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Abstract
Air quality and climatic factors are closely linked through atmospheric chemical reactions and dynamic processes. This work is based on a study conducted over an area of industrial plant located in Saudi Arabia during three consecutive weeks with noticeable varying meteorological parameters in the last week of survey with the main objective to examine the role of climatic factors on differences in air pollutant concentration. A systematic analysis of air pollutants including TVOC, CO, SO2, NO2, and O3 and meteorological parameters including temperature, wind speed (WS), and relative humidity (RH) was conducted for a continuous period of 3 weeks from March to mid-April 2015. Acquired results indicate most of observed key air pollutants increase with increase in relative humidity, except NO2, which experiences a decrease in concentrations simultaneous with increasing relative humidity. This survey study suggests that concentrations of TVOC concentrations increase by 201%, CO by 15.2%, SO2 by 21.6% and ozone by 16% as a direct contribution to relative humidity change. While NO2 experiences a decrease by 53.4% as a result of increase in relative humidity. Ozone exhibits only slightly spatial variation due to sudden change of meteorological variables.
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Abbreviations: CO: Carbon Monoxide; CO2: Carbon Dioxide; GHG: Green House Gas; NAAQS: National Ambient Air Quality Standard; NO: Nitric Oxide; NO2: Nitrogen Dioxide; NOx: Oxides of Nitrogen; O3: Ozone; PAN: Peroxacetyl Nitrate (PAN); ppb: parts per billion; ppm: parts per million; PM10: Particulate Matter with aerodynamic diameter 10 microns or less; PM2.5: Particulate Matter with aerodynamic diameter 2.5 micron or less; R: Correlation and regression coefficient; RH: Relative Humidity; SO2: Sulfur Dioxide; TVOC: Total Volatile Organic Compounds; TSP: Total Suspended Particles; VOC: Volatile Organic Compounds; TVOC: Total Volatile Organic Compounds.

Introduction
Air pollutants as identified and regulated through environmental legislations in all parts of the world (NAAQS of EPA, EU-directives and WHO AQG) [1,2], varying in their origin, chemical compositions, reaction kinetics, persistence in the environment, ability for dispersion and movement over long or short distances and their possible effects on health of human, plants and animals. However, these pollutants share some similar properties and they can be divided into four categories [3]; gaseous pollutants and vapors (SO2, NOx, CO, ozone, Volatile Organic Compounds), persistent organic pollutants (dioxins), heavy metals (lead, mercury) and fine particulate Matter (PM10, PM2.5 and TSP). In addition Clean Air Act of 1970 and its announced amendments in 1977 through 1990, classified air pollutants into two types according to the nature of formation: primary pollutants which are emitted from their sources directly to the atmosphere through industry, traffic and domestic activities, and secondary pollutants which result from the chemical reactions between the primary pollutants, such as smog, ozone and PAN [4].

A very wide range of industries and industrial processes produce emissions of air pollutants, both the classical pollutants but also more unfamiliar pollutants that may be specific to a particular industrial process and may arise from leakage of an intermediate or product from a chemical plant. At the present time, vehicular pollution is the main source of anthropogenic air pollution that degrades the quality of air in the cities of industrialized countries, whereas industrial pollution still presents the largest source of air pollution in countries undergoing industrialization. However, other sources of pollution, such as desert sand storms, sea salt, wildfires, and volcanic ash are considered as natural pollution and have to be added to levels of particulates that pollute the ambient atmosphere [5,6]. Air quality and meteorological factors are closely linked through atmospheric chemical reactions and dynamic processes.

Increased air pollutant concentrations in the urban environment do not typically result from sudden increases in emissions, but rather from meteorological conditions that impede dispersion in the atmosphere or result in increased pollutant generation [7,8]. There are many aspects of variations in air pollution that are still difficult to understand. One of these aspects is the estimation of the sensitivity of air pollutants to individual meteorological parameters. A combination of meteorological variables important to these conditions includes temperature, winds, radiation, atmospheric moisture, and mixing depth [7]. It is well known that concentrations of pollutant within local air sheds are affected by meteorological parameters [7-11].

