Concentrated and Fixed-Dose Insulin Formulations Can Improve Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
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Abstract

The risk of developing long-term diabetes mellitus–related complications (retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, cardiovascular disease, and stroke) increases as glycated hemoglobin (A1C) levels exceed 6.5%. All patients with diabetes should be provided with an individualized target A1C based on factors such as age, duration of disease, risk of hypoglycemia, existing comorbidities, available resources, life expectancy, and cardiovascular risk. A delay of therapeutic intensification of just 2 years from the time of diagnosis can expose a patient to “glycemic burden” and a 61% increased risk of cardiovascular complications.
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Introduction

Recent data from the 2011–2014 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) indicated that just 51% of American adults with diabetes achieved A1C levels <7% [1-5]. Despite the approval and marketing of multiple new agents for diabetes (glucagon-like peptide (GLP)-1 receptor agonists (Ras), SGLT2 inhibitors, longer acting basal insulins, and disposable insulin pumps), improvement of A1C nationwide has declined since NHANES 2007-2010, when 52% of patients achieved their targeted A1C [5]. Randomized clinical trials, which are conducted with US Food and Drug Administration guidance for a study drug to gain regulatory approval, consistently demonstrate success in achieving A1C levels <7% and even 6.5%. However, real-world studies suggest that patient adherence to prescribed medications may mitigate one’s ability to achieve glycemic targets [6]. Patients in the real world may be concerned about drug side effects, complexity of treatment regimens, potential weight gain, or risk of hypoglycemia. Television advertisements that mention thyroid cancer, amputation risk, of treatment regimens, potential weight gain, or risk of hypoglycemia. Television advertisements that mention thyroid cancer, amputation risk, or risk of treatment regimens, potential weight gain, or risk of hypoglycemia. Television advertisements that mention thyroid cancer, amputation risk, or risk of treatment regimens, potential weight gain, or risk of hypoglycemia.

The former US Surgeon General, C. Everett Koop, once said, “Drugs don’t work if patients don’t take them [7].” This article will address concentrated insulin and combination fixed-dose insulin + GLP-1 RAs, which reduce the risk of hypoglycemia, weight gain, and cardiovascular disease. The use of these agents within the primary care setting may improve adherence and allow patients to safely and efficiently achieve their prescribed glycemic targets.

Literature Review

Insulin action, variability, and recommended glycemic targets

Insulins are formulated to bind to and activate receptors located with target organs (i.e., liver, muscles, kidneys, adipose tissue). The resultant pharmacologic action ultimately lowers plasma glucose levels to the desired range. The fact that not all insulins are created equal allows practitioners to customize their treatment protocols for each patient.

The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists recommends the use of insulin when the endogenous insulin-secreting capacity of pancreatic beta cells has been exceeded. Insulin should be initiated in any patient with an A1C ≥ 8.5% who has symptoms suggestive of chronic hyperglycemia (e.g., thirst, weight loss, blurry vision, distal sensory neuropathy, weight loss, frequent urination). Patients with an A1C level >9% should also be considered as candidates for insulin [8]. Basal insulin reduces hepatic glucose production in the fasting state, whereas rapid-acting insulin preparations are used to minimize postprandial glucose excursions. The American Diabetes Association recommends targeting fasting glucose levels of 70 to 130 mg/dL and 2-hour post-meal glucose level of <180 mg/dL [9].

Early initiation of insulin can prove beneficial for patients with type 2 diabetes. The anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects (i.e., reduction of oxidative stress) may offer protection against vascular endothelial dysfunction and subsequent vascular disease. Insulin induces endothelial nitric oxide synthase in endothelial cells, resulting in increased production of nitric oxide and the promotion of vascular dilatation [10]. Insulin is thought to preserve β-cell mass and function in patients with type 2 diabetes. Glycemic burden destroys β-cells. Reducing hyperglycemia in patients with diabetes can facilitate β-cell rest, allowing for more efficient and timely production and secretion of endogenous insulin [11].

Although elevated A1C is a surrogate marker for long-term diabetes-related complications, glycemic variability (dysglycemia) can induce oxidative stress favoring the induction of complications [12]. Patients who experience dysglycemia become frustrated with their inability to efficiently regulate blood glucose levels. These patients experience wide glycemic swings throughout the day, resulting in hypoglycemia and sustained hyperglycemia. Efficient pharmacotherapy for patients with type 2 diabetes must address both the effects of prolonged exposure to hyperglycemia as well as acute daily excursions of glucose levels. Elevated glucose levels promote the appearance of acute glycated end products, which can increase one’s likelihood of developing complications. Glycemic excursions exacerbate the process of oxidative stress, a metabolic state favoring the progression of microvascular and macrovascular complications [13].
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Insulin Within-subject variability
NPH 68
Glargine U-100 48
Detemir 27
(Concentrated) Glargine U-300 34.8
Degludec 20

Table 1: Basal insulin coefficients of variability.

