

## Correlation among MDR1, MRP and hTERT Genes Expression Level and Clinical Response in Colorectal Cancer Patients

Samira shabani<sup>1</sup>, Sara Samanian<sup>1</sup>, Rezvan Mirzaei<sup>2</sup>, Bahar Mahjoubi<sup>2</sup> and Frouzandeh Mahjoubi<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Genetics, National Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Hazrat Rasool Hospital, Ilran University of Medical Sciences and Health Care Services, Tehran, Iran

\*Corresponding author: Frouzandeh Mahjoubi, Department of Clinical Genetics, National Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Tehran, Iran, Tel: 98 21 8892 507; E-mail: [frouz@nigeb.ac.ir](mailto:frouz@nigeb.ac.ir)

Rec date: Jun 20, 2014; Acc date: Jul 29, 2014; Pub date: Jul 31, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Shabani S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

### Abstract

**Introduction:** Colon cancer is one of the common cancers in the world. Despite current advances in the treatments of cancer, the clinical result is far away from expectation yet. Drug resistance is still a major obstacle in treatment of cancer. In this study, we attempted to investigate the possible correlation among MRP1, MRP and hTERT expression level and multidrug resistance in colon cancer patients.

**Materials and Methods:** Tumor and adjacent normal tissues from 35 colorectal cancer patients were assessed for the mRNA expression level of MDR1, MRP and hTERT by Real Time RT-PCR.

**Results:** A statistically significant increase in MDR1 and hTERT expression level was observed in tumoral tissues in comparison with normal tissues. However MRP expression level was not significantly increased in tumoral tissues. Furthermore, no correlation was seen among MDR1, MRP and hTERT expression level.

**Conclusion:** MDR1 and hTERT have no direct correlation, but mRNA expression of these two genes in addition to other factors indirectly helps to tumorigenesis and cancer progression.

**Keywords:** Correlation; Multidrug resistance; Real time PCR

### Introduction

One of the major public health problems is cancer. Globally, colorectal cancer is the fourth common cancer in men and the third common cancer in women [1]. Resistance to chemotherapy limits the effectiveness of chemotherapeutic treatment, where most of tumoral cells become insensitive to many drugs with different structure and function, this phenomenon is recognized as multi drug resistance (MDR) [2]. Several mechanisms for drug resistance have been suggested including mutation and over expression of the drug's target, inactivation and efflux the drug from the cell by ATP Binding Cassette transporters [3]. ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters are a family of transporter proteins with ability to transport a range of substrate molecules across membranes [4]. Molecular investigations on drug resistance have led to the discovery of genes coding for Multidrug resistance1 (MDR1), multidrug resistance-associated protein 1 (MRP1) [5]. MDR1 protein or P-gp is a transmembrane glycoprotein with molecular weight of 170 kDa, encodes by MDR gene. It transports many hydrophobic substrates and anti-cancer drugs including etoposide, doxorubicin and vinblastine [6,7].

Also, The multidrug resistance related protein1 (MRP1) is a member of the ABC-transporter super families which located on chromosome 16p13 and transports a wide range of compounds including glutathione conjugates and cyclic nucleotides out of the cells [8,9].

Telomerase is a specific ribonucleoprotein DNA polymerase. This enzyme activity is quite high in the germ cells, stem cells and cancer cells [10]. Protein subunit of telomerase called as hTERT (human telomerase reverse transcriptase) and its activity helps to maintain the length of telomeres at the end of eukaryotic linear chromosome [11]. Overexpression of hTERT could help initiate and progress of the most of the cancers [12]. Furthermore, it seems hTERT plays a role in multidrug resistance like MDR1.

Attending to maintenance of MDR1, MRP and hTERT in multidrug resistance, evaluate of their correlation in different stages of cancers is inevitable.

### Material and Methods

#### Patients

This project was accepted by the local ethics committee of National Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (NIGEB) and written informed consent was obtained from each case. Thirty five patients with colon cancer admitted to Rasool-e Akram Hospital in Tehran were enrolled in this study. These patients had not yet received any chemotherapy treatment.

### RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

RNA extraction was performed by Tripure Isolation Reagent (Roche applied sciences). cDNA synthesis was carried out for each sample by using first-strand cDNA synthesis kit of Fermentase.

