Cytogenetic Study of Down Syndrome In Algeria: Report and Review
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Background: Down syndrome (DS) is the most common type of chromosomal trisomy found in newborn. It’s associated with mental retardation and characteristic facial features. A clinical diagnosis of Down syndrome may be confirmed in one third of cases.

Objective: This study was conducted to confirm the clinical diagnosis of suspected cases with Down syndrome by a cytogenetic analysis and to evaluate several risk factors associated with trisomy 21 in a group of patients from West region of Algeria, Tlemcen.

Materials and method: Karyotype analysis was carried out for 22 patients with the clinical diagnosis of Down syndrome. GTG-band and RTG-band have been made according to the standard protocols.

Results: Among the 22 cases with Down syndrome, Free trisomy 21 was presented in 20 cases (91%). One case (4.5%) had translocation Down syndrome. One other case had mosaic Down syndrome. There was an excess of male than female; sex ratio was 1.75:1. The mean maternal age at birth of the affected children was 36.27 ± 7.59 years. It was significantly higher than this of mothers of non-trisomic children (27.83 ± 6.34 years; p=0.0002). Higher parity was an important risk factor associated with trisomy 21, 81% of affected children were of last or second last birth order. Paternal age and consanguinity had no effect.

Conclusion: The identification of specific types of chromosomal abnormalities in Down syndrome children is very significant. It greatly helped in the management of these children and to make aware the affected families about the recurrence risk and the options available.
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Introduction

Down syndrome (DS) is the most common autosomal abnormality and is the most genetic cause of mental retardation, appearing in about 1 of every 700 newborns [1,2]. Down syndrome can be caused by three types of chromosomal abnormalities: trisomy 21, translocation or mosaicism [2]. Trisomy 21 is characterized by the presence of three copies of chromosomes 21, generally resulting from non-disjunction during maternal meiosis, while the extra chromosome 21 in mosaic Down syndrome arises from mitotic non-disjunction in a chromosomally normal zygote [3]. For Down syndrome by translocation, the extra chromosome 21 translocated to other chromosomes or to the acrocentric chromosomes of D and G group that is, 13, 14, 15, 21 and 22 [4].

The cause of the non-disjunction error is not known, but there is a definite connection with maternal age. Advanced maternal age remains the major well documented risk factor for maternal meiotic non-disjunction. The incidence of trisomy 21 conceptions increases with maternal age [1-5]. Subsequently, maternal parity was established as an additional independent risk factor and genetic predisposition as third independent risk factor [6-8]. An increased risk for Down syndrome may be the result of an autosomal recessive gene mutation, particularly in the Middle East where the rate of consanguinity is increasing [9].

Karyotype analysis by chromosome banding remains the standard method to identify the cytogenetic variants of Down syndrome and to provide appropriate genetic counselling. Most cytogenetic studies in the world indicate that the most frequent type of chromosomal abnormalities in Down syndrome is Free trisomy 21 with a frequency ranges from 93% to 96%, mosaic Down syndrome presents a frequency between 2% and 3% and translocation Down syndrome presents a frequency ranges from 2% to 5% [10]. However, these values show a geographical variation from the Eastern to the Western countries. In Algeria, the number of children with Down syndrome is about 80,000 cases [11]. No data is yet available about cytogenetic variants of Down syndrome in the Algerian population.

The aim of this study was to describe the cytogenetic profile of children with Down syndrome in the west region of Algeria, Tlemcen. Study the impact of maternal age and other risk factors associated with this disorder. Then review and compare the findings of previous international studies with our results.

Materials and Method

Sample

The study was carried out on 42 children (22 with Down syndrome, 20 control subjects) aged between 1 year to 19 years old. They were recruited from the Pediatric Department of Maghnia hospital and from the Psychomotor Center for Mentally Handicapped Children of Maghnia during a period of 8 months (2013-2014). Information on age, birth order, parity parental age, parental consanguinity and...
family history of Down syndrome at presentation were documented using a questionnaire. All tested individuals were voluntary donors which parents gave consent in compliance with ethical norms get by international conventions.

