Comparison of Risk Factor Profile and Angiographic Pattern Among Pre-Menopausal and Post-Menopausal Women Presenting with Angina: Results from a Prospective Single Center Observational Study
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Abstract

Background: Coronary artery disease (CAD) has become the major killer in women. The exact mechanism of postmenopausal increase in CAD is still under research. Limited data exists on the comparison of risk factor profiles and angiographic disease patterns in premenopausal and postmenopausal women.

Methods: This prospective study included a total of 674 consecutive female patients who underwent coronary angiogram for suspected ischemic heart disease over a period of 2 years from March 2015. Detailed risk factor profiles and angiographic patterns of disease were recorded and analyzed using EPIINFO statistical software.

Results: Out of total 674 patients, 137 (20.3%) were in the premenopausal group with mean age of (42.05 ± 4.40) years, and 537 (79.7%) in the postmenopausal group with mean age of (59.05 ± 8.01) years. Premenopausal women were more likely to be obese (57.7% vs 46.9%, p=0.0) and more likely to have a positive family history of premature CAD (38.7% vs 6.3%, p<0.0001). On the other hand, postmenopausal women were more likely to be diabetic (22.5% vs 13.1%, p=0.008), hypertensive (74.3% vs 51.8%, p<0.0001), smokers (29.4% vs 19.7%, p=0.01) and had ≥3 risk factors more frequently (42.1% vs 30.7%, p<0.009). Atypical chest pain was more common as presenting diagnosis among premenopausal women (23.4% vs 10.2%, p<0.0001). They were also more likely to have positive exercise stress test (62.1% vs 38.3%, p<0.0001) and normal coronary angiogram (59.9% vs 32%, p<0.0002) with endothelial dysfunction (84.7% vs 66.8%, p<0.0001) than post-menopausal women. Post-menopausal women had greater burden of obstructive CAD characterized by more prevalent multivessel disease in the form of double vessel (17.5% vs 8%, p=0.06) and triple vessel disease (20.5% vs 5.8%, p<0.0002).

Conclusion: There is a distinct difference between the risk factor profile and angiographic disease pattern among women according to the status of menopause. Recognition of these differences would help in better understanding of relationship of menopause to development of CAD.

Keywords: Menopause; Coronary artery disease; Risk factors; Coronary angiography

Background

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the number one killer of women in both the developed and developing countries. Previously thought of a disease primarily affecting men, it is now estimated that 1.5 million deaths of CVD in the United States, compared with 1 in 25 women who dies of breast cancer. About half of these deaths (1 in 6) are caused by coronary artery disease [1]. It has been long recognized universally that coronary artery disease (CAD) first presents approximately 10 years later among women than among men, and usually after menopause [2]. Despite this delayed onset, mortality from CAD among women is increasing more rapidly in comparison to men [3]. Alarmingly there is a substantially more prominent increase in coronary deaths among young premenopausal women compared to the men of same age [4,5]. This is despite the observation that the incidence and extent of CVD among premenopausal women is lower than among men of comparable age, even after correction for various risk factors [6]. It is still unclear how menopause affects coronary artery disease. There are hardly any studies which have systematically recorded the risk factor profiles and compared them with the angiographic pattern of disease, according to the status of menopause. The aim of this study was to study the differences in risk factor profile and coronary angiographic pattern of disease among pre- and post-menopausal women. Such studies are expected to bridge the knowledge gap in understanding the effect of menopause on development of CAD.

Methods

This prospective study was done at the Department of Cardiology, in a tertiary care hospital in Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India. All the female patients who presented to the department of cardiology over a two year period from March 2015 with clinical suspicion of coronary ischemia, based on the presence of angina with or without an abnormal stress test, were considered for participation in the study. Patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) were also included. ACS patients were then categorized into ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) or non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction/unstable angina (NSTEMI/UA). STEMI was diagnosed if ECG showed evidence of ST segment elevation in > 2 contiguous leads. Those without ST segment elevation were labelled as NSTEMI/UA based on the presence of ST segment elevation in > 2 contiguous leads.
of elevated cardiac enzymes. Patients with valvular heart disease, cardiomyopathy, pulmonary artery hypertension, congenital heart disease, renal failure and pregnancy were excluded from the study. Those refusing to give consent were also excluded.

