

Recommended image post-processing formats

- Post-processing formats: Recommended
- Axial image review: Recommended
- Multi planar reformation (MFR) image review: Recommended
- Maximum intensity projection (MIP) image review: Recommended
- Curved multi planar reformation (cMPR) image review: Optional
- Volume-rendered reconstructions: Optional

Discussion

Conventional coronary angiography (CCA) gives a great perception of the coronary vasculature and has been considered as the reference standard for assessment of coronary stenosis and impediment with the benefit of high spatial determination and temporal determination. Be that as it may, it is an invasive and costly system with related morbidity and mortality. In this way, a noninvasive strategy for imaging of coronary artery disease is exceptionally alluring. The system of MDCT angiography in heart imaging is developing quickly. It has turned into a promising strategy with the expansion of locator lines from 4 slice to 16 slice and 64 slice scanners [48-51]. Expanding locator lines empower ensuing enhancements in image quality. Different points of interest of 64 slice CT incorporate diminished aggregate output time and lower measures of contrast media. These changes encourage breath holding and decrease the danger for complexity nephropathy [52].

Multi detector computed coronary angiography (MDCT) likewise permits noninvasive identification of calcified, non-calcified and mixed atheromatous plaques inside or neighboring of coronary artery and in addition surveying the level of stenosis. Our study included aggregate of 34 (36%) segments with <50% Stenosis, 43 (45%) segments 50-70% stenosis and 18 (19%) segments with >70% stenosis. The affectability and specificity of 64 slice MDCT for recognizing stenosis in 50-70% and >70% are 78.5% and 99%; 87.5% and 99.7%; 99.3% and 99.7% individually. The positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) are 71% and 99.3%; 87.5% and 99.8%; 99.2% and 99.7% individually. Over all correct nesses are 88.7%, 90.2% and 93.6% separately. Demonstrative exactness between conventional coronary angiography and 64 slice computed tomography for moderate 50-70% ($p=0.316$) stenosis and severe ($p=0.865$) stenosis were comparable with no critical distinction between both the methodology ($p >0.05$). Be that as it may, noteworthy contrast was found between conventional coronary angiography and 64 slice computed tomography with mild stenosis ($p=0.033$, $p<0.05$). 64 slice computed tomography overestimated mild <50% stenosis. Additionally, calcified plaques, non-calcified plaques and mixed plaques were likewise separated in light of CT thickness expecting limit of 130 HU for coronary calcium.

Radiation

Radiation measurements of 64 slice CT was assessed to be roughly around 15 mSv for men and 20 mSv for women [53]. These radiation dosage qualities were accomplished utilizing review ECG gating for stage synchronization. They are obviously a high viable measurement than is found in conventional coronary angiography (normal 7-10 mSv). A few dosage sparing methodologies, for example, planned ECG activating, X-beam pillar filtration, X-beam bar collimation, and programmed pitch adjustment, ECG controlled regulation of the tube present and low Kilo voltage [54] have brought about reduced

radiation measurements of between 80% at lower heart rates and 50% at higher heart rates. Starting studies on the utilization of cutting edge iterative remaking methods for CT images, uncover as much as a 10 fold diminishment in the image noise and is unmistakably another energizing zone to potentially apply to heart imaging later on [55,56]. Planned gated step and shoot securing CT has fundamentally diminished the introduction measurements of coronary CTA route underneath the dosage of a conventional coronary angiogram. Late productions have demonstrated that by applying this method and suitable imaging conventions, exact images of the coronary arteries can be gotten at measurements as low as 1-2 mSv [57,58].

Calcification

Broad coronary artery calcification frequently restrains the capacity to investigate picture information by bringing on artifacts, for example, sprouting, shaft solidifying, streaking, dispersing, and noise. This applies to either 16 slice [59-61] or 64 slice scanners [62-64]. Sprouting artifacts are likely the most essential in the term of heart CTA and happen when high thickness items, such coronary artery calcium and small stent (<3 mm), involve a bit of >1 voxel [8].

The impact of these artifacts is to oversize calcified plaques on the CT image [65,66] with consequent over estimation of luminal narrowing and complementary underestimation of leftover coronary artery luminal measurement. One conceivable approach to go around the issue would be to perform an underlying unenhanced examine.

Despite the fact that this would maintain a strategic distance from imperfect coronary CTA in patients with extreme calcification [59,61]. This is greatly controversial [67]. Although spatial determination calculations have been appeared to diminish the degrees of sprouting [8] more propelled recreation calculations or iterative remaking methods will require serious processing control and have not been all around connected to CT.

Complications

Coronary angiographies are basic and significant complexities are uncommon. These incorporate cardiovascular arrhythmias, kidney harm, blood clusters (which can bring about heart assault or stroke), hypotension and pericardial emission. Minor complexities can incorporate draining or wounding at the site where the differentiation is infused, vein harm on the course to the heart from the catheter (uncommon) and unfavorably susceptible response to the contrast.

The general danger of Real confusions with left heart catheterization is 1-2%. An incorporate death, vascular inconveniences, MI, CVA, and contrast response. Variables expanding persistent danger incorporate Age, LMT or 3 vessels coronary disease, decompensated heart failure, serious aortic stenosis, diabetes, renal failure, and earlier CVA.

