Comparison of Incidental Radiation Dose to Axilla and Internal Mammary Nodal Area by Conventional, 3DCRT, and IMRT Technique in Carcinoma of Breast
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Purpose: To quantify the incidental radiation dose delivered to axilla and internal mammary nodal (IMN) area by Conventional Tangential Radiation Therapy (CRT), 3 Dimensional Conformal Radiation Therapy (3DCRT) and Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT).

Methods and Materials: We prospectively evaluated incidental radiation to axilla in twenty cases of breast cancer treated with adjuvant radiation therapy. Three plans were generated for each case, comprising CRT, 3DCRT and IMRT tangents. Radiation doses to axillary levels I, II, III, and IMN areas were evaluated for mean dose, V95, V80 and V50. Comparisons were made using ANOVA.

Results: The mean volume and range of the axillary level I, II, III, and IMN were 61.1 cc and 142-57 cc; 42.6 cc and 61-21cc; 19.5 cc and 34-15 cc; 13.2 cc and 21-9 cc respectively. The mean dose to axilla by 3 techniques (by IMRT, 3DCRT and CRT) to Level I, II, III, and IMN were 75%, 53%, 38%, and 61% vs. 81%, 64%, 44% and 77% vs. 92%, 86%, 53% and 92% respectively (p<0.05). The V95 values (volume receiving 95% of dose) for the three techniques were 43%, 39%, 17% and 49% by IMRT: 40%, 45%, 21% and 59% by 3DCRT; 72%, 61%, 24% and 65% by CRT (IMRT vs. 3DCRT for level II axilla, IMRT vs. CRT and 3DCRT vs. CRT-p<0.05). The V80 were 49%, 53%, 29%, and 57% by IMRT; 55%, 47%, 34% and 68% by 3DCRT; 85%, 77%, 44% and 69% by CT (IMRT vs. 3DCRT for level III axilla and IMN, IMRT vs. CRT and 3DCRT vs. CRT-p<0.05). The V50 were 75%, 65%, 41% and 66% by IMRT; 82%, 53%, 57% and 84% by 3DCRT; 94%, 89%, 42% and 90% by CRT (IMRT vs. 3DCRT, IMRT vs. CRT, and 3DCRT vs. CRP-p<0.05).

Conclusion: Axillary and internal mammary nodal areas receive substantial amount of incidental radiation doses with all the three techniques; however, conformal techniques (IMRT, 3DCRT) deliver significantly lesser incidental radiation to lower axilla than CRT technique.
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Introduction:

Breast cancer is one of the common cancers in females worldwide. These days standard practice is breast conserving surgery followed by adjuvant radiotherapy for early presentation [1] and mastectomy followed by adjuvant radiotherapy of the chest wall with or without chemotherapy in advanced cases [2]. Many studies [3,4] have shown that adjuvant radiotherapy to the chest wall improves local control and also survival in node positive and advanced node negative breast cancer patients after mastectomy. The adjuvant radiotherapy of the chest wall is commonly achieved with tangential beams. They include part of the anterior thoracic cavity which in turn leads to significant radiation to heart and lung leading to the risk of long-term pulmonary and cardiac complications [5]. The conformal radiation therapy like three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3DCRT) and intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) leads to favorable dose distribution and less dose to these critical organs [6,7]. All above data are basically on breast conservative cases. There is still scarce literature on dose distribution by all three radiation techniques in post mastectomy breast carcinoma [8,9]. In breast cancer pT1-3 with 1 to 3 positive lymph nodes after completed axillary dissection, external beam radiation to breast alone is standard of care [10]. Patients not receiving radiation even to the breast have more frequent axillary recurrence [11]. Early breast cancer with positive sentinel-node have about 40% chances of having additional nodes on complete axillary dissection and also add significance chance of internal mammary chain lymph nodes. In a trial conducted by American College of Surgeons Oncology Group (Z0011), randomized patients of early breast cancer who underwent breast conservation surgery and had positive sentinel node biopsy (SNB) to standard axillary dissection or no further axillary surgery, followed by radiation to breast alone in both the arms. The study concluded that axillary failures were same in both the arms [12] raising the possibility that incidental dose of radiation to axilla when breast is being irradiated might contribute in eradicating microscopic disease in undissected axilla.
Whenever in early case of Breast carcinoma where lymph node irradiation is not indicated or not needed, then the question definitely arises on incidental radiation dose to these area on over treatment that help to treat micro-metastasis or this may attribute to extra complications in term of lymphedema. Axillary lymph node dissection can lead to some of the most debilitating morbidity for breast cancer patients which include arm lymphedema, shoulder mobility impairment, and brachial plexopathy in 20% of cases which leads to impairment in quality of life (QOL) [13]. This is greatly enhanced by the combination of axillary surgery and radiation to approximately 40% [14]. Thus this contributory radiation from chest wall radiation to axilla may raise a question of benefit vs. complication when radiation is intended for breast or chest wall irradiation alone.

