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Abstract

Background: Trimetazidine (TMZ), an anti-ischemic drug, protects the myocardial cell from the harmful effects of ischemia. This study is aimed to determine bioequivalence between the test (Trimetazidine ER Tablet of Cipla Limited, India) and the reference (Preductal MR Tablet of Servier, France) products at steady state in 24 healthy adult male volunteers under fed conditions.

Method: We conducted a randomized, open-label, balance, two-treatment, two-period, two-sequence, cross-over steady state bioequivalence study separated by a washout period of 7 days. Participants were randomly assigned to receive 35mg of trimetazidine either test or reference products twice daily (12 hours interval) after standardized breakfast and dinner on day 1 to day 4, followed by single dose on day 5 after breakfast in each study period. Post-dose blood samples were collected up to 36 hours and analyzed for trimetazidine using a validated LC-MS/MS method. Steady-state trimetazidine concentrations were statistically analyzed using SAS® software for windows (version 9.1).

Results: The 90% confidence intervals (CI) for trimetazidine (AUC_s,w and C_max) were the conventional bioequivalence range of 80.00–125.00%, thus permitting one to conclude for bioequivalence. Furthermore, both formulations were well tolerated and had no-serious adverse event reported.

Conclusion: The test and reference formulations of trimetazidine meet the regulatory criteria for bioequivalence both in terms of rate and extent of absorption.
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Introduction

Trimetazidine dihydrochloride is a clinically effective, well tolerated anti-anginal agent which has been used in the prophylaxis and treatment of angina pectoris [1]. It also has been reported to be an effective agent for treating heart failure [2]. The chemical structure of trimetazidine (TMZ) was shown in Figure 1. This drug acts via metabolic pathway by inhibition of the enzyme 3-ketoacyl coenzyme A thiolase, which results in a shift of cardiac metabolism from free fatty acid metabolism to glucose oxidation [3,4]. The cardiac utilization of glucose as a substrate for energy production is an effective approach to treat ischemic myocardium because it requires less oxygen consumption to produce the same amount of adenosine triphosphate [4,5]. Two formulations of trimetazidine are available in the clinical practice, the immediate-release and the modified-release tablet. The latter dosage form was developed to reduce frequency of dosing while maintaining sustained 24 hour coverage [6]. It has been shown to improve patient compliance thus more effective than a conventional tablet [7]. The pharmacokinetic profiles of two dosage forms of trimetazidine are different. After oral administration, both trimetazidine tablets are rapidly absorbed. The immediate-release tablet reached peak plasma concentration within 2 hours compared with 5 hours for the modified release tablet [8]. Trimetazidine is widely distributed throughout the body and mainly excreted in urine with approximately 60% eliminated unchanged. Eight metabolites of the drug have been detected in urine, but their properties were not known [1]. The elimination half-life of conventional tablet and modified-release tablet are approximately 6 and 7 hours, respectively [8].

Trimetazidine modified-release tablet has been manufactured and marketed by several pharmaceutical companies. Bioequivalence is a surrogate for therapeutic equivalence used to demonstrate whether two different drug products with the same active substance, strength and dosage form are comparable in terms of rate and extent of absorption. The present study aimed to compare bioavailability of two trimetazidine modified-release products in healthy male volunteers. The first one is a test product, Trimetazidine 35 mg Extended Release (ER) Tablet manufactured by Cipla Limited, India and another one is reference product, Preductal MR Tablet manufactured by Servier, France.

Figure 1: Trimetazidine Dihydrochloride.
Subjects and Methods

This study was conducted at the Manipal AcuNova KMC Clinical Pharmacology Unit (Mangalore, India) in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, the International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice Guidelines, and the note for guidance on the investigation of bioavailability and bioequivalence laid down by the European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products [9,10]. Prior to the start of the study, written informed consent was obtained from all subjects and the protocol was approved by the local ethical review boards.