Side effects of contrast agent

- Anaphylactic reactions
- Non-anaphylactic reactions
- Cholesterol embolization syndrome
- Stroke
- Local complications
- Local hematoma and bleeding
- Pseudo aneurysm
- Arteriovenous fistula

- Retroperitoneal hematoma

Accuracy

- The dominant part of demonstrate that a negative CCTA (64 slice) can successfully preclude obstructive coronary artery disease.
- In a meta-examination [14] 64 slice CCTA had an affectability of 99% and negative predictive value (NPV) of 100% for patient-based location of significant CAD.
- However, the specificity has been lower than the affectability in many studies, and false positive results are conceivable, especially in patients with high calcium scores. In the planned multicenter trial of patients with chest pain without known CAD and intermediate disease prevalence, 64 slice CCTA had a patient-based affectability of 94% and a specificity of 83% in recognizing stenosis of 70% or more prominent (equivalent qualities were seen at a 50% stenosis level). Patients with high calcium scores were not avoided and calcium scores more noteworthy than 400 indicated critical lessening in specificity. The NPV of CCTA was 99% [68].
- In a meta-analysis, however, the sensitivity was highest in the left main artery and lowest (85%) in the circumflex artery [14].

Limitation of coronary CTA

Although coronary CTA examinations are expanding in use, coronary angiography is static methods for detecting coronary artery stenosis, which is a significant narrowing of an artery which normally desire treatment such as bypass surgery or stent.

- CTA is used only as a diagnostic test unlike, DSA which can be used for both diagnosis and treatment in single session. A high risk patient of coronary artery disease and classic symptoms might go through coronary angiography alternative to CTA.
- In many areas of old, calcified plaque patients, coronary CTA is generally not helpful.
- The opening of a conventional CT scanner might not be fit to a patient who is gigantic and over the weight limit 450 pounds for gigantic patients, some efficiency has extra-large bariatric capable CT scanners.
- This test would not be good for those candidates who are extremely over weighted or who have abnormal heart rhythms because quality of image might be compromised.

Coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) advantages over invasive coronary angiography (ICA)

CCTA has essential correlation and further distinction from invasive coronary angiography (ICA)

- CCTA may also provide information about the presence of extra luminal plaque and plaque composition that is not routinely available on ICA without the use of intravascular ultrasound [69-71].
- The CCTA information set additionally contains non coronary cardiovascular and additional heart thoracic data of significance [72-74] including myocardial, pericardial, and valvular morphology and capacity and additionally aortic and aspiratory vascular basic details.
- Subsequently cardiovascular CTA offers components in the same way as echocardiography and thoracic radiology not withstanding ICA.

Assessment of stenosis grading

There are many different methods to grade the degree of stenosis which includes

- Visual assessment.
- Manually decided width or cross-sectional range on multi planar reformats opposite to the middle centerline of the vessel ("end-on" perspective).
- Diameter on maximum intensity projection (MIP) images parallel to the long hub of the vessel
- Software count [75] of distance across or zone.

Dodd et al. found that the cross-sectional zone system had the most elevated connection with quantitative coronary angiography, and MIP procedure had the littlest bury onlooker variability Evaluating is less exact in calcified plaques and in distal coronary vessels.

Since the spatial determination is lacking for exact reviewing, coronary stenosis is regularly evaluated with semi quantitative descriptors.

Normal

Mild (<50%)

Moderate (50-70% stenosis)

Severe (>70% stenosis)

Occluded

Stenosis is typically overestimated in areas where heavily calcified plaques are present. Zhang et al offer the following suggestions to better assess the degree of stenosis when calcified plaques are present [76].

- A noteworthy luminal stenosis is far-fetched if the plaque thickness measures half or less of the width of a close-by typical portion and on the off chance that it is unconventionally situated on a cross-sectional multi planar reconstruction (MPR) view or there is noticeable lumen nearby the plaque on a long-hub MPR view.
- A huge stenosis is likely if calcified plaque fills the whole focal bit of the lumen on a cross-sectional MPR image.
- A noteworthy stenosis can be recommended if calcified plaque is half or more prominent than distance across of a close-by ordinary portion on cross sectional MPR images yet does not totally fill the lumen; however, the translator may include that CCTA may overestimate the level of stenosis in this circumstance.

Recommended quantitative stenosis grading

0 Normal: Absence of plaque and no luminal stenosis; 1 Minimal: Plaque with 0.25% stenosis; 2 Mild: 25-49% stenosis; 3 Moderate: 50-69% stenosis; 4 Severe: 70-99% stenosis; 5 Occlusion

Optional quantitative stenosis grading

0 Normal: Absence of plaque and no luminal; 1 Mild: Plaque with 0.39% stenosis; 2 Moderate: 40-69%stenosis; 3 Severe: 70-99%stenosis; 4 Occluded

Benefits vs. Risk for CCTA

Benefits

- Coronary CTA is not invasive in appreciation to cardiovascular catheterization. Cardiovascular catheterization invasive, has more difficulties identified with the position of a long catheter into the arterial routes and the development of the catheter in the artery, and requires more opportunity for the patient to recover.
- A real point of interest of CT is that it can see bone, delicate tissue and veins all in the meantime. It is subsequently suited to distinguish different explanations behind your inconvenience, for example, harm to the aorta or blood coagulation in the lungs.
- Unlike routine X-ray, CT checking gives extremely point by point images of numerous sorts of tissue.
- CT examinations are quick and straightforward.
- CT has been appeared to be financially savvy for an extensive variety of therapeutic issues.
- CT is less touchy to patient development than MRI.
- CT can be performed in the event that you have an embedded restorative gadget of any sort, dissimilar to MRI.
- No radiation stays in a patient's body after a CT examination.
- X-ray utilized as a part of standard CT checks have no quick reactions.

