Comparative Efficacy of Three Tomato-Associated Rhizobacteria used Singly or in Combination in Suppressing Rhizoctonia Root Rot and Enhancing Tomato Growth
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Abstract

Three indigenous tomato-associated rhizobacteria strains -*Bacillus subtilis* str. B2 KT921327, *B. thuringiensis* str. B10 KU158884 and *Enterobacter cloacae* str. B16 KT921429 - were tested singly and in combination as substrate drench for *Rhizoctonia* Root Rot suppression and plant growth promotion on two tomato cultivars during two cropping seasons. All bacteria-based treatments were found to be more effective in suppressing disease than the fungicide on both cultivars and in both cropping seasons. The disease-suppression and growth-promotion abilities of the treatments tested varied significantly depending on pathogen presence or absence, bacterial strains, tomato cultivars and cropping years. Overall, for all trials and cultivars combined, disease suppression potential, as compared to the untreated controls, ranged between 74.72 and 83.94% using three-strain mixture relative to 60.46-85.01% achieved using single strains. Height increment in disease free plants achieved with mixtures varied between 17.02 and 45.69% compared to 7.55 and 44.76% noted using single strains. Plants grown in *R. solani*- inoculated peat and challenged with three-strain mixture were 49.46 to 76.74% higher than controls whereas those grown in peat amended with single strains showed 42.28-83.58% height increase. Increment of aerial parts and root fresh weights on disease free plants were 42.31-78.09% and 45.03-91.21% for plants treated with mixture compared to 33.70-82.48% and 20.52-92.39% recorded using strains singly, respectively. On inoculated plants, these parameters were enhanced by 61.2-95.44% and 59.13-98.5% using mixed treatment and by 48.41-97.02% and by 51.5-99.05%, respectively, using single-train-based treatments. Analysis of the microbial populations revealed no differences between Single Strand Conformational Polymorphism (SSCP) profiles when neither the rhizobacteria-based treatment nor the pathogen inoculation was considered. The microbial communities differed only depending on cultivars grown.
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Introduction

*Rhizoctonia solani* Kühn is one of the most economically important soil borne fungi. This fungus is frequently recovered from soils all over the world and is considered as a serious plant pathogen able to infect various crops including vegetables [1]. This fungus does not generate asexual spores and is able to persist in the soil as hyphae and sclerotia [2]. This pathogen caused pre-emergence and post emergence damping-off, collar and roots rots and subsequent plant death leading to consistent loss of production under both greenhouse and open field conditions [3,4]. In Tunisia, this pathogen is prevalent in many vegetable-grown fields and is responsible for serious damping-off and root rot diseases in many economically important crops [5-8].

Control of Rhizoctonia diseases was firstly achieved with cultural practices, solarization, and chemical control with the last one being the mostly used [9]. However, cultural and chemical control are not sufficiently effective in controlling disease [10] due to the wide host range of the pathogen and to survival of sclerotia under various environmental conditions [11].

The necessity to reduce energy costs in tomato and to develop more safer control methods have encouraged research efforts at finding new and effective alternatives. Whippy [12] reported that the promising use of naturally occurring antagonists for biologically controlling pathogens.

Indeed, biological control is an efficient means to prevent damping-off disease [2,13]. Beneficial bacteria can protect plants against soil borne plant pathogens [14-16]. Rhizobacteria such as *Bacillus subtilis* [17], *B. thuringiensis* [18], and *Enterobacter* sp. [19] were shown to be efficient in controlling *R. solani*. Application of some bacteria in the rhizosphere led to increased plant growth through the enhancement of emergence potential, stand establishment, plant vigor, and vegetative and root weight [20,21]. These plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can display disease-suppressive ability by decreasing incidence and severity of various crown, root and foliar diseases through direct inhibition of pathogens or indirectly via the induction of systemic resistance (ISR) [14,22,23].

In most biocontrol research studies, biocontrol agents (BCAs) are
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often applied singly to combat the growth of targeted pathogens [24,25]. Potential effectiveness of single BCAs has been largely demonstrated in many studies but their single use under field conditions may lead to inconsistent performance as these agents are not likely to be active in all kinds of soil environment and agricultural ecosystems [26]. Several approaches have been used to overcome these practical problems, including combined application of two or more biocontrol strains to enhance their level of effectiveness and to achieve consistency of disease suppression [27-31]. Thus, more emphasis was laid on the combined use of two or more biocontrol strains for improved effectiveness than either of them alone [32,33].

After *in vivo* and *in planta* biocontrol agents’ release, it is interesting to analyze the microbial community (fungal and bacterial community) around and within roots of treated plants and to determine the eventual changes that may occur following biocontrol treatments. To this end, the knowledge of microbial ecology of the target habitat is necessary for accurate study of the relationship between microorganisms. The microbial activity and diversity has been widely analyzed using common cultivation techniques but recently several DNA-based analyses, cultivation-independent methods, were performed and are being extensively used to overcome the limitations of cultivation techniques [11].