Subjects selection

Healthy human subjects between 18 to 45 years of age, having Body Mass Index (BMI) between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m², were included in this study. Eligible subjects were considered healthy, as evidenced by a lack of medical history of significant diseases and clinically significant abnormal findings during the pre-study screening, physical examination, chest X-ray, 12-lead ECG and laboratory investigations, including hematology, biochemistry, serology, and urine analysis. Breath alcohol test and test for drugs of abuse were negative at the time of screening. Hepatitis A, B, C and antibodies to HIV-1 and II, VDRL were negative or non-reactive. Subjects were excluded if they were allergic to trimetazidine; had history or presence of cardiovascular, pulmonary, hepatic, renal, haematological, gastrointestinal, metabolic, immunologic, dermatologic, neurological or psychiatric disease; had participated in any other clinical investigation using investigational product or had donated or lost more than 350 mL of blood within 90 days prior to the screening of the study.

Study design

The pharmacokinetics of two trimetazidine extended release tablet formulations were compared in a randomized, open-label, balance, two-treatment, two-period, two-sequence, cross-over steady state bioequivalence study. The subjects were administered treatment in a crossover manner under fed conditions according to the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formulation to determine the intra-subject variability of the randomization schedule. Steady state dosing was carried out for the reference formula...
linearity range was 2 to 150ng/mL for trimetazidine. Concentrations below the LLOQ were set to ‘zero’ for pharmacokinetic and statistical calculations.

**Pharmacokinetic assessment**

The statistical analysis were performed using SAS software (v. 9.1; SAS institute, Cary, NC). As per the requirements for steady state studies, the following parameters were calculated individually for each subjects from trimetazidine plasma concentration: AUC_{tau}, C_{maxss}, C_{minss} % fluctuation.

Trimetazidine was considered for establishing bioequivalence between the test and reference product. The pharmacokinetic parameters C_{maxss} and AUC_{tau} were taken as primary efficacy variables.

All values below the limit of quantification were considered as zero for the computation of pharmacokinetic parameters and statistical calculations. The actual blood sampling time points were considered for the calculation of pharmacokinetic parameters.

**Statistical analysis**

Trimetazidine plasma concentration data and pharmacokinetic output from SAS software (v. 9.1; SAS institute, Cary, NC) were analyzed statistically. The statistical analysis was performed using SAS software (v. 9.1; SAS institute, Cary, NC).

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed (α=0.05) on the log transformed pharmacokinetic parameters C_{maxss} and AUC_{tau} were analyzed using GLM ANOVA model with treatment as the main effect, period and sequence as fixed effects and subject nested within sequence as random effect.

Each analysis of variance also included calculation of least-square means, adjusted differences between formulation means and the standard error associated with these differences. The significance of the sequence effect was tested using the subjects nested within the sequence as the error term.

Geometric least-square mean (LSM) values were reported for log transformed C_{maxss} and AUC_{tau}. Ratios of means were calculated using the LSM for log transformed C_{maxss} and AUC_{tau}. Ratios of means were expressed as a percentage of the LSM for the reference treatment (Preductal MR Tablet).

Consistent with the two one-sided tests for bioequivalence, 90% confidence intervals for the difference between treatments, least-square means were calculated for log transformed C_{maxss} and AUC_{tau}. The confidence intervals were expressed as a percentage relative to the LSM of the reference treatments. The test product was to be considered bioequivalent to the reference product, if the 90% CIs of C_{maxss} and AUC_{tau} of trimetazidine were within the acceptance range of 80-125%.

**Results**

**Demographic data**

Of the 24 healthy male volunteers originally enrolled as a consequence, there were 24 subjects included in the statistical analysis of pharmacokinetics parameters. For demographic data, mean ± SD of age, weight, height and BMI were 21.0 ± 2.29 years (range 18–27 years), 58.472 ± 7.0405 kg (range 48–78 kg), 168.86 ± 6.619 cm (range 160–183 cm) and 20.48 ± 1.877 kg/m² (range 18.6–24.7 kg/m²), respectively (Table 1). The laboratory data of all subjects were within an acceptable range. None was withdrawn from this study.

