Compatible Changes of Lead microRNAs in Circulating Plasma and Brain in Senescence-Accelerated Aging and Alzheimer’s disease Mouse Models
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Abstract

Circulating microRNAs have recently emerged as powerful biomarkers because of their potential for monitoring changes in tissues such as the brain during aging. These microRNAs are found in many types of body fluids, and serve not only as systemic indicators of global and tissue-specific changes of gene expression, but also as functional mediators for cell-cell communication. In an effort to link changes in circulating microRNAs to changes in tissue, we used survival bleeding to perform comparative studies of three selected microRNAs, miR-34a, -34c, and -181b, in plasma and brain tissue in two types of animal models, Alzheimer’s Disease (AD) transgenic mutants and Senescence-Accelerated (SAMP8) mice. In this study, we show that expression of these microRNAs is altered in both AD and SAMP8 models, with comparable changes in expression of these specific microRNAs in both plasma and brain, and perhaps earlier in plasma. Our results demonstrate that the survival bleeding method allows longitudinal studies of changes in circulating microRNAs, which can be used as minimally invasive biomarkers of degenerative changes in mouse brain.
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Introduction

Due to their stable presence in circulating blood, microRNAs are promising biological markers for the prognosis, diagnosis and treatment management of many diseases. The presence of circulating microRNAs in serum/plasma is largely due to two main factors: their presence in exosomal or multivesicular bodies, and their association with lipid particles. In both cases they are thought to function as complexes for cell-to-cell communication [1-3]. Circulating plasma miRNAs are emerging as practical diagnostic markers for detection of systemic changes, such as pregnancy trimester determination and central nervous system (CNS) disorders, including bipolar disorder, presymptomatic Huntington’s disease, and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [4-7]. Neuronal communication at synapses is recently shown to involve exosomal transfer of proteins and RNA, including microRNAs [8]. Previous work in our laboratory has shown that human AD Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMC) and plasma exhibit patterns of miRNA expression differing from those of normal age-matched controls [9-12]. Thus, circulating microRNA profiles are proving to be powerful blood-based biomarkers for brain aging and degeneration.

Apoptosis, or programmed cell death, is an important mechanism through which damaged or dying cells are removed from active tissue in adult organisms; it also plays a critical role in normal developmental processes [13]. In addition, there are clinical implications for studying the role of apoptosis in diseases, particularly neurodegenerative disorders, and the relationship between microRNA-regulated apoptotic signaling and the significance of the regulation/deregulation pertaining to the onset and progression of neurodegenerative diseases [13]. One particular group implicated in regulating genes directly involved in apoptotic signaling is the miR-34 family; increases in expression of miR-34a and miR-34c in AD plasma samples match corresponding decreases in BCL-2 and SIRT1 expression in the same samples [12]. This indicates that normal apoptotic and neuroprotective signaling pathways are compromised in AD patients, and that the deregulation of these microRNAs is critical to the pathogenesis of this disease. In addition, recent evidence has highlighted a role for miR-181b in targeted regulation of BCL-2 expression in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, with increased expression leading to a decrease in BCL-2 expression [14]. Furthermore, miR-181b is implicated as a potential blood-based biomarker in leukemia, as well as regulating inflammatory signaling through the nuclear factor NF-xB pathway [15-17]. It is therefore plausible to suggest a role for miR-181b in Alzheimer’s disease development and/or progression; increased plasma levels support miR181b as a blood-based biomarker for AD.

In addition to all the genetic risk factors discovered, age remains the best predictor for sporadic Alzheimer’s disease, since most patients exhibit symptomatic onset after 65 years of age. Heritable AD polymorphisms largely involve three well-known genetic traits: 1) the apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 allele; 2) mutations involving accumulation of Amyloid Beta (Aβ) peptide residues, with sequential cleavage of the Amyloid Precursor Protein (APP) by γ-secretase (β-site APP cleaving enzyme 1, BACE1), involving the presenilin-1 complex; and 3) Tau phosphorylation [18-20]. Most AD transgenic mouse models are characterized by APP mutations known as the Swedish mutation (APPSWE) and Presenilin1 (PSEN1), along with hyperphosphorylated Tau mutations [21-23]. Understandably, animal models such as APP and Tau mutants have been used to investigate microRNA changes in the brain, along with the altered pathology associated with beta-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangle formation [24]. For example, in transgenic mice expressing mutated genes associated with Alzheimer’s disease, such as double transgenic mutants carrying human APPSWE and PSEN1, both miR-34a & -34c are increased in the hippocampus [25,26].
The Senescence-Accelerated Mouse Prone 8 (SAMP8) strain, developed by selective inbreeding of the AKR/J strain, exhibits an accelerated aging process and is a common animal model used in aging studies. In addition, SAMP8 mice are increasingly used for neurodegenerative studies, particularly in Alzheimer’s disease; these mice develop pathologies similar to those observed in human Alzheimer’s patients, including dementia, learning and memory deficits, 