Risks

- In some individuals with irregular kidney function, the dye utilized as a part of CT checking may decline kidney capacity.
- Not reasonable for patients with severe diabetes.
- Have unstable vital signs.
- If huge measure of X-ray contrast material leaks out from the vessel being infused and spreads under the skin where the IV is set, skin harm or harm to veins and nerves, however improbable, can come about. Continuously a slight possibility of malignancy from over the top presentation to radiation.
- There is dependably a slight shot of growth from intemperate introduction to radiation. Be that as it may, the advantage of a precise diagnosis will for the most part exceed the danger.
- Women ought to dependably educate their doctor and X-ray or CT technologist if there is any probability that they are pregnant. CT checking is, as a rule, not suggested for pregnant ladies unless therapeutically fundamental on account of potential danger to the infant.
- Manufacturers of intravenous contrast show mothers ought not breastfeed their children for 24-48 hours after contrast medium is given. In any case, both the American College of Radiology (ACR) and the European Society of Urogenital Radiology take note of that the accessible information propose that breastfeeding is sheltered to proceed subsequent to accepting intravenous contrast.
- The danger of genuine unfavorably susceptible response to contrast materials that contain iodine is to a great degree uncommon, and radiology offices are all around furnished to manage them.

Blooming artifacts

High attenuation structures, for example, calcified plaques or stents, seem broadened for (or blossomed) due to incomplete volume averaging impacts and cloud the adjoining coronary lumen. Although sharper filters or kernels and thinner slices (0.5-0.6 mm) may reduce these artifacts and may empower an enhanced evaluation of stent

patency, they have little impact on calcified plaques. It is clear that serious coronary calcification is at present the real constraining component; in this way, the end of calcium blooming artifacts is of most extreme significance for the achievement of coronary CT A. Truth be told, the nearness of thick calcified plaques is the fundamental cause of false-positive results in coronary CTA on account of overestimation of the level of stenosis, possibly expanding the quantity of subjects experiencing both invasive specific coronary angiography and coronary CTA, particularly in patient populaces with a high prevalence of coronary calcification [15,77]. A non-contrast calcium screening examine before coronary CTA (radiation presentation of around 1.3 mSv) could be performed to choose whether to perform ensuing coronary CT A. Truth be told, a solitary expansive calcified plaque in a proximal area may keep the rejection of noteworthy coronary artery stenosis. In this way, until the calcium blossoming issue has been settled, the capacity to securely avoid the nearness of huge coronary artery stenosis stays constrained in population with a high predominance of coronary calcification.

Limitation of MRA

One of the issues that have as of late developed and confine the across the board clinical use of coronary MRA is the critical increment of percutaneous methodology with stent situation, as the long term patency is fundamentally superior to in the conventional balloon angioplasty procedures. Imaging with MRI is sheltered in these patients.

Indeed, even quickly after the situation of the stent, yet the material utilized for stents (stainless steel, composite or tantalum) makes powerlessness artifacts from the metal-affected field inhomogeneity. These artifacts present as sign voids at the site of the stent, which forbid assessment of adjoining fragments of the coronary artery. The signal loss relies on upon the succession utilized and is moderately bigger for bright blood groupings. Assessment of the blood stream and its bearing proximally and distally to the stent may furnish roundabout data with reference to the stent patency and is an optional technique for evaluating stents that has been tried effectively.

In spite of the fact that, MRI is a non-invasive strategy with no short or long term hazard, patients may have contraindications, for example, pacemakers, intracranial clips, or certain other Metal inserts or they might be claustrophobic. To get a decent quality coronary MRA concentrate commonly requires a customary heart rhythm and reliable breath-holding or consistent respiratory rhythm.

Cardiac motion

Two wellsprings of movement are connected with coronary MRA: movement identified with characteristic cardiovascular constriction/unwinding and movement owing to superimposed diaphragm and chest wall movement during respiration. Since the degree of movement surpasses the measurement of the coronary artery, obscuring artifact of the coronary artery lumen will happen unless sufficient movement motion strategies are connected. ECG gating is utilized to represent natural heart movement.

Respiratory motion

A clear way to deal with stifling respiratory movement includes the utilization of breath-holding during coronary MRA. Be that as it may, breath-holding methodologies have a few constraints. To start with, spatial and temporal image resolution is restricted by the patient's

capacity to hold his or her breath. MRA have restricted appropriateness to the expansive scope of patients with cardiovascular illness.

Images acquired during coronary MRA can be envisioned in 2-dimensional (2D) or 3-dimensional (3D) views. The heart constrictions and the movement as far as possible the nature of images. Diverse strategies, for example, a breath hold procedure versus a free breathing with navigator echo based system, have been utilized to beat these impediments. For a 2D coronary MRA using the breath hold method, the patient might be required to do 30 or more breath holds for 16-20 seconds, and might be much more for a 3D coronary MRA. Then again, the free breathing with navigator method empowers respiratory synchronization of image obtaining to a particular stage and spatial area of the diaphragm with imminent or review gating.

Two principle approaches have been produced to envision the endovascular lumen. In the routine twist reverberation approach, the ranges of the vessel with turbulent stream (non-stenosed fragments) seem dark, though in the ordinarily utilized angle reverberation approach, with laminar stream seem dark in shading, and those with turbulent stream auxiliary to stenosis show up bright [78-80]. Other specialized hindrances incorporate a generally small coronary artery diameter (2.7 to 3.5 mm) [81], convoluted course of the vessels and the encompassing epicardial fat. Besides, the interpretation of the imaging of a left circumflex coronary artery in MRA is restricted by trouble to recognize the artery from the parallel running cardiovascular veins [80,81].