**Pharmacokinetic parameters and bioequivalence analysis**

The geometric mean plasma concentration-time profile after oral administration of Trimetazidine35 mg extended release (T) and Preductal MR (R) under fed state were shown in Figure 2 respectively.

In the cross-over steady state study, it was observed that the mean peak-through fluctuation for trimetazidine was 94.697 % for the test product and 98.289 % for the reference product respectively (Table 2).

We observed the mean peak-through fluctuation in plasma trimetazidine concentration to be significantly higher than those reported literature.

Barre et al. [11] reported a fluctuation in trimetazidine concentrations of approximately 90.4% with the trimetazidine MR formulation at steady state. Onay-Besikci et al. [12] evaluated pharmacokinetic profile of trimetazidine and reviewed before the development of a modified-release (MR) formulation aimed to maintain the therapeutic plasma concentration with less fluctuation, a higher C_{minss} compared to immediate release from (20 mg × 3) and only twice-daily administration (35 mg × 2).

In all the published studies, the dosing frequency was as per the labeling of the innovator product. In the multiple-dose study, twice-daily administration of the trimetazidine ER tablet was an ideal way to reach steady state, with less fluctuation. However, once daily administration of trimetazidine probably resulted in wide fluctuation in this study.

The intra subject variability of AUC_{tau}(ng.hr/mL) for trimetazidine was 11.994%. Considering this result the sample size of 24 subjects was sufficient in order to conclude bioequivalence with the power of 80% at the 5% nominal level.

The mean plasma concentrations of trimetazidine were similar and yielded overlapping curves. This observation was supported by the 90% confidence intervals for the “test/reference” mean ratios of the ln-transformed pharmacokinetic variables C_{maxss} and AUC_{tau} which fell within the conventional bioequivalence range of 80% to 125%. The formulation effects for the pharmacokinetic variables C_{maxss} and AUC_{tau} were statistically insignificant. Intra-subject variability observed for test and reference formulations was similar for all these two pharmacokinetic variables (Table 3).

A considerable period/treatment effect for C_{maxss} and C_{minss} for trimetazidine was observed in the fed steady state study. This effect might reflect the difference in the formulations, but it did not seem to have any impact on the study outcome because the confidence intervals for the log-transformed pharmacokinetic parameter fell within the acceptance range. Thus, the treatment effect can be ignored.

**Safety**

Both the formulations were well tolerated. No serious clinical...
adverse events causing death, disability, hospitalization or dropout of
the subjects were encountered. A reported mild adverse event, possibly
related to treatment, was giddiness (4.16 % for reference product). Post
study clinical lab tests revealed normal results.

Discussion

Trimetazidine is a metabolic agent which exerts anti-ischaemic
properties which have been widely used for treating myocardial
ischemia and angina pectoris in patients with coronary artery disease
[13-15]. TMZ, the first 3-ketoacyl coenzyme A thiolase inhibitor,
reduces long-chain fatty acid oxidation resulting in a shift towards
_glucose oxidation during cellular ischemia. This results in an improved
coupling of glycolysis with glucose oxidation, which has been shown to
protect the ischemic heart [16].

TMZ has been marketed for over twenty years as a drink solution
(Trimetazidine 20 mg/ml) as well as an immediate release (IR) oral
formulation (Trimetazidine 20 mg tablets). Its indications cover (i) in
cardiology: the prophylactic treatment of episodes of angina pectoris,
(ii) in ophthalmology: the adjuvant treatment of the decline of visual
acuity and visual field disturbances, presumably of vascular origin, and
(iii) in ear-nose-throat pathology: the adjuvant symptomatic treatment
of vertigo and tinnitus. The daily dose is 60 mg, taken in 3 doses.