Meteorological conditions play a crucial role in ambient air pollution by affecting both directly and indirectly the emissions, transport, formation, and deposition of air pollutants. Several research studies pertaining to weather and atmospheric pollution effects on humans have established associations between meteorological conditions and parameters to air pollutants. These studies have provided evidence that meteorological factors such as wind velocity and direction, temperature and relative humidity can significantly affect air quality [9,11-13].

The most important role of meteorology is in the dispersion, transformation and removal of air pollutants from atmosphere [14]. Also, in a study [15] has suggested that adverse health consequences of ambient ozone pollution increase when temperatures are higher.
Aerosol sulfate concentrations are influenced by temperature-dependent oxidation of SO2 in both the gas and aqueous phases Seinfeld et al. [16] also found that the concentrations of oxidants that react with SO2 to be dependent on temperature and sunlight intensity. Levels of semi-volatile nitrate and organic aerosol species are temperature and relative humidity dependent [17]. A further study [18] revealed that fine particles are controlled mainly by wind and temperature and 60% to 74% day-to-day variation of particulate matter concentrations can be reasoned by meteorological parameters and that any change of the concentration of PM10 is well related to pressure, relative humidity, and wind speed.

The influence of weather on Ozone emission has been examined many times. All studies came out with the observation that the formation of Ozone is strongly depend on climatological conditions. Results of ozone investigations [19] indicate that varying temperature and mixing height most strongly affect ozone conditions, while relative humidity is the strongest predictors of PM concentrations. Meteorological variability typically accounts for 40–70% of ozone variability and 20–50% of PM variability [20]. The strong linkage between weather conditions and pollutant levels can obscure the effects of changing emission levels over time. Much of the inter annual variation in ozone can be attributed to variations in meteorological conditions that control ozone accumulation [15,21]. Most important meteorological variables for predicting ozone were daily maximum surface temperature, wind speed average relative humidity, opaque cloud cover, and morning mixing height.

In fact, limited studies are performed to determine the interactions between meteorological factors and air pollutants in an arid environment. Developing country especially those having a desert arid climate, characterized by extreme heat during sunshine and an abrupt drop in temperature at night and a very low rainfall and extremely high evapotranspiration rates suffer at most from air pollution. Because of the influence of a subtropical high-pressure system and the many fluctuations in elevation, there is considerable variation in temperature and humidity [22]. These countries (Middle East and North Africa region) experience very often dust storms which have been shown to have severe impacts on human and animal health. When visibility reaches near-zero serious traffic accidents have cost lives and cause traffic collapsing when high ways cut out of service for extended periods of time [23]. Beside fine particles, spores and contaminants associated with dust and aerosol can adversely impact human health, causing a range of issues from respiratory infections to toxic exposure [24,25]. Understanding the complex issue of air pollutants and quantifying the contribution of different meteorological parameters, in parallel with the given widespread concern of ambient air pollution in developing countries and its broad ranging impacts, the present study is focused on investigation of air pollution occurring at one of the densest industrial areas located in Saudi Arabia. In this paper the interaction between air pollutant levels and meteorological parameters is analyzed over an area at industrial plant located in Saudi Arabia, where published materials on air quality and climate related issue is very limited to a few active and passive sites in the region. This study will help better understand the interaction between meteorological conditions and various air pollutants, which is required for developing effective regional air quality management plan.

The main objective of this study is to present the spatial distributions of selected gaseous pollutants (SO2, NO2, CO, CO2, TVOC and Ozone) and particulate matter (PM10, PM2.5) within the study area and to estimate the degree of air pollution likely to arise in close relationship to change in ambient meteorological parameters.

Materials and Methods

Data are collected during a survey study conducted in an industrial area located in eastern part of Saudi Arabia, one of the densest industrial areas in the region. The study extends over 4 continuous weeks from mid-March to mid-April 2015. The last week experiences a dramatic change in weather components (temperature and relative humidity).

