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#### Abstract

The 2-point Diagonally Implicit Superclass of BBDF with off-step point's method proposed by Babangida had been studied and further established the necessary conditions for its convergence. Consistency, zero stability and order of the method are discussed.
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## Introduction

Consider the following system of first order $n$-dimensional system of stiff IVPs of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}^{\prime}=f_{i}(x, \tilde{Y}), i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{Y}(a)=\tilde{\eta}$, in the interval $a \leq x \leq b$, where

$$
\tilde{Y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime} \ldots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \text { and } \tilde{\eta}=\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime}, \eta_{2}^{\prime} \ldots, \eta_{n}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

System (1), which arise frequently in the study of electrical circuits, vibrations, chemical reactions, kinetics, automatic control and combustion, theory of fluid mechanics etc., is said to be stiff if its solution contains components with both slowly and rapidly decaying rates, due to large difference of time scales exhibited by the system [1,2]. Dahlquist [3] defines stiffness as systems containing very fast components as well as very slow components. It is difficult to develop suitable methods for stiff problems. However, considerable research efforts have been made by researchers, such as Suleiman [1,2], Musa [4-8], Abasi [9,10], Ibrahim [11,12], Zawawi [13] and Cash [14], to develop suitable methods for stiff ODEs. This paper discussed the order and convergence of diagonally implicit 2-point superclass of BBDF with off step points for solving (1) developed by Babangida [15] and it is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}=-\frac{7}{20} y_{n-1}+\frac{27}{20} y_{n}-\frac{9}{20} h f_{n}+\frac{3}{5} h f_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \\
& y_{n+\frac{3}{2}}=-\frac{3}{88} y_{n-1}+\frac{13}{22} y_{n}-\frac{21}{11} y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{207}{88} y_{n+1}-\frac{9}{44} h f_{n+1}+\frac{3}{11} h f_{n+\frac{3}{2}}, \\
& y_{n+\frac{3}{2}}=-\frac{3}{88} y_{n-1}+\frac{13}{22} y_{n}-\frac{21}{11} y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{207}{88} y_{n+1}-\frac{9}{44} h f_{n+1}+\frac{3}{11} h f_{n+\frac{3}{2}},  \tag{2}\\
& y_{n+2}=\frac{19}{1005} y_{n-1}-\frac{29}{67} y_{n}+\frac{316}{201} y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{189}{67} y_{n+1}+\frac{892}{335} y_{n+\frac{3}{2}}-\frac{12}{67} h f_{n+\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{16}{67} h f_{n+2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The derivation of the method in details can be found in Babangida [15].

