

Commentary on Comparison of Coronary Artery Calcification Scores, National Cholesterol Education Program Guidelines for Coronary Heart Disease Risk Assessment, Treatment Paradigms in Individuals with Chronic Traumatic Spinal Injury

Jesse A Lieberman*

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Carolinas HealthCare System, USA

Abstract

This commentary considers the implications of the findings related to the effect of a study related to coronary heart disease (CHD) risk assessment in individuals with a chronic spinal cord injury (SCI). The findings from the study suggest that there is poor agreement in CHD risk assessment between the previously used guidelines, the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Guidelines, and coronary artery calcium scores (CACs). Since this publication, a new atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk estimator has been developed. This has not been used in any study with SCI participants. The study also showed that 18 (47.4%) of the participants had some element of CAC, indicating the presence of CHD. Many previous articles have discussed CHD risk factors and mortality in the SCI population. Despite this, there have been very few interventions to decrease the CHD risk in the chronic SCI population.

Introduction

This commentary considers the implications of the findings related to the effect of a study related to coronary heart disease (CHD) risk assessment in individuals with a chronic spinal cord injury (SCI) described by Lieberman et al. [1]. This was a cross-sectional study comparing the use of coronary artery calcium scores (CACs) and the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Guidelines for CHD risk assessment. The authors reported only an 18% Percent Agreement between the two risk assessment tools with a Kappa of -0.03. They also reported that 18 (47.4%) of their participants had a CACS>0, indicating that they had some level of CHD.

This article has been referenced 17 times, two of which were improperly referenced. Of the nine publications in English with SCI participants, eight were review articles. There were not any articles describing the new CHD risk estimator tool described below and there were not any articles further exploring CACS as a risk assessment tool in the SCI population. In addition to this, there were not any articles describing an intervention aimed to decrease the elevated CHD risk in the SCI population that referenced the Lieberman et al. [1] article.

Since the time of the publication by Lieberman et al. [1], the NCEP guidelines have been replaced. A new atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk assessment tool has been adopted by the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA) [2]. The NCEP guidelines utilized the Framingham Risk Score (FRS) [3]. However, the outcome was only CHD, and the population this was derived from was completely White, and. Therefore, the work groups who developed the ACC/AHA tool derived risk equations from community-based cohorts that included African-Americans are therefore were widely representative of the US population. They also focused on estimating an individual's first hard ASCVD event, defined as first occurrence of nonfatal myocardial infarction, CHD death or fatal or nonfatal stroke. This inclusion of stroke in the assessment of CVD risk is consistent with evidence from a statement from the AHA and the American Stroke Association [4]. This tool is referred to as the ASCVD Risk Estimator and again this risk estimator has not been used in the SCI population.

The ASCVD Risk Estimator has been used in the general population and compared to CACS. A retrospective study of 687 participants,

average age 53.5±7.7 years, demonstrated a Kappa of 0.23±0.029, indicating a low level of agreement. 65.6% of participants were placed into the same risk category, but 13.8% had significant disagreement in level of risk between the two risk assessment tools, defined as being in the highest risk group by one risk assessment and in the lowest in the other risk assessment [5]. The authors came to a similar conclusion that Lieberman et al. did which was that CACS may be beneficial in those with an intermediate ASCVD risk. Since the ASCVD Risk Estimator has replaced the NCEP Guidelines, a SCI study comparing the ASCVD Risk Estimator and CACS should be done in order to determine their applicability in the SCI population.

The Lieberman et al. article was published in 2011. It added to the literature supporting CVD, and specifically CHD, as significant diseases and potentially causes of mortality in the SCI population [6,7]. Following an acute SCI, there is a significant loss of skeletal muscle and an increase in fat mass below the level of injury [8-11]. There is also a decrease in sympathetic nervous system activity [12]. As a result of the decreased lean mass, decreased sympathetic nervous system activity, and decreased physical activity, persons with SCI have decreased energy expenditure compared to able-bodied individuals [13-15]. Subsequently, obesity, and particularly central adiposity, is common among persons with chronic SCI and is more prevalent than in able-bodied persons [16-22]. The sedentary lifestyle can also result in low levels of high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), an additional CHD risk factor [23,24].