In previous study, we selected three tomato-associated rhizobacterial strains out of 25 tested for their ability to inhibit *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in *vitro*, to suppress *Sclerotinia* Stem Rot severity and to enhance tomato plant growth [8]. The three most promising strains identified as *Bacillus subtilis* strain B2 (KT921327), *B. thuringiensis* strain B10 (KU158884) and *Enterobacter cloacae* strain B16 (KT921429) were selected in order to assess their antagonistic activity toward other soilborne fungi infecting tomato. Thus, our study aimed i) to assess the comparative ability of the three selected strains, applied singly or in combination, to suppress Rhizoctonia Root Rot disease on two tomato cultivars and to enhance plant growth, and ii) to study the effects of the rhizobacteria-based treatments on pathogen population colonizing the rhizosphere and to follow up fungal dynamics throughout the two tomato cultivars grown using Single Strand Conformational Polymorphism (SSCP) investigations.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material**

For biocontrol experiments and the elucidation of the subsequent shifts in the rhizospheric microbial community, 21-day-old tomato ( cvs. Marmande and Rio Grande) seedlings were used. Seeds were surface-sterilized with 2% sodium hypochlorite for 2 min, washed thoroughly with sterile distilled water (SDW), and sown into disinfected dimpled plates containing sterile peat. Tomato seedlings were grown in a greenhouse at 13/11 h light/dark photoperiod and 21/18 ± 2°C light/dark temperature and regularly watered until being used for the *in vivo* trials.

**Pathogen inoculum preparation**

Pathogen isolate used was originally isolated from naturally infected tomato plants exhibiting typical Rhizoctonia Root Rot signs. The fungal culture was gratefully provided by the Plant Pathology Laboratory at the Regional Center of Research on Horticulture and Organic Agriculture of Chott-Mariem, Tunisia. Cultures were grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium and stored at 4°C until use. For inoculum production, ten PDA Petri plates (9 cm in diameter) covered with full mycelium growth of pathogen cultures previously grown on PDA for 5-6 days at 28°C, were macerated using a blender in 1 L of SDW. Inoculum suspension was adjusted at 10⁶ mycelial fragments per ml using a Malassez haemocytometer [30].

**Tomato-associated rhizobacterial strains tested and inoculum preparation**

Three rhizobacterial strains namely *Bacillus subtilis* strain B10 (KT921327), *B. thuringiensis* strain B2 (KU158884), and *Enterobacter cloacae* strain B16 (KT921429), selected in a previous study [8] based on their ability to suppress Sclerotinia Stem Rot and to promote tomato growth, were used in the current investigation.

The rhizobacterial cultures were initiated by streaking the stock cultures onto Nutrient Agar (NA) medium and incubated at 28°C for 24 h before use. A loop-full of each bacterial strain was suspended into 300 ml of Nutrient Broth (NB) and incubated in a rotary shaker at 175 rpm for 24 h at 28°C. After incubation, 300 ml of the obtained bacterial liquid culture was diluted into 1 L of SDW and adjusted to approximately 10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹ before being used for plant challenge [34]. For mixed inoculum preparation, equal volumes of cell suspensions of each bacterial strain were mixed and the formulation obtained was used for plant treatment.

**Plant inoculation and treatment**

For the bioassays, 21-day-old tomato cvs. Rio Grande and Marmande seedlings grown into dimpled plates containing sterile peat were used. They were left to dry for two days before being treated. Seedlings' treatment was performed as substrate drenching at the collar level using 30 ml of the bacterial cell suspension of either single strains or their mixture (10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹). One week post bacterial treatment, 30 ml of pathogen inoculum were poured at the same level to each seedling. One day post pathogen challenge, seedlings were transplanted into pots (16 cm in diameter) containing pathogen-infected peat [35].

Untreated and uninoculated control seedlings were watered with SDW only. Pathogen-inoculated seedlings treated with SDW or with a commercial fungicide, i.e. *Previcur Energy*® (632.6 g/l Propamocarb-HCl + 332.6 g/l Fosetyl-Al) applied at 0.5 ml l⁻¹, were used as controls. Uninoculated seedlings challenged with single or mixed bacterial strains were also used for comparison and for elucidation of their PGPR effect.

Pots were kept under greenhouse conditions (65% RH, 13/11 h light/dark photoperiod at 21 ± 2/18 ± 2°C light/dark temperature) till the end of the experiment. The whole experiment was repeated for two consecutive years (2012 and 2013).