**Karyotype analysis**

Chromosome preparation was carried out from 2-5 ml of peripheral blood collected in Sodium Heparin in all cases with clinical features of Down syndrome. Chromosomal culture was done according to standard protocol [12]. Peripheral blood lymphocytes were stimulated for 72 hours in incubator at 37°C with phythaemagglutinin-M (5 ng/l). Then, metaphases are harvested by adding colcemid (10 mg/l) for 120 min, followed by hypotonic KCl (0.075 M) treatment for 30 min and fixation using stan 3:1 methanol-acetic acid. Finally, cells obtained were dropped on distinct slides.

The karyotype of each patient was determined by direct staining with Giemsa or by G-banding using Banding trypsin solution and Giemsa for staining (GTG) or by R-banding using phosphate buffer heated to 87°C then Giemsa for staining (RTG) [13,14].

In each case, 25-50 metaphases were examined and 3-5 cells were photographed and karyotyped. In cases of mosaicism, 50 to 100 metaphases were scored. Karyotype description was done according to the international nomenclature guidelines (ISCN 2013) (International Standard Committee on Human Cytogenetics Nomenclature) [15].

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using the software SPSS, version 17 (SPSS Inc. Chicago ILL, USA). Categorical variables were presented as the number and percentage, when the quantitative variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Student's T test was used for comparison of means. P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 42 children were included in this study. Twenty-two patients with Down syndrome, among them, 14 (63.3%) were males and 8 (36.4%) were females, with male to female ratio of 1.75:1. The mean age at referral was 11.2 years. About 81% of cases were of the last or second last birth orders. Parental consanguinity was reported in 22.7% of the cases. Only 1 patient has a similar case in his family (Table 1).

Mean maternal age at first birth was significantly higher in (1990-2005) (27.2 ± 5.24 years) than in (1974-1989) (22.7 ± 3.92 years) (p=0.016) in our studied population. The age at first parity increase in these last years (Table 1).

For the mean maternal age of mothers at birth of Down syndrome children was 36.27 ± 7.59 years (ranges 21-52 years) of which 54.5% were in the advanced age group (≥ 35 years). This mean was significantly higher than the maternal age of mothers of non-trisomic children, whose age was around 27.83 ± 6.34 years (p=0.0002) (Figure 1).

The chromosomal analysis were undertaken in 22 cases, out of which 20 (91%) cases had free trisomy 21, 1 case had trisomy 21 with translocation (46, XY,+21, rob (21; 21) (q10; q10)), and 1 case had mosaic trisomy 21 (47,XY,+21/46,XY) (Table 2).

A comparison of the frequencies of trisomy 21, mosaicism and translocation Down syndrome of the current study with results of previous international studies was carried out. The frequencies of different countries, including Algeria are summarized in Table 3.
Discussion

Trisomy 21 is a common birth defect and can be diagnosed easily on the basis of clinical features. However, karyotyping is necessary for the confirmation of free trisomy 21, mosaicism and translocation in Down syndrome children, to determine the recurrent risk and to provide genetic counseling. The data reported in this study represent the first work of Down syndrome in Tlemcen, Algeria. All cases were diagnosed postnatally, where a karyotype analysis was done for all studied cases.

In this study, the overall sex ratio was 1.75:1. The excess of males to be universal and was reported in many studies in different countries.

