Dilemma of Acute Appendicitis
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Abstract

Acute appendicitis is one of a relatively dwindling number of conditions in which a decision to operate may be based solely on clinical findings. Regular re-assessment of patients and making use of the investigative options available will meet the standard of care expected by patients with acute abdominal pain. In this chapter, the greater importance of history and examination over investigations in the early diagnosis of acute appendicitis is emphasized. The ability to identify the presence of peritoneal inflammation probably has the greatest influence on the final surgical decision.
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Introduction

Acute appendicitis is the most common cause of the acute abdomen requiring surgery with lifetime risk of ~7% which is maximal in childhood and declines steadily with age as the lymphoid tissue and vascularity atrophy [1,2]. Surgery for the acute abdomen caused by Appendicitis only evolved when the mortality associated with perforated appendicitis was found to be high. Conservative treatment with later drainage of any abscess had been the standard and diffuse peritonitis was usually fatal. Although only few patients progressed to the potentially lethal complications, early surgery for all patients with suspected appendicitis became the definitive method of preventing severe peritoneal sepsis [2-4]. Although a study demonstrated that simple appendicitis may be treated with antibiotics only, there is a 25% risk of recurrent attacks [4]. Even though recent advances in interventional radiological techniques for peritonitis have significantly reduced the morbidity and mortality of physiologically severe complicated abdominal infection, the best policy is early surgery when there is clinical suspicion of the acute abdomen and if diagnostic tools are not readily available [5]. The mortality of perforated viscous increases with delay in diagnosis and management and it is greatest in the elderly (25% when age >70 years) and those ill from intercurrent disease with a poor performance status (American Society of Anesthesiology - ASA score) [2,6-9].

Natural History

The natural history of acute appendicitis left untreated is that it will either resolve spontaneously by host defences, or progress to a fatal suppurative necrosis (gangrene) with perforation. The appendicular artery is a single end artery closely applied to the wall distally, and secondary thrombosis is common giving rise to gangrene which explains the short progressive history (3-5 days) of appendicitis and the poorer prognosis with the artherosclerosis of the aged. The classical presentation of referred, dull, poorly localized, colicky periumbilical pain (visceral) from the luminal obstruction (mid-gut origin) for 12-24 hrs that shifts and localizes to the right iliac fossa as peritoneal irritation by the inflamed appendix occurs (somatic pain) is most common in adolescents. There is nausea but vomiting more than twice is rare. A low grade pyrexia and constipation is usual [2]. An alternative outcome is that the appendix becomes surrounded by a mass of omentum or adenitis), previous episode (Crohn’s disease), weight loss (Crohn’s disease, caecal carcinoma), dyspepsia (cholecystitis, perforated ulcer), arthralgia (Yersinia enterocolitica, Crohn’s disease), vaginal discharge (salpingitis), mid-menstrual cycle (ruptured follicular cyst), frequency ( urinary tract infection), preserved appetite (non-specific, or gynaecological) and Asian origin (ileo-caecal tuberculosis) [3]. In acute appendicitis the point of maximum tenderness (McBurney’s point) usually lies one third along a line from the anterior superior iliac spine to the umbilicus which denotes the surface anatomy of the appendix. This is associated with guarding of the inflamed area from being prodded further [2,12,13]. Although not of diagnostic value as being nonspecific, pressure in the left iliac fossa produces pain in the right iliac fossa (Rovsing’s sign) [14]. Occasionally, patients with appendicitis have signs of widespread peritonitis, which obscure the area of maximal tenderness. Re-examination, after resuscitation and adequate analgesia, permits more reliable localization of signs [2,3,13,14]. The appendix
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can occasionally be in different positions within the abdomen and can lead to the pain localizing in more unusual places which may lead to a delay in diagnosis. A retrocaecal appendix can give rise to tenderness in the right upper quadrant whereas a pelvic appendix may be associated with central abdominal discomfort. Abdominal rigidity may be absent when the appendix is retrocaecal or pelvic, and in obese or elderly patients. Passive extension or hyperextension of the hip increases the abdominal pain due to an inflamed appendix lying on the psoas muscle (Psoas stretch test). The obturator sign is positive when passive internal rotation of the hip aggravates the pain of an inflamed appendix lying on the obturator internus, but, an ovarian pathology may do same [2,3,15].