The analytic precision of 2D and 3D coronary MRA in contrast with conventional coronary angiography is not yet standardized, the present strategy for coronary MRA consolidates quick imaging 3D procedures with respiratory gated coronary MRA utilizing guide echo that enhances its indicative exactness. The navigator echo procedure appears to have more application in clinical practice, as the breath hold method is not generally possible for patients with congestive heart failure, CAD, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [79,80]. Nonetheless, in those cases the nature of images might be debased because of conflicting breathing patterns and patient developments [82]. The other option systems incorporate magnetic resonance subtraction techniques, which incorporate particular labeling of blood in the aortic root and suppression of the foundation tissue. This technique holds potential for representation of the proximal bit of the coronary artery, however the length of the vessel must be pictured if there is blood stream all through the coronary artery. In this manner, if the blood stream is impeded in the nearness of coronary artery stenosis, perception of the whole artery is impractical. Other strategy, for example, spiral acquisition planar imaging [83] and fragment echo planar imaging techniques [84], give a complete 3D information set packing the whole heart and can be gained in maybe a couple breath holds.

2D gradient-echo breath-hold coronary MRA has several drawbacks

- Relatively thick areas (4-6 mm in many studies) may block a precise evaluating for central stenosis [85-87]. This likely additionally clarifies the restricted assessment of convoluted vessels and the distal segments of major coronary artery, particularly the left circumflex coronary artery [87-89].
- Different oblique planes are required for various patients and for the representation of various arteries [88,89].

- Multiple breath holds can be a commonsense issue in certain patient population with the history of congestive heart failure, COPD, and severe CAD [79,80].
- Inconsistent breath-holds and miss-enrollment between the breath holds may prompt deficient vessel assessment [89-91].

Nevertheless, 3D coronary MRA also has several limitations

- Some pictures may not be gotten amid the sought end expiratory stage [92].
- Patient participation is vital with consistent, rhythmic breath and no development for the entire acquisition time of around 35 minutes.
- Temporal and spatial resolutions are basic components for picture quality in coronary MRA [93,94].

Application of coronary MRA

Coronary MRA is a symptomatic methodology with phenomenal delicate tissue contrast and, in this way, allows perception and investigation of plaques and the segments of the arteries. This has been appeared in the atherosclerotic injuries in vitro and in vivo in substantial arteries, for example, the carotid arteries [95,96]. Coronary MRA is profoundly compelling in distinguishing coronary artery anomalies [97]. Conventional coronary MRA is an imperative symptomatic instrument for the analysis of patency of coronary artery bypass grafts, as the arteries have lesser portability with heart and respiratory movement furthermore have a bigger lumen (5-10 mm) [98]. Nagel et al. thought about high measurement dobutamine stress magnetic resonance imaging (DMRI) with dobutamine stress echocardiography and found that DMRI was more delicate and more particular than dobutamine stress echocardiography in the recognition of myocardial ischemia utilizing coronary stenosis by arteriography as the best quality level. Hundley et al. [99] reported that DMRI gives excellent symptomatic data and additionally prognostic data.

Cardiovascular MRI is in a perfect world suited for the identification of myocardial suitability due to its capacity to survey the transmural of myocardial scar, and myocardial performance [100]. At the current situation with specialized improvement, the affectability and specificity of coronary MRA with retrospective navigator echo respiratory triggering is only modest. The strategy is poor and not valuable as a screening technique for CAD [101].

The benefit of traditional catheter angiography is that treatment with a stent should be possible instantly at the time of finding, while patients with stenosis analyzed by MRI or CT will probably need to calendar catheterization for treatment on one more day. What's more, CT angiography require introduction to radiation and conceivably harmful dye. Consolidating MRI with coronary angiography may give a noteworthy point of interest to certain sorts of patients

"The principle distinction/point of interest is the non-invasive nature of CT and MRI with lower examination time, higher patient comfort. Risk benefit must be considered for individual patient and depends on upon both patient qualities and the clinical situation".

Limitations

Despite the fact that this examination was attempted to be precisely set, I am still mindful of its unavoidable restrictions. Firstly, because of as far as possible, this examination was led on a small size of information (number of patient). Secondly, additionally experienced

issues in visual investigation and measuring the distance across of coronary artery. Finally, over workload, as we were required to have full time obligations, contemplates, classes and so forth, these components may have influenced the result of results to some degree.

Conclusion

Coronary lesions can be distinguished with higher affectability, specificity. Negative predictive value and positive predictive value by multi detector computed tomography (MDCT). By and large, this study demonstrates that multi detector computed coronary angiography (MDCCA) could turn into an analytic distinct option for conventional coronary angiography (CCA) for reviewing and measurement of stenosis knowing the few restrictions of conventional coronary angiography. Notwithstanding, the capability of multi detector computed coronary angiography (MDCCA) in the recognition of distal anastomotic stenosis may be restricted.

Specifically, a small distal coronary vessel can prompt overestimation of anastomotic stenosis. However, multi detector computed coronary angiography (MDCCA) could be an accurate imaging technique for the exclusion of greater than 50% stenosis and occlusion. It can be utilized with higher precision as a part of clinical practice as a noninvasive option imaging strategy for clinically suspected coronary artery disease (CAD).

Coronary MRA is a quickly advancing, new non-invasive system. Although coronary MRA in no time has constrained clinical utility, it the possibility to help in the conclusion of coronary artery stenosis with a high level of exactness, particularly in the proximal and middle sections, however stays trying for distal coronary arteries. Magnetic resonance technology has some limitations, which improves it hard to have representation of the coronary arteries.

