Dyslipidemia: A Cardiovascular Risk Factor in Type 1 Diabetes and Its Correlations
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Abstract

Background: The prevalence of T1DM is increasing markedly. It is suggested that it will be an epidemic of type 1 diabetes (T1DM) by 2025. T1DM is a major risk factor for CVD. The mortality and morbidity of CVD are markedly increased in diabetic individuals compared with the non-diabetic population. Dyslipidemia is a preventable cause of CVD.

Objective: To evaluate the pattern of dyslipidemia in Egyptian children and adolescents with type 1 DM (T1DM), to study the correlations between different lipid parameters and different variables in the study, in addition to estimate the predictable cardiovascular risk in the study group through calculation of different lipoprotein risk ratios as predictive values of cardiovascular risk.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, fasting lipid profile was done for sixty patients with T1DM (34 males and 26 females, with a mean age of 12.5 ± 2.4 years) and different lipid parameters were correlated to different variables.

Results: The most common abnormality was high LDL-C either alone or in combination with other parameters (50%). Regarding LDL-C of the patients, there was a positive significant correlation with their BMI (p=0.039, r=0.268) while the correlation with WC was of borderline significance (p=0.061, r=0.243). There was a significant positive correlation between WC and the insulin dosage, BMI and BMI SDS and a positive significant correlation between TG and the age (p=0.048, r=0.256). There were 46.2% of the dyslipidemic patients at risk of developing CVD according to TC/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index I) and 20.5% according to LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index II).

Conclusion: The most common abnormality was high LDL-C (50%). There was a positive correlation between LDL-C and BMI and WC. The risk for developing CVD was 46.2% according to TC/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index I) and 20.5% according to LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index II).

Keywords: Type 1 diabetes; Dyslipidemia; LDL-C; Cardiovascular risk; Lipoprotein correlations; Waist circumference (WC); Lipoprotein risk ratios

Background

Diabetes is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD). In patients with T1DM, atherosclerosis can occur earlier in life, leading to increased morbidity and mortality compared with that noticed in the general population [1].

Dyslipidemia is a preventable major risk factor for CVD. There are several studies that have evaluated dyslipidemia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), but dyslipidemia in patients with T1DM and especially young children with short duration of diabetes remains largely undiagnosed and undertreated [2,3].

LDL-C is a strong risk factor for CAD, but, it is not only the amount of LDL-C that is important but also the type of LDL-C. Small dense LDL-C is more atherogenic or more injurious to the endothelium than large buoyant LDL-C [4].

Objectives

To evaluate the pattern of dyslipidemia in Egyptian children and adolescents with type 1 DM (T1DM), to evaluate the correlations between different lipid parameters and anthropometric measures, waist circumference, glycemic control and other variables in the study, in addition to estimate the predictable cardiovascular risk in the study group through calculation of different lipoprotein risk ratios as predictive values of cardiovascular risk.

Material and Methods

Study population

The current study was conducted on 60 children and adolescents regularly followed at the Diabetes Endocrine and Metabolism Pediatric Unit (DEMPU), Children’s Hospital, Cairo University during the period from May to November, 2012. Subjects were included if they have type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) for one year or more and they were between 9 and 15 years old. Exclusion criteria were associated hypothyroidism and the use of thyroxin therapy or lipid lowering medications. Informed consent was taken from the parents.

Procedures

Detailed medical history was reported including; the current age, duration of diabetes, age at onset, type and dose of insulin, detailed dietetic history in addition to family history of diabetes, hypertension,
coronary heart disease or stroke, and history suggestive of diabetic microvascular and macrovascular complications.