Left-sided appendicitis is a rare and atypical presentation associated with congenital mid-gut malrotation, situs inver
tus or an abnormally long appendix [16]. The apex beat of the heart on the right side will betray the diagnosis if there is associated dextro-cardia. When rebound tenderness is detected in the lower abdomen further examination by rectal examination has been shown to provide no new information. Rectal examination is reserved for those patients without rebound tenderness or where specific pelvic disease needs to be excluded. It is of little value in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis even when the organ lies in the pelvis [17]. The demonstration and interpretation of these physical signs are skills which fade without practice. The age, sex and personality of the patient are important modifiers of clinical signs; the most typical cases occur in older children (5-15 yrs) of either sex and in young males with poor dietary fibre being a risk factor. In other individuals, the features are more obscure, and the potential for alternative pathology is greater [2,3]. It is, however, not possible to practice fully the ideal management of early diagnosis and surgery for the acute abdomen, thus reducing morbidity and mortality to zero, because patients and the disease are variable [11]. Nevertheless, because infection, inadequate tissue perfusion and a persistent inflammatory state are the most important risk factors for development of multiple organ failure it seems logical that initial therapeutic efforts should be directed at their early treatment or prevention (early goal-directed therapy). The risk of portal pyaemia from septic emboli is also decreased [10]. It is important to recognize the features of the acute abdomen which would indicate the need for resuscitation in the high dependency or intensive care unit [11]. The attitude of the patient with advanced peritonitis is best described by Hippocrates (460-370 B.C.) as one with a ‘sharp nose, hollow eyes, collapsed temples, the ears cold’ now known as the Hippocratic facies. The patient is usually ill and clammy, hypotensive with a rapid thready pulse. The patient will lie perfectly still to minimize discomfort, the abdomen held totally rigid as the patient takes rapid shallow breaths using chest movements only [18].

**Any role for the Alvarado score?**

The Alvarado score was designed more than two decades ago as a diagnostic score using the clinical features of acute appendicitis for subsequent clinical management but the appropriateness for its routine clinical use is still unclear (Table 1) [19]. A recent meta-analysis showed its positive role in ‘ruling out’ appendicitis but not in ‘ruling in’ the diagnosis without surgical assessment and further diagnostic testing. It is inconsistent in children and over predicts the probability of acute appendicitis in women [20]. Alvarado scoring may be valuable in low-resource or primary care centres where imaging is not an option.

**Any role for special investigations in appendicitis?**

There are no special investigations to confirm appendicitis. As no test is 100% accurate, the diagnosis has to rely on clinical symptoms and signs [2,3,18]. Tests should serve as adjuncts to clinical diagnosis and may help to exclude alternative diagnoses especially in the female or the elderly. A white cell count is usually elevated but a normal white cell count especially in the elderly does not exclude appendicitis [19,20]. The appendicolith, a radio-opaque concretion located within the appendix, which is deemed to be the most specific finding of appendicitis on plain radiographs, is visualized in only 5%-15% of patients with appendicitis [21]. Ultrasonography in expert hands is perhaps the most useful investigation [2,3,21]. Although computed tomography (CT) scan is superior to ultrasound (US) scan, the risk of radiation-induced malignancy renders it not of particular use in paediatric patients [21]. Laparoscopy is essentially an operation rather than an investigation. However, the continuing development of ultrasound techniques and laparoscopic surgery have both prompted the view that the proportion of normal appendices removed (20%) is unacceptable high [22]. Although it is early advantageous to spare patients unnecessary surgery, the morbidity and mortality of failing to diagnose appendicitis until perforation has occurred is greater than that associated with removal of normal appendix [2,3].

**If diagnostic tools not readily available**

The best policy is early surgery when there is clinical suspicion of acute appendicitis. If the appendix is macroscopically normal, the terminal 60cm of ileum must be delivered to exclude a Meckel's diverticulitis, terminal ileitis (Yersinia, Crohn's) and mesenteric adenitis. If the base of the appendix and caecum are healthy, the appendix must be removed when ileitis is present [2,3]. Biopsy and culture of inflamed nodes aids a diagnosis of Yersinia infection. The right ovary and tube must be visualized. Extension of the incision, a head down tilt and adequate retraction may be required. Occasionally, fluid leaking from a perforated peptic ulcer down the right paracolic gutter produces clinical findings resembling those of acute appendicitis. A classical appendicectomy incision would reveal bile-staining free peritoneal fluid and a second upper abdominal incision is usually required. Purulent fluid tracking down the right paracolic gutter may also suggest acute cholecystitis. If clinical diagnosis is equivocal despite investigations, it is best to begin with a low midline incision which could be extended if there is evidence of a perforated peptic ulcer [2,23].

