Correlation between Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell Performance and Internal Resistance using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy
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Abstract

Dye sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) containing 3-methoxypropionitrile (MPN) electrolyte solution are prepared by introducing microporous polycarbonate (PC) film supports of two pore sizes (nominal diameters of 0.2 and 0.05 µm). These PC films are stable up to 400°C. The conductivities of the PC films impregnated with the MPN electrolyte solution are not significantly different from each other. The DSSC efficiencies with and without the PC supports are compared under illumination intensities of 20, 50, and 100 mW cm-2. Under medium to strong light intensity, the control cell (without PC supports) has the highest photo-to-current efficiency, followed by those with the 0.2 and 0.05 µm PC supports. In the low intensity incident light, the cells with the 0.2 µm support slightly outperform the other cells. The control DSSCs and DSSCs containing PC supports are aged via light soaking at 60°C. The as-prepared and aged cells are analyzed using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy to isolate resistance components in the DSSCs. The cell efficiency is highly correlated with the reciprocal of serial resistance, which is the sum of the resistance values resulting from the platinum counter electrode, the ionic diffusion in the electrolyte, and the sheet resistance of the transparent conducting oxide. The resistance due to the ionic diffusion in the electrolyte is the most profound contributor to the serial resistance in the aged cells.

Keywords: Accelerated aging of dye sensitized solar cells (DSSCs); 3-methoxypropionitrile (MPN); Long-term cell performance; Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)

Introduction

Solar cells are a rapidly growing research topic and a promising substantial renewable energy source. Among the several types of solar cells, dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have the advantages of low-cost, environmentally friendly manufacturing process, high photon-to-electron conversion efficiency under low light intensity, and facile fabrication into flexible panels [1-3].

A typical DSSC consists of conductive transparent glass with nano-structured mesoscopic TiO₂, a light harvesting dye, and a redox couple (e.g., I⁻/I₃⁻) electrolyte in an organic solvent (e.g., acetonitrile, AN). Pt-coated conductive glass is used as the counter electrode [1-4]. One of the challenges of DSSCs is lifetime. The liquid electrolytes suffer from liquid volatilization and leakage, thus reducing the cell efficiency and eventually shortening the cell lifetime. Research on improving electrolyte stability includes the use of solid or gel electrolyte [5-8], replacing the volatile solvents with ionic liquids [9-11], and incorporating polymer films into DSSCs [12-16]. Ion diffusivity is slower in solid electrolytes and ionic liquids. Therefore, the use of micro-porous films as the framework material seems to have potential due to the film’s solvent retention capabilities achieved by the capillary force exerted between the porous support and the liquid [17].

In previous publications [17,18] we demonstrated the use of micro-porous polycarbonate (PC) or alumina (Al₂O₃) as framework materials in AN-based electrolyte solution between the TiO₂ working electrode and the platinum (Pt) counter electrode. The framework materials provide sufficient ion transport paths and help to retain the electrolyte solution by preventing evaporation loss. The long-term stability was greatly enhanced by incorporation of the supports [17,18].

In addition to AN, 3-methoxypropionitrile (MPN) has been widely adopted as a solvent in DSSCs for its lower volatility [19], lower toxicity, and better chronological photovoltaic performance stability [20]. Wang et al. prepared DSSC containing an amphiphilic ruthenium sensitizer in conjunction with an MPN-based polymer gel electrolyte, reaching an efficiency of >6% in full sunlight (air mass 1.5, 100 mW cm⁻²). This device was able to sustain heating for 1,000 h at 80°C, maintaining 94% of its initial performance [21]. Gratzel examined the temporal evolution of photovoltaic parameters of DSSCs using a new amphiphilic sensitizer (K19), with decylphosphonic acid (DPA) as co-adsorbent and a novel electrolyte formulation (0.8M 1-propyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide, 0.15M I₃⁻, 0.1M guanidinium thiocyanate, and 0.5M n-methylbenzimidazole in MPN). The device showed an excellent stability under the dual stress of heating and visible light soaking of 1000 h, retaining 97.7% of its initial power conversion efficiency [22]. Recently Flasque et al. reported a uniform solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer formed around the TiO₂ semi-conductor surface in the presence of a MPN solvent. The chemical composition of this SEI resulted from the solvent and additive degradation. The SEI thickness, its content, and the concentration profile strongly depended on the ageing conditions [23].