Aβ deposits, and altered expression of genes associated with the disease [27-29]. Conversely, the Senescence-Accelerated Mouse Resistant 1 (SAMRI) strain exhibits normal aging patterns, and is used as a complementary control strain to SAMP8 [28]. A recent study found accumulation of Aβ in the hippocampus of SAMP8 mice, by observing positive staining with Aβ42 and Aβ40 antibodies [27]; this led to the conclusion that the SAMP8 mouse model is a viable animal system for studying accelerated aging and Alzheimer’s disease.

This report presents data from survival bleeding, providing longitudinal blood samples from animals of three transgenic mouse strains carrying AD mutations and senescence-accelerated mice, as well as their corresponding controls, tracking the same individual mice during our study period of 12 months for the former, and 8 months for the latter. Comparison of levels of miR-34a, miR-34c and miR-181b between plasma and brain samples shows that significant differences between control and mutant strains can be seen earlier in circulating blood samples than in brain tissues of comparable age cohorts. Thus, our results suggest that changes in plasma microRNA levels, quantified in survival bled samples, may provide invaluable minimally invasive biomarkers for impending changes in mouse brain.

Materials and Methods

Animal models and collection of blood

Animals were purchased from either Taconic Laboratories, Inc. (Hudson, NY) or Harlan Laboratories, Inc. (UK), and used for the entire study. Transgenic mice carrying (1) a mutant form of amyloid precursor protein (APP) for Aβ accumulation, APPSWE (“A”; 2789-M) [21]; (2) a mutation for hyperphosphorylation of Tau, Tau (“T”; 2508-M) [23]; (3) both mutations, APPSWE-Tau (“AT”; 2469- RD1) [24]; (4) the control strain recommended for all three mutants (“WW”; 3273-M); (5) the senescence-prone inbred strain (SAMP8); and (6) the senescence-resistant inbred strain (SAMRI) [29]; were used in this study. In this study those were housed in the University of Louisville Animal Barrier Facility. 200 µl of blood from mice at various ages was collected from the submandibular vein into BD microtainer tubes with EDTA (Fisher Scientific, cat#02-669-38). EDTA was used as anticoagulant to obtain blood specimens to be processed for plasma samples as described below. In addition, mice not used for survival bleeding were sacrificed at corresponding time points; brain tissues were collected from these mice and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, for comparison with data obtained from the blood samples. All methods of housing and procedural work were approved by University of Louisville Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol #10130.

Separation of blood into plasma fractions

Plasma fractions were isolated from blood specimens by layering the blood onto Ficol1-Plaque Plus Solution (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). Samples were then centrifuged for 30 min at 1,300xg at room temperature to separate the blood into plasma, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), Ficoll-Plaque plus solution, and erythrocyte layers, from top to bottom respectively. The top layer containing plasma was collected and stored at -80°C until further processing for RNA and protein isolation.

RNA isolation and Quantitative PCR

RNA fractions from both plasma and tissue samples were isolated as described previously [30]. The plasma was mixed with equal volume (1:1) of Trizol, followed by repetitive pipetting for 5 minutes. 30 mg of tissue was homogenized in 1 ml of Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). 200 µl of chloroform per 1 ml of Trizol was added to each plasma and tissue sample, followed by incubation at room temperature for 3 minutes. The mixture was centrifuged at 12,000xg for 15 minutes to separate the top RNA- containing aqueous phase, the middle DNA-containing layer (only in tissue samples), and the bottom protein-containing organic phase. In plasma samples, protein contamination was removed by adding equal volumes of Phenol: Chloroform: Isosomyl alcohol in the ratio of 25: 24: 1 and subsequently centrifuging again, as described above. The supernatant was collected and again mixed with equal volume of Phenol: Chloroform: Isosomyl alcohol in the ratio of 25: 24: 1. This protein decontamination step was repeated a second time to remove as much protein as possible. 500 µl of Isopropyl alcohol was added to the supernatant collected from plasma samples, and the aqueous phase collected from tissues, to precipitate RNA. This was followed by washing in 75% ethanol. The precipitated plasma RNA, containing no 28S or 18S RNA species, was assessed for purity and integrity using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Barrington, IL) and Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany).