In order to enhance patient compliance during long term

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Test Product</th>
<th>Reference Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trimetazidine</td>
<td>C\text{max} (ng/mL)</td>
<td>95.263 ± 20.773</td>
<td>90.794 ± 23.973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C\text{min} (ng/mL)</td>
<td>36.842 ± 12.222</td>
<td>34.376 ± 15.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC\text{ss} (ng.hr/mL)</td>
<td>772.445 ± 200.860</td>
<td>725.606 ± 201.223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are shown as least square mean ± standard deviation for pharmacokinetic parameters.

Abbreviations: AUC\text{ss}, area under curve at steady state; C\text{max}, peak concentration at steady state; C\text{min}, minimum concentration at steady state

Table 2: Mean Pharmacokinetic Parameters of Trimetazidine at steady state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>% ratio T/R</th>
<th>% intra-CV</th>
<th>90% CI for log-transformed data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cross-over steady state study</td>
<td>C\text{max} (ng/mL)</td>
<td>106.06</td>
<td>15.177</td>
<td>98.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AUC\text{ss} (ng.hr/mL)</td>
<td>106.93</td>
<td>11.994</td>
<td>100.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AUC\text{ss}, area under curve at steady state; C\text{max}, peak concentration at steady state; CV, coefficient of variation; T/R, test product/reference product; CI, confidence interval.

Table 3: Geometric mean ratio, intra subject variability, and 90% confidence intervals for trimetazidine.
treatment with TMZ, a modified release tablet, TMZ 35 mg, was developed. According to the regulatory guidelines [17], evidence has to be documented concerning rate and extent of drug absorption, fluctuation in drug concentrations, and variability in pharmacokinetics. The object of the present article is to describe some key steps taken in the characterization of the in vivo pharmacokinetics of the TMZ 35 mg tablet (Trimetazidine Extended 35 mg tablets) in comparison with the reference product.

The results of our study showed that these values of AUC\textsubscript{\text{0-\infty}} and C\textsubscript{\text{max}} were all within the acceptable range in fed state. The power of the test was also calculated for AUC\textsubscript{\text{0-\infty}} and C\textsubscript{\text{max}}. The powers of all parameters were above 0.80 which indicated that this study has adequate sample size.

Both trimetazidine modified-release products were well tolerated in the study population as there were only mild adverse events reported. Some limitations of the study design warrant mention. First, although the reference drug product is used for both male and female patients, all the subjects in the study were healthy male subjects. But this can be justified since no literature could be identified demonstrating that there is a gender effect for this drug related to pharmacokinetics.

It is important to maintain effective myocardial protection throughout a 24-hour period, especially in the early morning when the consequences of ischaemia are most severe [18]. To that respect and from sole pharmacokinetic considerations, one would anticipate the TMZ 35 mg b.i.d. regimen to provide better patient protection. The clinical efficacy of TMZ ER 35 mg has been currently confirmed in angina pectoris on exercise test performed 12 hours after the last intake of the drug. The time to 1 mm ST segment depression and time to angina were significantly delayed compared to placebo after 8-week treatment [18].

The results of the present study showed that the geometric mean ratios (90% CIs) of the AUC\textsubscript{\text{0-\infty}} and C\textsubscript{\text{max}} of trimetazidine were 106.93% (100.78%–113.45%) and 106.06% (98.42%–114.30%), respectively. The values were within the acceptance range for bioequivalence.

In summary, the sustained release properties of the TMZ 35 mg tablet have been demonstrated under steady state in healthy adult male subjects. These properties have been verified in humans where the new formulation, one tablet in the morning followed by one tablet in the evening, did provide similar total exposure, improved coverage over a 36-hour period as shown by the increased peak-trough fluctuation and no increase in inter-individual variability as compared to TMZ IR 20 mg tablet given three times a day. Furthermore, TMZ ER 35 mg with a b.i.d. administration is likely to result in improved patient compliance and to provide better patient protection for the entire day.

Conclusion

The bioequivalence study of two modified-release tablets of 35 mg trimetazidine in healthy male volunteers demonstrated pharmacokinetic equivalence in terms of both rate and extent of absorption.
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