**Parameters noted**

Two months after inoculation and treatment, the plant height and the aerial parts and roots fresh weights were recorded. Disease severity on collar and roots was also assessed using a 0-5 scale where: 0 = no symptom, 1 = 0-25% of root browning, 2 = 26-50% of root Browning, 3 = 51-75% of root Browning, 4 = 76-100% of root Browning, and 5 = plant death [15]. Disease incidence was also estimated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Disease incidence (DI) (\%) = } \frac{\text{Number of infected plants} \times 100}{\text{Total number of plants}}
\]

**Statistical analysis**

The results were subjected to one-way analysis of variance and means separations were carried out using the Duncan’s Multiple Comparisons Test and the means separation of the treatments was performed using the Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) to determine the significant differences. A probability level of 0.05 was considered as significant for all statistical analyses.
Range test at \( (P \leq 0.05) \). ANOVA analysis was performed using SPSS version 16.0 for all disease and plant growth parameters. The tests were conducted according to a completely randomized design where 11 individual treatments were tested. Each individual treatment was replicated 15 times. The whole experiment was repeated twice. The relationships between Rhizoctonia Root Rot index and plant growth parameters were compared using Pearson's correlation analysis at \( P \leq 0.05 \).

**DNA extraction from root samples**

Root samples were taken from each individual treatment. Fifteen plants were tested and for each sample, roots were cut into fragments (5 mm in length) then kept frozen in a −20°C freezer rack (1 g root segments per sample) before being subsequently used for microbial and molecular analyses.

Total DNA was extracted from 60 mg of root tissues as reported by Godon et al. [36] with slight changes. Briefly, samples were freeze-dried overnight at −80°C and lyophilized for 12 h. Six hundred µl of CTAB (1x) was added to each sample. After incubation at 65°C for 1 h, 400 µl of chloroform−isoamyl alcohol (24:1, v/v) was added to remove proteins, and shaken at 200 rpm for 10 min, and then samples were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min/4°C. The aqueous phase was transferred into another tube, and 330 µl of cold isopropanol was added. Samples were then kept at −20°C overnight for DNA precipitation. After 10 min centrifugation at 13,000 rpm/4°C, the supernatant was discarded and 800 µl of ethanol 70% was added to wash the DNA. Once the ethanol was discarded at 13,000 rpm/4°C for 10 min, the pellets were air-dried and suspended into 100 µl of SDW. DNA concentration was estimated using Nano-drop (ND-1000, Thermoscientific) and homogenized at a concentration of 10 ng/µl.

**Analysis of rhizosphere fungal and bacterial community**

Pairs of universal primers recognizing mitochondrial large-subunit rDNA (ML1/ML2) [37] gene and the variable regions VS-V6 of the 16S rRNA (799F/1115R) [38] were used for Capillary Electrophoresis-Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism (CE-SSCP) analysis of the fungal and bacterial community, respectively (Table 1). PCR was performed on DNA extracts from the 165 root samples collected. DNA was amplified by PCR in a PTC-100 thermocycler (MJ Research, Inc.) in a reaction mixture (30 µl final volume) consisting of 1 µl of DNA template (10 ng/µl), 2.5 µl of Taq polymerase (10x), 2.5 µl de BSA at 10 µg/µl (BioLabs), 0.5 µl of MgCl\(_2\) (50 mM), 1 µl of dNTP (10 mM), 0.5 µl of each primer, 0.5 µl of Pfu turbo (Stratagene), and 21 µl of SDW. The cycling conditions were as follows: enzyme activation at 95°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, hybridization for 30 s at 58°C for fungal and at 61°C for bacterial primers, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

**Genetic structure of the fungal and bacterial communities inhabiting the rhizosphere of treated tomato plants**

The PCR products were visualized by 2% Tris-borate-EDTA agarose gel electrophoresis prior to SSCP analysis. The lengths of the fragments yielded by amplification DNAs were 250 bp for fungi and 350 bp for bacteria. SSCP analyses were performed on an ABI Prism 3130 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using four 36-cm-long capillary. One µl of a PCR product was mixed with 18.8 µl Hi-Di formamide (Applied Biosystems) and 0.2 µl of the internal standard DNA molecular weight marker Genescan 400 HD ROX (Applied Biosystems). The sample was then denatured for 5 min at 95°C and placed directly on ice for 10 min before being loaded onto the instrument.

CE-SSCP is based on the electrophoretic mobility of single-stranded DNA fragments. This mobility is different according to their three-dimensional conformation. The samples were then allowed to co-migrate with the fluorescent size standard (GeneScan 400 ROX) to enable comparison of migration profiles between samples. Patterns were aligned with the Stat Fingerprints program [39] and studied by principal component analysis (PCA) using R software (version 2.15.2).

**Structure and diversity analysis of microbial community**

The characterization of the rhizospheric microbial (fungi and bacteria) communities’ structure and diversity was performed with profiles obtained using the CE-SSCP method, as previously described [39,40]. All readable molecular fingerprint profiles were aligned with the internal ROX ladder and normalized, to produce relative abundance data with the R package Statfingerprints v1.3 software. This yielded a matrix in which root samples were indicated in rows, and fluorescence values (4866 scans) in columns. A fluorescence profile may be seen as a quantitative descriptor of the microbial assemblage of a sample. Bigger differences in fluorescence scans between profiles indicate a greater dissimilarity in composition between samples [39,40].

Diversity of rhizosphere microbial (fungi and bacteria) community was evaluated using FingerPrint molecular profiles studied using PCA in relation to environmental factors with R software (version 2.15.2, including FACTOMINER packages).