RNA samples of optimal quality from three animals were selected from 5 mice of each cohort age group in each experiment performed in this study. Approximately 200 µg of isolated RNA was used to generate cDNA, using the Taqman® MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA), using specific miRNA stem-loop primers for miR-34a, miR-34c, miR 181b and miR-sno-202 (miR-34a:PN4427975-000426;miR-34c:PN4427975-000428;miR-181b:PN4427979-001098;miR-sno-202:PN4427975-001232, Invitrogen), by enzyme MultiScribe Reverse Transcriptase (Applied Biosystems), in a GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) reactions were set up using the Taqman Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). All reactions were performed in duplicate to reduce variation, and data were normalized by reference to the endogenous control (miR-sno-202) recommended by the manufacturer (Applied BioSystems) for mouse microRNA qPCR assays.

Data and Statistical Analyses

All analyses were conducted using MS Excel (Microsoft 2010) or SPSS software version 21 (IBM). The ΔCt value is calculated using the formula (ΔCt= Ct of target gene–Ct of reference gene). 1/ΔCt values were used to represent qPCR results for expression levels of miR-34a, miR-34c and miR-181b in various age groups. Average Ct values of each mouse strain for miR-sno-202 (reference gene) are graphically represented (Figure 1) using box plots; to show that there is no statistically significant difference between the groups.
Figure 1: Average Ct values of reference target sno-202 used for qPCR in plasma and brain samples from AD transgenic, SAMP8 and SAMR1 mice. (A-C) Average Ct values in plasma for reference target sno-202, used for differential expression calculations in qPCR assays for miR-34a (A), miR-34c (B) and miR-181b (C). (D-F) Average Ct values in brain for reference target sno-202, used for differential expression calculations in qPCR assays for miR-34a (D), miR-34c (E) and miR-181b (F). The strains of mice used were: an APP mutant “A”, double transgenic of both APP and Tau “AT”, Tau mutant “T”, and wild-type “WW”. (G-I) Average Ct values in plasma for reference target sno-202, used for differential expression calculations in qPCR assays for miR-34a (G), miR-34c (H) and miR-181b (I). (J-L) Average Ct values in brain for reference target sno-202, used for differential expression calculations in qPCR assays for miR-34a (J), miR-34c (K) and miR-181b (L). The strains of mice used were SAMP8 and SAMR1. P-values represent the comparison of average Ct expression among the different strains used for the entire study. Error bars represent the range of Ct values within each strain for all time points tested in this study.

ANOVA followed by multiple comparison tests defined significant differences among more than two groups, and Student’s t-test between two groups, with p-values of p<0.05 considered statistically significant [31,32].

