Determination of Nitrate and Nitrite Content of Dietary Supplements Using Ion Chromatography
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Abstract

Following the discovery of nitrate and nitrite as pro-drugs of the vasorelaxant nitric oxide, many studies have reported enhanced athletic performance following intake of these ions either intravenously or as a food supplement. The primary aim of this study was to develop a new accurate, reliable and robust ion chromatography based method. The newly developed method was applied to selected foodstuffs in powdered and/or juice form to measure levels of nitrate and nitrite. In addition to the commonly used beetroot juice, an initial HPLC-based screen of a range of foods identified spinach powder with very high nitrate and nitrite content. As a result of the initial screening, branded beetroot juice, concentrated beetroot juice and spinach powder were analysed using a new extraction method followed by a newly developed and validated ion chromatographic determination of nitrate and nitrite levels. The new ion chromatography based assay showed higher efficiency and better recovery than the HPLC approach. Significant inter-batch variations were found in levels of nitrate and nitrite in the beetroot juice and concentrated beetroot juice samples tested. In contrast spinach powder could provide a homogenous source of nitrate and nitrite for physiological studies. In summary, the new assay is rapid and efficient facilitating rigorous analyses of nitrate and nitrite levels prior to investigations requiring intake of foodstuffs containing these nitric oxide precursors.
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Introduction

Nitrate, a compound of low toxicity, when ingested orally, is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract in its free form. About 20-28% of this is then secreted into saliva and only 4-8% of the ingested nitrate is converted into nitrite by nitrate reducing bacteria. The resulting nitrite is then further reduced to nitric oxide by the same bacteria [1-3]. Many studies have related the beneficial effect of nitrate, nitrite and nitric oxide consumption to cardiovascular health, glucose and calcium regulation, muscle contractility [4,5], mitochondrial bio-synthesis and respiration [6-12], and regulation of blood flow [6,13-15]. Hemodynamic effects [16-18] in the form of increasing exercise performance and as an energy booster have also been reported [5,19,20]. The role of bioactive nitric oxide has recently been evaluated in the form of single dosage [6] or chronic use [21,22]. Its use is independent from the factors such as health status, age and fitness [23,24].

While nitrate is nontoxic even in higher doses, nitrite can cause serious harm at lower levels. Nitrites are known to cause methemoglobinemia in infants and cancer and hypotension in adults [1-3,25,26] and therefore its consumption is restricted [27,28]. Nitrate in the human diet is present mainly in beetroots and most of the leafy green vegetables like spinach and lettuce [29]. Many studies have revealed the effects of nitrates on the human body by its direct consumption in the form of nitrate-rich dietary supplements mainly, beetroot juice (BJ) or inorganic nitrates [19,28,30-35]. The use of inorganic nitrates is associated with several problems and it is not an ideal supplementation option [17,26,36-38]. A cycling time trial performance study based on nitrate rich BJ supplementation [21], and other studies of nitrate supplementation [5,19], explained the effect of nitrate [nitrite and nitric oxide] on increased Power Output (PO) and enhanced time trial (TT) performance [5,19,20,39-44]. Due to the limitations of exercise capacity tests [45] time trial (TT) tests were performed involving subjects completing a given distance in the fastest possible time [46,47].

Spinach powder (SP) has not been given due consideration as a supplementation choice in the past. It is one of the more potent alkaline vegetable, having large quantities of nitrates along with other dietary preferences [48]. Among other methods, ion chromatography is one of the favourite techniques for accurate analyses of nitrate and nitrites [7,8,34,49-53]. However, to date extraction and ion chromatographic methods applied to beetroot juices and spinach have not been reported without the use of ion pair reagents [54]. To assist physiological studies, a new accurate, reliable and robust ion chromatography method was developed and applied to selected foodstuffs in powdered and/or juice form based on screening results of levels of nitrate and nitrite in a wider range of foodstuffs.

Experimental

Sample collection

For the initial screening phase carrot, beetroot, celery, tomato and SP’s along with black radish, celery and BJ’s were acquired from local health shops or mail order suppliers. For the analyses of the selected foodstuffs, a total of 10 branded BJ samples and a further 12 concentrated beetroot juice (CBJ) samples were purchased from different stores across London. A total of nine SP samples (50 g each) belonging to nine different batches were tested. The BJ samples were collected with an expiry ranging from Nov-2011 to Jul-2012 representing 10 batches, CBJ samples having an expiry ranging between Jun-2011 to Jul-2012.
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representing 12 batches and SP have an expiry range of Dec-2011 to July-2012.

Reagents, chemicals and consumables

Sodium nitrate, sodium nitrite, sodium iodide, oxalate, sodium bromide, sodium hydroxide, sodium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate and chloroform were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich UK. Deionised water of 15 MΩ-cm quality was obtained in-house from ELGA-DV25 water purifier, Marlrow UK. Millex-HA syringe driven filters (0.45 μm x 33 mm) were purchased from Millipore Ltd, Ireland.

