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Abstract

Recurrent patella dislocation can be caused by bony abnormalities, such as patella alta, increased tibial tubercle lateralization, trochlea dysplasia, excessive femoral neck anteversion and external tibial torsion. It can also be caused by soft tissue abnormalities such as a ruptured medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) or a weak vastus medialis obliquus. It has been reported in the literature that acute lateral dislocation of the patella can be associated with proximal injury to the medial collateral ligament (MCL).

This article reports the case of a 26-year-old male pro footballer who had recurrent patella dislocation related to previous injury to his MPFL and worsened by dynamic valgus knee instability due to chronic MCL insufficiency.
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Introduction

Numerous anatomical factors have been identified that predispose to patella dislocation. We present a case of dynamic valgus instability of the knee in a pro footballer secondary to a chronic MCL strain leading to recurrent patella dislocation.

Case report

A 26-year-old male pro footballer presented to our institution with a history of recurrent patella dislocation. He had a previous attempted medial capsular plication and MPFL repair at another institution 1 year prior. His last dislocation was a month prior and occurred as a valgus force was applied to his knee when a player landed on him as he was tackled.

On clinical examination he was found to have a mild to moderate effusion, good movement with 5 degrees hyperextension to 130 degrees of flexion. He had normal alignment and no abnormalities of his rotational profile. There was a medial scar from previous surgery. He had increase lateral tilt and a hypermobile patella. There was no ‘J sign’ and no tenderness with a negative patella grind test. There was grade 2 MCL laxity with a spongy endpoint at 30 degrees of flexion and his Q angle increased from 12 to 20 degrees with varus stress. MCL laxity Grade 1 in extension. Plain radiographs are shown in Figure 1. An MRI scan is shown in Figure 2. Videos 1 and 2 show the hypermobility of his patella and the dynamic increase in his Q angle with varus stress respectively.

The patient had not successfully prevented recurrent dislocation with previous surgery, physiotherapy, bracing and a strengthening programme. It was therefore felt that he would benefit from reconstruction of both his MPFL and MCL. Surgery took place in the form of an achilles tendon allograft with bone plug. This was fashioned as a single bone plug with 2 limbs in the shape of a ‘v’. A beath pin from the ACL set was used and a 20mm by 10mm tunnel was created at the level of the medial epicondyle and the bone plug was secured with an interference screw.

The anterior limb was used as the MPFL by drilling 2 transverse 4.5 mm holes in the top half of the patella. The graft was pulled through laterally from the proximal hole and then medially in the inferior hole and held with interference screws medially in the patella. The posterior limb was looped around a spiked washer in the tibia and sutured onto itself to recreate the MCL, which had no good femoral attachment. This was also reinforced with a suture anchor and a staple (Figure 3).
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the Q angle with valgus stress and cause recurrent dislocation.

This case highlights the need to perform a careful clinical examination to assess for concurrent MCL injury following patellar dislocation. MCL injury should also be considered if despite adequate therapy and previous surgery a patient has recurrent patellar dislocations. If both injuries are present and there is residual valgus laxity after 4 to 6 weeks of bracing, it is likely that surgery will be required to address both these problems.
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