Results

Levels of reference microRNA for qPCR assays in plasma and brain specimens

Our animal protocol does not permit us to initiate survival bleeding before 4 months of age; therefore, blood samples were collected every month starting at 4 months until 12 months of age for the Alzheimer’s disease mutant mice, and until 8 months of age for the senescence-accelerated mouse mutant SAMP8 and SAMR1 strain. In general, from 200 µl of blood collected per bleed per mouse, we were able to obtain sufficient quantities of the plasma fraction, which was further processed for isolation of RNA. We chose to use the Snord68 gene (referred to hereafter as sno-202), which yields a small nuclear RNA product upon transcription, as our control reference for our assays. In an effort to determine the utility of this RNA as a suitable reference for our assays, the average Ct values for each animal strain tested at each time point, within each sample type (plasma or brain), were plotted to observe whether there was significant variation among the various animal strains used in this study. As seen in Figure 1, the range of average Ct values in plasma (Figure 1A-C) or brain (Figure 1D-F) samples for all time points tested did not significantly change among the different AD mutant strains over the course of the study. Similarly, the range of average Ct values in plasma (Figure 1G-I) or brain (Figure 1J-L) samples were not significantly different among the SAMP8 and SAMR1 mice when considering all the time points tested in this study. Thus, the consistent average expression of sno-202 makes it a suitable reference for normalizing differential expression in our qPCR assays.
Table 1: p-values of miR-34a, miR-34c, and miR-181b levels in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) transgenic mutants in plasma: APP mutant “A”, double transgenic of both APP and Tau “AT”, Tau mutant “T”, and wild-type “WW”. Significance is defined as a p-value of p<0.05, and is denoted by (*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>4m</th>
<th>5m</th>
<th>6m</th>
<th>7m</th>
<th>8m</th>
<th>9m</th>
<th>10m</th>
<th>11m</th>
<th>12m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-34a</td>
<td>WW vs. A</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WW vs. AT</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WW vs. T</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-34c</td>
<td>WW vs. A</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WW vs. AT</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WW vs. T</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-181b</td>
<td>WW vs. A</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WW vs. AT</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.042*</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WW vs. T</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.025*</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) transgenic mouse mutant strains and the senescence-accelerated mouse (SAMP8) model

Due to the limited quantities of RNA acquired from the survival bled specimens, we opted to focus on three specific microRNAs, miR-34a, -34c, and -181b. We have previously shown an increase in expression of the first two microRNAs in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and plasma specimens of Alzheimer’s disease patients [9,12].

As shown in Figure 2A and Table 1, as early as the beginning of our study, i.e. 4 months of age, the Tau transgenic mice show significantly higher levels of miR-34a compared with wild-type controls (p<0.001), while in the APP strain miR-34a is moderately increased at 4 months of age (p=0.010), and plateaus afterward. The increase of this microRNA level in the double transgenic strain does not become obvious until 7 months old; this trend continues afterwards, showing modest increase in expression (Figure 2A).

The pattern of increased expression is also observed with the sister microRNA, miR-34c. However, the increase in the three mutant strains does not differ from the wild-type control mice until after 6 months of age. The increase trend continues from 7 months of age until the end of our study period, i.e. the 12-month time point, while miR-34c levels in control mice remain at the 6-month level through the remaining time points until 12 months of age (Figure 2B and Table 1).

For miR-181b, as early as 5 months of age, quantitative PCR (qPCR) analyses of plasma levels reveal a significant increase in the Tau mutant (p=0.003), and modest increases in the APP and double mutants, compared with wild-type controls (Figure 2C, Table 1). The trend of increased expression of miR-181b continues in the Tau mutant mice from 6 months until 9-12 months of age. A similar increase is also observed in the double transgenic and APP mutants, but at somewhat lower levels (Figure 2C and Table 1).

Quantitative PCR analysis of transcript levels of miR-34a, -34c, and -181b in SAMP8 mice were compared to SAMR1 mice at several time points. There is a significantly higher level of expression of miR-34a (p=0.027) at 4 months of age in SAMP8 mice (Figure 2D and Table 2).
respectively. Quantitative PCR analyses of miR-34a expression in is seen in the mutants carrying either single Tau or double transgenic do not observe the early higher level of expression, but a significant considerably higher expression of miR-181b in plasma; the trend of increasing levels continuing to increase in plasma at 8 months of age in SAMP8 compared to SAMR1 mice (Figure 2E and Table 2). Transcript levels of miR-181b show a small but significant increase (p=0.016) in expression in SAMP8 mice at 4 months in plasma compared to SAMR1 mice (Figure 2F and Table 2). At 6 months of age, there is considerably higher expression of miR-181b in plasma; the trend of increasing levels of miR-181b in plasma is observed all the way to 8 months (Figure 2F and Table 2).