Air sampling using air quality monitoring mobile station was used to perform essential measurements of potential air pollutants during the study period. The survey study was conducted during 4 consecutive weeks with noticeable drop in ambient outside temperature and varying relative humidity in the last week. The drop in ambient temperature and an increase in relative humidity in the last week enables conclusions about logical relationships between air pollutants levels and meteorological parameters. A total of 200 measurement samples are collected over the course of study at selected points (L1-L20) outside factories and workshops as shown in Figures 1-3. Concentrations of particulate matter (PM10, PM2.5 and PM1 and TSP) and gaseous air pollutants such as nitrogen dioxides (NO2), ozone (O3), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO2), carbon dioxide (CO2) and total organic compounds (TVOC) are monitored. Data about Meteorological parameters like temperatures, relative humidity and wind are also simultaneously collected during this survey. In addition to air monitoring mobile station, levels of gaseous pollutants are monitored using Wolf Pack AAQ Instrument. The PM levels are obtained using a particulate matter monitor (AEROCET 531S). The samplers draw air through a filter paper at a known constant rate for 24 hours. The resulting increase in the weight of the filter paper is expressed as the particulate concentration.

Study area

The study area is located outside the urban boundaries of the nearest city. The area with the coordinates, Latitude: 26°15’31” N Longitude: 49°58’5”E has a length of 15.57 kilometers and houses about 340 different factories.

The industrial plant was established in 1978 in order to achieve industrial development. The plant houses famous global factories, such as modern industrial companies (Tide), factories for cleaning products (CLOROX), the wooden group factories and many others. The plant is situated in the eastern province of Saudi Arabia. The climate at the measurement site during March–April is the representative for summer season, very hot due to intense solar radiation. The daytime temperature reaches about 40°C and nighttime above 30°C.

Collection of ambient air quality data

The sampling station is installed at all points assigned for data collection (L1-L20). Each point is supposed to represent a specific area from the whole area of study. Factories are distributed randomly and equally over the area in a way that any measured concentration of an air pollutant couldn’t be referred to any specific industry. The sampling station and other instruments used to collect samples was installed at all locations (L1-L20) assigned for data collection. Each selected point is supposed to represent a specific area from the whole area of study. Factories are distributed randomly and equally over the area in a way that any measured concentration of any air pollutant couldn’t be referred to a specific industry. Critical air pollutants SO2, NO2, CO, O3, TVOC together with meteorological parameters (relative humidity and temperature) were monitored.

The collection of samples is conducted in 3 consecutive weeks. In total 200 individual measurement results were obtained during 50
Figure 1: Observed change of meteorological conditions (Temp. and RH) monitored during sampling periods.

Figure 2: Observed concentrations of TVOC at study area in relation to meteorological variables.

Figure 3: Correlation curve of TVOC concentrations monitored at locations L1-L20 with the RH.
different measurement campaigns. A major variation in meteorological conditions, in particular relative humidity increased strongly and to a lesser extent the temperature, thus making these two weeks appropriate time to collect samples and to evaluate the influence of climate parameters on the results. Figure 1 and Table 1 demonstrate the observed variation in meteorological conditions in both sampling weeks. Wind speed was during both sampling periods negligible small.

Data analysis

As soon as the measuring devices are calibrated and turned on, recording of pollution levels at each assigned location has started. The recorded data pass through rectify units and an average value is displayed. For each potential pollutant about 40-50 values are recorded during the operation period for one measurement of 2-3 minutes. The hourly mean concentrations of the measured pollutants during the two periods of study are registered and tabulated. In addition meteorological parameters such as temperature and relative humidity are recorded continuously and simultaneously. The average values of all readings (40-50 readings) for each considered potential pollutant is presented in Table 2.