In the same way, the incidental radiation dose to internal mammary group of lymph node in those cases may help to combat the micrometastasis is still not clear. Again, unexpected radiation doses to this area raise a question of benefit vs. complication in breast or chest wall irradiation alone. There are some investigators who have analyzed the coverage of axillary region. No literature present suggestive of incidental radiation dose to internal mammary nodal region in any of the radiation technique. Also literature is sparse on incidental doses especially with newer techniques. Thus, we are aiming to know the difference in technique in terms of incidental radiation dose to these areas and possible clinical relevance.

This study attempts to quantify the incidental dose delivered to axilla and internal mammary area by three techniques (conventional tangential, 3D CRT and IMRT), which might help us understand the role of incidental radiation in controlling microscopic disease and/or increasing the incidence of axillary toxicity.

**Materials and Methods**

This study was conducted in three regional cancer centers. We prospectively evaluated twenty cases of breast cancers that underwent either breast conservative surgery (10 patients) or modified radical mastectomy (10 cases). The patients who were planned for adjuvant radiation therapy were taken for the study. All these patients were treated with IMRT/3DCRT to whole breast or chest wall. The ipsilateral axillary nodal levels and ipsilateral internal mammary node levels were delineated. The incidental doses were dosimetrically compared in these regions by all three techniques.

**Simulation:** CT simulations were done on supine position with hands above head. Immobilization done by thermoplastic thoracic cast. Radio-opaque markers were placed to delineate the extent of clinically palpable breast tissue in BCS cases and in post mastectomy cases at the second intercostal space or opposite breast level whichever was superior, for inferior extent 2 cm below infra mammary folds of opposite breast level, and at mid axillary line laterally, mid line medially. A set of 3 fiducials was used to ascertain the positioning and setup. Patients were scanned from the lower edge of mandible to mid abdomen with 3 mm slice thickness and slice separation.

**Contouring:** Delineation of target, axillary volumes and organ at risk was done on Eclipse Varian system version 8 work stations. Clinical target volume (CTV) and Ipsilateral Axilla (Level I, II, III) in both breast conservatory cases and in post mastectomy cases contoured as per RTOG (Radiation Therapy and Oncology Group) breast cancer atlas for radiation planning. Consensus definition [15] aided by the markers placed during simulation. Planning target volume (PTV) was constructed by expanding CTV by 10 mm all around except skin where 3 mm is taken. The critical structures delineated were lungs, heart, contralateral breast, ipsilateral humeral head, trachea, and esophagus. Ipsilateral internal mammary group of lymph node were drawn by contouring ipsilateral internal mammary vessels from 1st to 4th intercostal spaces and a safety margin of 7 mm was given.

**Dose prescription:** Dose prescribed to PTV was 50 Gy in 25 fractions at 95% coverage volume getting at least 95% of the prescribed dose. Three plans were devised for each CT data set by Eclipse version 8 varian planning system which includes standard conventional tangent with half beam block, 3D conformal Radiation therapy (3DCRT) plan and tangential intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) plan. For the conventional tangent plan, the superior and inferior border of the conventional opposed tangential irradiation fields were placed at superior and inferior fiducial level.

