Complete Agenesis of Dorsal Pancreas - A Rare Congenital Anomaly: Case Presentation with Imaging Findings and Review of Literature
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Abstract

Complete agenesis of the dorsal pancreas is a rare congenital anomaly due to low frequency of anatomic variations in pancreas. Due to this exceedingly rare occurrence, less than 100 cases have been reported in world literature. We report here a case presentation of thirty five year old male diagnosed with Agenesis of Dorsal Pancreas (ADP) presenting with additional features of diabetes mellitus and acute pancreatitis from heavy alcohol abuse. Biochemical evaluation showed raised serum amylase and serum pancreatic lipase (516 U/L and 912U/L; normal values 0-200 and 0-190, respectively). Ultrasound abdomen exhibited absence of body and tail of pancreas. CT abdomen and MRCP revealed absence of neck, body, and tail of the pancreas along with duct of Santorini, and the minor duodenal papilla. This diagnostic triad confirmed the diagnosis of ADP. This case report is concerned with the description of radiological appearances of ADP, associated symptoms and management in pertinent light of world literature.
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Introduction

During the complex embryogenesis of the pancreas, several congenital morphologic malformations can develop. One of these anomalies compatible with life into adulthood is complete agenesis of the dorsal pancreas which is an extremely rare entity [1-3]. ADP is usually asymptomatic but varied clinical manifestations like epigastric pain, pancreatitis, hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus may occur [4]. Imaging findings on Sonography (USG), Computed Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance (MR) may establish the diagnosis of ADP when neck, body, and tail of the pancreas along with duct of Santorini, and the minor duodenal papilla are not visualized ventral to the splenic vein. MRCP being the gold standard non-invasive imaging modality is used to confirm the diagnosis as it depicts the pancreatic ductal morphology greatly [5].

Case Report

A thirty five year old male presented with epigastric pain associated with vomiting since three days. He was a known chronic alcoholic for last 20 years. Six months ago, patient was diagnosed with Type II Diabetes mellitus and is on insulin therapy. His family history revealed no early infant death or stillbirths, or history of recurrent infections. Physical examination revealed abdominal tenderness. Laboratory investigation showed raised serum amylase and serum pancreatic lipase (516 U/L and 912U/L; normal values 0-200 and 0-190, respectively) consistent with pancreatitis. Ultrasonography revealed mild peripancreatic edema and the body and tail of pancreas cannot be visualized. A contrast-enhanced abdominal CT examination showed partial visualization of pancreas. Head and uncinate process of pancreas were present showing ill-defined margins and peripancreatic fat stranding (consistent with pancreatitis). But distal neck, body, and tail of the pancreas were absent. Jejunal loops of small intestine (hollow black arrow) and stomach in the distal pancreatic bed can be seen (Dependent stomach/ dependent intestine sign). ERCP. The patient was managed conservatively with low-fat dietary modification.

Discussion

The embryologic development of pancreas is relatively complex. Abnormal embryogenesis can led to developmental failure of the dorsal pancreas resulting into complete agenesis of the dorsal pancreas. The pancreas develops from dorsal and ventral buds originating from the endodermal lining of the duodenum. During the seventh gestational week, the ventral buds turn posteriorly and to the left, connecting with the dorsal bud to form the mature gland. Each of the pancreatic buds...
and tail of pancreas. The onset of pancreatitis is due to sphincter of Oddi dysfunction, compensatory enzyme hypersecretion resulting in hypertrophy of the remnant ventral gland, and higher intrapancreatic duct pressures due to morphological alterations [15-17]. In our patient also, epigastric pain, pancreatitis and diabetes mellitus are present as additional features with ADP.