Analysis of observed (TVOC) concentrations: Volatile organic compounds (VOC) comprise a very wide range of substances such as hydrocarbons, oxygenates, halogenates and other carbon compounds existing in the atmosphere in the vapor phase [28]. The predominant source is typically through leakage from pressurized systems (natural gas, methane) combustion of fossil or evaporation of a liquid fuel such as benzene from the fuel tank of a vehicle [29]. VOCs play a significant role in the formation of ozone and fine particulates in the atmosphere. Under sunlight [30], VOCs react with nitrogen oxides emitted mainly from vehicles, power plants and industrial activities to form ozone, which in turn helps the formation of fine particulates. Photochemical reactions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) under high temperatures lead to ozone formation [16,31]. The accumulation of ozone, fine particulates and other gaseous pollutants results in smog that reduces visibility. In general, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are organic compounds having a tendency due to chemical composition, to evaporate easily at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Since the volatility of a compound is generally higher the lower its boiling point temperature, therefore, the volatility of organic compounds is sometimes defined and classified according their boiling points. According to (EU-Directive 2004/42/CE) [32] a VOC is any organic compound having an initial boiling point less than or equal to 250°C (482°F) measured at a standard atmospheric pressure of 101.3 kPa (1 atm. at sea level).

Figure 2 and Table 2 illustrate data of monitored TVOC concentrations at the study area, both show that the concentrations of TVOC are in the range of 27.73–89.51 µg/m³ with an average of 53.39 µg/m³ for measurements conducted at locations L1–L10, while during the second measurement period concentrations values are ranging from 115.73–256.40 µg/m³ making an average of 152.123 µg/m³ found at locations L11–L20, which means a 201% increase in mean value.

This observed significant increase in TVOC levels (aver. L11–L20/ aver. L1–L10=3.3) is attributed to change in meteorological components between both sampling periods. The sudden increase in concentrations of TVOC at sampling sites (L11–L20) is attributed to slightly decrease in temperatures and the strong climb in relative humidity as illustrated in Table 1. The ambient air temperatures during the first period ranged from 35.81°C to 38.6°C and the relative humidity values were in the range of 9.95%–15.93%, in average 11.08%. Comparatively, during the second period the temperatures were observed in range of 29.56°C to 36.90 °C, whilst, the relative humidity values were in the range of 21.24%–45.08% resulting an average of 29.82%, which means a 170% increase.

Influence of temperature on VOC concentrations: Although temperatures decrease slightly between first and second sampling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling periods</th>
<th>Ambient Temperatures °C</th>
<th>Relative humidity %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st period at L1–L10</td>
<td>37.29</td>
<td>35.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd period at L11–L20</td>
<td>34.24</td>
<td>29.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase/decrease</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Meteorological conditions (Temp. and RH) as monitored during sampling periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>1st Sampling period at L1–L10</th>
<th>2nd Sampling period at L11–L20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aver.</td>
<td>Min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVOC (µg/m³)</td>
<td>50.402</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Statistical analysis of monitored TVOC concentration at locations L1–L10 and at locations L11–L20 of the study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>1st Sampling period at L1–L10</th>
<th>2nd Sampling period at L11–L20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aver.</td>
<td>Min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (ppm)</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Statistical analysis of monitored CO concentration at locations L1–L10 and at locations L11–L20 of the study area.
In a similar study [14] a statistical model is developed to predict the relationship between daily CO (carbon monoxide) concentration with the pollutant concentration of previous day and climatic factors (wind speed, temperature, relative humidity) and suggested that level of CO decreases with increasing temperature, but CO increases with increasing relative humidity and CO concentration of previous days.

Analysis of observed ozone concentrations: Ground ozone (O₃) is not a primary air pollutant and is not emitted directly into the air in its chemical form; instead it forms in the atmosphere as a result of a series of complex chemical reactions between oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and hydrocarbons in the presence of sunlight, which together are precursors of ozone. Since source apportionment of O₃ is difficult as it is a secondary pollutant, and is not directly emitted from any source, it is imperative to accurately find the sources contributing to O₃ concentrations in urban areas in order to take corrective policy measures and develop cleaner technologies. The formation and increase in ozone concentrations occur over a period of a few hours, shortly after sunrise, NO and VOCs react in sunlight to form ozone. Throughout the morning, ozone concentrations increase while NO and VOCs are depleted [15].