Instrumentation

An anion exchange ion chromatography column IonPac AS14A (4x250 mm x 7 μm), having a 100 Å pore size was used for analysis together with IonPac AS14A (4x50 mm) guard column, both columns were obtained from Dionex UK Ltd. The ion chromatography column was installed onto a PerkinElmer 225 series HPLC system comprising of 225 series EP Diode Array Detector, a pump and an autosampler, PerkinElmer Cambridge, UK. pH measurements were determined using SevenEasy pH meter Mettler Toledo, having a combined glass electrode, Leicester, UK. Ultrasonic water bath used was Sonorex digital supplied by Monmout Scientific Somerset, UK.

Screening of vegetables for nitrate and nitrite content

The HPLC method of Tamme et al. [52] was modified for screening investigations. A total of five vegetable powders and two vegetable juices were collected for analysis. Prior to analysis, the powders and juices were stored at 4°C. To prepare the vegetable powders for analysis 1 g was weighed into a flask and deionised water (20 mL) was added followed by mixing. Then activated charcoal (0.1 g) was added followed by mixing. The flask was then agitated in water bath at 60°C for 20 min before being allowed to cool down to room temperature. The mixture was spun at 12,300 x g for 10 min. The supernant was collected and filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane. The prepared sample was immediately analysed. It was necessary to further dilute spinach and beetroot samples in order to determine nitrate. 20 μL of the above supernatant was added to 980 μL of deionised water before filtration and analysis.

To prepare the vegetable juices for analysis, 15 g of juice was weighed into a flask. Deionised water (20 mL) was added followed by mixing. To clarify 5 mL Carrez-I solution (3.60 g potassium hexacyanoferrate (II) trihydrate made up to 100 mL with water) was added and mixed. 5 mL Carrez-II solution (7.20 g zinc sulfate heptahydrate made up to 100 mL with water) was added followed by mixing. The flask was then agitated in water bath at 60°C for 20 min before being allowed to cool down to room temperature. The suspension was spun for 20 min at 2000 × g. The supernatant was collected and made up to 100 mL with deionised water before filtration through a 0.45 μm membrane, followed by immediate analysis. For beetroot and black radish juices this process was repeated but with dilution to 1000 mL and 500 mL respectively. The 100 mL dilutions were used to determine nitrate (and also nitrate in celery juice) and the 1000 mL and 500 mL dilutions were made for determining nitrate in beetroot and black radish juices respectively.

Sample preparation for ion chromatography analysis

One gram of SP was weighed. The SP was dissolved in 50 mL deionised water in a volumetric flask, which was free from any nitrates. The flask was then placed in a boiling water bath at 80°C for 20 minutes and shaken up well. The flask was left to cool. The spinach solution was diluted further with 50 mL deionised water. The dissolved SP was further filtered through 0.45 μm syringe filter. The first filtrate of 3 mL was discarded and the following filtrate of 1 mL was collected for determination of nitrate and nitrite. The samples were further diluted 10 times to fit on the standard curve.

All samples of beetroot juice were stored in the refrigerator at 4°C in the dark. First the BJ and CBJ sealed containers were opened, extracted, analysed and stored in the refrigerator at 4°C in the dark for further analysis. For analyses, the beetroot juices were shaken well in the ultrasonic bath for 20 minutes at room temperature then the BJ and CBJ samples were diluted with deionised water to fit the standard curve. The diluted samples were filtered through a 0.45 μm syringe driven filters. The first 4 mL collected filtrate was discarded and the following filtrate of 1 mL was collected for determination of nitrate and nitrite. All samples were analysed immediately after filtration.

The freshly opened BJ and CBJ samples were found to have a pH of 4.23. First the samples were analysed for nitrate and nitrite determination at this pH. The extraction methods were adopted from Akyüz et al. [53]. The Mettler Toledo Seven Easy pH meter was calibrated with buffers at pH (4, 7 and 9) before using it to record pH of the beetroot samples. The BJ, CBJ and SP samples were analysed in duplicate and the average value was reported.

Ion chromatography procedure

Mobile phase was (8 mM Na₂CO₃/1 mM NaHCO₃) prepared by mixing 16 mL of 1M Na₂CO₃ with 2 mL of 1M NaHCO₃ and diluted to 2 litres in deionised water. Sodium iodide was used as internal standard. Stock solutions of nitrate, nitrite and iodide (internal standard) were prepared by dissolving 10 mg of sodium salts of nitrate, nitrite and iodide each in 10 mL of deionised water. A pellet of NaOH and 20 drops of chloroform was added to prevent the liberation of nitrous acid and to preserve the analytes [49]. Working solutions of 1 mg/mL were serially diluted to contain 100, 50, 25, 12, 6, 3, 1.5, 0.7, 0.3, 0.1, 0.05, 0.02, and 0.01 μg/mL of nitrate and nitrite. All the solutions were stored at 4°C.