### Real-time RT-PCR

The mRNA levels of MDR1, MRP and hTERT were measured by Real Time RT-PCR using a lightcycler TMsystem (Roche Applied Sciences) with Fast-Start DNA Master SYBR-Green I kit (Roche Applied Sciences). In addition, the mRNA level of GAPDH as internal control was measured and used to normalize the mRNA levels of these genes. The following primers were used for Real Time RT-PCR:

MDR1 forward-5'-TGACATTTATTCAAAGTTAAAAGCA- 3'

MDR1reversed 5'-TAGACACTTTATGCAAACATTTCAA3'

MRP forward5'AGTGGAAACCCCTCTCTGTTTAAAG-3'

MRP reverse5'-CCTGATACGTCTTGGTCTTCATC

hTERT forward5' AGTGTGTACGTGGTTCGAGCTG 3'

hTERT Reversed 5' GGGGATGAAGCGGAGTCT 3'

GAPDH Forward 5' GCAGGGGGGAGCCAAAAGGG3'

GAPDH Reverse 5' TGGGTGGCAGTGATGGCATGG 3'

Real time RT-PCR was carried out on Corbett Real Time Thermal cycler with the following condition: initial denaturing step at 95°C for 5 minute, then 50 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds, 59°C (annealing temperature) for 10 second and 72°C for 25 second. The expression level of (MDR1, MRP and hTERT) mRNAs was evaluated by each gene mRNA/GAPDH gene mRNA ratio.

### Statistical analysis

The row data from Real time RT-PCR was analyzed by Linreg software. Statistical analysis for correlation investigation and differences between groups were performed using the SPSS software version 18 by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Turkey multiple comparison and correlation tests. A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

#### MDR1 gene expression analysis in normal and tumoral tissues


The clinicopathological information of patients was listed in Table 1. As we previously published, there was a significant difference between MDR1gene expression levels in tumoral tissue and adjacent normal tissues. This gene expression level increased significantly in tumoral tissues (P<0.03) (Figure1).

Characteristic		No (%)
Total Patient		35 (100)
Gender	Female	16 (45)
	Male	17 (55)
Average age	50	29-72


TNM staging	Stage 1	11 (31)
	Stage 2	8 (23)
	Stage 3	8 (23)
	Stage4	8 (23)
Tumor size	<5 cm	10 (27)
	5-8 cm	16 (46)
	8-10 cm	5 (14)
	>10 cm	4 (13)
Lymph node metastasis	Positive	18 (51)
	Negative	17 (49)
Resistance	Drug resistance	6 (17)
	Drug sensitive	29 (83)
Metastasis		6 (17)

**Table 1:** The clinicopathological information of patients


No significant relation was observed between expression level of MDR1 and some clinopathology features such as gender, age, tumor size, lymph node involvement and resistance to chemotherapy (Table2) [13] of patients (NS: no significantly important, S: significantly important). The correlation between MDR1 expression level and histological grade of patient tumors was significant (P<0.05).The expression level of MDR in stage 1 was higher than stage 2-4.



**Figure 1:** MDR1 expression levels in tumoral tissue and adjacent normal tissues



**Figure 2:** Gel Electrophoresis of MDR1 and MRP and Htert



**Figure 3:** MRP expression level in tuomral and normal tissues

	Expression of MDR1
Age	NS (P>0.05)
Gender	NS(P>0.05)
Size of tumor	NS(P>0.05)
Lymph node involvement	NS(P>0.05)
Histological grade	S(P<0.05)
Drug resistant	NS (P>0.05)

**Table 2:**Relation between MDR1 gene expression and clinicopathology characteristics

### MRP gene expression analysis in normal and tumoral tissues


Statistical analysis showed although MRP expression level increased in tuomral tissues in comparison to normal tissues, it was not significant (p=0.4) (Figure3) [13].

### hTERT gene expression analysis in normal and tumoral tissues

Telomerase expression was observed in all of the tumoral tissues while it was not observed in all adjacent normal tissues. The hTERT expression level was different according to the stage of tumoral tissues; in a way that hTERT expressed more in stage 1 in comparison to stage 2, 3 and 4 (Figure 4) [14].

A significant correlation was observed between hTERT expression level and histological grade of patient tumors (P>0.05).

No significant relationship was seen between hTERT expression level pathologic features such as gender, age, tumor size, lymph node involvement and drug resistance (Table 3).