Ideal basal insulins should be simple to initiate and titrate, resulting in minimal glycemic variability, as well as providing prolonged duration of action while reducing one’s risk of hypoglycemia and weight gain. In addition, insulins should not increase one’s risk of cardiovascular disease, especially in patients who have already experienced a stroke or myocardial infarction. Table 1 provides the coefficients of variability of available basal insulins [14-16]. The lower the variability, the less likelihood of developing treatment-emergent hypoglycemia, as noted in the Figures 1a and 1b.

*Percentage within-subject variability based on glucose infusion rates and area under the curve. Patients receive 4 single subcutaneous doses of 0.4 U/kg under euglycemic glucose clamp conditions on 4 study days. NPH=Neutral Protamine Hagedorn.

A patient is using an insulin with a high coefficient of variability. Glucose levels demonstrate “dysglycemia” (A). Increasing the basal insulin further is likely to increase the risk of hypoglycemia, which will reduce adherence to the prescribed treatment regimen (B). The use of basal insulin formulations with low glycemic variability will allow patients to achieve their fasting blood glucose targets more efficiently, with less fear of hypoglycemia [17].

New insulin formulations

Advances in basal insulin formulations have provided clinicians and patients with options that provide favorable pharmacokinetic (insulin absorption) and pharmacodynamic (glucose lowering) properties. Newer insulins have flatter, peakless action profiles that demonstrate less variability and a longer duration of action, allowing for flexible dosing. The risk of nocturnal and diurnal hypoglycemia is subsequently reduced. Insulin preparations do not appear to increase cardiovascular risk [18,19]. Patients may also safely combine a GLP-1 RA as either a separate injection or as a component of a fixed-ratio drug. The use of fixed-drug combinations may improve adherence and allow patients to achieve their metabolic targets [20].

Glargine U-300

Glargine U-300 is a long-acting insulin containing 300 units/mL of insulin glargine. As a concentrated insulin, glargine U-300 contains 3 times as much insulin per mL as glargine U-100, allowing for a lower volume of injected insulin. Glargine U-300 was detectable at 32 hours post-injection with 0.4 units/kg dosing compared with 28 hours with glargine U100 dosing [21]. At 0.4 u/kg, U-300 has 14% less variability than U-100, allowing clinicians to titrate the insulin to target lower fasting glucose levels without risking hypoglycemia [15].

Degludec U-100 and U-300

Within the insulin pen, insulin degludec is formulated as “insulin diheximers.” Once injected, the diheximers form multihexamer chains within the subcutaneous depot, held together by zinc and phenol. As the zinc dissociates, the multihexamers form insulin monomers, which pass into the capillaries and are carried via albumin to insulin receptors at target organ sites. Degludec U-200 contains as much insulin as degludec U-100 in just one-half the injection volume. The 2 insulins are bioequivalent, and they lower glucose levels at the same rate. Degludec appears to have the lowest coefficient of variability of all insulins, allowing ambitious dosing to targeted fasting glucose levels, with less likelihood of nocturnal and overall hypoglycemia compared with insulin glargine [22]. Due to the prolonged duration of action (42 hours), degludec may be dosed at any time of the day, which may improve adherence for patients who are shift workers, travel frequently, or have difficulty remembering to dose their basal insulin [23].

U-500 regular insulin

Regular insulin U-500 is structurally identical to human insulin. Because the drug is formulated as 500 units/mL, this insulin is 5 times as potent as regular insulin U-100 [24]. U-500 is indicated for patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes, requiring more than 200 units of insulin daily. The concentrated insulin is delivered in a lower injection volume, which improves the drug’s absorption from the subcutaneous depot. U-500 has a slower onset of action (60 minutes) compared with rapid-acting prandial insulins. The peak onset of action is 2 to 4 hours post-injection, and the duration of action is 4 to 6 hours [16]. U-500 can be dosed as prandial insulin, using a pen injector 30 to 45 minutes prior to eating.

Insulin Lispro U-200

Prandial insulin lispro U-200 contains 200 units of rapid acting insulin per mL compared with insulin lispro U-100, which contains 100 units/mL. Thus, U-200 is twice as concentrated as U-100, allowing patients requiring >20 units of insulin per meal to inject less volume [25]. The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects of both lispro U-200 and lispro U-100 are equivalent [25].
Diabetes is a bi-hormonal disorder; too much glucagon produced by the beta cell and too little insulin secreted by the alpha cell.