**The diagnostic dilemma**

**Chronic appendicitis or “the grumbling appendix”:** Patients with true relapsing or chronic appendicitis are rare and often difficult to diagnose as the symptoms may be atypical and short-lived. In genuine cases the macroscopic appearance of the appendix is abnormal and, thus the diagnosis is best established by laparoscopy, following which the appendix can be removed [22]. Minor frequent episodes of right iliac fossa pain “the grumbling appendix” can be caused by thread worms in the appendix or by some conditions other than the appendix. Chronic pain with evidence of organic disease (weight loss, elevated ESR) is usually due to Crohn's disease at any age, caecal carcinoma in the elderly or lymphoma or tuberculosis in endemic areas [2,22,23].
Pain without signs or abnormal investigations is likely to be due to irritable bowel syndrome, but small bowel studies are still warranted if pain persists, to exclude more unusual causes [3].

**The young woman:** It is not surprising that women have the highest appendicitis rate with 30% revealing normal appendices [16,24]. In young women, various gynaecological conditions present with lower abdominal pain, and the history gives important clues. Vaginal discharge, a longer history (often more than 72 hrs) and absence of gastrointestinal upset raise the possibility of pelvic inflammatory disease. A bilateral, low distribution of pain aggravated by cervical movement support the diagnosis [24]. Abrupt onset of pain suggests rupture of a follicle, cyst or ectopic gestation [25]. The condition of Curtis- Fitz- Hugh syndrome, when transperitoneal spread of pelvic inflammatory disease produces right upper quadrant pain due to peritoneal adhesions is now well recognized and care must be taken to distinguish this from acute biliary conditions [25]. Early recognition with diagnostic laparoscopy and appropriate treatment of pelvic inflammatory disease may help to avoid potentially serious longer term sequelae and must be encouraged. Many studies have now demonstrated that laparoscopy significantly improves surgical decision-making in patients with acute abdominal pain especially in the young woman [16,22,24].

**The pregnant woman:** Acute appendicitis is the most common general surgical problem encountered during pregnancy confirmed in 1/800 to 1:1500 pregnancies [26]. Difficulty in diagnosis, reluctance to operate on pregnant women and avoidable delay account for the high appendicectomy rate with 30% revealing normal appendices [16,24]. In pregnancy, the enlarging uterus progressively displaces the appendix up into the right hypo-chondrium. Delay is so harmful to mother and unborn child that provided progressively displaces the appendix up into the right hypo-chondrium.

**The elderly and the infant:** Appendicitis has a more rapid course in the elderly as due to artherosclerosis, gangrene and perforation are common. Its atypical presentation adds to the delay in diagnosis [9]. A diagnosis of carcinoma of the caecum or lymphoma, which has obstructed the appendix must be considered and excluded by CT scan [3]. Diagnosis of acute appendicitis may be difficult in infants. Delay in diagnosis is common because the classical signs and symptoms may be absent or unobtainable, and perforation is common as host defenses including the omentum are not fully developed. The development of fever associated with any abdominal tenderness should always raise the suspicion of acute appendicitis [2,21]. 'Active observation' is reasonable, safe and effective in early appendicitis, if peritonism is absent and the diagnosis uncertain. It permits differentiation between patients with persistent or progressive signs requiring surgery and those with non-specific pain or alternative pathology [3,28]. Deliberate delay allows time for the results of appropriate investigations to be reviewed and it is extremely rare for such an appendix to rupture during observation and the diagnosis will usually become apparent within 12-24 hours [29].

**The AIDS patient:** Abdominal pain is common in patients with AIDS, but less than 1% of patients with AIDS will need an emergency laparotomy [30]. The commonest disease processes, cytomegalovirus (CMV) colitis, B-cell lymphoma, acute appendicitis (CMV-associated) and atypical mycobacterial infection are quite different from those in the non-HIV population. These patients are difficult to manage as it is often unclear whether they need an immediate laparotomy. It is crucial to have close liaison between AIDS physicians and AIDS surgeons to exclude pre-terminal cases and keep down negative laparotomies to acceptable rate. Appendicectomy and colectomy are the commonest abdominal operations in AIDS patients [31]. Being an extranodal lymphoid organ appendicitis could be the only initial indication of a lymphoma or lymphphadenopathy from mycobacterium avium intercellulare obstructing the appendical ostium. Thus appendicectomy specimens should routinely be examined histologically [32]. With careful patient selection, emergency laparotomy confers worthwhile palliation [30,31,33]. However, some patients (and their families) refuse surgery in desperate situations (such as bowel perforation) as they want an end to the suffering [31,33].

**Conclusions**

A precise history of the acute abdomen may indicate the pathology and physical examination may indicate where the pathology is. However, the ability to identify the presence of peritoneal inflammation probably has the greatest influence on the final surgical decision. The best policy is early surgery when there is clinical suspicion of the acute appendicitis if diagnostic tools are not readily available, but 'active obs
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