All the eligible patients were subjected to detailed medical history for assessing nature and duration of chest pain, menstrual status and risk factor profile. Examination was carried out to examine the pulse, blood pressure and body mass index (BMI) using appropriate and validated tools.

The clinical presentation of patients was categorized as STEMI, NSTEMI/UA, typical chest pain and atypical chest pain. Chest pain was labelled as typical if it met all three of the following criteria: (1) retrosternal chest discomfort described as pressure, tightness or heaviness with duration no more than 10 minutes in most of the cases (2) provoked by exertion or emotional stress and (3) relieved by rest and/or nitrates. Atypical angina was defined as meeting 2 of the above characteristics. International cut off points of the body mass index (BMI) for Asian populations were used to assess overweight (BMI ≥ 23 kg/m² to 24.99 kg/m²) and obesity (≥ 25 kg/m²). Smokers were defined as all those patients who regularly smoked an average of one or more cigarettes a day for at least 1 year. Patients on oral hypoglycemic agents or Insulin and/or those having fasting blood sugar ≥126 g/dl were regarded as having diabetes mellitus. Hypertension was diagnosed if blood pressure >140/90 mmHg was recorded twice or in those on antihypertensive drugs. A diagnosis of dyslipidemia was made if total Cholesterol was >160 mg/dl, triglycerides >150 mg/dl, low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol >130 mg/dl and high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol was <50 mg/dl. Women with history of ischemic heart disease in first degree male relatives of less than 55 years or in female relatives less than 65 years were regarded as having family history of premature coronary artery disease. Menopause was considered to be present when there was no history of menstrual periods for the last one year. Modified Minnesota leisure time questionnaire [7] was used to define the physical activity of patient as heavy, medium and light/sedentary.

Baseline transthoracic echocardiographic examination was done in all patients to assess regional wall motion abnormalities and left ventricular function, using American Society of Echocardiography guidelines [8,9]. Coronary angiography was performed with Siemens Artis Zee Cath Lab Equipment through standard radial or femoral artery approach. Angiographic data was analyzed by using quantitative coronary analysis software by two different cardiologists independently and any discrepancies in analysis were settled at the same time. Obstructive CAD was diagnosed if lesion causing luminal narrowing ≥50% was observed in one or more epicardial coronary arteries. It was further classified into single vessel disease (SVD), double vessel disease (DVD) and triple vessel disease (TVD). Non-obstructive CAD was defined if only lumen irregularities were seen and/or if stenosis was seen causing <50% luminal narrowing. Normal epicardial coronary arteries were defined as having no luminal irregularities and luminal stenosis. In patients with normal epicardial coronaries, endothelial dysfunction was diagnosed by corrected TIMI (Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction) frame count >21 at a frame rate of 30 mm/sec. The presence of a myocardial bridge was defined by ≥10% systolic compression of the epicardial coronary artery during the cardiac cycle.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are presented in means ± standard deviation. Categorical variables are presented as proportions. Differences between pre- and postmenopausal women were compared using the student t-test for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical variables. Two-tailed significance at <0.05 was taken as statistically significant. All analyses were performed using EPI INFO version 3.4.3 (Center for disease control and prevention, United States).

Results

A total of 798 female patients underwent coronary angiography at our center over a period of 2 years since March 2015. 124 patients were excluded from the final study cohort as per pre-defined exclusion criteria. The remaining 674 patients were taken for the final analysis. The patients were divided into 2 groups, based on the status of menopause. The first group (Premenopausal) consisted of 137 (20.3%) patients who were yet to attain menopause. The remaining 537 (79.7%) postmenopausal women formed the second group (Figure 1). The differences between the baseline characteristics and risk factors among the two groups are detailed in Table 1. The mean age in premenopausal group was 42.05 ± 4.40 years, which was around 17 years younger than the mean age of postmenopausal women (59.05 ± 8.01).

Distribution of risk factor profile

In comparison to postmenopausal women, premenopausal women were more likely to be obese (57.7% vs 46.9%, p=0.01) and hypothyroid (23.4% vs 10.2%, p<0.0001). Premenopausal women were also more likely to have a positive family history of premature CAD (38.7% vs 6.3%, p<0.0001) and a LDL Cholesterol level >100 mg/dl (68.6% vs 58.7%, p<0.0001), than the postmenopausal women.