Levels of miR-34a, -34c and -181b in brain samples of Alzheimer's disease (AD) transgenic mutants and senescence-accelerated aging mouse models

Since the earliest positive immunogenic amyloid-like structures are detected at the age of 6-7 months in the brain of APP transgene-carrying mutants [33-35], we selected three time points, 4, 7.5 and 12 months, representing the earliest plasma specimen collection, the stage when amyloid-like structures are detected, and old age cohorts respectively. Quantitative PCR analyses of miR-34a expression in brain samples of Tau and double transgenic APP-Tau mutants show a slight increase at the age of 7.5 months, with the APP mutants showing the greatest increase (Figure 3A). By 12 months, all three transgenic mutants show robustly increased miR-34a expression compared with wild-type controls. The increased miR-34c level in brain is not as dramatic as miR-34a at 7.5 months; nevertheless, a significant increase is seen in the mutants carrying either single Tau or double transgenic of both Tau and APP at 7.5 months, with all three transgenic mouse strains showing significant differences compared with wild-type mice by 12 months for this microRNA, with increases similar to that of its sister microRNA (Figure 3B and Table 3).

Table 2: p-values of miR-34a, miR-34c, and miR-181b levels in senescence-accelerated mouse (SAMP8) and its control strain (SAMR1) mice in plasma. Significance is defined as a p-value of p<0.05, and is denoted by (*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>4m</th>
<th>6m</th>
<th>8m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-34a</td>
<td>SAMR1 vs. SAMP8</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-34c</td>
<td>SAMR1 vs. SAMP8</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-181b</td>
<td>SAMR1 vs. SAMP8</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, qPCR results reveal a continued increase in expression in plasma samples at 6 months of age, with plasma miR-34a rising slightly until 8 months of age (Figure 2D). In the case of miR-34c, we do not observe the earlier higher level of expression, but a significant increase in expression in plasma at 6 months of age (p=0.027), with levels increasing to 8 months of age in SAMP8 compared to SAMR1 mice (Figure 2E and Table 2). Transcript levels of miR-181b show a small but significant increase (p=0.016) in expression in SAMP8 mice at 4 months in plasma compared to SAMR1 mice (Figure 2F and Table 2). At 6 months of age, there is considerably higher expression of miR-181b in plasma; the trend of increasing levels of miR-181b in plasma is observed all the way to 8 months (Figure 2F and Table 2).

Levels of miR-34a, -34c and -181b in brain samples of Alzheimer's disease (AD) transgenic mutants and senescence-accelerated aging mouse models

We next investigated the expression levels of miR-34a, -34c, and miR-181b in the brain tissue of SAMP8 and SAMR1 mice. miR-34a expression levels steadily increase from 4 months all the way to 8 months, with a stronger increase from 6 to 8 months (Figure 3D). However, there is no significant difference in miR-34c levels in the brain at any time point observed (Figure 3E and Table 4). The third microRNA studied in the brain is miR-181b, with a slight increase in SAMP8 mouse brain tissue starting at 4 months; however this increase was not statistically significant until 8 months (Figure 3F and Table 4).

Table 3: p-values of miR-34a, miR-34c, and miR-181b levels in Alzheimer's disease mouse mutants in brain: APP mutant "A", double transgenic of both APP and Tau "AT", Tau mutant "T", and wild-type "WW". Significance is defined as a p-value of p<0.05, and is denoted by (*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>4m</th>
<th>6m</th>
<th>8m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. AT</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. T</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. A</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. AT</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. T</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. A</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. AT</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. T</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. A</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.045*</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: p-values of miR-34a, miR-34c, and miR-181b levels in Alzheimer's disease mouse mutants in brain: APP mutant "A", double transgenic of both APP and Tau "AT", Tau mutant "T", and wild-type "WW". Significance is defined as a p-value of p<0.05, and is denoted by (*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>4m</th>
<th>6m</th>
<th>8m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. AT</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. T</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. A</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. AT</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. T</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. A</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. AT</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. T</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW vs. A</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.045*</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Survival bleeding for blood microRNA biomarkers allows analyzing the same individual mice at multiple time points over the course of the study period. This provides true temporal kinetic evaluation of changes within individual mice, without the inevitable inter-animal variation associated with comparing blood samples collected through sacrifice of different cohorts at scheduled intervals over a longitudinal study. Previous results from our laboratory, reporting systemic versus tissue-specific changes in key miRNAs in brain and liver of aged C57BL/6 mice, suggest that a decline in health with age may be stimulated by a systemic deterioration of signaling in diverse tissues, impacted by up-regulated shared key microRNAs (e.g. miR-34a), and individual tissue functional decline regulated by tissue-specific miRs, such as miR-669c, -712, -93, and -214 (in liver), and miR-22, -101a, -720, and -721 (in brain) [36-38]. Among these changes, miR-34a is also increased in mouse plasma specimens during aging [30]. Traditionally, animal studies involving changes in the brain during aging require large animal colonies, with experimental designs sacrificing at least three animals each from several age groups during mutations in APP, Tau, and both genes [24].

