

## Design and Development of Composite Nonwoven Filter for Pre-filtration of Textile Effluents Using Nano-technology

Singh JP<sup>1\*</sup>, Shrivastava A<sup>2</sup>, Mukophadhya K<sup>2</sup>, Prasad D<sup>1</sup> and Sharma V<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Textile Technology, U.P. Textile Technology Institute Kanpur, India

<sup>2</sup>Defence Materials and Stores Research and Development Kanpur, India

### Abstract

The main aim of this study is to test the feasibility of using composite membrane of nonwovens and Nano-fibre for the pre-filtration treatment of reactive dye bath effluent from the textile industry. The results of ultraviolet spectrophotometer of filtered and non-filtered sample show that the cleaning efficiency of effluent water will be achieved in the range of 70-80%. Cleaning efficiency of the Nano-filter filter remains around 70%. But a composite nonwoven filter made up of polyester fibre needle punched nonwoven and Nano-fibre web; the cleaning efficiency can reach as high as 90%.

**Keywords:** Nano technology; Nonwoven; Needle punched; Textile effluent; Filtration

### Introduction

Textile industry is the largest industry in the world. Textile industries produce waste like contaminated water which has different type of metals and other hazards particle. These particles are very harmful for the human and nature. Textile industry use different type of dyes which produced metals like Cu, Zn, As and Ar [1,2]. This is directly and indirectly harmful for the mankind.

The removal of these types of metals and other particle is done with the help of ETP (effluent treatment plant). Effluent treatment plants are capable of removing particle from the water. These types of plant have four stage of treating the effluent. These are Preliminary, Primary, Secondary and tertiary treatment [3,4].

Preliminary treatment process, in textile wastewater treatment, is the removal of suspended solids, excessive quantities of oil and grease and gritty materials [1]. The Secondary treatment process is mainly carried out to reduce the BOD, phenol and oil contents in the wastewater and to control its colour [5]. In tertiary process several technologies used in treatments including electro dialysis, reverse osmosis and ion exchange etc. [6].

Pressure-driven membrane filtration processes such as microfiltration (MF), ultra filtration (UF), nano filtration (NF), and reverse osmosis (RO) provide opportunities for the textile industry to purify water by separating its components based on size. However, widespread adoptions of some of these processes are yet to be realized due to membrane fouling. Membrane fouling is the accumulation of soil, or foulant, on the surface or within the pores of a membrane [7,8]. Fouling increases processing times, energy and cleaning costs, decreases separation efficiency. In severe cases, it may lead to irreversible clogging of the membrane.

So this research work aims to develop composite filters (Nonwoven and Spreading Nano-fibre over it) for pre-filtration of textile effluents. Nano woven fabric is mostly used for filtration process of water for due to its meshing structure [9-11]. Applying nano-fibre over it, give control over the pore size. This gives even better filtration results. Nano-fibre of PES (Polyether Sulphone) has been produced and used in this research work because it has high thermal and chemical resistance and also has appropriate mechanical properties [12-16]. If the pre filtration of textile effluent is good the next process results will improve.

### Materials and Methods

#### Preparation of non-woven sample

In this research work, nonwoven samples have been manufacture using recycled polyester fibre of circular cross-section (staple length 51mm and fineness 1.5 denier) at lab model machine (model- Trytex) (Table 1).

#### Deposition of nano-fibre over nonwoven sample

Polyethersulfone (PES) (Mw= 58000 and density of 1.37 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) was from DMSRDE lab. As the sub layer of the membrane a technical polyester non-woven was used. The chemical structure of PES and DMF is shown in Figure 1. The solvents *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) were obtained from DMSRDE lab. Solution viscosity was kept 0.52 and PES% was 15 (Tables 2 and 3).

Total filter is produced is six with two different nonwoven GSM and three different Nano-fibre spraying time. Each Filter is content two layer, first layer is nonwoven produced from polyester fibre 51 staple length and second layer is formed by PES Nano-fibre spraying over nonwoven (Figure 2).

| Sr. No.                  | Parameters     | Sample A<br>(Each 3 Sample) | Sample B<br>(Each 3 Sample) |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1                        | Sample Size    | 10 × 25 inch                | 10 × 25 inch                |
| 2                        | GSM of sample  | 130                         | 198                         |
| 3                        | Thickness      | 2.1 mm                      | 3.5 mm                      |
| <b>Machine parameter</b> |                |                             |                             |
| 1                        | Feed mm/Stroke | 10                          | 10                          |
| 2                        | Punches/min    | 35                          | 35                          |

Table 1: Machine parameters and sample details.