In contrast, the postmenopausal women were more likely to be smokers (29.4% vs 19.7%, p=0.01), diabetic (22.5% vs 13.1%, p=0.008) and hypertensive (74.3% vs 51.8%, p<0.0001) than the premenopausal women. They were also more likely to have 3 or more risk factors for CAD (42.1% vs 30.7%, p=0.009) and a serum HDL Cholesterol level of less than 40 mg/dl (43.9% vs 28.5%, p=0.0005).

Differences in presentation pattern

The main presentation diagnosis in both the groups was chronic stable angina. However, a significantly higher number of premenopausal women presented with atypical chest pain than postmenopausal women (23.4% vs 10.2%, p<0.0001). STEMI as the presenting diagnosis was more commonly seen in postmenopausal women (7.6% vs 2.9%, p<0.0001). Significantly higher proportion of postmenopausal women presented with LV systolic dysfunction in comparison to premenopausal women. Although a significantly high number of postmenopausal women did not undergo exercise stress test (60.6% vs 31.9%, p=0.0001),
positive result was seen more frequently in premenopausal women (62.1% vs 38.3%, p<0.0001).

**Differences in coronary angiographic profile**

The differences in coronary angiographic profile based on menopausal status are depicted in Table 2. Normal epicardial coronary arteries were seen in a significantly higher number of premenopausal women (59.9% vs 32%, p<0.0002). In those with normal coronary angiogram, endothelial dysfunction was also observed more commonly in premenopausal women (84.7% vs 66.8%, p<0.0001). The proportion of women with SVD was not statistically different between the two groups (23.3% vs 24.1%, p=0.84). On the other hand, DVD (17.5% vs 8%, p=0.06) and TVD (20.5% vs 5.8%, p<0.0002) were more prevalent in the postmenopausal women (Figure 2).

Left main coronary artery (LMCA) was more prevalent among postmenopausal women (6.2% vs 1.5%, p=0.01). While left anterior descending (LAD) artery was the most commonly involved artery in postmenopausal women, it was Right coronary artery (RCA), which was the more frequently affected in premenopausal women (Figure 3). No calcific lesions were seen in premenopausal women in comparison to 16% of postmenopausal women having calcific lesions on angiogram. Spontaneous dissections were more common among premenopausal women (9.5% vs 0.9%, p<0.0001). Myocardial bridge was seen with equal frequency in the two groups (8% vs 8.2%).

**Discussion**

It still remains unclear how menopause is related to atherosclerosis and CAD [10,11]. Conflicting data exists regarding the protective role of Oestrogen against coronary artery disease. Menopause occurs at an early age in Indian women than their western counterparts [12]. Since CAD has become the major cause of death in women [13], It therefore,
becomes imperative to understand the implications of early menopause in Indian women in causation of CAD.

The current study has 5 main findings. First, the premenopausal women present more commonly with atypical chest pain. Second, they are more likely to be obese with positive family history of premature CAD. But overall, the risk burden of CAD is lesser in them as compared to postmenopausal women. Third, exercise stress test is often underused in women as a diagnostic test before coronary angiogram, more so in postmenopausal women. A positive result is seen more commonly in women as understood from the higher prevalence of obstructive CAD non-obstructive epicardial coronary arteries [25-27]. Atherosclerotic burden is greater in postmenopausal women than premenopausal women as understood from the higher prevalence of obstructive CAD especially DVD, TVD and left main coronary artery disease in postmenopausal group and that of normal epicardial coronaries in the premenopausal women. Previous angiographic studies in women have also documented a rise in DVD and TVD as the age advances [23,28]. Premenopausal women also had greater prevalence of endothelial dysfunction. This along with higher prevalence of a positive stress test suggests a higher prevalence of micro-vascular angina in premenopausal women [29]. This is important since data from the women's ischemia syndrome evaluation (WISE) study suggests that such patients are more likely to have recurrent hospital admissions, rapid progression to obstructive CAD and greater cardiovascular mortality and morbidity when compared to the general population [30].

Figure 2: Angiographic pattern of coronary artery involvement among the study groups.