Understanding the nature of when and where ozone precursors originate may help understanding day-to-day emissions changes. Ozone concentrations at the ground level depend on the formation and dispersion processes. Formation process mainly depends on the precursor sources, whereas, the dispersion of ozone depends on meteorological factors. In addition, the level of ozone concentration at the surface can be estimated by the result of source and sink mechanism, which predominately rely on the meteorological conditions of the environment.

The results of ozone monitoring at the study area are presented in Figure 6 and Table 4. Average ozone concentrations were found to be in range of 0.068 to 0.088 ppm for samples taken at locations L₁–L₁₀ during the first sampling period. The average for these readings is 0.081 (ppm). Concentrations monitored during the second period are correlated very slightly (aver. L₁–L₁₀/ aver. L₁–L₁₀=1.15) with changing ambient climate factors (temperatures and RH). The correlation coefficient R²=0.1747 as shown in Figure 5 describes the weak positive relationship between CO concentrations and ambient RH. For correlation of CO concentration with temperatures a correlation coefficient of R²=0.0962.

Influence of relative humidity on VOC concentrations: The correlation curve as shown in Figure 3 confirms the strong positive correlation of TVOC concentrations with the prevailing relative humidity RH. A correlation coefficient of R²=0.5692 describes the relationship. It means that TVOC concentrations in ambient air are intensified with increasing relative humidity. A study confirms that temperature is one of the environmental parameters that influences VOC emissions from building materials together with air velocity and humidity [36]. Also Bremer et al. and Cox et al. [37,38] reported on emission variations with changes in temperature and concluded that the emitted substances were temperature dependent.

Analysis of carbon monoxide (CO) concentrations: CO is an important trace gas in the earth's atmosphere, which plays several important roles in the troposphere. CO is generated from a variety of sources, both natural and anthropogenic. Natural sources include oxidation of methane and natural hydrocarbons, ocean emissions, and emissions from vegetation. In urban areas, however anthropogenic sources including fossil fuel combustion, industrial activities, motor vehicles, biomass burning, and oxidation of anthropogenic hydrocarbons, all contribute for more to the concentration of CO than natural sources do [39]. Carbon Monoxide (CO) resulted mainly from incomplete combustion of hydrocarbons (HC). Transportation accounts for 70 to 90% of total CO production [40,41].

In this study, as shown in Figure 4 and Table 3, for all sampling locations L₁–L₁₀, the hourly carbon monoxide concentrations are found to be in the range from 1.23 to 1.43 ppm during the first measurement batch making an average of 1.32 ppm, while readings during the second batch were in the range of 1.18–1.8 ppm with an hourly average of 1.41 ppm.

Influence of temperatures and relative humidity on CO concentrations: Comparing results of first measurement with values of second period, it is to confirm that recorded CO concentrations correlated negatively strong with ambient temperatures (R²=-0.4289). A mathematical model developed by [33] predicts that the chamber formaldehyde concentration increases with increasing temperature. Rubin et al. [34] estimated that at least 1.3 ± 0.4% increase in daily total (exhaust+ evaporative) VOC emissions from motor vehicles per 1°C increase in maximum temperature. Tailpipe emissions from vehicles are only weakly temperature dependent, for example due to the increase in fuel consumption for air conditioning on hot days [35].

Rubin et al. [34] estimated that at least 1.3 ± 0.4% increase in daily total (exhaust+ evaporative) VOC emissions from motor vehicles per 1°C increase in maximum temperature. Tailpipe emissions from vehicles are only weakly temperature dependent, for example due to the increase in fuel consumption for air conditioning on hot days [35].
ranging from 0.069 to 0.096 ppm, with an average of 0.094 (ppm). The ozone concentrations vary slightly among sampling locations and with varying meteorological variables during both sampling periods.