- All patients were subjected to thorough clinical examination laying stress on anthropometric measures and waist circumference.
- According to the World Health Organization (WHO) growth reference data; Normal BMI is between +1 to -2 SD, +1 SD is considered overweight and <+2 SD is considered obese [5].
- Glycemic control was assessed by calculating the mean fasting and 2hrs postprandial blood glucose (PPBG) for one month preceding the study, and the mean glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) over the last year prior to the study.
- Laboratory assessment was done for fasting lipid profile, including: serum total cholesterol (TC), serum triglycerides (TG), high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) and low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C).
- Different lipid parameters including fasting levels of total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) and low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), were correlated to anthropometric measures, age, duration of diabetes, insulin dose, BMI, BMI SDS, WC, mean HbA1c, mean PPBG, mean FBG in addition to dietary fat and calories.

Definitions

Dyslipidemia was defined by American Diabetes Association (ADA) as having Low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) ≥ 100 mg/dl, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) <40 mg/dl (males) and <50 mg/dl (females), total cholesterol (TC) ≥ 200 mg/dl and triglycerides (TG) ≥ 150 mg/dl [6] and that dyslipidemia is present if one or more of these lipid or lipoprotein levels are abnormal [7].

Calculations

Lipoprotein risk ratios were calculated as follows:

1. Atherogenic index of plasma (AIP) = Log(TG/HDL-C): AIP < 0.11 reflects low cardiovascular risk, AIP from 0.11 to 0.21 reflects intermediate risk and AIP > 0.21 reflects high cardiovascular risk [8].
2. HDL-C/LDL-C ratio: it should be above 0.3 to stay away from any cardiovascular disorders [9].
3. Castelli index II (LDL-C/HDL-C): it should be below 3 [10].
4. Castelli index I (TC/HDL-C): it should be below 4 [10].

Biochemical analysis

Laboratory assessment for lipid profile will be done, after a 12-hr overnight fast, including: serum total cholesterol (TC) by cholesterol oxidase-peroxidase method, serum triglycerides (TG) by glycerokinase-peroxidase method, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) by Stanbio HDL-C Procedure No. 0599 and low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C)=TC-(HDL-C)-(TG/5).

Statistical analysis

Data were statistically described in terms of mean ± standard deviation (± SD), median and range, or frequencies (number of cases) and percentages when appropriate. Comparison of numerical variables between the study groups was done using Mann Whitney U test for independent samples. For comparing categorical data, Chi square (χ2) test was performed. Exact test was used instead when the expected frequency is less than 5. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical calculations were done using computer programs SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) version 15 for Microsoft Windows.

Results

The sixty patients included 34 males (56.7%) and 26 females (43.3%). The mean age of the study group was 12.5 ± 2.4 years (range 9-19.5 years), the mean age at onset of DM was 8.2 ± 2.6 years (range 2.5-14.5 years), the mean duration of DM was 4.3 ± 2.7 years (range 1-12 years) and the mean insulin dose in the study group was 1.1 ± 0.4 IU/kg/day (range 0.5-2.7 IU/kg/day).

Regarding the anthropometric measures of the study group using (Growth Vision 2.0), the mean for BMI SDS was 0.7 ± 1.2, and for the waist circumference was 77.9 ± 12.6 cm (Table 1).

Thirty-eight patients of the study group (63.3%) were of normal weight, 15 patients (25%) were overweight (<+1 SDS) and 7 patients (11.7%) were obese (<+2 SD). The mean BMI SDS=0.7 ± 1.2.

Regarding the laboratory investigations; the mean values for fasting blood glucose (FBG), post prandial blood glucose (PPBG), HbA1c, the lipid profile were represented in Table 2 and showed slightly elevated LDL-C and high mean HbA1c of the study group.

Table 3 showed the pattern of dyslipidemia in the study group; no
abnormality was detected in 21 patients (35%) and 39 patients (65%) were dyslipidemic. The most common abnormality was high LDL-C either alone or in combination with other parameters.

Regarding the different lipoprotein risk ratios, that are considered predictive values of cardiovascular risk, Table 4 showed the extent of the risk of CVD in the dyslipidemic patients. There were 46.2% of the dyslipidemic patients at risk of developing CVD according to TC/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index I) and 20.5% according to LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index II).