The objective of this work is to investigate the DSSC efficiency by incorporating micro-porous polycarbonate (PC) films of different pore sizes into MPN-based DSSCs. The cell efficiencies of the prepared DSSCs with and without the PC films under various illumination intensities were compared. The long-term cell performance, including the photo-current density (Iₚ), open-circuit voltage (Vₜₚ), fill factor
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(FF), and light-to-electrical energy conversion efficiency, was recorded for as-prepared cells and cells aged in a light-soaking chamber for up to 650 hours. The internal resistances of the DSSCs with and without PC supports and with various aging times were analyzed using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The cell efficiency was correlated with the overall cell resistance, and the major resistance contributor was determined. The role of the PC supports during the DSSC aging process was also elucidated.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

The fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) conductive glass (resistance=7 Ω cm⁻²) was from NSG America Inc. (Somerset, NJ, USA). The ruthenium 535 bis-TBA (N 719) dye was obtained from Dyesol Ltd. (Queanbeyan, Australia). AN, iodine (I₂, purity of 99.99%), and isopropyl alcohol (IPA) were obtained from JT Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ, USA). Tert-butanol (99%), acetone, lithium iodide hydrate (LiI, 99.99%), 1-methylbenzimidazolium iodide (PMII), MPN (98%), and hydrogen hexachloroplatinate (IV) hydrate (H₂PtCl₆) were purchased from Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). 1-methyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide (PMII) was synthesized by the Photovoltaics Technology Center at the Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), Taiwan. The thickness of the swollen film was measured using a gauge (Elcometer® 345, Elcometer Inc., Manchester, UK). The pore size distribution was measured using capillary flow porometry (Porous Materials, Inc., Ithaca, NY, USA) with Galwick (surface tension of 15.9 dynes cm⁻¹) as the wetting solvent. The PC film sample was analyzed using the wet up/dry down mode. The porosity was determined using the mean pore size data. Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, model S-4800, Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used to characterize the actual pore sizes and surface and cross-sectional distribution was measured using a thermal gravimetric analyzer/mass spectrometer (TG/MS, model STA 409CD/QUADSTAR-422, Netzsch, Selb, Germany). The sample was heated at a rate of 10°C min⁻¹ under a helium atmosphere.

The PC film was immersed in the electrolyte solution for 24 hours, and the resistance and conductivity were measured using a potentiostat/galvanostat (Autolab PGSTAT302N, Metrohm Autolab B.V., Utrecht, Netherlands) [24,25]. The thickness of the swollen film was measured to determine the dimensional stability [26]. The chemical compatibility was performed by weighing the PC film before and after immersion in pure MPN solvent.

The bulk resistance was determined from the Nyquist plot of the electrolyte-impregnated PC film according to the procedure described in the literature [25]. The conductivity (σ, in S cm⁻¹) of the electrolyte-impregnated PC film was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\sigma = \frac{l}{R_b A}
\]  

Where \(l\) is the thickness of the electrolyte-impregnated PC film (cm), \(R_b\) is the bulk resistance (Ω), and \(A\) is the contact area of the stainless steel electrodes (cm²).

**DSSC and dummy cell preparation**

DSSC conductive glass of 2.5 × 1.5 cm was used as the electrode substrate. The photo-catalytic TiO₂ paste was synthesized by ITRI and screen-printed onto the FTO substrate with an active area of 0.28 cm². The electrode was heated to 400°C for 20 min. The electrode was immersed in a 5 × 10⁻⁴ M solution of N 719 dye in AN/tert-butanol (1:1 v/v) solution at 60°C for 3 h to adsorb the dye molecules. The electrode was rinsed with acetone to remove excess dye solution and dried at 80°C for 2 min.

The counter electrode was prepared by screen-printing with H₂PtCl₆ (0.015M in IPA) on another piece of FTO glass in which two holes were drilled. The Pt counter electrode was annealed at 400°C for 20 min and gradually cooled to room temperature for 10 min. The PC film was carefully aligned between the TiO₂ electrode and the counter electrode. Then, a hot melt sealing foil was used to seal the DSSC using hot-pressing at 130°C for 40 s under 65 kN cm⁻² pressure. The electrolyte solution (0.8M PMII/0.05M I₂/0.5M NBMI In MPN) was injected into one hole on the counter electrode. The holes were sealed using ultraviolet (UV) light after the photo-curing compound was placed in the holes and covered by a slide glass. The top and cross-sectional views of the assembled DSSC were reported previously [17]. Colloidal silver liquid was coated on the FTO glasses of the working and counter electrodes and air-dried prior to photovoltaic measurement.

