Design and Validation of the VIDA Questionnaire, for Assessing Instrumental Activities of Daily Living In Elderly People
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Abstract

The detection of frailty in a community setting requires tools that assess the early stages of functional decline. For this reason, it is important to have adequate scales to assess instrumental activities of daily living (IADL).

The objective of this paper is to provide an overview of the development of a questionnaire (VIDA) for assessing IADL in elderly people in primary care settings and in the community, and its current level of validation.

Using a Delphi study, 10 items were selected for the questionnaire, each with 3- or 4-point Likert scale response options, summing to a total score of 10 to 38 points. No gender bias was detected and the completion time was 3 to 5 minutes. The questionnaire has a high inter- and intrarater reliability, with intraclass correlation coefficients of 0.94 (95% CI: 0.88-0.97, p<0.0001) and 0.96 (95% CI: 0.93-0.98, p<0.0001), respectively. It has good internal consistency, with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.94, and the most recent studies suggest that it is moderately sensitive to change. It also has adequate construct validity, with correlations between items >0.40, except for the item “maintaining social relationships” which has a lower factor loading and correlation than the others. The VIDA questionnaire is relatively well correlated with other instruments assessing functioning (Lawton and Brody index and the Timed Up and Go test).
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Introduction

Functioning is the ability, generally associated with mobility and social function, to carry out activities that enable an independent life at home and in the community. In fact, the World Health Organization (WHO), in the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) [1], defines functioning as the positive aspects of the interaction between individuals’ health conditions (diseases, disorders, injuries or trauma) and their context (environmental, social and personal factors); and considers that functioning provides a better assessment of the overall health status of elderly people than merely reviewing or listing their health problems. In turn, disability, related to a loss of functioning, seems to be the best predictor of adverse events, deterioration and dependency both at the individual and population level, better even than age or multimorbidity [2]; therefore, it is a key factor to consider in the clinical approach to elderly people, as well as in health policies for this population.

Closely linked to functioning is the concept of frailty. It may precede disability and, if detected early, is potentially reversible. The term frail elderly refers to a person with decreased physiological reserves, who is more likely to have or is more vulnerable to adverse health-related events (hospitalization, falls, post-surgical complications, infection, immobility and other geriatric syndromes, among other problems) and is more likely to experience decline in physical function and functional capacity (disability, dependence) [3,4].

Generally, functioning is evaluated with scales that, in a structured way, assess people’s ability to independently perform a series of activities related to their interaction with their environment, so-called activities of daily living (ADL). These ADL are in turn divided into two main groups. On the one hand, there are basic activities of daily living (BADL), which includes self-care tasks (bathing, personal hygiene, grooming, dressing, self-feeding, etc.) and functional mobility (transferring from bed to chair, moving around home, etc.). On the other, there are instrumental activities of daily living (IADL), involving more complex tasks (taking medication, transportation in the community, managing personal finances, using the telephone, etc.). While the former are essential, for remaining independent in fundamental functions in one’s immediate environment (home), the latter are needed for remaining independent in the community, and require more advanced skills, which tend to be lost earlier [5].

There are well-established scales to assess BADL (e.g., Barthel Index) and others to assess IADL, these being key in relation to frailty and the detection of early stages of functional decline or pre-clinical disability. The Lawton and Brody IADL scale [6] is one of the best-known and most widely used, despite the suggestion of potential cultural and/or gender bias in the results. Nevertheless some research in our setting both refutes this suggestion and demonstrates the usefulness of the scale [7]. Nevertheless, since its development, not many studies have focused on its cross-cultural adaptation and validation. On the other hand, other instruments such as the COOP-WONCA charts [8], the physical function scale of the SF-36, and the Late-Life Function and Disability Instrument [9,10], are not at all widely used, especially in primary care settings.

Another approach to assessing functioning, widely used to detect...
frailty, is to carry out functional mobility tests. These tests are simple to perform, and the results are well correlated with those of functional assessment questionnaires. Moreover, they are not influenced by cultural factors and can potentially predict physical decline and disability. In such tests, participants perform specific tasks, generally involving gross motor skills including walking or related to balance or limb mobility. Three of the most widely-used and recognized tests are the Gait Test [11], the Timed Up and Go test and the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) [12].