At the start of the run, the mobile phase was passed through an ion chromatography column until a stable baseline signal was obtained. The optimal anionic exchange mobile phase conditions were obtained through extensive trials by mixing different molarities of Na₂CO₃/NaHCO₃. Different flow rates of mobile phases were also tried (range 0.5 to 2) together with different injection volumes (range 5-100 μL). 1.5 mL/min flow rate and 50 μL injection volume gave best resolution and better peak shape. The best-run time was found to be 15 minutes. The retention times of nitrates and nitrates were 6.7 ± 0.02 and 3.4 ± 0.02 minutes respectively. The flow rate was 1.5 mL/min and the wavelength of the diode array detector (DAD) was set to 210 nm for detection. The injection volume was 50 μL and the column temperature was kept at 30°C. A blank sample was run on regular intervals- mostly after every 5 samples to check for carry over effect. The amounts of nitrates and nitrates in beetroot unknowns were determined by using linear regression equations of the standard curves. The test solutions were diluted accordingly to fit the range set by the standard curves. For the selection of internal standard sodium oxalate, sodium bromide and sodium iodide were tried and sodium iodide was found to be the optimum internal standard having a relatively appropriate and robust retention time. Precision and accuracy tests were carried out by analysing quality controls high, medium and low on the same day in triplicate i.e.; within 24 hours (intra-Day) and then within successive days with at least 24 hours interval (inter-day). Recovery tests were evaluated by spiking known concentrations of nitrate and nitrite into beetroot samples and each concentration spiked was analysed in triplicate. Samples were spiked by addition and agitation at known...
concentrations of 12.5 μg/mL, 25 μg/mL and 100 μg/mL for QCs at low, medium and high levels. A blank test was also carried out to calculate average recoveries. The lower limit of detection for the assay was defined as the concentration of the standard solution of which the average peak height/peak noise level was 3.

Statistical analysis

Data were represented as mean ± standard deviation of three replicates. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 19 software. For BJ and CBJ, one sample t-test was used for comparing measured levels of nitrate to the level indicated on the label.

Screening results for nitrate/nitrite levels in foods stuffs

The content of nitrate and nitrite across a range of candidate supplements varied considerably in the HPLC-based screening study with the nitrate at much higher levels (Table 1). Spinach had the highest levels of nitrate by a considerable margin, followed by beetroot powder. For nitrites, the levels were much lower with beetroot and spinach having the highest of the foods stuffs tested. From this screening stage which was in line with previous findings [7], it was decided to focus on the beetroot and spinach as candidate for supplements based studies of nitrate/nitrite. From these initial screening results, further analyses were warranted for the frequently used beetroot juices in contrast to the highest alternative foodstuff, powdered spinach.

Method Validation for Nitrate and Nitrite in BJ, CBJ and SP

The method for determination of nitrate and nitrite was validated taking into account the recovery, linearity, sensitivity, reproducibility and influence of the mobile phase solution and interference of the sampling substances. All the solutions, standards and quality controls were prepared in deionised water. The results showed that the standard curve was linear over the range 0.05 to 100 μg/mL for nitrate and nitrite. Figure 1 shows the chromatographic peaks for nitrate and nitrite in BJ, CBJ and SP.

The lower limit of detection was 10 ng/mL for nitrate and 15 ng/mL for nitrite. The intra-day/inter-day precision for the triplicate analyses were determined by calculating the coefficient of variations. Intra-day precision was calculated by running the assay quality controls at three different time intervals within the same day while inter-day precision was calculated by analysing quality controls three times within successive eight days as shown in Table 2.

The recoveries are calculated as an average of the triplicate analysis and are shown in Table 3. The recoveries of nitrate and nitrite were calculated by spiking known amounts of quality controls (1.5, 12.5, 25 and 100 μg/mL) into BJ and CBJ samples. As shown in Table 3, the recoveries of nitrites in BJ and CBJ samples were in the range of 97.8-103.5% and 97.2-99.9%, respectively while the average recoveries were 99.9 and 98.7% respectively.