However, the measurements obtained from this study reveals that the daily averaged Ozone concentrations are well higher than WHO guideline value of 0.05 ppm. It can be noted that not a single data is below this standard limit. Results of ozone concentration during the first week at locations L1–L10 showed that at these locations, relatively high to moderate ozone concentrations were monitored.

**Influence of temperature and relative humidity on ground ozone concentrations:** As presented in Figure 7, the correlation between relative humidity and ozone levels couldn’t be clearly demonstrated. However a slight increase in ozone levels at locations L1–L10 is noticeable and could be referred to the increase in ambient relative humidity during the sampling period. The obtained results confirm a very weak positive correlation between ground ozone concentrations and ambient relative humidity (RH). A correlation coefficient in the range of $R^2=0.0645$ as shown in Figure 8 describes these findings. In addition as presented in Table 4 and Figure 7, the 1-h and the daily distribution of monitored ground ozone exhibit slightly changes indicating little association with ambient temperature. Consequently, this study suggests that ground ozone doesn’t correlate or correlates very slightly with changing temperature. A correlation coefficient of $R^2=0.0002$ is calculated (Table 5) to support this hypothesis.

Comparative results found by Sevda Ocak et al. [14] through the use of mathematical model that daily traffic-related pollutant concentrations are not only influenced by daily meteorological parameters but also by the pollutant concentration of previous day. Ozone concentration increased with increasing wind speed, temperature, relative humidity and O$_3$ concentration of previous days. Another study reported that meteorological conditions conducive to high ozone, including stagnation events and clear skies, correlate with increasing temperature [35]. A survey study [42] suggested that the peak concentrations of O$_3$ occurred during May to August due to higher temperature and solar radiation which could promote the photochemistry activity.

![Figure 5: Correlation curve of CO concentrations with ambient RH.](image)

![Figure 6: Observed ozone levels at study area in relation to meteorological variables.](image)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>1st Sampling period at L1–L10</th>
<th>2nd Sampling period at L11–L20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (ppm)</td>
<td>Aver. 0.0815</td>
<td>Min. 0.088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Statistical analysis of monitored Ozone concentration at locations L1–L10 and at locations L11–L20 of the study area.
Ground-level ozone is a major component of smog. Photochemical reactions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) under high temperatures lead to ozone formation [16,31]. Often, NOx alone controls the ozone formation, but increases with increasing VOC [31,43]. The lifetime of the ozone depends on breaking of ozone and dispersions factors and the effects of daily VOC (expressed as non-methanic hydrocarbons or NMHC) and NOx concentrations on ozone behavior are well known [44].

In present case, ozone concentrations increase, whilst NO2 concentrations decrease. In fact, a very weak negative correlation is found between ozone concentrations and the ambient NO2 concentrations at the study area. As presented in Figure 8, a correlation coefficient of $R^2=0.0358$ is resulted, while a positive correlation could be seen between ozone production and ambient TVOC concentrations with a correlation coefficient of $R^2=0.2725$ (Figure 9).

Analysis of NO2 concentrations: Oxides of nitrogen are one of six principal (or criteria) pollutants for which EPA has established national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) of USEPA. 2008 Final Report for NOx. The principal sources of nitrogen dioxide are traffic and to a lesser extent industry, shipping and households. High nitrogen dioxide levels, combined with ultrafine particles and other oxidants, have become one of the major air pollution problems in urban areas all over the world. Nitrogen oxides are one of the main components of the mixture of pollutants classically referred to as photochemical smog [45].

Table 6 and Figure 10 show spatial distribution of daily maximum 1-h NO2 concentrations from end March to mid-April 2015. It is apparent from Table 6 that the daily 1-h NO2 concentrations ranged from 0.06 ppm to 0.104 ppm for samples taken at locations L1–L10 during the first measuring period with a mean of (0.88 ppm). The NO2 concentrations taken during the second period at Locations L11–L20 are ranging from 0.01 to 0.069 ppm with an average of (0.41 ppm) for this sampling batch. It has been noticed that the results were fluctuating among locations.

In addition, Figure 10 shows clearly the decrease of NO2 levels taken during the 2nd sampling batch (at L11–L20) against those monitored during the first sampling period at locations (L1–L10).