Recent advances in technology have introduced exosomes or liposomes [43]. A number of microRNAs, such as miR-669c, -712, -93, and -214 (in liver), and miR-22, -101a, -720, and -721 (in brain) [36-38]. Among these changes, miR-34a is also increased in mouse plasma specimens during aging [30]. Traditionally, animal studies involving changes in the brain during aging require large animal colonies, with experimental designs sacrificing at least three animals each from several age groups during

| miR-34a | SAMR1 vs. SAMP8 | 0.996 | 0.035* | 0.005* |
| miR-34c | SAMR1 vs. SAMP8 | 0.821 | 0.271 | 0.128 |
| miR-181b | SAMR1 vs. SAMP8 | 0.362 | 0.053 | 0.011* |

Table 4: p-values of miR-34a, miR-34c, and miR-181b levels in brain of senescence-accelerated mouse (SAMP8) and its control strain (SAMR1). Significance is defined as a p-value of p<0.05, and is denoted by (*).

expression, and indicate links between certain types of disease and types of microRNA exhibiting altered expression. Our selection of the three microRNAs, miR-34a, -34c and -181b, is based on previous evidence that miR-34a and -181b are two leading microRNAs increased in abundance in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMC) of sporadic AD victims [9]. In addition, miR-34c is also increased in the hippocampus of AD transgenic mice and AD plasma [12,26]. Moreover, it is noteworthy that this latter study also found miR-34c to be highly enriched in the hippocampus, and linked with other learning-associated genes [26]. Furthermore, miR-34a is increased in the brains of AD Tg mice [20], and in aged C57-black mouse brain and circulating plasma [37,38]; this increased expression of miR-34a may be attenuated by caloric restriction [37].

We also investigated the potential of early detection of circulating microRNAs in an age-accelerated mouse model, SAMP8. The levels of miR-34a and miR-181b show statistically significant increases as early as 4 months in plasma of SAMP8 mice; and by six months, all three microRNAs are significantly higher than in wild-type mice (Table 2). It is intriguing that the levels of some of these microRNAs, especially miR-181b, are significantly increased in plasma as early as 4-5 months in both the AD mutant and SAMP8 mouse models (Figure 2). While miR-34a and miR-34c expression levels are not as robustly increased as miR-181b in these mice, an increase in plasma levels of these microRNAs is evident well before an appreciable increase is observed in the brain. Clearly, inter-individual differences for these microRNAs’ rise in plasma are seen by the comparative analysis of p-values, as shown in Table 1. Nevertheless, our results provide initial evidence that the microRNAs included in this study have the potential to be used as powerful plasma-based diagnostic tools to monitor brain-related changes in mouse mutants carrying transgenes associated with the plaques and tangles observed in Alzheimer’s disease brain.

Studies in the past have suggested that oxidative damage is associated with early events in the developmental pathology of AD [47-50]. In the present study, the levels of these three microRNAs increase earlier in plasma than in brain tissue in older mice of the AD mutants and the SAMP8 strain. These results lead us to suggest that increased levels of these microRNAs may be among the important mechanisms leading to overall systemic weakening of stress defense and cell survival in these mutant strains. Life-long cumulative oxidative stress may activate the expression of some of these microRNAs, with a progressive trend during aging [51]. A plethora of literature suggests that oxidative stress is enhanced in multiple tissues, including brain, of old mice. We believe that increased levels of miR-34a, miR-34c and miR-181b may be among the potential mechanisms for age-related increase in oxidative stress, not only during normal aging but also possibly during the accelerated aging observed in the SAMP8 strain as well as in AD mutant strains.

In conclusion, our results suggest that the survival bleeding method used to obtain plasma samples provides ready access to early temporal changes in circulating blood biomarkers. The levels of these three microRNAs increasing in plasma samples at an early age suggest that circulating blood biomarkers represent a powerful tool for minimally invasive detection of changes in brain in animal models, as reported here in accelerated aging and AD mutant strains.
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