## Order of the Method

This section derives the order of the method (2). Method (2) can be rewritten in matrix form as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \frac{7}{20} & 0 & -\frac{27}{20} \\
0 & -\frac{11}{141} & 0 & \frac{50}{47} \\
0 & \frac{3}{88} & 0 & -\frac{13}{22} \\
0 & -\frac{19}{1005} & 0 & \frac{29}{67}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
y_{n-\frac{3}{2}} \\
y_{n-1} \\
y_{n-\frac{1}{2}} \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{280}{141} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{21}{11} & -\frac{207}{88} & 1 & 0 \\
-\frac{316}{201} & \frac{189}{67} & -\frac{892}{335} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} \\
y_{n+2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =h\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{9}{20} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{n-\frac{3}{2}} \\
f_{n-1} \\
f_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{2} \\
f_{n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{n-\frac{3}{2}} \\
f_{n-1} \\
f_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{2} \\
f_{n}
\end{array}\right)+h\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{3}{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{12}{47} & \frac{16}{47} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{9}{44} & \frac{3}{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{12}{67} & \frac{16}{67}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
f_{n+1} \\
f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} \\
f_{n+2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { Let } \mathrm{D}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{D}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{7}{20} \\
-\frac{11}{141} \\
\frac{3}{88} \\
-\frac{19}{1005}
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{D}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{D}_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{27}{20} \\
\frac{50}{47} \\
-\frac{13}{22} \\
\frac{29}{67}
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{D}_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-\frac{280}{141} \\
\frac{21}{11} \\
-\frac{316}{201}
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{D}_{5}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-\frac{207}{88} \\
\frac{189}{67}
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{D}_{6}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
-\frac{892}{335}
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{D}_{7}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{G}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{G}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{G}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{G}_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{9}{20} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{G}_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{3}{5} \\
-\frac{12}{47} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{G}_{5}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{16}{47} \\
-\frac{9}{44} \\
0
\end{array}\right) . \mathrm{G}_{6}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{3}{11} \\
-\frac{12}{67}
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \mathrm{G}_{7}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{16}{67}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.1: The order of the block method (2) and its associated linear operator $L$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L[y(x) ; h]=\sum_{j=0}^{7}\left[D_{j} y\left(x+j \frac{h}{2}\right)-h_{j} y^{\prime}\left(x+j \frac{h}{2}\right)\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Expanding the functions $y\left(x+j \frac{h}{2}\right)$ and $y^{\prime}\left(x+j \frac{h}{2}\right)$ as Taylor series around $x$ and substitute in (4) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}[\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{h}]=\sum_{j=0}^{7} D_{j}[y(x)]+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\left[j D_{j}-2 G_{j}\right] h y^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\left[\frac{1}{2!} j^{2} D_{j}-2 j G_{j}\right] h^{2} y^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
& +\frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\left[\frac{1}{3!} j^{3} D_{j}-2 \frac{1}{2!} j^{2} G_{j}\right] h^{3} y^{\prime \prime}(x)+\ldots \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2.2: The difference operator (5) and the associated method (2) is considered of order p if $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{E}_{2=} \ldots=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=0$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}+1} \neq 0$.

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{0}=\sum_{j=0}^{7} D_{j}=0 \cdot  \tag{6}\\
& E_{1}=\sum_{j=0}^{7}\left(j D_{j}-2 G_{j}\right)=0  \tag{7}\\
& E_{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{7}\left(\frac{1}{2!} j^{2} D_{j}-2 j G_{j}\right)=0  \tag{8}\\
& E_{3}=\sum_{j=0}^{7}\left(\frac{1}{3!} j^{3} D_{j}-2 \frac{1}{2!} j^{2} G_{j}\right)=E_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{9}{10} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \neq E_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It was therefore shown from (9) that method (2) is of order 2, with error constant $\mathrm{E}_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{c}-\frac{9}{10} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$.

## Convergence of the Method

An acceptable linear multistep method (LMM) must be Convergence. This section shows the convergence of the method (2). Consistency and zero stability are the necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence of any numerical method. We start by presenting the following definitions related to the convergence of (2) taken from Musa [16] as follows:

## Definition 3.1 (Linear multistep method)

A general k -step linear multistep method is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} y_{n+j}=h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j} f_{n+j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\alpha_{j}$ and $\beta_{j}$ are constants and $\alpha \mathrm{k} \neq 0 . \alpha_{0}$ and $\beta 0$ cannot both be zero at the same time. For any k step method, $\alpha_{k}$ is normalized to 1 .

## Definition 3.2 (Linear difference operator)

The linear operator L associated LLM (10) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L[\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{h}]=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{k}}\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{jh})\right]-\mathrm{h} \beta_{\mathrm{j}} y^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{jh})\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})$ is an arbitrary test function and it is continuously differentiable on $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$. Expanding $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{jh})$ and $y^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{jh})$ as Taylor series about $x$, and collecting common terms yields.
$L[y(x) ; \mathrm{h}]=\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{hy}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\ldots+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{y}^{(\mathrm{q})}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\ldots$
Where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are constants given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{0}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{k}, \\
& C_{1}=\alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}+\ldots+k \alpha_{k}-\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{k}\right), \vdots  \tag{13}\\
& C_{q}=\frac{1}{q!}\left(\alpha_{1}+2^{q} \alpha_{2}+\ldots+k^{q} \alpha_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{(q-1)!}\left(\beta_{1}+2^{q-1} \beta_{2}+\ldots+(k)^{q-1} \beta_{k}\right) q=2,3, \ldots \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