These confinements are optional to the convoluted course of the coronary arteries, coronary arteries of smaller breadth (2.7 to 35 mm), fast development brought on by respiratory and cardiovascular compressions, and the encompassing epicardia fat. It might likewise be hard to recognize the coronary arteries from the parallel running coronary veins during the interpretation of coronary MRA, particularly for the left circumflex coronary arteries [26,27,100,101]. Despite the fact that coronary MRA is a conceivably valuable clinical application, particularly in patients a low likelihood of CAD, it doesn't permit representation of smaller vessels, for example, side branches or the distal fragments of vast epicardia arteries [101]. A few ways to deal with coronary MRA have been depicted, however nobody method can be all around connected [102]. Future examination ought to concentrate on the advancement of ideal respiratory techniques by enhancing the demonstrative and spatial determination to picture more prominent lengths of coronary arteries and fast obtaining of the information.

New systems are being explored to enhance the indicative precision, particularly the utilization of intravenous contrast media, furthermore to decrease the securing time with volume target imaging. It is possible that advances in innovation will help in accomplishing this objective not long from now.

References

1. American Heart Association (2001) Heart and stroke statistical update. Dallas, TX.
2. (2017) Heart attack coronary artery disease. Mount Sinai Hospital, New York.
3. Chow BJ, Veinot JP (2006) What are the most useful and trustworthy noninvasive anatomic markers of existing vascular disease? *Curr Cardiol Rep* 8: 439-445.
4. Chen JW, Wasserman BA (2005) Vulnerable plaque imaging. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 15: 609-621.
5. Kunimasa T, Sato Y, Sugi K, Moroi M (2005) Evaluation by multislice computed tomography of atherosclerotic coronary artery plaques in non-culprit, remote coronary arteries of patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Circ J* 69: 1346-1351.
6. Hassan A, Nazir SA, Alkadh H (2011) Technical challenges of coronary CT angiography: Today and tomorrow. *Eur J Radiol* 79: 161-171.
7. Becker CR, Knez A, Leber A (2000) Initial experiences with multislice detector spiral CT in diagnosis of arteriosclerosis of coronary vessels. *Radiology* 40: 118-122.
8. Becker CR, Ohnesorge BM, Schoepf UJ (2000) Current development of cardiac imaging with multidetector-row CT. *Eur J Radiol*. 36: 97-103.
9. Kopp AF, Ohnesorge B, Flohr T (2000) Cardiac multidetector-row CT: First clinical results of retrospectively ECG-gated spiral with optimized temporal and spatial resolution. *Fortschr Rontgenstr* 172: 429-435.
10. Kopp AF, Schroeder S, Kuettner A (2001) Coronary arteries: Retrospectively ECG-gated multi-detector row CT angiography with selective optimization of the image reconstruction window. *Radiology* 221: 683-688.
11. Kim SY, Seo JB, Do KH (2006) Coronary artery anomalies: Classification and ECG-gated multi-detector row CT findings with angiographic correlation. *Radiographics* 26: 317-334.
12. <https://www.journals.elsevier.com/journal-of-clinical-neuroscience>
13. Bluemke DA, Achenbach S, Budoff M, Gerber TC, Gersh B, et al. (2008) Noninvasive coronary artery imaging magnetic resonance angiography and multidetector computed tomography angiography: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association Committee on Cardiovascular Imaging and Intervention of the Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention, and the Councils on Clinical Cardiology and Cardiovascular Disease in the Young. *Circulation* 118: 586-606.
14. Mowatt G, Cummins E, Waugh N, Walker S, Cook J, et al. (2008) Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of 64-slice or higher computed tomography angiography as an alternative to invasive coronary angiography in the investigation of coronary artery disease. *Health Technol Assess* 12: 143.
15. Schuijf JD, Bax JJ, Shaw LJ, de Roos A, Lamb HJ, et al. (2006) Meta-analysis of comparative diagnostic performance of magnetic resonance imaging and multislice computed tomography for noninvasive coronary angiography. *Am Heart J* 151: 404-411.
16. Paulin S, von Schulthess GK, Fossel E, Krayenbuehl HP (1987) MR imaging of the aortic root and proximal coronary arteries. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 148: 665-670.
17. Nagel E, Lehmkuhl HB, Bocksch W, Klein C, Vogel U, et al. (1999) Noninvasive diagnosis of ischemia-induced wall motion abnormalities with the use of high-dose dobutamine stress magnetic resonance imaging: Comparison with dobutamine stress echocardiography. *Circulation*. 99: 763-770.
18. (1998) The clinical role of magnetic resonance in cardiovascular disease: Task force of the European Society of Cardiology, in collaboration with the Association of European Pediatric Cardiologists. *Eur Heart J* 19: 19-39.
19. Sechtem U, Baer FM, Voth E, Theissen P, Schneider CA (1999) Stress functional MRI: Detection of ischemic heart disease and myocardial viability. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 10: 667-675.
20. Van-Rossum AC, Galjee MA, Post JC, Visser CA (1997) A practical approach to MRI of coronary artery bypass graft patency and flow. *Int J Card Imaging* 13: 199-204.
21. Toussaint JF, LaMuraglia GM, Southern JF, Fuster V, Kantor HL (1996) Magnetic resonance images lipid, fibrous, calcified, hemorrhagic, and