Dummy cells were assembled for the solvent evaporation test. Two screen-printed Pt electrodes attached onto clean FTO glasses were used to assemble dummy cells. In some dummy cells, the PC films were inserted and aligned between the Pt electrodes before the cells were sealed with hot melted sealing foil. The other dummy cells contained liquid electrolyte solution alone. The electrolyte solution (0.8M PMII/0.05M I₂/0.5M NBMI in MPN) was injected into the cell gap through one drilled hole on the Pt electrode. The two drilled holes in the FTO glasses were not sealed to expedite the drying process. The tested cell was placed onto the weight pan of a micro-balance (CP2225D, Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany). The weight loss was recorded at time intervals.

**Photovoltaic efficiency of DSSC**

The as-prepared and aged DSSCs were tested for long-term stability. The cells were aged in a light soaking chamber of 100 mW cm⁻² (1 sun, AM 1.5) at 60°C and 5% relative humidity (xenon weather-ometer, model Ci3000, SDL Atlas, Rock Hill, SC, USA). The cell voltage and current density relationship was determined using a computerized power supply, model YSS-100A, Yamashita Denso Corporation, Tokyo, Japan. The cell was first activated for 20 min, and the photocurrent and voltage were recorded. The as-prepared and aged DSSCs were tested for photovoltaic efficiency. The efficiency (η) of each DSSC was determined using Eq. (2)

\[
\eta = \frac{V_{oc} \times J_{sc} \times FF}{P_n} \times 100\%
\]

Where \(V_{oc}\) is open-circuit voltage (the maximum voltage value), \(J_{sc}\) is short-circuit current density (the maximum current density value), \(P_n\) is incident light power, and \(FF\) is fill factor. \(FF\) was determined using Eq. (3):
\[
FF = \frac{I_{\text{max}} V_{\text{max}}}{I_c V_c}
\]

Where \( I_{\text{max}} \) and \( V_{\text{max}} \) are the current and voltage values, respectively, which give the maximum \( JV \) product value.

### Internal resistance of DSSC

The internal resistance of the DSSC was determined using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), and the value was partitioned into contributions from various components. The cell was scanned at a frequency between 0.1 and \( 10^5 \) Hz with 10 mV of potential applied across the electrodes. On the impedance spectrum of a DSSC, three semicircles are observed in the measured frequency range of \( 10^{-1}-10^5 \) Hz. The semicircles in the frequency regions \( 10^4-10^5 \), \( 1-10^3 \), and \( 0.02-1 \) Hz are attributed to impedance related to charge-transfer processes occurring at the Pt counter electrode (\( R_{\text{CE}} \)), at the TiO\(_2\)/dye/electrolyte interface (\( R_{\text{TiO}\_2} \)), and in Nernstian diffusion within the electrolyte (\( R_{\text{ELE}} \)), respectively. The resistance element \( R_n \) in the high frequency range of \( >10^5 \) Hz is mainly due to the sheet resistance of FTO [27]. \( R_{\text{TiO}\_2} \) acts similarly to a diode’s resistance, while \( R_{\text{CE}}, R_{\text{ELE}}, \) and \( R_n \) show series internal resistance behavior. The equivalent-circuit and resistance values of the components were obtained using the Fit & Simulation function in NOVA version 1.10.3 by the Frequency Response Analysis (FRA) software (Metrohm Autolab BV, Utrecht, Netherlands) [28]. The serial resistance of the entire DSSC (\( R_s \)) equals the sum of \( R_n, R_{\text{ELE}}, \) and \( R_{\text{TiO}\_2} \).

### Results and Discussion

#### PC film characterization

The surface morphologies of the PC support films obtained using FESEM are shown in Figures 1a and 1b. The pores were cylindrical and aligned at a perpendicular orientation to the film surface. This means the pore tortuosity was close to unity, which is beneficial for ion transport in the electrolyte incorporated with the PC films. The narrow pore size distributions of the 0.2 \( \mu \)m and 0.05 \( \mu \)m PC films are illustrated in Figures 1c and 1d, respectively. Because there were adjacent pores connected to each other (Figure 1a) for the 0.2 \( \mu \)m PC film, a large portion of the average pore size was in the 0.22-0.24 \( \mu \)m range (Figure 1c).

The average dry film thickness was 3.50 \( \mu \)m for the 0.05 \( \mu \)m PC film and 5.62 \( \mu \)m for the 0.2 \( \mu \)m PC film. The MPN-swollen film thickness increased to 4.40 \( \mu \)m for the 0.05 \( \mu \)m PC film and 5.74 \( \mu \)m for the 0.2 \( \mu \)m PC film. There was negligible weight loss of the PC film in the MPN solvent, indicating insignificant polymer dissolution in the organic solvent. The thermal stability of the PC film was tested using TGA. The PC film was stable up to 440°C. The main degradation temperature occurred at 480°C. This high thermal stability ensures that the PC support can withstand the operation temperature under strong sunlight conditions.

