Dynamic Quantitative Contrast-enhanced Ultrasound for Assessment of Cilostazol Induced Pro-angiogenic Therapeutic Effects on Skeletal Muscle Microcirculation in Peripheral Arterial Disease
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Abstract

Purpose: To assess the effect of cilostazol on muscular microperfusion in patients with peripheral artery occlusive disease (PAOD) using dynamic quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS).

Materials and Methods: 20 patients with PAOD, Rutherford classification grade I, category III were evaluated performing CEUS with the arterial occlusion paradigm, ankle-brachial-index (ABI) and treadmill walking distance test before and after oral treatment with cilostazol for three months. Microperfusion of the calf skeletal muscle was assessed using quantitative CEUS parameters which were extracted from time signal-intensity curves (TIC).

Results: 10 patients had to be taken off medication secondary to side effects. Maximum CEUS signal enhancement prior to therapy was 104 ± 69 ~mL compared to 114±68 ~mL post treatment (P=0.26). Tmax was 34 ± 20 s before and 36 ± 23 s post treatment (P=0.51). Maximum of the TIC (m2) prior to therapy was 6.06 ± 5.55 ~mL/s and revealed to be 5.12 ± 3.30 ~mL/s post treatment (P=0.62). Area under the curve after the occlusion (AUCCpost) was 3975.38 ± 2856.52 ~mL/s prior to cilostazol therapy compared to 4210.98 ± 2816.33 ~mL/s after therapy (P=0.39). The values for ABI and treadmill walking distance test did not differ significantly, either.

Conclusion: In patients with PAOD, medical therapy with cilostazol seems not to be associated with significant improvement of muscular microperfusion as assessed by dynamic quantitative CEUS. This study demonstrates the feasibility of the CEUS technique to evaluate response to pro-angiogenic drug treatment and confirmation of results in a larger population is warranted.
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Compliance with Ethical Standards

This prospective study was IRB approved and is HIPAA compliant as well as in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to enrollment. This study did not receive funding.

Introduction

The most commonly used diagnostic tools for the management of patients with peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD) are clinical examination, ankle-brachial index (ABI), walking distance, color coded and pulsed wave duplex ultrasound, magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), computed tomography angiography (CTA), and conventional angiography for therapeutic purposes [1-3]. These radiological techniques have in common that they primarily focus on the macrovasculature evaluation including stenotic and occluded sites. However they are unable to assess the microvasculature which plays a fundamental role in the PAOD pathogenesis since the skeletal muscle and the skin are the functional end-organs causing eventually the clinical picture in this patient population [1]. Therefore microperfusion assessment is key in PAOD patients to assess the effects of pro-angiogenic drugs on the functional end organ of interest, namely the skeletal muscle [2,3].

Low-mechanical index quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound (low-MI CEUS) in combination with transient arterial occlusion as an evolving paradigm has been introduced as a useful and suitable method to evaluate changes in the micro-perfusion of skeletal muscles [1-6]. Further it should be considered is a cost effective and therefore clinically attractive imaging method [1].

Treatment of symptomatic PAOD patients includes supervised exercise programmes, endovascular and surgical revascularization as well as pharmaceutical treatment such as cilostazol, which leads to phosphodiesterase-III-mediated increase of intracellular adenosine monophosphate resulting in vasodilation [1-3]. Treatment response is usually evaluated with clinical examination (improvement of symptoms, pulse palpation) combined with a treadmill walking distance test, ABI or duplex ultrasound [1,2]. Particularly the clinical
All 20 patients had at least one haemodynamically relevant stenosis (>70% diameter reduction) of the femoropopliteal arterial segment. The patients had impaired quality of life due to intermittent leg pain that exacerbated with increasing walking distance and the patients were unable to complete individual walking training schedules. Since additional conservative treatment, such as cessation of smoking and reduction of cardiovascular risk factors, had failed to improve the individual symptoms, pharmaceutical therapy with cilostazol was considered as next treatment in line according to the guidelines of the American College of Cardiology Foundation and the American Heart Association [1]. The dose of cilostazol was 100 mg b.i.d.

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound

Ultrasonography was performed by an experienced board certified radiologist with sub-specialization in musculoskeletal radiology and ultrasound (E.A.). The ultrasound examination was performed using a Siemens-Acuson Sequoia 512 scanner with a linear transducer 15L8w (Siemens-Acuson, Mountain View, CA) using contrast pulse sequencing (CPS®) technique. Before the examination, the subjects had to rest in supine position in a darkened room for approximately 10 minutes. The triceps surae muscle of the primarily affected lower limb was examined. The transducer was placed over the gastrocnemius muscle of the subjects at the same supine position during the entire examination (Figure 1). Further details concerning the CEUS examination have been published by the authors [1,2].