- thrombotic components of human atherosclerosis in vivo. *Circulation* 94: 932-938.
22. Fayad ZA (2003) MR imaging for the noninvasive assessment of atherothrombotic plaques. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 11: 101-13.
23. Post JC, Van-Rossum AC, Hofman MB, de-Cock CC, Valk J, et al. (1997) Clinical utility of two-dimensional magnetic resonance angiography in detecting coronary artery disease. *Eur Heart J* 18: 426-433.
24. Watanuki A, Yoshino H, Udagawa H, Yokoyama K, Nitatori T, et al. (2000) Quantitative evaluation of coronary stenosis by coronary magnetic resonance angiography. *Heart Vessels* 15: 159-166.
25. Sakuma H, Caputo GR, Steffens JC, O'Sullivan M, Bourne MW, et al. (1994) Breath-hold MR cine angiography of coronary arteries in healthy volunteers: Value of multiangle oblique imaging planes. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 163: 533-537.
26. Yoshino H, Nitatori T, Kachi E, Yano K, Taniuchi M, et al. (1997) Directed proximal magnetic resonance coronary angiography compared with conventional contrast coronary angiography. *Am J Cardiol* 80: 514-518.
27. Post JC, van-Rossum AC, Hofman MB, Valk J, Visser CA. (1995) Protocol for two-dimensional magnetic resonance coronary angiography studied in three-dimensional magnetic resonance data sets. *Am Heart J* 130: 167-173.
28. Duerinckx AJ, Atkinson DP, Mintonovitch J, Simonetti OP, Vrman MK (1996) Two-dimensional coronary MRA: Limitations and artifacts. *Eur Radiol* 6: 312-325.
29. Paschal CB, Haacke EM, Adler LP (1993) Three-dimensional MR imaging of the coronary arteries: Preliminary clinical experience. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 3: 491-500.
30. Li D, Paschal CB, Haacke EM, Adler LP (1993) Coronary arteries: Three-dimensional MR imaging with fat saturation and magnetization transfer contrast. *Radiology* 187: 401-406.
31. Wielopolski PA, van-Geuns RJ, de-Feyter PJ, Oudkerk M (2000) Coronary arteries. *Eur Radiol* 10: 12-35.
32. Moshage W, Achenbach S, Daniel WG (2001) Novel approaches to the non-invasive diagnosis of coronary-artery disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 16: 21-28.
33. Austen WG, Edwards JE, Frye RL, Gensini GG, Gott VL, et al. (1975) A reporting system on patients evaluated for coronary artery disease. Report of the Ad hoc committee for grading of coronary artery disease, Council on cardiovascular surgery, American Heart Association. *Circulation* 51: 5-40.
34. Pannu HK, Alvarez W, Fishman EK (2006) β -blockers for cardiac CT: A primer for the radiologist. *Am J Roentgenol* 186: S341-S345.
35. (2009) Physicians' desk reference. Montvale, NJ: Thomson PDR.
36. Beller GA (2006) A proposal for an advanced cardiovascular imaging training track. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 48: 1299-1303.
37. Achenbach S, Ropers D, Kuettner A, Flohr T, Ohnesorge B, et al. (2006) Contrast-enhanced coronary artery visualization by dual-source computed tomography-initial experience. *Eur J Radiol* 57: 331-335.
38. Flohr T, Küttner A, Bruder H, Stierstorfer K, Halliburton SS, et al. (2003) Performance evaluation of a multi-slice CT system with 16-slice detector and increased gantry rotation speed for isotropic submillimeter imaging of the heart. *Herz* 28: 7-19.
39. Halliburton SS, Stillman AE, Flohr T, Ohnesorge B, Obuchowski N, et al. (2003) Do segmented reconstruction algorithms for cardiac multi-slice computed tomography improve image quality? *Herz* 28: 20-31.
40. Ohnesorge B, Flohr T, Becker C, Kopp AF, Schoepf UJ, et al. (2000) Cardiac imaging by means of electrocardiographically gated multisection spiral CT: Initial experience. *Radiology* 217: 564-571.
41. Vogl TJ, Abolmaali ND, Diebold T, Engelmann K, Ay M, et al. (2002) Techniques for the detection of coronary atherosclerosis: Multi-detector row CT coronary angiography. *Radiology* 223: 212-220.