The sub-micron sized PC films are expected to retain electrolyte solvent and prolong DSSC lifetime. Some dummy cells filled with electrolyte and some cells with PC films were tested for solvent loss over time. The results shown in (Figure 2) demonstrate that the liquid electrolyte alone had the highest solvent loss rate (9.72 \( \times \) 10\(^{-4} \) mg min\(^{-1} \)). The addition of the 0.2 \( \mu \)m and 0.05 \( \mu \)m PC films helped with solvent loss.
retention, and the solvent loss rates were reduced to $5.82 \times 10^4$ and $7.15 \times 10^4$ mg min$^{-1}$, respectively. The PC film supports were able to minimize solvent evaporation by withholding solvent in the pore cavities through capillary force.

The PC supports were impregnated with the electrolyte solution (0.8M PMII/0.05M I$_2$/0.5M NMBI in MPN), and the conductivities were measured. A typical Nyquist plot is shown in (Figure 3), and the resistance ($R_0$) was taken as the x-intercept of the extrapolated line (as shown in the inset of Figure 3). The conductivity was calculated with equation 1 [24-29]. Based on duplicate samples, the conductivity data were 0.607 ± 0.0141 and 0.620 ± 0.0148 S cm$^{-1}$ for the 0.2 µm and 0.05 µm films, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between the electrolyte-filled PC films.

**DSSC performance**

Replicate DSSCs were prepared and tested for photon-to-electron conversion efficiency under various light intensities. The original J-V curves are shown in (Figure 4), and these curves demonstrate good reproducibility. The control DSSCs (with electrolyte alone) exhibited a mean $J_{sc}$ of 12 mA cm$^{-2}$, a mean $V_{oc}$ of 0.76 V, a mean FF of 0.57, and a mean efficiency of 5.34% under 1 sun (100 mW cm$^{-2}$) (Table 1 and Figure 4a). As the light intensity decreased to 50 and 20 mW cm$^{-2}$, the mean $J_{sc}$ significantly decreased to 5.8 and 0.77 mA cm$^{-2}$, respectively, and the mean $V_{oc}$ slightly declined to 0.72 and 0.64 V, respectively, but the mean FF increased to 0.61 and 0.63, respectively (Table 1). The mean cell efficiency was reduced to 5.2 and 1.62% at an illumination intensity of 50 and 20 mW cm$^{-2}$, respectively.

For the DSSC containing the 0.2 µm PC film and illuminated at 1 sun, the $J_{sc}$ and FF slightly decreased while the $V_{oc}$ did not change, resulting in a lower efficiency (4.3%) versus the control cells. When this cell was exposed at medium light intensity (50 mW cm$^{-2}$), the cell efficiency was similar to the cell efficiency at 1 sun (Table 1). As the light intensity was further reduced to 20 mW cm$^{-2}$, the cell efficiency was reduced to 1.9%. The DSSCs containing the 0.05 µm PC film exhibited lower cell efficiency under various light intensities than the control cells and the cells containing the 0.2 µm PC film, mainly due to the lower $J_{sc}$ values (Table 1). It is speculated that the 0.05 µm PC film had lower porosity than the 0.2 µm PC film (5% vs. 10%) and possessed lower surface area for ion diffusion between the electrodes. Therefore, the cell performance of the DSSCs with the 0.05 µm support was inferior to the cell performance of the DSSCs with the 0.2 µm support.

**Long-term cell performance and electrical resistance**

After the cell performance of the as-prepared DSSCs was analyzed, the cells were aged in a light soaking chamber. The cell efficiencies of the aged cells were measured again at various time intervals. The long-term cell performance is demonstrated in Figure 5. In the first 350 h, the control cells were stable with similar $J_{sc}$, $V_{oc}$, FF and efficiency. Beyond 350 h, the $J_{sc}$ and the cell efficiency started to decline rapidly. The cells containing the PC supports exhibited lower $J_{sc}$ and efficiency data than the control cells. Although the PC films helped solvent retention, the effective electrolyte hold-up volume was reduced. The decreased electrolyte volume became detrimental to long-term cell performance, especially in the continuous light soaking condition. The challenging condition of light soaking at 60°C made the dye instability and solvent loss worse. Therefore, the lifetime was shortened in the cells with PC films under continuous light illumination.