The parameters were as follows: Transmit frequency, 7 MHz; MI, 0.28; gain, 75 dB and minimal persistence; depth, 40 mm. Two foci were set at 2.25 and 3.5 cm. A 4.8-ML suspension with microbubbles containing sulphur hexafluoride (SonoVue®, Bracco Imaging SPA., Milan, Italy) was continuously administered intravenously (infusion rate, 1 ml/min) within 5 min using a dedicated infusion pump (Vueject® BR INF-100, Bracco Imaging Germany, Konstanz, Germany). A 1.75 cm × 5 cm sized region of interest (ROI) was positioned on recorded video clips (length, 5 min; frame rate, 1/s) at a depth of 2 cm within the gastrocnemius muscle. An automated occlusion plethysmograph Periquant® 803 (Gutmann, Eurusburg, Germany) was used to simulate physical exercise: For this, a cuff was placed around the middle of the thigh and inflated with 50 mmHg above arterial systolic pressure to induce transient arterial occlusion. This transient arterial occlusion is supposed to cause a hypoxic state within the muscle tissue that simulates the hypoxia experienced by PAOD patients during walking. The cuff was inflated 120 s after beginning of contrast media injection for 60 s and then deflated [1,2]. The US-signal-intensity values in the ROI were calculated via the Axius® software installed in the scanner. The linearized data were exported as Excel file to a personal computer. A radiologist and physicist with 15 years of experience in musculoskeletal radiology and CEUS (M.K.) analyzed the TIC. Both were completely blinded to clinical information. In Figure 2 shows an example of a TIC: The maximum CEUS signal following the end of transient arterial occlusion (max), the time difference between the end of the occlusion and max (t<sub>max</sub>) was calculated. Moreover, the maximum slope immediately after the occlusion (m<sub>i</sub>, slope to max) and the area under the curve after the occlusion (AUC<sub>post</sub>) were evaluated [21-25].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (SPSS version 14.0, Chicago, Ill). CEUS parameters were evaluated by comparing mean values, standard deviations, and ranges. Student’s t tests were used to evaluate significance. P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Pearson product-moment coefficients were
Results

Clinical Endpoints

The mean ABI in the dominant leg of the patients was 0.55 ± 0.20 before and 0.62 ± 0.20 after therapy (P=0.09). The mean walking distance S2 prior and after cilostazol therapy for 3 months was 199.4 ± 125.7 and 208.8 ± 147.3 m (P=0.85) and the mean walking distance S1 was 86.0 ± 38.8 m and 163.9 ± 157.6 m (P=0.09), respectively. Details are summarized in Table 2.

CEUS Parameters

Maximum signal enhancement prior to treatment was 104 ± 69 mL compared to 114 ± 68 mL post treatment (P=0.26). Mean tmax was 34 ± 20 s before treatment, and demonstrating an increase post treatment (36 ± 23 s) (P=0.51). The slope to max (m) prior to therapy was 6.06 ± 5.55 –mL/s and 5.12 ± 3.30 –mL/s post treatment (P=0.62). AUCpost was 3975.38 ± 2856.52 –mL/s prior compared to 4210.98 ± 2816.33 –mL/s after treatment (P=0.39). The CEUS parameters for each patient are shown in Figure 3.

Discussion

To our knowledge this is the first published study analyzing the effect of cilostazol (Pletal®) on muscular microperfusion in patients with PAOD with quantitative CEUS. After 3 months of treatment with cilostazol there was no significant difference between quantitative CEUS parameters appreciated indicating treatment response. The study demonstrated also the potential of CEUS to assess the potential therapeutic effect of Cilostazol on the microcirculatory level in the PAOD population [26-30].