Many other articles had previously documented CHD risk factors including diabetes mellitus [25,26], dyslipidemia [27,28], obesity [17,29]

*Corresponding author: Jesse A Lieberman, MD, MSPH, Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Carolinas Health Care System, USA, E-mail: Jesse.Lieberman@carolinashealthcare.org

Received May 18, 2017; Accepted June 06, 2017; Published June 13, 2017

Citation: Lieberman JA (2017) Commentary on Comparison of Coronary Artery Calcification Scores, National Cholesterol Education Program Guidelines for Coronary Heart Disease Risk Assessment, Treatment Paradigms in Individuals with Chronic Traumatic Spinal Injury. Int J Neurorehabilitation 4: 273. doi: 10.4172/2376-0281.1000273

Copyright: © 2017 Lieberman JA. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

and inflammation [30,31]. Yet, a literature search of clinical trials with the search terms (“spinal cord” or tetraplegia or paraplegia or quadriplegia) AND (cardiovascular disease or coronary heart disease or diabetes) only revealed two articles [32,33] with interventions aimed to improve cardiovascular health or improve body composition. A similar search with the same SCI terms along with exercise or nutrition resulted in 169 studies. However, only 10 of these were geared towards cardiovascular health or body composition changes. It will most likely take many more diet and lifestyle and possibly medication intervention studies aimed to reduce CHD risk factors, such as obesity, dyslipidemia and diabetes mellitus, in individuals with chronic SCI in order to develop mechanisms that can reduce the incidence of ASCVD in the SCI population.

