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Abstract

This report describes the case of a 76-year-old female with reconstruction of the ascending aorta without aortic valve replacement. One year later she was diagnosed with severe aortic regurgitation up to III degree. The last 3-4 years, the patient developed heart failure with dyspnea and frequent admissions for congestive heart failure.

After thorough multidisciplinary assessment between cardiologists and thoracic surgeons, TAVI was offered to the patient, who consented and accepted the risks of this intervention. The TAVI procedure was performed under general anesthesia. The left subclavian artery was chosen to introduce the valve prosthesis. Immediately after adjusting the prosthesis position under fluoroscopy and release from the introduction system, the prosthesis was implanted. The patient was discharged on the 7th day after procedure in stable clinical condition.

Conclusion: TAVI has to be considered as an alternative treatment option to conservative treatment in selective cases with aortic regurgitation, if the patients are inoperable and have a poor prognosis.
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Introduction

Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement (AVR) is the conventional treatment for severe aortic stenosis (AS). However, a high operative mortality of 7% to 10% is well recognized in high-risk groups [1,2]. Risk of AVR is increased by a number of factors, including increasing age and comorbidities, such as heart failure, respiratory and renal disease, prior cardiac surgery, and need for concomitant coronary revascularization.

Currently, there are two CE (European Conformity) marked devices with some similar fundamental design features: the self-expanding CoreValve ReValving system (Medtronic Inc; Minneapolis, MN) and the balloon-expandable Edwards SAPIEN XT prosthesis (Edwards Lifesciences Inc; Irvine, CA), which is now available as the new generation, smaller profile, balloon-expandable Edwards SAPIEN XT prosthesis. Multiple trials have assessed the efficacy of TAVI in terms of procedural success, early mortality, and short-term clinical outcomes [3-7]. Selection criteria have a crucial influence on complication rates and clinical outcomes after TAVI and are focused on the selection of the most appropriate patient group, prosthesis type and size, and delivery route [8]. As accepted techniques, experience, and skill of the operators have improved, the indications and criteria for patient selection have become broader.

Transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) has proven to be a safe, efficient new treatment option for inoperable patients with severe symptomatic aortic stenosis (AS). The current recommended treatment criteria for the two prosthetic systems do not include patients with aortic regurgitation (AR) [8]. This article presents the case of a valve-in-valve procedure in which a CoreValve prosthesis was inserted through the left subclavian artery into a patient with severe AR.

Case Report

The patient was a 76-year-old female who in 2003, had undergone urgent cardiac surgery due to Type-A aortic dissection with reconstruction of the ascending aorta without aortic valve replacement. One year later severe aortic regurgitation up to III degree was diagnosed. The last 3-4 years, the patient developed heart failure with dyspnea (New York Heart Association functional class III) with frequent admissions for congestive heart failure, but no angina. In December 2012 she had an episode of an acute heart failure with pulmonary edema and continuous mechanical ventilation.

Comorbidities

The patient had history of Arterial Hypertension for more than 20 years reaching values of 200/100 mm Hg, usually 150/90 mm Hg; Bronchial asthma, Pulmonary fibrosis, Chronic renal failure, Impaired glucose tolerance and Thyroidopathy.

On clinical examination in admission the patient had signs of pulmonary congestion, auscultation for severe aortic regurgitation and moderate mitral regurgitation. The electrocardiogram (ECG) revealed atrial fibrillation, left axis deviation. Laboratory results were normal: Hb – 128 g/l; leuc -6.8/mmc; RBC - 4.7/mmc, Hct – 0.41; PLT 303000/mmc; Creat – 110 mmol/l; UREA 10.0 mmol/l. Pulmonary function report showed moderate respiratory obstruction and low vital capacity - FVC 66.2%; FEV1 – 51.1%; FEV1/FVC – 6.9%. Transthoracic and Trans-esophageal echocardiography showed severe AR (Figure 1) confirmed by aortography, but no AS was present. The left ventricle was moderately dilated with an inner end diastolic diameter of 58 mm and an ejection fraction of 51%, anulus - 22 mm, parasternal long axis view aorta ascendens - 42 mm, sinus width – 40 mm, annulus – 22 mm, mitral valve – MR – II degree.
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Furthermore, coronary angiography was performed, which demonstrated no significant stenoses. Aortography and ECG-gated Computer Tomography (CT) with contrast of aorta and aortic valve revealed persistent partial dissection in ascending aorta, descending aorta - up to 36 mm with irregularities and ulcerations, local aortic dilatation up to 45 mm – localized dissection/plaque ulceration. Abdominal aorta and iliac arteries – elongated with tortuosity without dissection. Size of the left and right femoral arteries were 11/9.8 mm respectively, size of the left subclavian artery was 9-9.5 mm (Figures 2 and 3). Possible treatment options were:

1. Conservative medical treatment with unfavorable prognosis - frequent hospitalizations against optimal medical therapy, episode of pulmonary edema.


Her logistic European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation (EuroSCOREII) was 46.38 %, STS Score was 11.7%. The patient refused re-operation. After thorough multidisciplinary evaluation between cardiologists and thoracic surgeons, TAVI was offered as treatment option to the patient, who consented and accepted the risks of this intervention.

**Procedure**

The TAVI procedure was performed under general anesthesia. The left subclavian artery was chosen and an 18 Fr (6 mm) sheath was used to introduce the valve prosthesis. Femoral access was used as well to measure pressure invasively and HF pacing. The valve used in this case was a third-generation, large-sized Core Valve nitinol porcine prosthesis, which in full expansion is 29 mm in diameter, corresponding to aortic annulus diameters. Immediately after adjusting the prosthesis position under fluoroscopy and released it from the introduction system, the prosthesis dislocated in the LV with huge aortic insufficiency.

After unsuccessful attempts to reposition by pulling with a snare we decided to implant second valve – valve in valve – to stabilize the aortic annulus while pulling on the first with the snare (Figure 4). The second valve was implanted successfully (Figure 5) and the subclavian artery...
access was surgically closed. Angiography showed aortic regurgitation – I-II degree, arterial pressure was 140/80 mm Hg. The patient was extubated 2 hours later in stable hemodynamic parameters. Clinical and echocardiographic follow-up for the next days revealed low-grade aortic insufficiency, reduction of mitral regurgitation up to I degree and stable clinical condition. The patient was discharged on the 7th day after procedure on the medical therapy - Clopidogrel, Aspirin, Sintrom for three months, ACE inhibitor, diuretic, digitalis.

Follow-up at 6 months showed good LVEF – 55%, good prosthesis function with AoR – I degree, MR up to I degree and no signs of heart failure (Figures 6 and 7). 10 months after the procedure the patient was admitted in other hospital with pulmonary infection and acute respiratory failure. The patient died with signs of respiratory distress syndrome.

Discussion

The existing indication for applying TAVI is inoperable, severe AS, and the results are promising [2,9]. Along with forthcoming results from long-term studies on the treatment of patients with inoperable AS and randomized studies comparing surgical Aortic Valve Replacement (AVR) and TAVI, the indications for TAVI are expected to be expanded to include operable high-risk patients with AS. This case of high surgical risk patient presents two intriguing and challenging aspects. Firstly, the indication was AR; and second the vascular access was limited to the left subclavian artery due to previous aortic reconstruction and residual syndrome.

It is clear, that the suggested case had contraindications for both types of trans-catheter valves, considering aortic valve pathology. There are a few publications for off-label implantation of TAVI in such pathology. We chose Core-Valve prosthesis based on more appropriate as a configuration, self-expandable, with a wider proximal ring, gradually opening with the possibility of repositioning, an opportunity to further strengthen the ascending aorta in this case. In this case, the insertion of the Core-Valve prosthesis resulted in instantaneous hemodynamic improvement and a marked relief in symptoms. AR was decreased from severe regurgitation to a trivial paravalvular leak, and the dimensions of the left ventricle normalized during the first six months following valve insertion. Patient left ventricular ejection fraction increased from 51% to 55%.

Conclusion

Advanced aortic pathology with severe aortic regurgitation remains a therapeutic problem in everyday clinical practice. New less invasive methods as TAVI could be considered as a treatment option in selective patients, who have a poor prognosis.
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