42. Ropers D, Baum U, Pohle K, Anders K, Ulzheimer S, et al. (2003) Detection of coronary artery stenoses with thin-slice multi-detector row spiral computed tomography and multiplanar reconstruction. *Circulation* 107: 664-666.
43. Kerl JM, Hofmann LK, Thilo C, Vogl TJ, Costello P, et al. (2007) Coronary CTA: Image acquisition and interpretation. *J Thorac Imaging* 22: 22-34.
44. Ferencik M, Ropers D, Abbara S, Cury RC, Hoffmann U, et al. (2007) Diagnostic accuracy of image postprocessing methods for the detection of coronary artery stenoses by using multidetector CT. *Radiology* 243: 696-702.
45. Dodd JD, Rieber J, Pomerantsev E, Chaithiraphan V, Achenbach S, et al. (2008) Quantification of nonculprit coronary lesions: Comparison of cardiac 64-MDCT and invasive coronary angiography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 191: 432-438.
46. Lu B, Dai RP, Jiang SL, Bai H, He S, et al. (2001) Effects of window and threshold levels on the accuracy of three-dimensional rendering techniques in coronary artery electron-beam CT angiography. *Acad Radiol* 8: 754-761.
47. Regenfus M, Ropers D, Achenbach S, Schlundt C, Kessler W, et al. (2003) Diagnostic value of maximum intensity projections versus source images for assessment of contrast-enhanced three-dimensional breath-hold magnetic resonance coronary angiography. *Invest Radiol* 38: 200-206.
48. Nieman K, Oudkerk M, Rensing BJ (2001) Coronary angiography with multi-slice computed tomography. *Lancet* 357: 599-603.
49. Kopp AF, Schroeder S, Kuettner A, Baumbach A, Georg C, et al. (2002) Non-invasive coronary angiography with high resolution multidetector-row computed tomography: Results in 102 patients. *Eur Heart J* 23: 1714-1725.
50. Achenbach S, Giesler T, Ropers D (2001) Detection of coronary artery stenoses by contrast-enhanced, retrospectively electrocardiographically-gated, multislice spiral computed tomography. *Circulation* 103: 2535-2538.
51. Kuettner A, Trabold T, Schroeder S (2004) Noninvasive detection of coronary lesions using 16-detector multislice spiral computed tomography technology. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 44: 1230-1237.
52. Ehara M, Surmely JE, Kawai M (2006) Diagnostic accuracy of 64-slice computed tomography for detecting angiographically significant coronary artery stenosis in an unselected consecutive patient population: Comparison with conventional invasive angiography. *Circ J* 70: 564-571.
53. Paul JE, Abada HT (2007) Strategies for reduction of radiation dose in cardiac multislice CT. *Eur Radiol* 17: 2028-2037.
54. Leschka S, Stolzmann P, Schmid FT (2008) Low kilovoltage cardiac dual-source CT: attenuation, noise, and radiation dose. *Eur Radiol*. 18: 1809-1817.
55. Hsieh J, Londt J, Dutta S, Okerlund D, Woodford M (2006) High-resolution low-noise reconstruction for EKG-gated chest scans.
56. Supanich M, Rowley H, Chen G, Hsieh J, Mistretta C (2006) Dose reduction in neuro CT exams using highly constrained back projection (HYPR) techniques. *RSNA*.
57. Husmann L, Valenta I, Gaemperli O (2008) Feasibility of low-dose coronary CT angiography: First experience with prospective ECG-gating. *Eur Heart J* 29: 191-197.
58. Husmann L, Valenta I, Kaufmann PA (2008) Coronary angiography with low-dose computed tomography at 1.4 mSv. *Herz* 33: 75.
59. Morgan-Hughes GJ, Roobottom CA, Owens PE (2005) Highly accurate coronary angiography with submillimetre, 16-slice computed tomography. *Heart* 91: 308-313.
60. Martuscelli E, Romagnoli A, D'Eliseo A (2004) Accuracy of thin-slice computed tomography in the detection of coronary stenoses. *Eur Heart J* 25: 1043-1048.
61. Heuschmid M, Kuettner A, Schroeder S (2005) ECG-gated 16-MDCT of the coronary arteries: assessment of image quality and accuracy in detecting stenoses. *Am J Roentgenol* 184: 1413-1419.
62. Mollet NR, Cademartiri F, van-Mieghem CA (2005) High-resolution spiral computed tomography coronary angiography in patients referred for diagnostic conventional coronary angiography. *Circulation* 112: 2318-2323.