Table 2: Karyotype analysis of 22 Down syndrome cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Total No.</th>
<th>Regular T21</th>
<th>Translocation DS</th>
<th>Mosaic DS</th>
<th>None classical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>04.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco [5]</td>
<td>Jauad et al.</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>96.2%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>03.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia [29]</td>
<td>Chaabouni et al.</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>91.2%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>04.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya [23]</td>
<td>Verma et al.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>96.0%</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>2.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan [50]</td>
<td>Eltalih et al.</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt [22]</td>
<td>El-Gilany et al.</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>96.1%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>03.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia [28]</td>
<td>Qahatani et al.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>04.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen [51]</td>
<td>Al-Maweri et al.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman [44]</td>
<td>Al Harasi, 2010</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>94.1%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE [19]</td>
<td>Murthy et al.</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>00.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatar [52]</td>
<td>Abdul Wahab et al.</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>98.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain [53]</td>
<td>Al-Aarayed, 1999</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>97.0%</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>1.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait [54]</td>
<td>Al-Awadi et al.</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>96.2%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>02.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq [39]</td>
<td>Al-Mefraji, 2012</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>2.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan [17]</td>
<td>Amayreh et al.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>02.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey [10]</td>
<td>Demirhan et al.</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>02.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran [40]</td>
<td>Mehdipour et al.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>88.0%</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>0.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China [45]</td>
<td>Wang et al.</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>03.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia [26]</td>
<td>Azman et al.</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>94.6%</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>00.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India [36]</td>
<td>Verma et al.</td>
<td>2410</td>
<td>2207</td>
<td>91.6%</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>04.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India [55]</td>
<td>Mandava et al.</td>
<td>1572</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>89.1%</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>07.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India [38]</td>
<td>Chandra et al.</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>83.8%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>05.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India [56]</td>
<td>Poddar et al.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India [4]</td>
<td>Jayalakshama et al.</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>86.9%</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>08.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan [57]</td>
<td>Ahmed et al.</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>03.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France [46]</td>
<td>Stoll et al.</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>94.1%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>03.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England and Wales [27]</td>
<td>Mutton et al.</td>
<td>5737</td>
<td>5411</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>03.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danemark [47]</td>
<td>Zhu et al.</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>02.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland [58]</td>
<td>Delvin and Morrisson, 2004</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>94.7%</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>01.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina [41]</td>
<td>Mačkić-Đurović et al.</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>06.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo [18]</td>
<td>Kolgeci et al.</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>05.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil [43]</td>
<td>Trevisan et al.</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>04.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico [48]</td>
<td>Garduño-Zarazúa et al.</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>87.3%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>02.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile [37]</td>
<td>Astete et al.</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia [42]</td>
<td>Staples et al.</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>04.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Karyotype frequencies among studied Down syndrome cases and pooled data from worldwide surveys.

No: Number, T21: Trisomy 21, DS: Down Syndrome
Our results are similar to those found by Kolgeci, et al. in Kosovo (1.72:1) [16] and near to those of Amayreh, et al. in Jordan (1.61:1) [17].

The higher male sex ratio may be the inherent tendency of Y belonging to the G group chromosome to be closer to its other members, 21 and 22, especially the smallest acrocentric, the 21. The reasons for the excess of male Down syndrome associated to the paternal errors are not yet clearly known [18].

The birth order of children with Down syndrome ranged from 1 to 10. Overall, 81% of them were of the last or second last birth orders. This result agrees with previous studies in UAE and Dhaka [19-20]. Several studies suggest an increased risk of Down syndrome with increasing parity that is the same as our result, but at the same time other studies reported that is no increased risk with increasing parity [6,21].

In this last years, Age of marriage became higher than the age in the earliest years, so the age at the first birth became higher. This increase the maternal age for the last births and for consequence, the age at birth of Down syndrome children became higher in our results where, 54.5% of births were over 35 years old.

The mean maternal age at birth of all studied Down syndrome children was 36.27 ± 7.59 years, this result agrees with the study of El-Gilany, et al. in Egypt [22], where the mean maternal age was 36.8 years, and the study of Jaouad, et al. in Morocco [5]; the mean maternal age was 35.39 years. Also agrees with the result found by Verma, et al. in Libya (35.62 years) [23].

Advanced maternal age remains the principle risk factor for trisomy 21. It was reported in many previous studies in different countries: India, Turkey, Malaysia, England and Wales, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Tunisia and Dubai [17,19,24-29].

Many other studies had shown increased number of Down syndrome babies born to the young mothers, like the study of Kava and his collaborators in India, the maternal age at birth of affected children was 26.8 years [24]. Other study in the same country reported a mean of 24.95 years [30].

For older mothers, the maternal age effect may be due to differential selection and accumulation of trisomy 21 oocytes in the ovarian reserve of older women [31].