In total, 132 samples were analyzed i.e. 66 fungal amplicons (obtained using ML1 and ML2 primers) and 66 bacterial amplicons (obtained using primers 799f and 1115r).

**Results**

The PGPR strains *B. thuringiensis* str. B2, *B. subtilis* str. B10, and *E. cloacae* str. B16 were evaluated either separately or in combination for their ability to suppress Rhizoctonia Root Rot and to enhance plant growth on two tomato cultivars under greenhouse conditions in two cropping seasons (2012 and 2013).

**Suppression of Rhizoctonia Root Rot using tomato-associated rhizobacteria**

Rhizoctonia Root Rot incidence, noted 60 days post-planting and estimated based on the presence of root browning signs, varied from 0 to 100% depending on antagonistic treatments tested. It should

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular identification</th>
<th>Antibiotic biosynthesis genes detected</th>
<th>PGPR traits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacillus thuringiensis</strong> B2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>KU158884</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. subtilis</strong> B10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>KT921327</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacter cloacae B16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>KT921429</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The selected tomato-associated rhizobacteria tested and their main traits.

Fen A: Fengycin A, Bac: Bacilomyacin, IAA: Indole acetic acid, P.Stabilization: Phosphate solubilization. All isolates were negative for hypersensitive reaction (HR) on tobacco leaves, and they were negative for the detection of Fengycin B, D and E. Positive reaction (+); Negative reaction (−); Production of siderophore was scored as non detected (−), low (+), middle (+++) and high (++++).
mentioned that all tomato plants not challenged with pathogen remained symptomless but for infected plants, disease incidence noted in 2012 and 2013 (Table 2) ranged between 58.33 and 100% for cv. Marmande and between 53.33 and 100% for cv. Rio Grande.

Assessed for their ability to suppress disease severity, all rhizobacteria- and fungicide-based treatments had significantly decreased the root brownning index as compared to R. solani-inoculated and untreated control. All bacterial strains tested singly or in combination, were found to more effective in suppressing disease than the fungicide on both cultivars and in both cropping seasons. In fact, data shown in Table 3 indicated that disease index noted on cv. Marmande was reduced by 70.02 to 83.94% in 2012 and by 68.68 to 74.72% in 2013 compared to 46% achieved using fungicide. For cv. Rio Grande, disease severity decrease reached using rhizobacteria-based treatments ranged between 60.46 and 77.51% in 2012 and between 76.06 and 85.01% in 2013 versus 29.45-52.34% obtained using fungicide.

Comparative efficacy of bacterial treatments tested in suppressing Rhizoctonia Root Rot was found to be variable depending on bio agents used singly or combined, cultivars grown, and cropping years. In fact, data given in Table 3 showed that three-strain mixture exhibited significantly similar or higher effectiveness in decreasing disease severity as compared to single-strain-based treatments. In fact, in 2013, all bacterial strains applied singly displayed significantly comparable effectiveness as their mixture but in 2012, plant protection was significantly higher with mixture than with single treatments on cv. Rio Grande whereas B. thuringiensis str. B2- and E. cloacae str. B16-based treatments behaved significantly similar as the combined formulation. It should be highlighted that, for combined data of cultivars and years, disease suppression ranged between 74.72 and 83.94% using three-strain mixture compared to 60.46-85.01% achieved using single-strain-based treatments.

Growth promotion using tomato-associated rhizobacteria

The rhizobacterial strains tested singly or in mixture were assessed for their plant growth-promoting (PGP) abilities based on various growth parameters and their efficacy was compared to R. solani-inoculated or not and untreated controls and to a fungicide-based treatment. ANOVA analysis revealed that the plant height, the aerial part and roots fresh weights varied significantly (P ≤ 0.05) depending on antagonistic treatments tested, tomato cultivars and cropping years. Their relative effects on each parameter were detailed below.

Plant height promotion

Plant height variation depending on treatments tested, tomato cultivars grown and cropping years (2012 and 2013) was illustrated in Table 4. In fact, for uninoculated (disease free) and untreated cv. Marmande plants, height increase, as compared to the untreated control, ranged from 13.23 to 32.5% in 2012 and from 27.34 to 29.65% in 2013 using single or combined rhizobacterial strains where the highest increment (32.5%) was achieved using B. subtilis str. B10. For disease free cv. Rio Grande plants, height improvement varied between 31.5 and 45.69% in 2012 and between 7.55 and 17.02% in 2013 where the highest PGPR effect was achieved using B. thuringiensis str. B2, E. cloacae str. B16 and the mixed treatment (B10 + B2 + B16).