The combination of basal insulin analogues with a glucagon-like GLP-1 RA is intriguing. Basal insulin essentially targets hepatic glucose production, which is excessive in patients with type 2 diabetes. However, the use of basal insulin may result in weight gain and/or hypoglycemia, which affects adherence. The GLP-1 RAs typically target postprandial glucose excursions by decreasing the excretion of endogenous glucagon, a counterregulatory hormone, which induces hepatic glucose production. Patients using GLP-1 RAs tend to lose weight because this class of drugs is "glucose dependent," the glucose-lowering effects in patients with lower blood glucose levels is reduced. Thus, patients tend to experience less hypoglycemia. Liraglutide, a GLP-1 RA, has demonstrated a 22% reduction in cardiovascular mortality. However, extrapolation of cardiovascular outcomes in fixed-dose combinations cannot be inferred without having trials specific to the condition.

- **Postprandial and fasting glucose coverage is needed**
  - **Fixed-dose combination therapy with insulin + GLP-1 receptor agonist can reduce total daily dose of insulin, risk of weight gain associated with insulin use, and provide coverage for postprandial excursions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Product of choice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal hypoglycemia</td>
<td>Insulins with lower glycemic variability will allow safer titration to fasting glucose levels without risk of hypoglycemia</td>
<td>Glargine U-300, Degludec U-100 or U200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe insulin resistance requiring the use of &gt;200 units of insulin daily</td>
<td>High-potency concentrated insulin can result in a low volume subcutaneous insulin depot U500 insulin is 5 times as potent as U100 insulin, with one-fifth the injection volume. Insulin resistance requires high-dose insulin</td>
<td>Regular U500 insulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient requires &gt;80 units of basal insulin per injection</td>
<td>Concentrated insulin formulations have been developed to address the need for higher dose insulin delivery of a single daily injection</td>
<td>Degludec U-200, Glargine U-300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient requires flexible daily dosing due to work schedule or frequent travel</td>
<td>Degludec can be administered daily at any time of day, with injection timing varied, without compromising glycemic control or safety</td>
<td>Insulin degludec U-100 or U-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient requires &gt;20 units of prandial insulin</td>
<td>Concentrated insulin formulations have been developed to address the need for higher dose insulin delivery of a single daily injection</td>
<td>Degludec U-200, Glargine U-300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial and fasting glucose coverage is needed</td>
<td>Fixed-dose combination therapy with insulin + GLP-1 receptor agonist can reduce total daily dose of insulin, risk of weight gain associated with insulin use, and provide coverage for postprandial excursions.</td>
<td>Insulin degludec + liraglutide (100/3.6), Insulin glargine + lixisenatide (100/33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic target</th>
<th>Basal insulin</th>
<th>GLP-1 RA</th>
<th>Combination therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-cell function</td>
<td>Rests beta cells, relieves glucotoxicity</td>
<td>Improves beta-cell function May restore beta-cell mass</td>
<td>Additive improvement in prandial and postprandial glucose levels with lower total daily dose of insulin required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-cell function</td>
<td>Reduces glucagon</td>
<td>Reduces glucagon</td>
<td>Additive improvement in glucagon secretion results in lower fasting and postprandial glucose levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose control</td>
<td>Targets fasting blood glucose</td>
<td>Targets primarily postprandial glucose</td>
<td>Lower fasting and postprandial glucose levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Tends to increase</td>
<td>Tends to decrease</td>
<td>Lower weight gain noted with combination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia risk</td>
<td>Increase risk</td>
<td>Lower risk</td>
<td>Lower risk due to reduced insulin dose requirements when combined with a GLP-1 RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular (CV) risk</td>
<td>Insulin does NOT increase cardiovascular risk, nor is the risk reduced</td>
<td>Liraglutide and semaglutide reduce CV risk. Other GLP-1 RAs are neutral at reducing risk</td>
<td>Studies have not been performed assessing the CV risk in fixed-dose combination therapies, only as individual interventions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 presents concentrated insulin or fixed-insulin combination, which might be appropriate for patients with type 2 diabetes.

**Fixed-dose combinations (Basal insulin and GLP-1 receptor agonists)**

The combination of basal insulin analogues with a glucagon-like GLP-1 RA is intriguing. Basal insulin essentially targets hepatic glucose production, which is excessive in patients with type 2 diabetes. However, the use of basal insulin may result in weight gain and/or hypoglycemia, which affects adherence. The GLP-1 RAs are neutral at reducing CV risk. Other GLP-1 RAs are neutral at reducing risk. Higher A1C and postprandial glucose levels

**Conclusion**

Patients who are nonadherent to a treatment regimen may benefit from concentrated or combination therapies. Adherence is likely to improve fasting and postprandial glucose control, allowing patients to successfully achieve their targeted A1C level and reduce their glycemic burden. Using insulins with less variability and risk of hypoglycemia will also improve adherence. Because 90% of all patients with diabetes are managed within the primary care setting, the treatment of diabetes must be intensified within our environment. Early and successful treatment of these complex individuals will likely improve long-term outcomes and our patients’ quality of life.

---
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