Electrical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is a useful diagnostic tool to monitor and characterize in-situ inner DSSC phenomena [30,31]. To further elucidate the relationship between DSSC performance and the internal cell resistance, EIS analysis was performed on the as-prepared and aged cells. Typical impedance spectra for the as-prepared and aged DSSC containing 0.05 µm support are shown in Figure 6. The results for the control cells and those with PC films are summarized in Table 2. The fitted $R_0$, values, which represent the conductivity resistance of the FTO glass, were in the range of 13.33 to 16.36 Ω for the fresh and aged DSSCs. In the as-prepared DSSCs, $R_{ELE}$ and $R_{ELE}$ were higher in the PC-containing cells than in the control cells. This may be due to porous film steric hindrance creating tortuous diffusion paths for ion transport and reduced electrolyte holding volume. The remarkable
Figure 4: Current density-voltage curves for as-prepared DSSCs containing (a) control, (b) 0.2 µm PC film, and (c) 0.05 m PC film under various illumination intensities.
Table 1: Cell performance of as-prepared DSSCs with and without PC films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illumination (mW cm(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Electrolyte support</th>
<th>(J_\text{sc}) (mA cm(^{-2}))</th>
<th>(V_\text{oc}) (V)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>12.30 ± 0.12(^b)</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.03</td>
<td>5.34 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.2 µm PC film</td>
<td>11.470 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.29 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.05 µm PC film</td>
<td>7.84 ± 0.92</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5.78 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.02</td>
<td>5.18 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.2 µm PC film</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.05 µm PC film</td>
<td>4.30 ± 0.50</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.25 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.2 µm PC film</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.05 µm PC film</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Single-sealed cells with active area of 0.28 cm\(^2\); electrolyte consisting of 0.8M PMII/0.05M I\(_2\)/0.5M NMBI in MPN.

\(^{b}\) Mean ± standard deviation (N=3) except for 0.2 m PC film at 20 and 50 mW cm\(^{-2}\).

Table 2: Resistance components in as-prepared and aged DSSCs with and without PC films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte support</th>
<th>(R_\text{s}) (Ω)</th>
<th>(R_\text{sh}) (Ω)</th>
<th>(R_\text{TiO2}) (Ω)</th>
<th>(R_\text{ELE}) (Ω)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None (t=0)</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>9.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 µm PC (t=0)</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>15.99</td>
<td>36.20</td>
<td>12.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05 µm PC (t=0)</td>
<td>15.42</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>44.30</td>
<td>22.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None (t=648 h)</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>20.01</td>
<td>149.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 µm PC (t=648 h)</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>12.08</td>
<td>28.74</td>
<td>188.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05 µm PC (t=648 h)</td>
<td>15.95</td>
<td>22.02</td>
<td>20.48</td>
<td>125.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Single-sealed cells with active area of 0.28 cm\(^2\); electrolyte consisting of 0.8M PMII/0.05M I\(_2\)/0.5M NMBI in MPN.
Figure 5: Variations in (a) short-circuit photocurrent density, (b) open-circuit voltage, (c) fill factor (FF), and (d) efficiency as a function of time for DSSCs assembled with liquid electrolyte and with PC microporous supports of different pore sizes. The solar cells were kept in light soaking (illumination of 100 mW cm$^{-2}$) at 60°C. Electrolyte composition was 0.8M PMII/0.05M I$_2$/0.5M NMBI in MPN.

Figure 6: Electrochemical impedance spectra of DSSCs with 0.05 µm support at various aging times and equivalent circuit shown in the insert. The solar cells were aged in light soaking (illumination of 100 mW cm$^{-2}$) at 60°C. Electrolyte composition was 0.8M PMII/0.05M I$_2$/0.5M NMBI in MPN.

Figure 7: Strong linear relationship between serial resistance and resistance for electrolyte diffusion for all as-prepared and aged DSSCs. The solar cells were aged in light soaking (illumination of 100 mW cm$^{-2}$) at 60°C. Electrolyte composition was 0.8M PMII/0.05M I$_2$/0.5M NMBI in MPN.
sum of the last three aforementioned resistance components. In addition, cell efficiency declined with time. The photovoltaic efficiency of the aged J solution, resulting in the lowest film had a low porosity and contained the least amount of electrolyte films. Under a low illumination intensity of 20 mW cm\(^{-2}\), the DSSC performance was evaluated. The PC supports were thermally stable and suppressed the electrolyte solvent evaporation rate. The as-
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