| Table 2: Intra-day/inter-day precision, accuracy and linearity (n=6). |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Spike levels In (μg/mL)     | Recovery (%) and Coefficient of variation (%) |                      |                      |
|                            | BJ                           | CBJ                          | SP                            |
|                            | Nitrate                      | Nitrate                      | Nitrate                      | Nitrate                      |
|                            | Nitrate                      | Nitrate                      | Nitrate                      | Nitrate                      |
|                            |                                | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   |
|                            | 0.05                         | 98.6 ± 1.2                   | 99.1 ± 1.2                   | 97.9 ± 1.4                   | 98.2 ± 1.3                   |
|                            | 1.5                          | 99.6 ± 1.6                   | 97.8 ± 0.2                   | 98.4 ± 0.4                   | 97.2 ± 1.1                   |
|                            | 12.5                         | 98.9 ± 0.2                   | 103.5 ± 1.1                  | 101.3 ± 0.7                  | 98.2 ± 1.3                   |
|                            | 25                            | 104.5 ± 0.4                  | 98.9 ± 0.3                   | 99.7 ± 0.9                   | 99.9 ± 0.9                   |
|                            | 100                           | 103.4 ± 0.9                  | 98.6 ± 0.5                   | 103.2 ± 0.9                  | 97.8 ± 1.2                   |
|                            |                                | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   |
|                            | 12.5                         | 102.6                       | 99.18                        | 102.42                       | -3.72                        |
|                            | 25                            | 115.08                      | 94.29                        | 102.42                       | -11                          |
|                            | 100                           | 112.5                       | 90.14                        | 99.45                        | -11.67                       |
|                            |                                | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   |
|                            | 12.5                         | 99.29                       | 98.13                        | 95.21                        | -4.11                        |
|                            | 25                            | 99.12                       | 98.12                        | 98.22                        | -0.91                        |
|                            |                                | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   |
|                            | 12.5                         | 96.23                       | 98.25                        | 95.36                        | -0.9                         |
|                            | 25                            | 84.5                        | 95.46                        | 82.33                        | -2.57                        |
|                            | 100                           | 88.12                       | 90.17                        | 82.13                        | -6.8                         |
|                            |                                | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   |
|                            | 12.5                         | 90.23                       | 89.38                        | 90.27                        | 0.04                         |
|                            | 25                            | 103.38                      | 87.33                        | 80.40                        | -22.57                       |
|                            | 100                           | 100.23                      | 113.5                        | 99.5                         | -0.73                        |
|                            |                                | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   |
|                            | 12.5                         | 111.21                      | 101.6                        | 112.2                        | 0.89                         |
|                            | 25                            | 112.51                      | 94.58                        | 96.33                        | -14.38                       |
|                            | 100                           | 112.23                      | 102.5                        | 99.59                        | -11.26                       |
|                            |                                | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   | % Accuracy                   |
|                            | 12.5                         | 99.26                       | 100.2                        | 98.6                         | -0.66                        |
|                            | 25                            | 100                         | 96.25                        | 88.75                        | -11.25                       |
|                            | 100                           | 104.5                       | 99.85                        | 99.58                        | -4.71                        |

Table 3: Recoveries of nitrate and nitrite in BJ, CBJ and SP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spike levels In (μg/mL)</th>
<th>Recovery (%) and Coefficient of variation (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>98.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>99.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>99.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>97.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>98.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>103.5 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>104.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>98.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>103.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>98.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Stability results for nitrate-nitrites containing food stuffs during freeze-thaw cycles.

Three freeze and thaw cycles were conducted at 24 hours, 48...
Figure 1: Ion chromatogram of nitrate and nitrite in BJ, CBJ and SP samples. For analysis the SP solution was diluted to 1 in a 1000.
hours and 72 hours respectively. The quality controls samples at three
different concentration levels were divided into three sets. The first set
was analyzed at 24 hours while thawing the other two sets, then 2nd
set of QC’s were analyzed after 48 hours’ time interval while thawing
the third set and finally analyzing the third and final set after 72 hours
gap. The % variations in concentrations ranged between (-14.98-0.89).
The results are within Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guideline
for method validation (± 15%), apart from one value for CBJ at a
concentration of 25 μg/mL on the third freeze/thaw cycle. The results
are shown in Table 4.

Results and Discussion

Nitrate and nitrite levels in SP, BJ and CBJ samples

Figure 2 shows that individual samples of BJ and CBJ would give
different levels and greater variations, making SP an ideal candidate
for supplementation studies when exact dosage is of importance. The
one sample test statistics shows that the observed levels are significantly
different from the labeled values for BJ (t(9)=17.814, p<0.001) and BJ
concentrate (t(11)=25.522, p<0.001). It is also notable that considerable
inter-batch variations exist for the processed beetroot juices. In some
cases these differences amount to some 25% for nitrate. In contrast,
spinach powder was found to produce consistent levels of both nitrate
and nitrite.

Conclusions

In the present study an accurate extraction method followed by
ion chromatography with ultraviolet detection was presented for
determination of nitrates and nitrates in BJ, CBJ and SP samples.
Applying this assay, for beetroot preparations the nitrate levels differ
from that described on the label and further variations were found between batches. Thus, this method of provision of nitrate and nitrite supplementation could be unreliable for use in supplementation studies if exact dosages are important. This study provides a novel approach to quantify nitrate and nitrite levels for supplementation studies.
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