Early studies confirmed the improvement of walking distance objectively as well as subjectively in patients with intermittent claudication by the use of cilostazol but none of these studies focused on the therapeutic effect of the medication on the microcirculatory level [3,6]. In our study, CEUS combined with the paradigm of transient arterial occlusion was used to evaluate skeletal muscle microperfusion in PAOD patients before and after treatment with cilostazol (3 months post starting of the medication). Walking distance test on a treadmill (constant workload treadmill test; 3.5 km/h; 12.5% ascending slope; S2 – the maximum walking distance in meters; S1 – free of pain walking distance in meters) showed a minimal, non-significant improvement whereas the quantitative CEUS parameters max and AUCpost, reflecting the microperfusion of muscular tissue [1,2] revealed no significant differences. The improvement of walking distance may have been secondary to modified nociception and treatment biased caused by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before cilostazol</th>
<th>ABI right leg</th>
<th>ABI left leg</th>
<th>Walking dist. S1</th>
<th>Walking dist. S2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>0.31 (dominant)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>0.71 (dominant)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>0.7 (dominant)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.66 (dominant)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.37 (dominant)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3 (dominant)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7</td>
<td>0.71 (dominant)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>0.72 (dominant)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9</td>
<td>0.3 (dominant)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10</td>
<td>0.75 (dominant)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>199.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>After cilostazol</th>
<th>ABI right leg</th>
<th>ABI left leg</th>
<th>Walking dist. S1</th>
<th>Walking dist. S2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>0.46 (dominant)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>0.75 (dominant)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>0.65 (dominant)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.70 (dominant)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.41 (dominant)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.40 (dominant)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7</td>
<td>0.9 (dominant)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>0.75 (dominant)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9</td>
<td>0.35 (dominant)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10</td>
<td>0.8 (dominant)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>163.9</td>
<td>208.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Clinical parameters before and after medication with cilostazol.
cilostazol. A previously published study is supporting this hypothesis and is demonstrating an effect of phosphodiesterase-III-inhibitors on nociception [1]. The authors of this study investigated the peripheral participation of the phosphodiesterase-III on formalin-evoked nociception and confirmed that peripheral phosphodiesterase-III influences (inflammatory) pain perception because it controls cyclic AMP levels and nociceptor threshold. Mechanisms of cilostazol effects on symptoms of intermittent claudication have not yet been completely elucidated [1] and therefore a potential effect on pain perception in PAOD for the subtle improvement of claudication symptoms based on the treadmill test results observed in our study has to be taken into consideration [31-35].

The parameters \( m_2 \) and \( t_{\text{max}} \) did not change significantly during the treatment with cilostazol. This was expected since they are primarily reflecting macroperfusion alterations which are not supposed to be influenced by cilostazol. Previous studies have demonstrated that the therapeutic effect of angioplasty, thrombendarterectomy and bypass surgery is assessable with these CEUS parameters [2,3].

Decreased muscular capillary microperfusion has been established to be a hallmark of PAOD in a variety of studies. CEUS has been recently established as an evolving method to evaluate skeletal muscle microperfusion, particularly in the PAOD population [5-8].

CEUS has been proven to be a highly sensitive imaging technique for evaluation of muscular micoperfusion in humans. For example, muscle perfusion after transplantation of muscle flaps in plastic surgery can be monitored precisely [9]. Krix et al. showed that even minimal changes in capillary muscle micoperfusion can be appreciated applying CEUS. The authors confirmed that CEUS can be used to show changes of muscular micoperfusion determining quantitative levels in healthy volunteers during muscular work in real-time [5]. Based on these prior studies CEUS should be able to show treatment effects of cilostazol on the skeletal microperfusion level. Having said that, we did in our study only a three months follow-up and potentially longer follow-up times may be required to demonstrate a therapeutic effect of CEUS on the skeletal muscle microcirculation of PAOD patients. 10 out of the 20 enrolled patients exhibited significant side effects and had to be taken off the medication due to safety precautions. This is in accordance with the literature reporting marked risks associated with cilostazol medication, such as interaction with other medications, haemorrhage or dose-dependent cardiac arrhythmias [5]. One of the larger cilostazol studies, the CASTLE (Cilostazol: A Study in Long-term Effects) study was stopped at an early stage due to relevant numbers of dropouts treated with cilostazol and placebo [5].

**Limitations**

The main limitation of our study is its small sample size due to high drop out rate secondary to side effects of cilostazol. Stopping the medication in patients exhibiting side effects is in accordance with safety precautions and ethical standard. A second limitation was the short follow-up time of 3 months and it cannot be excluded that cilostazol improves skeletal muscle microcirculation after prolonged follow-up times. We decided to perform the CEUS evaluation 3 months post treatment based on the European medicine agency (EMA) recommendation to evaluate for therapeutic success after three months of medication with cilostazol.
The third limitation is the lack of a control group to assess the potential of CEUS to differentiate between PAOD patients receiving standard therapy versus PAOD patients receiving standars therapy plus Cilostazol. This is beyond the scope of this study and may be the topic of future investigations.

In conclusion, in this initial study CEUS with transient arterial occlusion as paradigm did not appreciate improvement of muscular microperfusion after therapy with cilostazol based on quantitative CEUS parameters. However the study showed the potential of CEUS to evaluate response to pro-angiogenic drug treatment. Confirmation of our results in a larger cohort study is warranted to further investigate the value of quantitative CEUS for assessment of the effect of cilostazol on the skeletal muscle microcirculation.
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