**Figure 4:** Different  $\Delta$ CT in different stages ( $\Delta$ CT of hTERT/GAPDH mRNA levels in different stages)

	Expression of hTERT
Age	NS (P>0.05)
Gender	NS(P>0.05)
Size of tumor	NS(P>0.05)
Lymph node involvement	NS(P>0.05)
Histological grade	S(P<0.05)
Drug resistant	NS (P>0.05)

**Table 3:** Relation between hTERT gene expression and clinicopathology characteristics of patients (NS: no significantly important, S: significantly important)

## Correlation among MDR1, MRP and hTERT expression level in colon cancer patients

The  $\Delta$ CT results for hTERT/GAPDH, MDR1/GAPDH and MRP/GAPDH (mRNA levels in different stages) in tumoral tissues were statistically analyzed for each two gene (MDR1/hTERT, MRP/hTERT and MDR/MRP) by correlation test in SPSS software. The results indicated there was no correlation between MDR1/hTERT, MRP/hTERT and MDR/MRP expression levels.

## Discussion

The cancer cell rapid reproduction and proliferation is one of the most troublesome problems in clinical cancer treatment [15]. On the other hand resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs limits the effectiveness of treatment [16].

Several studies have been investigate the role of multidrug resistance gene (MDR-1) and multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP) in various human tissues [17,18]. Some of them have been proven the role of these genes in the progression of drug resistance in some cancers [19,20]. However, Samanian et al and other studies did not confirm the direct roles of these genes in multidrug resistance [15]. In this study observation indicated that correlation between MDR1 expression level and drug resistance is not significant, although the relation between MDR1 expression and tumor stages in patients with colon cancer was significant. MDR1 expression level in stage 1 was higher than other stages. No correlation was found between MDR1 expression level and pathologic features like age, gender, lymph node involvement and tumor size.

In this study also results showed MRP expression level increases in tumoral tissues in comparison to normal tissues, but it was not significant ( $p=0.4$ ).

The relation between MRP expression levels and drug resistance, histological grade and other pathological characters also was not significant.

In addition, the role of telomerase mRNA levels in tumorigenesis and acquisition of multidrug resistance has been investigated in this paper. Several studies have been illustrated telomerase role in tumorigenesis and acquisition of multidrug resistance [21,22] Cerone et al. indicated that telomerase inhibition increases the response to chemotherapeutic drug treatment in human breast cancer cells [23]. On the other hand Sakin et al showed there were no significant differences in expression levels of hTERT in resistant and sensitive MCF-7 cells [24]. In this study Real Time PCR result indicated that there was not a significant relation between telomerase mRNA levels and sensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs. It has been illustrated that the correlation between hTERT expression levels and clinicopathological features such as age, gender, tumor size and lymph involvement were not significant. However, the relation between telomerase mRNA levels and tumor histological grades were significant. Furthermore, telomerase has the highest expression levels in stage 1 in comparison with stage 2, 3 and 4.

At the end the correlation between MDR1/hTERT, MRP/MDR and MRP/hTERT mRNA levels were investigated. The statistical analysis showed there was no correlation between these gene expression levels. However, results indicated that both MDR1 and hTERT have a significant relation with histological grades of tumors. Both of them have the highest expression levels in stage 1 in comparison with other stages. These results shows although MDR1 and hTERT have no direct

correlation, but expression of these two genes in addition to other factors indirectly helps to tumorigenesis and cancer progression especially in stage 1 where tumor is in early stages. Only one in vivo study have investigated the correlation between MDR/MRP mRNA level and hTERT expression levels, Kuranagu et al showed there is a correlation between MDR/MRP and hTERT expression levels in colorectal carcinoma cells [25].

## Conclusion

According to the results, there was not a significant correlation among MDR1, MRP and hTERT expression level in colorectal cancer patients. MDR1 and hTERT have a significant relation with histological grades of tumors. Both of them have the highest expression levels in stage 1 in comparison with other stages. These results shows although MDR1 and hTERT have no direct correlation, but expression of these two genes in addition to other factors indirectly helps to tumorigenesis and cancer progression especially in stage 1 where tumor is in early stages Taken together, it seems complementary tests may help to conclude certainly.