It is essential to differentiate agenesis of the dorsal pancreas from the pseudo-agenesis (atrophy of the corpus and the tail of the pancreas secondary to chronic pancreatitis), carcinoma of the pancreas head (proximal atrophy of the gland), pancreas divisum (absence of fusion or incomplete fusion of the ventral and dorsal pancreas, mainly of the drainage ducts (Wirsung and Santorini), pancreatic pseudolipodystrophy, pancreatic masses and distal pancreatic lipomatosis (abundant fat tissue anterior to the splenic vein Though, Dorsal pancreatic duct is present) [18] which simulates the clinical picture of ADP [19-21]. In this context it is crucial to obtain a careful medical history, to evaluate serum amylase and pancreatic lipase levels, and to perform imaging studies by Sonography (USG), Computed Axial Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Pancreatogram (MRI including MRCP) or Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and recently Endoscopic Ultrasound (EUS) in order to exclude above mentioned differential diagnoses from ADP.

Recent Imaging studies have changed the paradigm of non-conclusive classic imaging and analytical studies with the advent of newer imaging techniques. Previously, the diagnosis of ADP was only made at autopsy or median laparotomy with xifo-umbilical approach. ERCP is considered to be the gold standard for detailed description and evaluation of the biliary and pancreatic tree because of its superior spatial resolution. However, the examination is invasive, technique sensitive, operator-dependent, requires radiation exposure and morbidity risk due to pancreatitis caused by catheterization of the minor duodenal papilla. The USG appearance of ADP exhibits the head of pancreas as small hypoechoic structure just ventral to the portal confluence. At the junction of head and neck of pancreas, a hyperechoic line of demarcation segregates the hypoechoic pancreatic head from the more echogenic retroperitoneal fat [5,22]. However, diagnostic findings on transabdominal ultrasound can be suspicious due to organ screen and overlying bowel gas interference as in our case. Three-dimensional reconstruction CT is a better method for ADP diagnosis because the blood supply of viscera can now be visualized. In a study by Karaaltincaba M., Multidetector CT (MDCT) was performed to differentiate of ADP from distal or dorsal pancreas lipomatosis. Agenesis of dorsal pancreas can be diagnosed by the absence of body and tail of pancreas. In the absence of distal pancreas, distal pancreatic bed can be filled by stomach or intestine (dependent stomach and/or dependent intestine signs), which abut splenic vein. Same findings can be seen in patients with distal pancreatectomy however, splenic vein is absent. In case of distal pancreatic lipomatosis, abundant fat tissue is observed anterior to splenic vein. Dependent stomach and/or dependent intestine signs on MDCT imaging can thus allow confirmation of ADP [18].

When the concern is merely diagnostic, MR including MRCP is the choice of investigation for confirmation as it is a non-invasive procedure accurately depicting and evaluating the pancreatic duct morphology and parenchyma in the same examination. In a study reported by Kahl et al. Endoscopic Ultrasound (EUS) is a relatively new minimally invasive imaging technique which provides direct visualization of the total pancreatic parenchyma and the pancreatic ductal system. It also provide the opportunity of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology (FNAC) and may be as good as ERCP [23,24]. EUS is crucial in diagnosis of

Patients with ADP are detected incidentally during an evaluation for an unrelated cause or different pathology [15]. Mostly ADP patients are asymptomatic but if symptomatic then 92.9% cases present with epigastric pain. The causative agent being lack of papillary muscles [15-17]. However, Diabetes mellitus is associated with 50% of the affected individuals because of reduced islet cell mass secondary to absence of endocrine structures predominantly located in the body and tail of pancreas. The onset of pancreatitis is due to sphincter of Oddi dysfunction, compensatory enzyme hypersecretion resulting in hypertrophy of the remnant ventral gland, and higher intrapancreatic duct pressures due to morphological alterations [15-17]. In our patient also, epigastric pain, pancreatitis and diabetes mellitus are present as additional features with ADP.
pancreatic carcinoma but further studies are recommended to confirm the diagnostic efficacy.

The patient should be managed conservatively by gastrointestinal decompression or low-fat dietary modifications. In case of pancreatic carcinoma, total pancreatectomy is the definitive treatment with post-operative insulin therapy.

Conclusion

With the availability of modern diagnostic techniques and clinical awareness, ADP is being recognised increasingly. Characteristic diagnostic triad comprises of the presence of a short main pancreatic duct in the head of a pancreas with the absence of pancreatic dorsal tissue and an accessory pancreatic duct (Duct of Santorini). Given its rarity, our case report is unusual in terms of better understanding of the disease and patient management.
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