63. Leschka S, Alkadhi H, Plass A (2005) Accuracy of MSCT coronary angiography with 64-slice technology: First experience. *Eur Heart J* 26: 1482-1487.
64. Thilo C, Auler M, Zwerner P, Costello P, Schoepf UJ (2007) Coronary CTA: Indications, patient selection, and clinical implications. *J Thorac Imaging* 22: 35-39.
65. Mollet NR, Cademartiri F, Krestin GP (2005) Improved diagnostic accuracy with 16-row multi-slice computed tomography coronary angiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 45: 128-132.
66. Mollet NR, Cademartiri F, Nieman K (2004) Multislice spiral computed tomography coronary angiography in patients with stable angina pectoris. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 43: 2265-2270.
67. Cademartiri F, Mollet NR, Lemos PA (2005) Impact of coronary calcium score on diagnostic accuracy for the detection of significant coronary stenosis with multislice computed tomography angiography. *Am J Cardiol* 95: 1225-1227.
68. Budoff MJ, Dowe D, Jollis JG, Gitter M, Sutherland J, et al. (2008) Diagnostic performance of 64-multidetector row coronary computed tomographic angiography for evaluation of coronary artery stenosis in individuals without known coronary artery disease: Results from the prospective multicenter ACCURACY (Assessment by Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography of Individuals Undergoing Invasive Coronary Angiography) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 52: 1724-1732.
69. Hoffmann U, Moselewski F, Nieman K, Jang IK, Ferencik M, et al. (2006) Noninvasive assessment of plaque morphology and composition in culprit and stable lesions in acute coronary syndrome and stable lesions instable angina by multidetector computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 47: 1655-1662.
70. Leber AW, Knez A, Becker A, Becker C, Reiser M, et al. (2005) Visualising noncalcified coronary plaques by CT. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 21: 55-61.
71. Lin F, Shaw LJ, Berman DS, Callister TQ, Weinsaft JW, et al. (2008) Multidetector computed tomography coronary artery plaque predictors of stress-induced myocardial ischemia by SPECT. *Atherosclerosis* 197: 700-709.
72. Kaplan S, Aronow WS, Ahn C, Lai H, DeLuca AJ, et al. (2008) Prevalence of an increased ascending thoracic aorta diameter diagnosed by two-dimensional echocardiography versus 64-multislice cardiac computed tomography. *Am J Cardiol* 101: 119-121.
73. Castorina S, Riccioli V (2007) Heart, aorta, peripheral and supra aortic trunks imaging realized by MSCT 64. Our experience. *Ital J Anat Embryol* 112: 131-143.
74. Cook SC, Raman SV (2007) Unique application of multislice computed tomography in adults with congenital heart disease. *Int J Cardiol* 19: 101-106.
75. Dodd JD, Rieber J, Pomerantsev E (2008) Quantification of nonculprit coronary lesions: comparison of cardiac 64-MDCT and invasive coronary angiography. *Am J Roentgenol* 191: 432-438.
76. Zhang S, Levin DC, Halpern EJ, Fischman D, Savage M, et al. (2008) Accuracy of MDCT in assessing the degree of stenosis caused by calcified coronary artery plaques. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 191: 1676-1683.
77. Lorenz CH, Johansson LO (1999) Contrast-enhanced coronary MRA. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 10: 703-708.
78. Davidson CJ, Fishman RF, Bonow RO (1997) *Cardiac catheterization: Heart disease (5th edn.)* WB Saunders, Philadelphia. pp: 177-203.
79. Foo TK, Saranathan M, Hardy CJ, Ho VB (2000) Coronary artery magnetic resonance imaging: A patient-tailored approach. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 11: 406-416.
80. Danias PG, Edelman RR, Manning WJ (1998) Coronary MR angiography. *Cardiol Clin* 16: 207-225.
81. Dodge JT, Jr, Brown BG, Bolson EL, Dodge HT (1992) Lumen diameter of normal human coronary arteries. Influence of age, sex, anatomic variation, and left ventricular hypertrophy or dilation. *Circulation*. 86: 232-246.
82. Taylor AM, Jhooti P, Wiesmann F, Keegan J, Firmin DN, et al. (1997) MR navigator-echo monitoring of temporal changes in diaphragm position: Implications for MR coronary angiography. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 7: 629-636.
83. Manning WJ, Edelman RR (1995) Coronary MR angiography. *Radiology* 195: 875-876.
84. Wielopolski PA, Manning WJ, Edelman RR (1995) Single breath-hold volumetric imaging of the heart using magnetization-prepared 3-dimensional segmented echo planar imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 5: 403-409.
85. Manning WJ, Li W, Edelman RR (1993) A preliminary report comparing magnetic resonance coronary angiography with conventional angiography. *N Engl J Med*. 328: 828-832.
86. Duerinckx AJ, Urman MK (1994) Two-dimensional coronary MR angiography: Analysis of initial clinical results. *Radiology* 193: 731-738.
87. Pennell DJ, Bogren HG, Keegan J, Firmin DN, Underwood SR (1996) Assessment of coronary artery stenosis by magnetic resonance imaging. *Heart* 75: 127-133.
88. Hofman MB, Paschal CB, Li D, Haacke EM, van-Rossum AC, et al. (1995) MRI of coronary arteries: 2D breath-hold vs. 3D respiratory-gated acquisition. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 19: 56-62.
89. Post JC, van-Rossum AC, Hofman MB, Valk J, Visser CA (1996) Three-dimensional respiratory-gated MR angiography of coronary arteries: Comparison with conventional coronary angiography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 166: 1399-1404.
90. Kim WY, Danias PG, Stuber M, Flamm SD, Plein S, et al. (2001) Coronary magnetic resonance angiography for the detection of coronary stenoses. *N Engl J Med* 345: 1863-1869.
91. Muller MF, Fleisch M, Kroeker R, Chatterjee T, Meier B, et al. (1997) Proximal coronary artery stenosis: Three-dimensional MRI with fat saturation and navigator echo. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 7: 644-651.
92. Nikolaou K, Huber A, Knez A, Becker C, Bruening R, et al. (2002) Intraindividual comparison of contrast-enhanced electron-beam computed tomography and navigator-echo-based magnetic resonance imaging for noninvasive coronary artery angiography. *Eur Radiol* 12: 1663-1671.
93. Kessler W, Achenbach S, Moshage W, Zink D, Kroeker R, et al. (1997) Usefulness of respiratory gated magnetic resonance coronary angiography in assessing narrowings 50% in diameter in native coronary arteries and in aortocoronary bypass conduits. *Am J Cardiol* 80: 989-993.
94. Bunce NH, Pennell DJ (2001) Magnetic resonance of coronary arteries. *Eur Radiol* 11: 721-731.
95. Shinnar M, Fallon JT, Wehrli S, Levin M, Dalmacy D, et al. (1999) The diagnostic accuracy of ex-vivo MRI for human atherosclerotic plaque characterization. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 19: 2756-2761.
96. Yuan C, Beach KW, Smith LH, Jr, Hatsukami TS (1998) Measurement of atherosclerotic carotid plaque size in-vivo using high resolution magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 98: 2666-2671.
97. Vliegen HW, Doornbos J, de-Roos A, Jukema JW, Bekedam MA, et al. (1997) Value of fast gradient echo magnetic resonance angiography as an adjunct to coronary arteriography in detecting and confirming the course of clinically significant coronary artery anomalies. *Am J Cardiol* 79: 773-776.
98. White RD, Caputo GR, Mark AS, Modin GW, Higgins CB (1987) Coronary artery bypass graft patency: Noninvasive evaluation with MR imaging. *Radiology* 164: 681-686.
99. Hundley WG, Morgan TM, Neagle CM, Hamilton CA, Rerkpattanapipat P, et al. (2002) Magnetic resonance imaging determination of cardiac prognosis. *Circulation* 106: 2328-2333.
100. Mankad S, Khalil R, Kramer CM (2003) MRI for the diagnosis of myocardial ischemia and viability. *Curr Opin Cardiol* 18: 351-356.
101. Ikonen AE, Manninen HI, Vainio P, Hirvonen TP, Vanninen RL, et al. (2003) Three-dimensional respiratory-gated coronary MR angiography with reference to x-ray coronary angiography. *Acta Radiol* 44: 583-589.
102. Van-der-Wall EE, Vliegen HW, de-Roos A, Brusckhe AV (1995) Magnetic resonance imaging in coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 92: 2723-2739.