## References

1. Center MM, Jemal A, Smith RA, Ward E (2009) Worldwide variations in colorectal cancer. *CA Cancer J Clin* 59: 366-378.
2. Wind NS, Hoken I (2011) Multidrug resistance in breast cancer: from in vitro models to clinical studies. *Int J Breast Cancer* 2011: 967419.
3. Baguley BC (2010) Multiple drug resistance mechanisms in cancer. *Mol Biotechnol* 46: 308-316.
4. Schinkel AH, Jonker JW (2003) Mammalian drug efflux transporters of the ATP binding cassette (ABC) family: an overview. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 55: 3-29.
5. Ozben T (2006) Mechanisms and strategies to overcome multiple drug resistance in cancer. *FEBS Lett* 580: 2903-2909.
6. Li Y, Yuan H, Yang K, Xu W, Tang W, et al. (2010) The structure and functions of P-glycoprotein. *Curr Med Chem* 17: 786-800.
7. Taheri M, Mahjoubi F, Omranipour R (2010) Effect of MDR1 polymorphism on multidrug resistance expression in breast cancer patients. *Genet Mol Res* 9: 34-40.
8. Larkin A, O'Driscoll L, Kennedy S, Purcell R, Moran E, et al. (2004) Investigation of MRP-1 protein and MDR-1 P-glycoprotein expression in invasive breast cancer: a prognostic study. *Int J Cancer* 112: 286-294.
9. Chen ZS, Tiwari AK (2011) Multidrug resistance proteins (MRPs/ABCCs) in cancer chemotherapy and genetic diseases. *FEBS J* 278: 3226-3245.
10. Shay J W (2010) Telomerase as a Target for Cancer Therapeutics. *Gene-Based Therapies for Cancer*, Springer: 231-249.
11. Masutomi K, Yu EY, Khurts S, Ben-Porath I, Currier JL, et al. (2003) Telomerase maintains telomere structure in normal human cells. *Cell* 114: 241-253.
12. Daniel M, Peek GW, Tollefsbol TO (2012) Regulation of the human catalytic subunit of telomerase (hTERT). *Gene* 498: 135-146.
13. Samanian S, F Mahjoubi, B Mahjoubi, R Mirzaee, R Azizi (2011) MDR1 Gene Polymorphisms: Possible Association with its Expression and Clinicopathology Characteristics in Colorectal Cancer Patients. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention* 12: 3141-3145.
14. Shabani S, F Mahjoubi, B Mahjoubi, R Mirzaee (2014) Investigation of hTERT Expression Level and its Relation with Clinicopathological Features and Resistance to Chemotherapy in Colorectal Cancer Patients. *J Mol Biomark Diagn* 5: 2.
15. Evan GI, Vousden KH (2001) Proliferation, cell cycle and apoptosis in cancer. *Nature* 411: 342-348.

16. Yardley DA (2013) Drug resistance and the role of combination chemotherapy in improving patient outcomes. *Int J Breast Cancer* 2013: 137414.
17. Gottesman MM, Fojo T, Bates SE (2002) Multidrug resistance in cancer: role of ATP-dependent transporters. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2: 48-58.
18. Chen KG, Valencia JC, Gillet JP, Hearing VJ, Gottesman MM (2009) Involvement of ABC transporters in melanogenesis and the development of multidrug resistance of melanoma. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* 22: 740-749.
19. Cole SP, Bhardwaj G, Gerlach JH, Mackie JE, Grant CE, et al. (1992) Overexpression of a transporter gene in a multidrug-resistant human lung cancer cell line. *Science* 258: 1650-1654.
20. Walsh N, Larkin A, Kennedy S, Connolly L, Ballot J, et al. (2009) Expression of multidrug resistance markers ABCB1 (MDR-1/P-gp) and ABCC1 (MRP-1) in renal cell carcinoma. *BMC Urol* 9: 6.
21. Olausson KA, Dubrana K, Domont J, Spano JP, Sabatier L, et al. (2006) Telomeres and telomerase as targets for anticancer drug development. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 57: 191-214.
22. Hiyama K (2009) Telomeres and Telomerase in Cancer, Humana Pr Inc.
23. Cerone M A, J A Londoño-Vallejo, C Autexier (2006) Telomerase inhibition enhances the response to anticancer drug treatment in human breast cancer cells. *Molecular cancer therapeutics* 5: 1669-1675.
24. Sakin V, Eskiocak U, Kars MD, Iseri OD, Gunduz U (2008) hTERT gene expression levels and telomerase activity in drug resistant MCF-7 cells. *Exp Oncol* 30: 202-205.
25. Kuranaga N, Shinomiya N, Mochizuki H (2001) Long-term cultivation of colorectal carcinoma cells with anti-cancer drugs induces drug resistance and telomere elongation: an in vitro study. *BMC Cancer* 1: 10.