\*Corresponding author: Jitendra Pratap Singh, Department of Textile Technology, U.P. Textile Technology Institute, Kanpur, India; Tel: 91-9918095841; E-mail: [jpsingh.iitd@gmail.com](mailto:jpsingh.iitd@gmail.com)

Received May 11, 2017; Accepted May 19, 2017; Published May 29, 2017

Citation: Singh JP, Shrivastava A, Mukophadhya K, Prasad D, Sharma V (2017) Design and Development of Composite Nonwoven Filter for Pre-filtration of Textile Effluents Using Nano-technology. J Material Sci Eng 6: 340. doi: 10.4172/2169-0022.1000340

Copyright: © 2017 Singh JP, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Characterization of nano-fibre film:** Characterisation of Nano film is done by scanning electron microscope (SEM). During the study, the morphology of the nano-fibers was found smooth surface, no beads and droplets. Diameter of the nanofibers was  $260 \pm 110$  nm.

**Formation of waste water sample for filtration:** A sample waste water was prepared with Medium shade Using Salt Concentration 20g/l Nacl, 8g/l  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  and Dye 50 mg/l.

## Result and Discussion

### UV results

UV test was done at the DMSRDE lab Kanpur with the spectrophotometer (model no V-630) with 800-200 wavelength with cell height 10 mm. The results show the promising filter with increasing cleaning efficiency (Table 4).

**130 GSM and 198 GSM sample absorption in different UV value (comparison):** Table 4 and Figure 3 clearly shows that the composite

nonwoven filter with Nano-fibre layer improve the absorption values hence better filtration efficiency. This is possible due to improved surface of the filter. The Nano-fibre coating on the filter surface is the main reason behind this (Tables 5 and 6).

Table 6 and Figure 4 clearly shows that the composite nonwoven filter with Nano-fibre layer improve the flux permeability values hence better filtration efficiency. This is possible due to improved surface of the filter. The Nano-fibre coating on the filter surface is the main reason behind this.

### Conclusion

Results show that the ion and other particle concentration will decrease in all filtered sample from main sample. Result Show that the flux permeability value and permeate flux will decrease simultaneously according to thickness of nonwoven fabric and also Nano fibre.

The removal efficiency of composite sample is 75% and we know




Figure 1: (a) Polyethersulfone (PES); (b) *N,N*-dimethylformamide.

| Sr. No. | Parameter                      | PES nanofibrous Membrane(first) | PES nanofibrous Membrane (Second) | PES nanofibrous Membrane (third) |
|---------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1       | PES Concentration              | 15 wt%                          | 15 wt%                            | 15 wt%                           |
| 2       | Applied voltage                | 20 kV                           | 20 kV                             | 20 kV                            |
| 3       | Feed rate                      | 25 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$     | 25 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$       | 25 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$      |
| 4       | Spinning distance              | 25 cm                           | 25 cm                             | 25 cm                            |
| 5       | Collection time                | 2 h                             | 3 h                               | 5 h                              |
| 6       | outer diameter of the injector | 15 mm                           | 15 mm                             | 15 mm                            |

Table 2: Electro spinning condition.

| Sr. No. | Sample no. | Sample details           |
|---------|------------|--------------------------|
| 1       | S1         | 130 GSM+2 Hour spreading |
| 2       | S2         | 130 GSM+3 Hour spreading |
| 3       | S3         | 130 GSM+5 Hour spreading |
| 4       | S4         | 198 GSM+2 Hour spreading |
| 5       | S5         | 198 GSM+3 Hour spreading |
| 6       | S6         | 198 GSM+5 Hour spreading |

Table 3: Details of composite filter fabric samples.




Figure 2: Details of the filter design.

| UV Value | Absorption Value  |           |                  |                 |                 |                 |                 |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|          | Before filtration |           | After filtration |                 |                 |                 |                 |
|          | Main Sample       | 130 Hours | 130 GSM+3 Hours  | 103 GSM+5 Hours | 198 GSM+2 Hours | 198 GSM+3 Hours | 198 GSM+5 Hours |
| 800      | 1.71591           | 0.520248  | 0.490538         | 0.460582        | 0.343146        | 0.281131        | 0.109926        |
| 799      | 1.71545           | 0.519843  | 0.49034          | 0.45977         | 0.343158        | 0.281105        | 0.110076        |
| 700      | 1.63816           | 0.482494  | 0.462668         | 0.393183        | 0.320951        | 0.273147        | 0.106418        |
| 600      | 1.5975            | 0.462997  | 0.446871         | 0.367181        | 0.307864        | 0.26762         | 0.108035        |
| 500      | 1.72512           | 0.601857  | 0.61504          | 0.501424        | 0.446751        | 0.423599        | 0.300661        |
| 462      | 4.48165           | 2.59514   | 2.95018          | 2.43993         | 2.39472         | 2.36918         | 2.60564         |
| 461      | 7                 | 2.69383   | 3.03568          | 2.53488         | 2.49018         | 2.46368         | 2.68427         |

Table 4: Absorption value.