The urgent need for standardized and valid tools for assessing functioning and IADL, which are key for detecting the onset of frailty, was the driver behind the creation and validation of the VIDA questionnaire, the acronym being derived from the Spanish for daily life of the elderly person (“Vida Diaria del Anciano”). This questionnaire was developed around the following criteria: it should be focused on elderly people in the community, be easy to use in routine primary care practice, be able to detect the onset of a decline in functioning and provide a multidisciplinary perspective.

In this paper, the stages of the development and validation to date of the VIDA questionnaire are presented, for assessing the IADL of elderly people in a community setting.

Materials and Methods

Figure 1 summarizes the successive steps being followed for the development and validation process of the VIDA questionnaire. We started in November 2003 with a Delphi study, and then conducted reliability analysis. Currently, we are analyzing the data from the use of the questionnaire in a cohort study (KOSFRAGIL) in which a tool for the identification of frail elderly in primary care settings is proposed.

Initial Delphi study [13], to select items for the questionnaire (content validity of the instrument based on expert criteria): 57 experts from a wide range of professional groups participated in the study (general practitioners, geriatricians, nurses, physiotherapists, and social workers) identified though the Spanish Society of Family and Community Medicine (semFYC), and the Spanish Society of Geriatrics and Gerontology (SEGG). Three consecutive rounds of surveys were sent to participants by e-mail (or fax, on request). In the first round, participants were asked what items should be included on a questionnaire to assess IADL in elderly people; in the second round, they were asked to select, from various groups of items, the 10 they felt were the most important; and in the third and last round, they were asked to rate, on a scale of 1 to 10, the importance of the 14 items most commonly selected in the previous round. In this way, considering the item ratings, we decided on the items to include in the initial version of the instrument.

Having produced a draft questionnaire, we asked two experts in questionnaire design and methodology to review it, and later preliminary pilot testing was carried out with consensus meetings [14]. In this way, we ensured that the questionnaire had apparent validity.

Analysis of inter- and intrarater reliability [15], by administering 71 pairs of VIDA questionnaires to people ≥75 years old without significant sensory, physical or mental impairment. We consecutively recruited participants by stratified sampling of individuals with different degrees of impairment in BADL (total Barthel Index scores of >90 points, indicating independence or only slight dependence, 42%; 61-90 points, moderate dependence, 42%; and ≤61 points, severe dependence, 16%). We also explored the presence of other factors related to frailty (recent hospitalization, comorbidity, polypharmacy, etc.) and their correlation with the Barthel Index scores [15], as well as the concurrent validity with the Timed Up and Go test.

Subsequently, we continued the validation process by performing exploratory factor analysis (to assess construct validity) [16] in the same sample used previously for the reliability analysis. We used the unweighted least squares estimation, given the categorical nature of the responses, and factor loadings and communalities ≥0.40 were deemed acceptable. We also analyzed Spearman’s correlations between scores on different items and between scores on each item and the total scale excluding that item [16].

Analysis of known-group validity, considering age group (≤80/81-85/≥85 years old), Lawton IADL scores (0–4/5–8 points), level of physical activity (low/high), and gender (male/female). This analysis was performed with 215 individuals ≥75 years of age from the cohort KOSFRAGIL who were independent on recruitment to the study.

Based on the analysis of the KOSFRAGIL cohort, we have already obtained data on sensitivity to change of the VIDA questionnaire. We are currently assessing the predictive validity (for adverse events) and making comparisons with other indices and tests used for detecting frailty.
Results

We selected the 10 items with the highest ratings in the Delphi process, the remaining items having obtained markedly lower scores [13]. After the assessment by the two experts and the preliminary pilot testing of the draft, we established the questionnaire to be used in subsequent studies (Figure 2). Items are rated on 3- or 4-point Likert scales, making it possible to assess changes in an individual over time in each item, and sum to a total score ranging from 10 to 38. The completion time is between 3 and 5 minutes, once evaluators are familiarized with the scale [14].

In the reliability study, the intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were 0.94 (95% CI: 0.88-0.97, p<0.0001) and 0.96 (95% CI: 0.93-0.98, p<0.0001) for inter- and intrarater reliability, respectively [15]. A lower score was obtained on the questionnaire when patients had two or more risk factors for frailty (p=0.015) [15].

With the same sample as the reliability study [15], the VIDA questionnaire was moderately correlated with the Timed Up and Go test (ICC: 0.61, p<0.0001). We also found that a score of 35 was the cut-off point that gave the strongest association between the questionnaire and the Timed Up and Go test, considering a time of more than 20 s in this test to be abnormal (indicating problems with gait or balance and hence a risk of falls). That is, having a score <36 in the VIDA questionnaire was associated with an abnormal Timed Up and Go test (>20 s).