References

1. Lieberman JA, Hammond FM, Barringer TA, Norton HJ, Goff DC, et al. (2011) Comparison of coronary artery calcification scores and National Cholesterol Education program guidelines for coronary heart disease risk assessment and treatment paradigms in individuals with chronic traumatic spinal cord injury. *J Spinal Cord Med* 34: 233-240.
2. Goff DC, Jr, Lloyd-Jones D M, Bennett G, Coady S, et al. (2014). 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: A report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association task force on practice guidelines. *Circulation* 129: S49-S73.
3. Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) (2002) Expert panel on detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel iii) final report. *Circulation* 106: 3143-3421.
4. Lackland DT, Elkind MS, D’Agostino R Sr, Dharmoon MS, Goff DC, et al. (2012) Inclusion of stroke in cardiovascular risk prediction instruments: A statement for healthcare professionals from the American heart association/American stroke association. *Stroke* 43: 1998-2027.
5. Isma’eel H, Min D, Al-Shaar L, Hachamovitch R, Halliburton S, et al. (2016) Assessing level of agreement for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk categorization between coronary artery calcium score and the American college of cardiology/American heart association cardiovascular prevention guidelines and the potential impact on treatment recommendations. *Am J Cardiol* 118: 1480-1485.
6. DeVivo MJ, Krause JS, Lammertse DP (1999) Recent trends in mortality and causes of death among persons with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 80: 1411-1419.
7. Garshick E, Kelley A, Cohen SA, Garrison A, Tun CG, et al. (2005) A prospective assessment of mortality in chronic spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 43: 408-416.
8. Modlesky CM, Bickel CS, Slade JM, Meyer RA, Cureton KJ, et al. (2004) Assessment of skeletal muscle mass in men with spinal cord injury using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and magnetic resonance imaging. *J Appl Physiol* 96: 561-565.
9. Jones LM, Goulding A, Gerrard DF (1998) DEXA: A practical and accurate tool to demonstrate total and regional bone loss, lean tissue loss and fat mass gain in paraplegia. *Spinal Cord* 36: 637-640.
10. Nuhlicek DN, Spurr GB, Barboriak JJ, Rooney CB, el Ghatit AZ, et al. (1988) Body composition of patients with spinal cord injury. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 42: 765-773.
11. Castro MJ, Apple DF, Jr, Staron RS, Campos GE, et al. (1999) Influence of complete spinal cord injury on skeletal muscle within 6 mo of injury. *J Appl Physiol* 86: 350-358.
12. Stjernberg L, Blumberg H, Wallin BG (1986) Sympathetic activity in man after spinal cord injury. Outflow to muscle below the lesion. *Brain*, 109 4: 695-715.
13. Monroe MB, Tataranni PA, Pratley R, Manore MM, Skinner JS, et al. (1998) Lower daily energy expenditure as measured by a respiratory chamber in subjects with spinal cord injury compared with control subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr* 68: 1223-1227.
14. Bauman WA, Spungen AM, Wang J, Pierson RN, Jr (2004) The relationship between energy expenditure and lean tissue in monozygotic twins discordant for spinal cord injury. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 41: 1-8.
15. Buchholz AC, Pencharz PB. (2004) Energy expenditure in chronic spinal cord injury. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 7: 635-639.
16. Maki KC, Briones ER, Langbein WE, Inman-Felton A, Nemchausky B, et al. (1995) Associations between serum lipids and indicators of adiposity in men with spinal cord injury. *Paraplegia* 33: 102-109.
17. Edwards LA, Bugaresti JM, Buchholz AC (2008) Visceral adipose tissue and the ratio of visceral to subcutaneous adipose tissue are greater in adults with than in those without spinal cord injury, despite matching waist circumferences. *Am J Clin Nutr* 87: 600-607.
18. Jones LM, Legge M, Goulding A (2003) Healthy body mass index values often underestimates body fat in men with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 84: 1068-1071.
19. Spungen AM, Wang J, Pierson RN, Jr, Bauman WA (2000) Soft tissue body composition differences in monozygotic twins discordant for spinal cord injury. *J Appl Physiol* 88: 1310-1315.
20. George CM, Wells CL, Dugan NL (1988) Validity of hydrodensitometry for determination of body composition in spinal injured subjects. *Hum Biol* 60: 771-780.
21. Spungen AM, Adkins RH, Stewart CA, Wang J, Pierson RN, et al. (2003) Factors influencing body composition in persons with spinal cord injury: A cross-sectional study. *J Appl Physiol* 95: 2398-2407.
22. Crane DA, Little JW, Burns SP (2011) Weight gain following spinal cord injury: A pilot study. *J Spinal Cord Med* 34: 227-232.
23. Brugnara L, Murillo S, Novials A, Rojo-Martinez G, Soriguer F, et al. (2016) Low physical activity and its association with diabetes and other cardiovascular risk factors: A nationwide, population-based study. *PLoS ONE* 11: 0160959.
24. Dancy C, Lohsoonthorn V, Williams MA (2008) Risk of dyslipidemia in relation to level of physical activity among Thai professional and office workers. *Southeast Asian. J Trop Med Public Health* 39: 932-941.
25. Yekutieli M, Brooks ME, Ohry A, Yarom J, Carel, R (1989) The prevalence of hypertension, ischaemic heart disease and diabetes in traumatic spinal cord injured patients and amputees. *Paraplegia* 27: 58-62.
26. LaVela SL, Weaver FM, Goldstein B, Chen K, Miskevics S, et al. (2006) Diabetes mellitus in individuals with spinal cord injury or disorder. *J Spinal Cord Med* 29: 387-395.
27. Bauman WA, Spungen AM, Zhong YG, Rothstein JL, Petry C, et al. (1992) Depressed serum high density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in veterans with spinal cord injury. *Paraplegia* 30: 697-703.
28. Krum H, Howes LG, Brown DJ, Ungar G, Moore P, et al. (1992) Risk factors for cardiovascular disease in chronic spinal cord injury patients. *Paraplegia* 30: 381-388.
29. Weaver FM, Collins EG, Kurichi J, Miskevics S, Smith B, et al. (2007) Prevalence of obesity and high blood pressure in veterans with spinal cord injuries and disorders: A retrospective review. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 86: 22-29.
30. Manns PJ, McCubbin JA, Williams DP (2005) Fitness, inflammation and the metabolic syndrome in men with paraplegia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 86: 1176-1181.
31. Gibson AE, Buchholz AC, Martin Ginis KA (2008) C-reactive protein in adults with chronic spinal cord injury: Increased chronic inflammation in tetraplegia vs. paraplegia. *Spinal Cord* 46: 616-621.
32. Brurok B, Helgerud J, Karlsten T, Leivseth G, Hoff J (2011) Effect of aerobic high-intensity hybrid training on stroke volume and peak oxygen consumption in men with spinal cord injury. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 90: 407-414.
33. Giangregorio L, Craven C, Richards K, Kapadia N, Hitzig SL, et al. (2012) A randomized trial of functional electrical stimulation for walking in incomplete spinal cord injury: Effects on body composition. *J Spinal Cord Med* 35: 351-360.

Citation: Lieberman JA (2017) Commentary on Comparison of Coronary Artery Calcification Scores, National Cholesterol Education Program Guidelines for Coronary Heart Disease Risk Assessment, Treatment Paradigms in Individuals with Chronic Traumatic Spinal Injury. *Int J Neurorehabilitation* 4: 273. doi: [10.4172/2376-0281.1000273](https://doi.org/10.4172/2376-0281.1000273)