer International Publishing Switzerland, p: 695.
- Rossetti I, Lasso J, Finocchio E, Ramis G, Nichele V, et al. (2014) TiO₂-supported catalysts for the steam reforming of ethanol. *Appl Catal A: General* 477: 42.
- Nichele V, Signoretto M, Pinna F, Ghedini E, Compagnoni M, et al. (2015) Bimetallic Ni-Cu Catalysts for the Low-Temperature Ethanol Steam Reforming: Importance of Metal-Support Interactions. *Catal Lett* 145: 549.
- Denis A, Grzegorzczak W, Gac W, Machocki A (2008) Steam reforming of ethanol over Ni/support catalysts for generation of hydrogen for fuel cell applications. *Catal Today* 137: 453.
- Trimm DL (1997) Coke formation and minimisation during steam reforming reactions. *Catal Today* 37: 233.
- Osorio-Vargas P, Flores-González NA, Navarro RM, Fierro JLG, Campos CH, et al. (2015) Improved stability of Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts by effect of promoters (La₂O₃, CeO₂) for ethanol steam-reforming reaction. *Catal Today* 259: 27.
- Rossetti I, Biffi C, Tantardini GF, Raimondi M, Vitto E, et al. (2012) 5 kW_e+5 kW_t reformer-PEMFC energy generator from bioethanol first data on the fuel processor from a demonstrative project. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 37: 8499.
- Rossetti I, Biffi C, Forni L, Tantardini GF, Fatta G, et al. (2010) Proceedings of the ASME. Eight International Fuel Cell Science, Engineering and Technology Conference, Fuel Cell, Brooklyn, New York, USA 2: 465-471.
- Giunta P, Mosquera C, Amadeo N, Laborde M (2007) Simulation of a hydrogen production and purification system for a PEM fuel-cell using bioethanol as raw material. *J Power Sources* 164: 336.
- Rossetti I, Lasso J, Compagnoni M, Guido GD, Pellegrini L (2015) H₂ Production from Bioethanol and its Use in Fuel-Cells. *Chem Eng Trans* 43: 229.
- Verykios E (2003) Process for the production of hydrogen and electrical energy from reforming of bio-ethanol. USA Patent No. 6,605,376.

Citation: Rossetti I (2016) Combined Heat and Power Cogeneration from Bioethanol and Fuel Cells: A Brief Overview on Demonstrative Units and Process Design. *Ind Chem* 2: e104. doi: [10.4172/2469-9764.1000e104](https://doi.org/10.4172/2469-9764.1000e104)

OMICS International: Publication Benefits & Features

Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

Special features:

- 700+ Open Access Journals
- 50,000+ editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at major indexing services
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submit>