Figure 3: Angiographic pattern of coronary artery involvement among the study population based on the number of vessels involved.

The similar pattern of increasing risk of CAD after menopause has been documented in previous studies [14-17].

It is well recognized that women especially young women with CAD present more commonly with atypical symptoms [18,19]. Almost one-fifth of women in the present study presented with atypical chest pain, more commonly the premenopausal women.

The prevalence of CAD increases with age in both men and women. This is in part because of increase in the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors with age. Prior studies have documented an increase in CAD risk factors in postmenopausal women [20,21]. The similar results were obtained in the present study. Postmenopausal women had higher prevalence of diabetes, hypertension and smoking and majority of them had three or more risk factors in comparison to premenopausal women. Interestingly, premenopausal women had higher prevalence of obesity and LDL cholesterol >100 mg/dl. This reflects the effects of lifestyle changes in young females, thereby making them more prone to develop CAD. The postmenopausal women had a higher prevalence of a low HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dl. Low HDL cholesterol predicts CVD strongly in women. It is known that the risk of coronary events increases significantly in women with low levels of HDL cholesterol [22]. Thus, low HDL cholesterol levels along with an overall greater risk factor burden could be the contributing factors for increased prevalence of CAD in postmenopausal women in the present series.

Exercise stress test was performed in only half of the study population. Similar pattern of its underuse has been documented in a recent Indian study [23]. The main reasons for underuse included patients’ inability to walk on treadmill and physician’s inertia in advising a exercise stress test before coronary angiogram. This may be due to the fact that exercise stress test has a very limited accuracy in women especially because of the resting ST-T wave changes, lower ECG voltage and certain hormonal factors [24]. Its sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of obstructive CAD in women range from 31% to 71% and from 66% to 86%, respectively. Nevertheless, a negative exercise stress test provides significant diagnostic value [24].

Around 44% of women undergoing coronary angiogram in the present study were found to have normal or non-obstructive epicardial coronary arteries. This is slightly lesser than the published studies which have reported that as many as 50% of women undergoing coronary angiography for suspected IHD are found to have normal or non-obstructive epicardial coronary arteries [25-27]. Atherosclerotic burden is greater in postmenopausal women than premenopausal women as understood from the higher prevalence of obstructive CAD especially DVD, TVD and left main coronary artery disease in postmenopausal group and that of normal epicardial coronaries in the premenopausal women. Previous angiographic studies in women have also documented a rise in DVD and TVD as the age advances [23,28]. Premenopausal women also had greater prevalence of endothelial dysfunction. This along with higher prevalence of a positive stress test suggests a higher prevalence of micro-vascular angina in premenopausal women [29]. This is important since data from the women’s ischemia syndrome evaluation (WISE) study suggests that such patients are more likely to have recurrent hospital admissions, rapid progression to obstructive CAD and greater cardiovascular mortality and morbidity when compared to the general population [30].

Limitations

No study is without limitations, so is ours. First, since the data is observational, there are chances that the potential residual confounders persist. These may influence potential associations between menopausal status and disease pattern that we did not demonstrate. Second, this is a single center study. The characteristics of CAD patients vary with socio-demographic profiles, so caution must be employed while extrapolating this data to other populations. Third, we did not record the age of menopause and the type of menopause systematically in the study groups. This would have provided more information on the effect of menopause on the development of CAD. Fourth, lack of use of intravascular ultrasound, optical coherence tomography and fractional flow reserve might have influenced the anatomical and functional severity of coronary artery lesions.
Conclusion

The strength of the study lies in systematic recording of the risk factor profiles and their comparison with the angiographic pattern of disease after stratification according to the status of menopause. This makes the results of present study noteworthy.

The study reveals a distinct difference in the pattern of CAD between the premenopausal and postmenopausal women, with a greater incidence of atypical angina, positive exercise stress test and angiographically normal epicardial coronaries with endothelial dysfunction in the premenopausal women. These women are more likely to develop obstructive CAD in future, warranting aggressive lifestyle and risk factor modification. In contrast, postmenopausal women have higher risk factor burden and more atherosclerotic disease burden reflected by more multivessel disease. Aggressive evidence based management of already established disease and risk factors, would decrease the cardiovascular mortality and morbidity in them.
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