Correlations between the lipid parameters, anthropometric measures, and different variables in the study

Regarding TC of the patients, the correlation between TC and WC was on the threshold of significance (p=0.056, r=0.248), however, there were no significant correlations with the other variables (Figure 1). While, there was a positive significant correlation between TC with the age (p=0.048, r=0.256) but there were no significant correlations with the other variables (Figure 2).

As for HDL-C of the patients, there were no significant correlations with any of the variables (Figure 3). As regards LDL-C of the patients, there was a positive significant correlation with their BMI and BMI SDS (p=0.000, r=0.770) and (p=0.000, r=0.695) respectively and insulin dosage (p=0.045, r=0.260) while the correlation with WC was of borderline significance (p=0.056, r=0.248), however, there were no significant correlations with the other variables (Figure 4). As for HDL-C of the patients, there were no significant correlations with any of the variables (Figure 3).

Regarding BMI SDS of the patients, there was a strong positive significant correlation with their WC (p=0.000, r=0.695) but there were no significant correlations with the other variables (Figure 5).

As for WC of the patients, there were strong positive significant correlations with their BMI and BMI SDS (p=0.000, r=0.770) and (p=0.000, r=0.695) respectively and insulin dosage (p=0.045, r=0.260) while the correlations with TC and LDL-C were of borderline significance (p=0.056, r=0.248) and (p=0.061, r=0.243) respectively but there were no significant correlations with the other variables (Figure 6).

Discussion

In the current study, the most frequent type of dyslipidemia was high LDL-C. This in contrast to Moayeri and Oloomi and Alrabaty et al. who found that the most frequent type is isolated hypertriglyceridermia [11,12]. However it was found to be the least common type in Patiakas et al. study [13]. These differences may be related to different glycemic control across the studies or different genetic or ethnic factors.

In the current study, there was a positive significant correlation

---

**Table 5:** Diet analysis of the study group (n=60).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total calories (Kcal/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>71.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily fat intake (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (&lt;35.0% of TDEI)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased (&gt;35.0% of TDEI)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This means that some of those with increased daily caloric intake have normal daily fat intake meaning probably more CHO intake.

**Table 6:** Frequency of normal or increased daily caloric and fat intake in the study group (n=60).

**Figure 1:** Correlations between total cholesterol (TC) and different variables in the study. TC: Total cholesterol. DM: Diabetes mellitus. BMI SDS: Body mass index standard deviation score. WC: Waist circumference. HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin. BG: Blood glucose. FBG: Fasting blood glucose. PPBG: Postprandial blood glucose.
between BMI of the diabetics and LDL-C. This does not agree with Alrabaty et al., who found that LDL-C was not influenced significantly by BMI among children and adolescents with T1DM [12]. However, the correlation between BMI of the diabetics and insulin dose was of borderline significance in agreement with Ladeia et al, who found that BMI correlates positively with insulin daily doses [14].

Serum TC, TG, and serum HDL-C levels showed no statistically significant correlations with BMI SDS. However, the correlations between WC of diabetics and levels of TC and LDL-C were of borderline significance (p=0.056) and (p=0.061) respectively. There were no significant correlations between WC of the diabetics and mean HbA1c or serum TG in contrast to Teles and Fornés who found that WC of the patients correlates positively with HbA1c and serum TG in children and adolescents with T1DM [15].