Efforts were made to keep the amount of lung as measured in the tangential field from deep edge to chest (mean lung distance MLD) wall within 1.5 cm to 2.5 cm. Superposition algorithm was used for dose calculation in all treatment plans. For 3DCRT and IMRT plans, the goal was coverage of at least 95% of PTV with 95% of prescribed dose. The physics team was blinded to the axillary contours. Plan evaluation and statistical analysis: Dose volume histograms (DVH) were used to evaluate all the three plans of each case with respect to PTV coverage and OAR sparing.

Doses to axillary and internal mammary nodal levels were compared between the three plans using DVH. Axillary level I, II, III, and internal mammary group were evaluated for mean dose, volume receiving 95% of prescribed dose (V95%), V80% and V50%. Analysis was carried out using SPSS version 16 and comparison was made using ANOVA. Difference was regarded statistically significant at p value of <0.05.

**Results:**

A total of twenty patients were selected. Mean age of the patient is 58 ± 13 years. All patients were infiltrative breast cancer (the details are given in Table 1). The mean volume and range of the axillary level I, II, III, and internal mammary chain were 61.1 cc and 142-57 cc; 42.6 cc and 61-21 cc; 19.5 cc and 34-15 cc; 13.2 cc and 21-9 cc, respectively. The D95, D80, D50 of axillary level I, II, III and internal mammary area that receive by all the techniques is given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients (N=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>58 ± 13 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laterality-Right</td>
<td>12 (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laterality-Left</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Histopathology-Infiltrative ductal carcinoma (IDC) 20 (100%)

Stage of tumor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Node

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>12 (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Patient characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nodal stations</th>
<th>D95 (%)</th>
<th>D80 (%)</th>
<th>D50 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IMRT</td>
<td>3DCRT</td>
<td>Conv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axilla L-1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axilla L-2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axilla L-3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMN</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Incidental radiation dose (%) of the nodal levels that received by significant volume.

The average percent mean dose delivered to axillary level I, II, III and intra-mammary chain by 3 different techniques is shown in Table 3. The mean dose to axilla by 3 techniques (by IMRT, 3DCRT and CT) to Level 1, II, III, and IMN were 75%, 53%, 38%, and 61% vs 81%, 64%, 44% and 77% vs 92%, 86%, 53% and 92% respectively (p<0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nodal stations</th>
<th>IMRT (%)</th>
<th>3DCRT (%)</th>
<th>Conventional (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axilla Level 1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axilla Level 2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axilla Level 3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMN</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** The average percent mean dose delivered to axilla and internal mammary nodal (IMN) area.

The V95 values (volume receiving 95% of dose) for the three techniques were 43%, 39%, 17%, and 49% by IMRT; 40%, 45%, 21% and 59% by 3DCRT; 72%, 61%, 24% and 65% by CRT (IMRT vs 3DCRT p<0.05 for level II axilla, IMRT vs CRT and 3DCRT vs CRT p value is significant for all nodal area). The V80 were 49%, 53%, 29% and 57% by IMRT; 55%, 47%, 34% and 68% by 3DCRT; 85%, 77%, 44% and 69% by CRT (IMRT vs 3DCRT p<0.05 for level III axilla and IMN, IMRT vs CRT and 3DCRT vs CRT p value is significant for all nodal area). The V50 values were 75%, 65%, 41% and 66% by IMRT; 82%, 53%, 57% and 84% by 3DCRT; 94%, 89%, 42% and 90% by CRT (IMRT vs 3DCRT, IMRT vs CRT and 3DCRT vs CRT p value is significant for all nodal areas) as given in Tables 4 and 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nodal stations</th>
<th>V95 (%)</th>
<th>V80 (%)</th>
<th>V50 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IMRT</td>
<td>3DCRT</td>
<td>Conv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axilla Level 1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Breast cancer is reduced [16] and thus the role of radiation to internal mammary and axillary node is reduced. In SNB (Sentinal Nodal Biopsy) positive cases (<3 nodes), axillary dissection of level I, II followed by Radiation therapy (RT) to breast or chest wall, supraclavicular region, infracvlaric region is indicated whereas negative SNB cases are treated by RT to breast or chest wall alone. In locally advanced cases, axillary RT is given when axillary dissection is inadequate, more than 3 axillary nodes are positive or extracapsular spread is detected. The internal mammary nodes are included in stage III or radiaologically positive nodes or axillary node positive with inner quadrant tumors. Postoperative radiotherapy is conventionally delivered with standard tangential fields with beam splitter. However, with the introduction of conformal and intensity modulated techniques, tumor control can be achieved with improved dose homogeneity in target volume and reduced dose to organ at risk [17].