Considering all 10 items, the internal consistency of the questionnaire, assessed using Cronbach’s alpha, was 0.94 [16]. The factor loadings were above 0.70 for the first 9 items and 0.47 for item 10 (“maintaining social relationships”). Correlations between items ranged from 0.33 to 0.77, those with item 10 being the weakest. On the other hand, correlations between items and the total scale were above 0.40 in all cases [16].

The known-group analysis (Table 1) demonstrated that the VIDA questionnaire scores did not contain a gender bias (p=0.418 between men and women) and that they were associated with the Lawton IADL scale scores, categorized into 0-4 or 5-8 (p<0.0001). Further, scores fell with increasing age (p=0.0002) and decreasing physical activity (p=0.0001).

Discussion

We have developed a comprehensive scale for the assessment of IADL that is easy to administer (taking 3 to 5 minutes) in a primary care setting. Indeed, in terms of the activities assessed, the questionnaire has a greater scope than other tools and includes some novel items, for example, the ability to open/close doors or maintain social relationships. The inclusion of these innovative items reflects the multidisciplinary
nature of the expert group involved in the Delphi study [13]. Although the questionnaire includes two items that could be expected to be influenced by gender, “doing the shopping” and “housework,” widening the range of activities covered by these items avoids this potential effect, as confirmed in the initial pilot studies in which the questionnaire was adapted until it obtained apparent validity. Specifically, “shopping” includes some tasks often carried out by men, such as buying a newspaper and “housework” extends beyond traditional homemaking tasks to minor home maintenance. The known-groups analysis also found no gender bias in the overall score.

In the reliability study [15], we found very good inter- and intra-rater reliability. The ICCs are even higher than those reported by other authors for widely-used and well-established questionnaires assessing BADL functioning, such as Barthel’s index [17,18], and those assessing cognitive impairment, such as the Cognitive Mini-Exam (a Spanish adaption of the Mini-Mental State Examination developed by Folstein et al.) [19].

Moreover, the fact that scores in the VIDA questionnaire were poorer when patients had two or more frailty risk factors in reliability study sample (important comorbidity, falls, recent hospitalisation, visual or hearing impairment, polypharmacy, adverse social factors) supports the construct validity of the VIDA questionnaire, in that such individuals with these factors are more likely to experience adverse events and decline towards disability [15]. Consistent with this, in the known-groups analysis, poorer scores in the questionnaire were associated with older age and lower physical activity. Further, the scores were closely associated with those of the Lawton-Brody scale.

Table 1: Results of known-groups of the VIDA questionnaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Median (Q1, Q3)</th>
<th>Minimum-maximum</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;80 years old</td>
<td>35.1 (3.1)</td>
<td>36 (34, 37)</td>
<td>23-38</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-85</td>
<td>34.5 (2.9)</td>
<td>35 (33, 36)</td>
<td>28-38</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td>31.9 (4.9)</td>
<td>30 (36, 30)</td>
<td>19-38</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawton-Brody index</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4 points</td>
<td>31.4 (4.4)</td>
<td>32 (29, 35)</td>
<td>19-37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8 points</td>
<td>35.4 (2.6)</td>
<td>36 (35,37)</td>
<td>25-38</td>
<td>174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Low physical activity | 0.0001  |
| No      | 35.2 (2.8) | 36 (34, 37)     | 21-38           | 193|      |
| Yes     | 30.3 (4.8) | 30 (28, 35)     | 19-37           | 22 |      |
| Gender  | 0.418     |
| Male    | 34.4 (3.1) | 35 (33, 37)     | 25-38           | 79 |      |
| Female  | 34.8 (3.6) | 36 (34, 37)     | 19-38           | 136|      |

*SD: standard deviation; Q1: first quartile, Q3: third quartile; *statistical significance through Jonckheere-Terpstra test for the age, t-test for Lawton-Brody index, for physical activity and for gender.

Conclusions

The VIDA questionnaire is an easy-to-administer tool for assessing IADL in elderly people in a primary care setting and in the community.

It has high reliability and internal consistency.

It also has good construct validity and the scores correlate well with the results of other instruments assessing functioning (Lawton IADL index and the Timed Up and Go test).

A score of less than 36 points is associated with an abnormal Timed Up and Go test, although we should wait for confirmation of its characteristics from the ongoing predictive validity study to establish the optimal cut-offs for IADL impairment.
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