Figure 3: Change in absorption value with different GSM and time.

| Sr. No. | Sample Classification                                | Flow Rate | Flux Rate            |
|---------|--|-----------|----------------------|
|         | Non-Woven(GSM)+Nano Fibre Time For Spreading (Hours) | Lit/hour  | L/m <sup>2</sup> /hr |
| A.      | Without sample for apparatus flux permeability       | 81.81     | 28981.86             |
| B.      | With Sample  |           |                      |
| 1       | 130+2  | 78.26087  | 27673.57             |
| 2       | 130+3  | 76.14213  | 26924.37             |
| 3       | 130+5  | 63.82979  | 22570.65             |
| 4       | 198+2  | 58.25243  | 20598.45             |
| 5       | 198+3  | 48.49138  | 17146.88             |
| 6       | 198+5  | 41.3413   | 14618.56             |

Table 5: Flux permeability through water.

| Sr. No. | Sample Classification                                | Flow Rate | Flux Rate            |
|---------|--|-----------|----------------------|
|         | Non-Woven(GSM)+Nano Fibre Time For Spreading (Hours) | Lit/hour  | L/m <sup>2</sup> /hr |
| A.      | Without sample for apparatus flux permeability       | 81.81     | 28981.86             |
| B.      | With Sample  |           |                      |
| 1       | 130+2  | 14.4      | 5091.938             |
| 2       | 130+3  | 12.65     | 4474.462             |
| 3       | 130+5  | 10.76     | 3806.772             |
| 4       | 198+2  | 7.901     | 2794.083             |
| 5       | 198+3  | 7.380     | 2609.644             |
| 6       | 198+5  | 6.531     | 2309.478             |

Table 6: Flux permeability through waste water.




Figure 4: Flux rate of different type of sample through dyeing waste water and fresh water.

the Microfiltration cleaning efficiency is 70%. So, by using this type of composite nonwoven for pre-filtration of microfiltration process, cleaning efficiency around 91% can be achieved. Achieving this much high cleaning efficiency, improves the efficiency of further cleaning process.

#### References

- Ghaly AE, Ananthashankar R, Alhattab M, Ramakrishnan VV (2014) Production, Characterization and Treatment of Textile Effluents: A Critical Review. Journal of Chemical Engineering & Process Technology 5: 182.
- NCUTE-Techniques for Effluent Treatment, chapter 5, p: 45.
- Eswaramoorthi S, Dhanapal K, Chauhan D (2008) Advanced in Textile Waste Water Treatment: The Case for UV-Ozonation and Membrane Bioreactor for Common Effluent Treatment Plants in Tirupur, Tamil Nadu, India. Environment with People's Involvement & Co-ordination in India. Coimbatore, India.
- Das S (2000) Textile effluent treatment - A Solution to the Environmental Pollution.
- Babu (2007) Textile Processing and Effluent treatment. Journal of cotton science 11(1).
- Homaeigohar SS (2011) Functional Electrospun Nanofibrous Membranes for water filtration, der Technischen Fakultät der Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel.
- Moustafa S (2008) Process analysis & environmental impacts of textile manufacturing. Dyes and chemicals.
- Ntuli F, Omoregbe I, Kuipa P, Muzenda E, Belaid M (2009) Characterization of effluent from textile wet finishing operations. WCECS 1.
- Laxman M (2009) Pollution and its Control in Textile Industry. Dyes and Chemicals.
- Anon (1992) Verordnung über die Begrenzung von Abwasseremissionen aus Textilbetrieben 2/14., BGBl 1992/612, Regulation of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry: Austria.
- Schönberger H, Kaps U (1994) Reduktion der Abwasserbelastung in der Textile industrie. Umwelt bundesamt; Berlin.
- Hohn W (1998) Reinigungsmöglichkeiten für textile Abwässer im Überblick. Melliand Textil. 79: 647-649.
- Park J, Shore J (1984) Water for the dyehouse: Supply, consumption, recovery and disposal. Coloration Technology 100: 383-399.
- Athanasopoulos N (1990) Abwässer bei der Veredlung von Baumwolle und Baumwollmischungen von der Versuchsanlage zur Betriebsanlage. Melliand Textil 71: 619-628.
- Turner MT (1978) Waste Water Treatment and Re-use within the Textile Industry, Water Services Annual Technical Survey.
- Waste minimisation in textile industry (2008) The Indian Textile Journal September.