Many studies have shown there will be better long term axillary control with breast irradiation and higher nodal recurrence when breast irradiation is skipped [11,12]. That can have direct correlation, with standard tangents, the axilla receives a significant incidental dose but still adequate for clinical control of disease is unknown [18,19]. Substantial variability was observed in contouring the targets and organ at risk between observers and the structure overlaps were as low as 10% and volume variation had standard variation up to 60% [20].

In our study, the mean volume and range of the axillary level I, II, III and internal mammary chain were 61.1 cc and 142-57 cc; 42.6 cc and 61-21 cc; 19.5 cc and 34-15 cc; 13.2 cc and 21-9 cc, respectively using RTOG contouring guidelines. As per our knowledge, no literature is suggestive of guideline for contouring and volume in terms of internal mammary chain.

Discussion

With the widespread use of mammographic screening and breast self-examination, proportion of cases being detected with early staged breast cancer is reduced [16] and thus the role of radiation to internal mammary and axillary node is reduced. In SNB (Sentinal Nodal Biopsy) positive cases (<3 nodes), axillary dissection of level I, II followed by Radiation therapy (RT) to breast or chest wall, supraclavicular region, infracvlaric region is indicated whereas negative SNB cases are treated by RT to breast or chest wall alone. In locally advanced cases, axillary RT is given when axillary dissection is inadequate, more than 3 axillary nodes are positive or extracapsular spread is detected. The internal mammary nodes are included in stage III or radiaologically positive nodes or axillary node positive with inner quadrant tumors. Postoperative radiotherapy is conventionally delivered with standard tangential fields with beam splitter. However, with the introduction of conformal and intensity modulated techniques, tumor control can be achieved with improved dose homogeneity in target volume and reduced dose to organ at risk [17].

In a study by Orecchia et al. [21] in early breast cancers treated by BCS (Breast conservative surgery) and RT to breast alone, the mean volume of first axillary level receiving at least 80% of the prescribed dose was 30.7%, ranging from 0% to 70%. The mean dose delivered ranged from 5% to 80% of prescribed dose with a mean value of 48.7%. In a similar study, Krasin et al. [22] observed that adequate coverage of level I axilla was achieved only in 1 patient, about 25% of patients had one half of axilla level I covered by an adequate dose, but no patient had adequate coverage of level II, III, and the mean dose to level I, II, III was 32 Gy; 26.5 Gy; 18.2 Gy [18]. Median dose administered to level I, II as observed in a case of BCS treated by standard tangent was 38.6 Gy and 20.6 Gy and the median dose to 90% (D90%) of both level I, II was 6.75 Gy, 1.75 Gy [22]. With modern techniques, adequate coverage of the axilla using the high tangential field seems to be dependent on cranial field edge/humeral head and the inclusion of 2 cm to 2.5 cm of lung in the tangential fields using multileaf collimators. Alço et al. [23] compared classical high tangential fields with a modified technique using multileaf collimators. The doses received by 95% of axillary level I and II volumes increased from 16.79 and 11.59 Gy to 47.2 Gy and 45.03 Gy with the multileaf technique.

Li et al. [24] observed the average dose delivered to the level I, II, III with standard tangential field was 66%, 44% and 31% of the prescribed dose. The coverage increased to 86%, 71% and 73% with high tangential fields. 51% of level I, 26% of level II and 15% of level III received 95% (V95%) of the dose and this was increased to 79%, 51%, and 49% for high tangents (20). The average dose delivered to level I, II, III by IMRT technique was 80.7%, 69%, 52.3% and by conventional technique it was 85.8%, 67% and 41.8%. By outlining the regions of surgical clips and axillary tissue on CT scans, Smitt et al. [24] observed that only 1/6 patients had the lower axilla treated to 90% of the prescribed dose with conventional tangents [24]. Goodman et al. [25] contoured the CT scans of 55 patients after SNB and ALND (Axillary Lymph node dissection) and found that majority of patients had level I nodes covered by 90% isodose line.