Results shown in Table 4 revealed that plants' treatment with strains B. subtilis str. B2, B. thuringiensis str. B10, and E. cloacae str. B16 and the three-strain mixture had also significantly enhanced plant height of R. solani-inoculated and treated plants as compared to the untreated

---

### Table 2: Pairs of universal primers used in the Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism analyses of the rhizosphere fungal and bacterial populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Amlicon size (pb)</th>
<th>Annealng temperature (°C)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondrial Large Subunit tRNA</td>
<td>ML1</td>
<td>FAM-GATCTTGTGATATGGGTACGC</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16S ANDr</td>
<td>ML2</td>
<td>FAM-TAGTTTCTGAAAAACACGC</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1115R</td>
<td>6-FAM-AGGTTGCCGCTGCGT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The position corresponds to the 5’-end of primers with Escherichia coli 16 rRNA as reference [62]; F and R correspond to forward and reverse primers, respectively.*

### Table 3: Rhizoctonia Root Rot-suppressive effects of three tomato-associated rhizobacteria, tested singly or in combination as compared to fungicide and untreated controls, noted 60 days post-planting on two tomato cultivars and in two cropping years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tomato cultivars</th>
<th>Cropping years</th>
<th>cv. Marmande</th>
<th>cv. Rio Grande</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antagonistic treatments tested</td>
<td>Disease index (%)</td>
<td>Disease index (%)</td>
<td>Disease index (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. solani + B16</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. thuringiensis str. B2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis str. B10</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. cloacae str. B16</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. solani + B2 + B16</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Roots necrosis severity was assessed using a 0-5 scale where: 0 = no symptom; 1 = 0-25% of root browning; 2 = 26-50% of root browning; = 51-75% of root browning; = 76-100% of root browning, and 5 = 100% of root browning (Lamsal et al., 2012).

**Uninoculated and untreated control; ** Fungicide-based treatment using Previcur Energy® (632.6 g/l Propamocarb-Hcl + 332.6 g/l Fosetyl-Al).

1. Values in parenthesis indicate the percentage (in %) of decrease in disease severity as compared to the inoculated and untreated control plants. For each parameter, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan’s Multiple Range tests (at P ≤ 0.05).
ones (Table 4). In fact, for cv. Marmande, height increase ranged from 65.64 to 83.58% in 2012 and from 42.91 to 50.25% in 2013 as compared to 60.88 and 40.56% achieved using commercial fungicide, respectively. However, for cv. Rio Grande, height increment varied from 69.76 to 75.14% in 2012 and from 42.28 to 49.46% in 2013 as compared to 66.02 and 40.74% obtained with chemical treatment, respectively.

Compared to combined treatment, the three strains tested singly had displayed significantly similar PGP effect as their mixture on both cultivars in 2013 cropping season. However, in 2012, B. subtilis str. B10 was found to be more active on cv. Marmande when used singly (83.58%) than if mixed with the two other strains (76.74%) whereas on cv. Rio Grande, the three-strain mixture exhibited the highest PGP effect (75.14%) than the three single-strain-based treatments (69.76-71.16%).

**Aerial parts’ fresh weight promotion**

Aerial parts’ fresh weight (APFW), noted 60 days post-planting, varied significantly upon treatments tested, tomato cultivars grown and cropping seasons. In fact, data given in Table 5 indicated that for uninoculated and untreated cv. Marmande plants, APFW was significantly increased, compared to the untreated control, by 78.09 to 82.48% in 2012 and by 48.52 to 61.69% in 2013 using single or combined rhizobacterial strains. The highest PGP effect recorded in both years was achieved using *B. thuringiensis* str. B2 and the three-strain mixture. For disease free cv. Rio Grande plants, this growth parameter increment ranged between 33.70 and 42.31% in 2012 and between 34.51 and 49.29% in 2013 where the mixed treatment (B10 + B2 + B16) exhibited the highest PGP effect in both cropping seasons.

Data shown in Table 5 revealed that plants’ challenge with *B. thuringiensis* str. B2, *B. subtilis* str. B10, *E. cloacae* str. B16, and the three-strain mixture led to significant increase, as compared to *R. solani*-inoculated and untreated control, in APFW of both cultivars tested and in both cropping seasons. In fact, for cv. Marmande, APFW increment ranged from 95.44 to 97.02% in 2012 and from 48.41 to 64.41% in 2013 compared to 88.66 and 40.22% (in 2012 and 2013, respectively) achieved using a fungicide-based treatment. However, for

### Table 4: Plant height enhancement achieved using three tomato-associated rhizobacteria, tested singly or in combination as compared to fungicide and untreated controls, noted 60 days post-planting on two tomato cultivars and in two cropping years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tomato cultivars</th>
<th>Cropping years</th>
<th>cv. Marmande</th>
<th>cv. Rio Grande</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated control*</td>
<td>20.42 a (80.61)</td>
<td>25.23 ef (0.0)</td>
<td>29.38 d (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. thuringiensis</em> str. B2</td>
<td>25.87 a (38.08)</td>
<td>59.42 b (48.27)</td>
<td>55.93 a (42.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em> str. B10</td>
<td>59.42 b (48.27)</td>
<td>59.42 b (48.27)</td>
<td>55.93 a (42.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cloacae</em> str. B16</td>
<td>59.42 b (48.27)</td>
<td>59.42 b (48.27)</td>
<td>55.93 a (42.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. solani</em> + B2 + B10 + B16</td>
<td>59.42 b (48.27)</td>
<td>59.42 b (48.27)</td>
<td>55.93 a (42.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. solani</em> + Fungicide**</td>
<td>59.42 b (48.27)</td>
<td>59.42 b (48.27)</td>
<td>55.93 a (42.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Uninoculated and untreated control; **Fungicide-based treatment using Previcur Energy (632.6 g/l Propamocarb-Hcl + 332.6 g/l Fosetyl-Al); APFW: Aerial part fresh weight.