## Definition 3.3 (Consistency)

The LMM (10) is said to be consistent if its order $\mathrm{p} \geq 1$.
It also follows from (13) that the LMM (10) is consistent if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j}=0 \\
& \text { and } \\
& \sum_{j=0}^{k} j \alpha_{j}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

## Definition 3.4 (Zero stability)

A Linear Multistep Method (10) is said to be zero stable if no root of its first characteristics polynomial has modulus greater than one and that any root with modulus one is simple.

## Consistency of the method

In section 2, it has shown that the 2-point DISBBDF with off-step points proposed by Babangida [15] is of order 2, which satisfies the consistency conditions given in definition 3.3. It now remains to show that the method is consistent.

The method (2) is consistent if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{7} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j}}=0  \tag{15}\\
& \sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{7} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j}}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{3} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{j}} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $D_{j j^{\prime} s}$ and $G_{j^{\prime} s}$ are previously defined in section 2 .

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Equation (15) then becomes } \\
& \sum_{j=0}^{7} D_{j}=D_{0}+D_{1}+D_{2}+D_{3}+D_{4}+D_{5}+D_{6}+D_{7}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence condition (15) is therefore met.
Equation (16) also becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{7} j D_{j}=0 D_{0}+1 D_{1}+2 D_{2}+3 D_{3}+4 D_{4}+5 D_{5}+6 D_{6}+7 D_{7}= \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { Hence } \sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{7} \mathrm{jD}_{\mathrm{j}}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{7} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{j}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{3}{20}  \tag{20}\\
\frac{4}{47} \\
\frac{3}{44} \\
\frac{4}{67}
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$

Thus, the second conditions in (18) and (19) are also satisfied.
The consistency conditions are therefore met. Hence, method (2) is consistent.

## Zero Stability

It now remains to show that the method is zero stable. The stability polynomial of the method (2) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{t}^{4}-\frac{24874}{18425} \mathrm{t}^{3}+\frac{6449}{18425} \mathrm{t}^{2}+\frac{49463}{346390} \mathrm{t}^{2} \mathrm{~h}+\frac{10734}{865975} \mathrm{~h}^{-2} \mathrm{t}^{2}+\frac{1447}{157450} \mathrm{~h}^{3}-\frac{4304}{15745} \mathrm{~h}^{-2} \mathrm{t}^{3}+\frac{8283}{157450} \mathrm{~h}^{-3} \mathrm{t}^{3}  \tag{21}\\
& -\frac{4304}{15745} \mathrm{~h}^{-3} \mathrm{t}^{2}-\frac{729}{173195} \mathrm{~h}^{-4} \mathrm{t}^{3}-\frac{251472}{173195}-\mathrm{h}^{4}+\frac{129973}{173195} \mathrm{~h}^{-2} \mathrm{t}^{4}-\frac{28704}{173195} \mathrm{~h}^{-3} \mathrm{t}^{4}+\frac{2304}{173195} \mathrm{~h}^{-4} \mathrm{t}^{4}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

For zero stability, we set $\bar{h}=0$ in (21). Hence we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}^{4}-\frac{24874}{18425} \mathrm{t}^{3}+\frac{6449}{18425} \mathrm{t}^{2}=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving equation (22) for $t$ gives the following roots:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}=0, \mathrm{t}=0, \mathrm{t}=0.350014 \text { and } \mathrm{t}=1 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition 3.4, method (2) is zero-stable. Since the method (2) is both consistent and zero stable, it thus method (2) converges.

## Conclusion

The 2-point Diagonally Implicit Superclass of BBDF with off-step points method proposed by Babangida had been studied. The necessary conditions for the convergence of method is discussed. The method is zero stable and consistent. It is therefore conclude the method converges.
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