There were no significant correlations between mean HbA1c and serum TC, TG, LDL-C or BMI; this seems to contradict with Rahma et al. and Al-Naama et al. who found that these lipid concentrations correlate positively with HbA1c levels in children and adolescents with T1DM [16,17]. Serum HDL-C of the diabetics in this study doesn’t have any significant correlation with mean HbA1c; this is in concordance with Maahs et al. and Rahma et al. [18,16]. This may be attributed to the insignificant differences in the HbA1c among the study group and even between dyslipidemic and normolipidemic groups as most of the patients had optimal or suboptimal HbA1c.
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**Figure 2:** Correlation between Triglycerides (TG) and different variables in the study. TG: Triglyceride. DM: Diabetes mellitus. BMI SDS: Body mass index standard deviation score. WC: Waist circumference. HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin. BG: Blood glucose. FBG: Fasting blood glucose. PPBG: Postprandial blood glucose.
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**Figure 3:** Correlation between HDL-C and different variables in the study. HDL-C: High density lipoprotein-Cholesterol. DM: Diabetes mellitus. BMI SDS: Body mass index standard deviation score. WC: Waist circumference. HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin. BG: Blood glucose. FBG: Fasting blood glucose. PPBG: Postprandial blood glucose.
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**Figure 4:** Correlation between LDL-C and different variables in the study. LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein-Cholesterol. DM: Diabetes mellitus. BMI SDS: Body mass index standard deviation score. WC: Waist circumference. HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin. BG: Blood glucose. FBG: Fasting blood glucose. PPBG: Postprandial blood glucose.
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**Figure 5:** Correlation between BMI SDS and different variables in the study. BMI SDS: Body mass index standard deviation score. WC: Waist circumference. HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin. BG: Blood glucose. FBG: Fasting blood glucose. PPBG: Postprandial blood glucose. TC: Total cholesterol. TG: Triglycerides. HDL-C: High density lipoprotein-Cholesterol. LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein-Cholesterol.
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**Figure 6:** Correlation between waist circumference (WC) and different variables in the study. WC: Waist circumference. BMI SDS: Body mass index standard deviation score. HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin. BG: Blood glucose. FBG: Fasting blood glucose. PPBG: Postprandial blood glucose. TC: Total cholesterol. TG: Triglycerides. HDL-C: High density lipoprotein-Cholesterol. LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein-Cholesterol.
The correlations between FBG and PPBG with serum TC, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C were insignificant in agreement with Teles and Fornés, and Abraham and Anne [15,19].

In the present study, there were 18 (46.2%) of the dyslipidemic group at risk of developing CVD according to TC/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index I) and 8 (20.5%) of them were at CVD risk according to LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index II). This finding is in agreement with Rahma et al and Al-Naama et al which found increased risk of atherosclerosis according to LDL-C/HDL-C ratio [16,17].

Limitations

The limitations of this study derive from its cross-sectional design. Thus, we should recall the possible variations over time in the parameters studied. The sample size was the result of applying strict criteria for the selection of patients and excluding patients who received specific diet or medical management for dyslipidemia or other medical problems or medications that may affect the lipid profile or if the patient was non-compliant to follow up and SBGM as all this can affect the full assessment of the glycemic control and the relation of the mean fasting and post prandial blood glucose on different lipid parameters.

Conclusion

The most frequent type of dyslipidemia was high LDL-C alone or in combination with other parameters in 50% of children and adolescents with TIDM and dyslipidemia. There were 46.2% of dyslipidemic patients at risk of developing CVD according to total cholesterol (TC)/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index I) and 20.5% of them were at CVD risk according to LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index II). There was a positive correlation between LDL-C and BMI according to LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index II). There was a positive correlation between FBG and PPBG with serum TC, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C were insignificant in agreement with Teles and Fornés, and Abraham and Anne [15,19].

The limitations of this study derive from its cross-sectional design. Thus, we should recall the possible variations over time in the parameters studied. The sample size was the result of applying strict criteria for the selection of patients and excluding patients who received specific diet or medical management for dyslipidemia or other medical problems or medications that may affect the lipid profile or if the patient was non-compliant to follow up and SBGM as all this can affect the full assessment of the glycemic control and the relation of the mean fasting and post prandial blood glucose on different lipid parameters.

Conclusion

The most frequent type of dyslipidemia was high LDL-C alone or in combination with other parameters in 50% of children and adolescents with TIDM and dyslipidemia. There were 46.2% of dyslipidemic patients at risk of developing CVD according to total cholesterol (TC)/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index I) and 20.5% of them were at CVD risk according to LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index II). There was a positive correlation between LDL-C and BMI according to LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (Castelli index II). Therefore, we can recommend the combination of other parameters in 50% of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 28: 1051-1056.
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