### Table 5: Aerial parts’ growth promotion achieved using three tomato-associated rhizobacteria, tested singly or in combination as compared to fungicide and untreated controls, noted 60 days post-planting on two tomato cultivars and in two cropping years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tomato cultivars</th>
<th>Cropping years</th>
<th>cv. Marmande</th>
<th>cv. Rio Grande</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated control*</td>
<td>2.02 cd (0.0)</td>
<td>2.02 cd (0.0)</td>
<td>2.02 cd (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. thuringiensis</em> str. B2</td>
<td>11.53 a (82.48)</td>
<td>61.1 a (58.70)</td>
<td>44.32 b (33.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em> str. B10</td>
<td>10.42 a (80.61)</td>
<td>49.74 b (49.27)</td>
<td>49.12 a (40.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cloacae</em> str. B16</td>
<td>9.86 a (79.51)</td>
<td>49.01 b (48.52)</td>
<td>48.20 a (39.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. solani</em> + B2 + B10 + B16</td>
<td>9.22 ab (78.09)</td>
<td>65.86 a (61.69)</td>
<td>50.93 a (42.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. solani</em> + Fungicide**</td>
<td>3.33 d (0.0)</td>
<td>3.33 d (0.0)</td>
<td>3.33 d (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Uninoculated and untreated control; **Fungicide-based treatment using Previcur Energy (632.6 g/l Propamocarb-Hcl + 332.6 g/l Fosetyl-Al); APFW: Aerial part fresh weight.**

1: Values in parenthesis indicate the percentage (in %) of increase in plant height as compared to uninoculated and untreated control plants.

2: Values in parenthesis indicate the percentage (in %) of increase in aerial part fresh weight as compared to *Rhizoctonia solani*-inoculated and untreated control plants.

For each parameter, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan’s Multiple Range tests (at p ≤ 0.05).
cv. Rio Grande, this parameter was enhanced by 60.89% to 62.32% in 2012 and by 60.60% to 70.49% in 2013, using single or mixed bacterial strains compared to 33.75% and 52.76% recorded on fungicide-treated plants.

Based on their ability to enhance the aerial part growth on cv. Marmande, B. subtilis str. B10 and E. cloacaе str. B16 led to significantly higher increase of this parameter (97.02% and 96.65%, respectively) than the mixed treatment in 2012 (95.44%) whereas in 2013 trial, B. thuringiensis str. B2 and B. subtilis str. B10 showed significantly similar PGB effect as the three-strain mixture (55.60-64.41%). However, when tested on cv. Rio Grande, the three strains, applied singly or in mixture, led to significantly similar aerial part growth in 2012 trial whereas in 2013, only B. thuringiensis str. B2-based treatment was found to be as effective as the three-strain mixture (69.01-70.49%) in improving this growth parameter.

**Root fresh weight promotion**

Root fresh weight (RFW), noted 60 days post-planting, varied significantly upon treatments tested, tomato cultivars grown and cropping seasons as illustrated in Table 6. Indeed, for uninoculated and untreated cv. Marmande plants, RFW was significantly improved, compared to the untreated control, by 89.82% to 92.39% in 2012 trial and by 60.17% to 75.75% in 2013 using single or mixed bacterial strains. The highest PGB effect recorded in 2012 was displayed by B. subtilis str. B10, E. cloacaе str. B16 and the three-strain mixture (91.21-92.39%). For disease free cv. Rio Grande plants, root growth increment ranged between 38.78% and 54.16% in 2012 and between 20.52% and 45.03% in 2013 trials where the mixed treatment (B10 + B2 + B16) exhibited the highest PGB effect in both cropping seasons whereas B. subtilis str. B10 and E. cloacaе str. B16 were shown to be as effective as the three-strain mixture in 2012 trial.

Results given in Table 6 indicated that bacterial strains, applied singly or in mixture, had significantly (P ≤ 0.05) enhanced root development as compared to R. solani-inoculated and untreated control, and that their PGB effect varied depending on tomato cultivars grown and cropping years. In fact, for cv. Marmande, RFW was increased by 98.5% to 99.05% in 2012 and by 73.68% to 76.97% in 2013 as compared to 66.67% and 65.68% noted on fungicide-treated plants. However, tested on cv. Rio Grande, root growth enhancement achieved using bacteria-based treatments ranged between 51.50% and 60.82% in 2012 and between 61.51% and 73.71% in 2013 relative to 37.83% and 56.32% obtained using fungicide.