For younger mothers, the mechanism behind the non-disjunction is not well understood. One of the reasons could be that the ovaries of young women are biologically older than their chronological age which may lead to increased incidence of non-disjunction [32].

Parent's consanguinity was observed in 22.7% of the effected children with Down syndrome. This result agrees with those of literature where about 17% of patients were products of consanguineous marriages in Egypt [22]. However, the effect of consanguinity on non-disjunction of chromosome 21 has not been clearly defined [33-35].

In the current study, the frequency of non-disjunction (free trisomy 21), mosaicism and translocation was 91%, 4.5% and 4.5% respectively. Our results are similar to a study performed in Tunisia by Chaabouni et al. [29] where the frequencies were 91.2%, 4.8% and 4.4% respectively and another study in India by Verma et al. [36] the frequencies were 91.6%, 4.1% and 4.1% respectively.

The frequency of non-disjunction in previous international studies in North Africa countries ranged from 91%-96% (Table 3). In Tunisia, we noted (91.2%), Libya (96%), Egypt (96.1%), Morocco (96.2%) [5,22,23,29]. However, in Middle East, Asia, Australia and America countries the frequency ranged from 81%-98%. The lowest frequencies were noted in Chile (81.9%), India (83.8%), Iraq (84.6%), Iran (88%) [37-40]. While for European countries, the value of free trisomy 21 was around 94%, except in Bosnia and Kerzegovina, where the frequency was lower than found in other European countries (82.1%) [41].

Previous studies have reported that the frequency of translocation Down syndrome varied from 0.67% to 8.8%, where the lowest frequency was noted in Iran, UAE and Malaysia and the highest frequency was reported in India (8.8%) [4,19,26,40]. The frequencies around 4% were noted in Tunisia (4.1%), Saudi Arabia (4.1%), India (4.1%), Australia (4.1%) and Brazil (4%) [28,29,36,42,43].

For mosaic Down syndrome, the frequency in previous studies varied from 0.6% to 18.1%. 0.6% was noted in Morocco and Libya, while 18.1% was noted in Chile [5,23,37]. Our frequency (4.5%) is similar to those found in Tunisia, Malaysia and India [4,26,29]. But it's higher than other reports in Egypt (0.8%), Oman (2.79%), Jordan (3.8%), China (3.5%), France (2.3%), Denmark (2.6%) and Brazil (3.1%) [17,22,43-47]. In contrast, it is lower than that reported in Iraq (12.8%), Iran (11.3%), India (10.8%), Bosnia (11%), Mexico (8.4%) and Chile (18.1%) [37-41,48].

Among all studied cases here and in previous studies, the frequency of translocation and mosaicism was very much lower than the frequency of free trisomy 21. This could be attributed to the high fertility rate and trends towards reproduction even at an advanced maternal age [49].

For non-disjunction trisomy 21, the most common error is maternal non-disjunction in the first meiotic division, with meiosis I error occurring three times as frequently as meiosis II errors. Most mosaic cases result from a trisomic zygote with mitotic loss of chromosome 21. The Down syndrome cases with unbalanced translocation usually are de novo and nearly 25% result from familial transmission [26].

Various studies have reported the frequency of free trisomy 21 associated with structural and/or numerical anomalies of other chromosomes (non-classical type of Down syndrome) to be 0.15%-2.4%. 0.15% was noted in Oman, 0.67% in Libya, 0.7% in England and Wales, 1.2% in Egypt and 2.4% in Turkey [10,23,27,44,49]. Whereas, we did not find this type of Down syndrome in our results.

**Conclusion**

In this study, a cytogenetic analysis by karyotyping was done for all cases that have clinical features of Down syndrome, in order to confirm the clinical diagnosis and to determine the frequency of different types of Down syndrome. Our results suggest that free trisomy 21 karyotype is more frequent in Down syndrome cases than translocation and mosaic karyotypes. These results were comparable to many international studies in the world. Of the various factors analyzed during the present study, advanced maternal age, and higher parity were the major influencing factors contributing to Down’s syndrome. These should be considered as important factors while genetic counselling of the parents and families to make them aware of recurrence risk and the options available.
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