In our study, the volume of level I that receive 95% of prescribed dose was 43%, 40% and 72%; for level II, it was 35.8%, 49% and 61.2%; and for level III, 17%, 21%, 24% respectively for IMRT, 3DCRT, and conventional tangents. The V95, V80 and V50 of internal mammary...
node were 49%, 59%, 65% vs. 57%, 68%, 69% vs. 66%, 84%, 90% by IMRT, 3DCRT and conventional tangential techniques respectively. V95%, V80% and V50% a better dose distribution in IMRT compared to 3DCRT and CT, in axilla V80% values for Level I, II and Level III in 3DCRT is better than IMRT and CT. For internal mammary nodal area, IMRT has low coverage and dose distribution than other two techniques. None of the patients had adequate coverage at any axillary and internal mammary levels, defined as 95% of volume enclosed by 95% of prescribed dose levels.

On comparison both IMRT and 3DCRT was found to have statistically significant lower radiation dose to Axilla level I,II,III and IMN in comparison to CRT (p<0.05). However, on comparing IMRT and 3DCRT, IMRT was found to give statistically significant lower radiation dose at V80 and V50 to Axillary level III and IMN area (p<0.05).

Literature suggests that tangential radiation do not adequately cover axilla, hence do not therapeutically treat axilla [24] but on the contrary, several randomized trials including NSABP B-06 demonstrate that regional failure decreases when the whole breast is treated [2,26]. Why the regional control improves in tangential irradiation is a matter of speculation and debate. It has been demonstrated that among patients with positive SNB 45% to 55% were found to have additional node disease [28]. It is possible that a dose in order of 30 Gy can bring about significant benefit to breast only will coincidently treat a significant portion of axilla.

In our study, the average mean radiation dose delivered to axilla Level I, II, III and internal mammary nodes were 75%, 53%, 38% and 61% vs. 81%, 64%, 44% and 77% vs. 92%, 86%, 53% and 92% by IMRT, 3DCRT and conventional method respectively (p<0.05), so a significant mean dose of 38% to 92% is received to the lymphatic area.

Incidental dose to axilla and internal mammary node is decreased with IMRT as compared to conventional tangent and 3DCRT and coverage is not adequate so our results are consistent with previous studies that axilla is not adequately covered with standard tangents [18,24] but in majority of patients, radiation therapy designed to treat breast only will coincidently treat a significant portion of axilla.

Analysis of the literature shows that complication rates of the ALND and axillary RT are in the same range. ALND is responsible for lymphedema of the arm and restriction of shoulder motion in 10% to 20% of the patients. The objective arm edema rate is reported in approximately 10% patients after axillary radiotherapy. The impairment in shoulder function is approximately 17% [29-31]. SNB in place of ALND decreases morbidity but does not eliminate it as demonstrated by ALMANAC randomized trial comparing quality of life outcomes between SNB alone and standard axillary treatment group (ALND or Axillary RT). The patients receiving only SNB as axillary treatment had lymphedema in 5% of cases and sensory loss in 11% cases versus 13% and 31% in patients receiving axillary radiation/ ALND [7]. Studies have been conducted on increased pulmonary toxicity as a complication of radiation to internal mammary node. However, there is a lack of radiobiological study on benefit vs. complication on incidental radiation dose to IMN area.

Conclusion

During radiation to the chest wall, a substantial incidental radiation dose is also delivered to the axilla and internal mammary nodal area which deals with micro metastasis/ isolated tumor cells but, however, leads to additive toxicity. Among the three techniques, incidental mean radiation dose to axilla and internal mammary nodes is low in IMRT followed by 3DCRT and conventional tangential beam, therefore before starting the treatment, the clinical benefits of the incidental radiation versus the limitation of the toxicity have to be taken into consideration. Based on this study we propose that in cases where conformal radiation technique is used in low risk axillary nodes, constraints to the axillary nodes should be followed as in critical organ group. Further radiobiological studies should be done to find the dose constraints for the axillary space and internal mammary chain.
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