Assessed for their comparative ability to enhance RFW of tomato plants already challenged with R. solani, the three strains were shown to be as effective as their mixture on cv. Rio Grande in both cropping seasons (51.50-73.71%) and on cv. Marmande only in 2013 trial (73.68-76.97%) whereas in 2012, B. subtilis str. B10 and E. cloacaе str. B16 exhibited significantly higher PGB effect (98.90-99.05%) than the combined treatment (98.5%).

**Correlation between Rhizoctonia Root Rot severity and plant growth parameters**

For cv. Marmande data, Pearson’s correlation analysis revealed that plant height was significantly and negatively related to disease index in 2012 (r = -0.442; P = 1.1208E-7) and 2013 (r = -0.6047; P = 7.8748E-18) cropping seasons. This indicates that increased Rhizoctonia Root Rot severity led to plant stunting if compared to the uninoculated control plants. Similar trend was noted between APFW and disease severity index where significant and negative correlations were detected between both dependant variables in 2012 (r = -0.4827; P = 4.5554E-9) and 2013 (r = -0.4915; P = 2.0269E-11) trials. Also, RFW was found to be negatively related to Rhizoctonia Root Rot index both in 2012 (r = -0.4452; P = 8.8295E-8) and 2013 cropping seasons (r = -0.5573; P = 7.4983E-15).

For cv. Rio Grande, Pearson’s correlation analysis also revealed similar significant correlations between disease severity and growth parameters as for cv. Marmande. In fact, plant height was significantly and negatively related to disease index in 2012 (r = -0.6768; P = 1.8841E-23) and 2013 trials (r = -0.6555; P = 1.2550E21). Also, significant and negative correlation was detected between APFW and disease severity both in 2012 (r = -0.6932; P = 5.7914E-25) and 2013 cropping seasons (r = -0.5841; P = 1.7768E-16). RFW was also found to be significantly and negatively related to Rhizoctonia Root Rot index in 2012 (r = -0.6306; P = 1.1034E-19) and 2013 (r = -0.4968; P = 1.1404E-11) trials.

This analysis indicated that the decreased Rhizoctonia Root Rot severity on tomato plants, achieved using rhizobia-based-based...
The variations (%) explained by each PCA axis are given in brackets. Ellipses represent the 95% confidence intervals calculated for each community.

Figure 1: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the fungal (a) and bacterial (b) communities inhabiting the rhizosphere of two tomato cultivars (R: Rio Grande (red) and M: Marmande (black)) based on SSCP profiles.

The variation (%) explained by each PCA axis is given in brackets. Ellipses represent the 95% confidence intervals calculated for each community.
The application of PGPR is a potentially attractive approach to disease management and improved crop productivity in agriculture. In many cases and based on some disease and growth indicators, combination of rhizobacterial strains was found to be more or as effective as single strains in decreasing disease incidence and severity and in enhancing plant height, aerial part and root fresh weights. The efficacy of these strains differed depending on bioagents used, cultivar grown, and cropping years. All tomato plants not challenged with \textit{R. solani} remained symptomless. In fact, results showed that strains' mixture exhibited significantly similar or higher effectiveness in decreasing disease severity as compared to single--strain-based treatments. For combined data of both cultivars and years, disease suppression ranged between 74.72 and 83.94\% with mixture compared to 60.46-85.01\% achieved using single strains. The results are consistent with other findings demonstrating that the use of antagonistic agents mixtures have a good protective effect against various soilborne fungal pathogens [29,32]. In fact, a combination of antibiotic-producing strains may act synergistically in restricting the growth and colonization of pathogens [47,48].

Results from our study indicate that the individual PGPR strains applied as a substrate drench had significantly lowered disease severity incited by \textit{R. solani} on two tomato cultivars grown under greenhouse conditions, and that the three-strain mixture, also applied as a soil drench, had further increased the efficacy of disease control against the targeted pathogen. Several other studies have also demonstrated the reliability of effective disease suppression by combined use of PGPR strains [32,49]. Treatment of tomato seeds with PGPR and especially using mixtures of bacterial strains B125 + PT42 and PT42 + SZ141 have significantly decreased Rhizoctonia damping-off in mini-chamber tests [50]. Also, Szczek and Shoda [51] have proved the enhanced consistency of disease resistance using mixtures of \textit{B. subtilis} RB14-C and \textit{Burkholderia cepacia} BY strains against \textit{R. solani} of tomato plants under under growth chamber conditions. Jetiyalan et al. [29] noted similar additive efficiency using mixtures of two PGPR strains namely \textit{B. amyloliquefaciens} str. IN937a and \textit{B. pumilus} str. IN937b under field conditions which consistently protected several different crop species against multiple diseases more than that recorded using strains singly. This synergistic effect obtained with mixtures had subsequently enhanced plant growth and yield.

The reduction of disease incidence and severity using BCAs may involve activation of plant defense mechanism against pathogens or production of a wide range of alkalochelicals or secondary compounds that may act as antifungal agents and/or as signals such as siderophores, antibiotics, volatile metabolites, and enzymes [23,52].

This study clearly demonstrated the ability of the bacterial strains, applied singly or in mixtures, to promote plant growth as estimated based on plant height and fresh weight of aerial parts and roots. In fact, the mixed treatment (B10 + B2 + B16) and single treatment using \textit{B. subtilis} str. B10 exhibited the highest PGP effect, as compared to uninoculated and to \textit{R. solani}-inoculated and untreated controls in the most cases. Thus, data from this study highlighted the additional growth-promoting effects displayed by these strains, tested singly or in combination, when challenged to tomato plants already infected with \textit{R. solani}. Indeed, a successful biocontrol agent is generally equipped with several attributes which often promote plant growth as efficiently as it inhibited fungal growth by efficient root colonization, phytohormone production and nutrient competition [25].

Results from the current study showed that the growth parameters of treated tomato plants were significantly increased in comparison with the control treatments. This increase could be attributed to the aforementioned role of these microorganisms and to their ability to produce lipopeptide antibiotics, IAA, and siderophore and to solubilize phosphate (Table 1). In fact, the improvement of plant growth could result from substances, released by biocontrol agents during their growth on and around plant roots, acting as growth regulators and/or through making certain nutrients more available leading to plant growth stimulation [16,53,54]. The promotion of tomato growth parameters by \textit{B. subtilis}, \textit{B. thuringiensis} and \textit{E. cloacae} may be due to their abilities to produce phytohormones, vitamins and solubilizing minerals besides, their role in direct inhibition of pathogen growth [55]. Several previous works have demonstrated the additive PGP effect using strains in mixture belonging to \textit{Bacillus} spp. including \textit{B. subtilis}, \textit{B. amyloliquefaciens}, but to our knowledge, the synergistic effect between \textit{B. subtilis}, \textit{B. thuringiensis} and \textit{E. cloacae} was not widely reported. Combined bacterial applications can also lead to increased root surface area and general architecture change [56]. Consequently, the use of bacteria to achieve an appropriate and effective biological control of \textit{R. solani} relies on their ability to colonize roots efficiently; otherwise, their biocontrol character would be non-sense. The ability to colonize roots is highly variable depending on rhizobacterial species, indicating their variable ability to compete for ecological niches in the rhizosphere [48,57].

Shifts occurring within microbial community structure in response to inoculation have been reported in various studies performed with symbiotic rhizosphere bacteria [58,59]. The microbial interaction in the rhizosphere of tomato cvs. Marmande and Rio Grande roots with pathogen and bacterial treatments challenge was elucidated using PCR–SSCP. This technique was commonly used for analysis of the bacterial and fungal populations of roots removed from treated and untreated tomato plants. As revealed by PCR–SSCP structural analyses of the microbial rhizosphere, one of the main points of our study is that there are significant differences between the microbial communities from bacteria-amended and untreated control treatments. This last assumption was verified when the dynamics of the genetic structure of the rhizosphere fungal communities were characterized by SSCP. It is a key point in the management of this microflora and therefore in determining its future success or failure.

The genetic structure of the microbial community in the rhizosphere of the tomato plants was found to be similar and no differences were observed between the SSCP profiles when neither the rhizobacteria treatment nor the pathogen inoculation was considered. In both cases, microbial communities differed only depending on tomato cultivar grown (Rio Grande or Marmande). There is a clear relationship between cultivated plants and the establishment of the introduced rhizosphere microflora. This relationship may be attributed to the variable release of organic compounds by roots of both cultivars since the SSCP-community patterns of cvs. Marmande and Rio Grande were clearly different from each other. Thus, this study clearly demonstrated that each grown cultivar is able to select its own specific microorganism’s community. These results corroborate other findings based on rRNA gene profiling techniques and community-level physiological profiles which demonstrated that plant cultivars are more important in the selection of bacterial communities in rhizosphere than other factors such as soil origin or agricultural systems [60]. The survival of challenged fungal and bacterial strains and their subsequent impact on the indigenous microbial communities’ structure is of great interest before using selected natural microorganisms as biocontrol agents under field conditions [61]. Moreover, SSCP analysis of these selected strains did not reveal an adverse impact on nontarget bacterial
populations. Therefore, these strains released in the rhizosphere of tomato were able to colonize roots and to persist in the rhizosphere without inducing adverse shifts in indigenous populations.

Conclusion

This study clearly demonstrated the beneficial effects of the selected tomato-associated rhizobacterial strains, applied singly or in combination, in suppressing disease and enhancing plant growth and revealed a variation in rhizosphere microbial community, assessed under controlled conditions, depending on tomato cultivars grown. The effectiveness of these strains and their mixture will be further evaluated under field conditions, in naturally infected soils, based on disease, growth and yield parameters together with the follow up of eventual shifts in rhizosphere microbial activity and structure.
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