Influence of relative humidity and temperature on NO2 concentrations: Variations in meteorological parameters (temperatures...
dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}) is derived from the combustion of sulfur-containing fossil fuels and is a major air pollutant in many parts of the world [2,29]. Fossil fuels, most notably coal and oil, contain varying amounts of sulfur according to their source but typically between 1% and 5%. On combustion, the sulfur in the fuel is converted almost quantitatively to sulfur dioxide. The primary NAAQS guideline for SO\textsubscript{x}, with SO\textsubscript{2} serving as the indicator, is set at 0.14 parts per million (ppm), averaged over a 24 h period, not to be exceeded more than once per year, and 0.030 ppm as annual arithmetic mean.

However, recorded distribution levels of SO\textsubscript{2} during first measuring period at locations L\textsubscript{1}-L\textsubscript{10} equals 0.500 ppm and climbs slightly during second sampling period to 0.608 ppm. As Table 7 shows the mean of 1-h levels of SO\textsubscript{2} for 1\textsuperscript{st} period at L\textsubscript{11}-L\textsubscript{20} compared to levels of SO\textsubscript{2} monitored during second measuring period at locations L\textsubscript{11}-L\textsubscript{20}, as Table 7 shows the mean of 1-h levels of SO\textsubscript{2} for 1\textsuperscript{st} period at L\textsubscript{11}-L\textsubscript{20} equals 0.500 ppm and climbs slightly during second sampling period to 0.608 ppm.

Analysis of sulfur dioxide SO\textsubscript{2} concentrations: By far Sulfur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}) is derived from the combustion of sulfur-containing fossil fuels and is a major air pollutant in many parts of the world [2,29]. Fossil fuels, most notably coal and oil, contain varying amounts of sulfur according to their source but typically between 1% and 5%. On combustion, the sulfur in the fuel is converted almost quantitatively to sulfur dioxide. The primary NAAQS guideline for SO\textsubscript{x}, with SO\textsubscript{2} serving as the indicator, is set at 0.14 parts per million (ppm), averaged over a 24 h period, not to be exceeded more than once per year, and 0.030 ppm as annual arithmetic mean.

The present work was conducted during a three consecutive week’s survey study in March-April, 2015, a period tainted by a sudden change in weather conditions (temperature and the rate of relative humidity) during sampling procedure. The analysis of samples examines the differences in key air pollutant concentrations to found major variations in concentrations due to change in climatic factors. Therefore, obtained results of the study are found appropriate to suggest a relationship between the change in pollution levels and climatic variables, also to derive possible predictions of sequences of climate change in regard to air pollution. By means of available data sets of observations from air monitoring and meteorological stations, calculating statistical relationship among the variables is made possible by using some statistical techniques such as regression analysis. Statistical models, establish how close relationships are between concentration estimates and values actually measured.

**Influence of relative humidity and temperature on SO\textsubscript{2} concentrations:** A clear dependency of SO\textsubscript{2} concentrations with the relative humidity couldn’t be established. However, the small increase in concentrations at locations L\textsubscript{11}-L\textsubscript{20} compared to levels of SO\textsubscript{2} monitored at L\textsubscript{1}-L\textsubscript{10} could be referred to increase in relative humidity. This clearly demonstrated through the correlation curve of Figures 12 and 13. The overall correlation of SO\textsubscript{2} concentrations with ambient RH could be seen as positive but weak with a correlation coefficient R\textsuperscript{2}=0.0288. A correlation coefficient of R\textsuperscript{2}= - 0.358 describes that the association between SO2 concentrations and ambient temperature is very weak and negative.

**Discussion**

The present work was conducted during a three consecutive week’s survey study in March-April, 2015, a period tainted by a sudden change in weather conditions (temperature and the rate of relative humidity) during sampling procedure. The analysis of samples examines the differences in key air pollutant concentrations to found major variations in concentrations due to change in climatic factors. Therefore, obtained results of the study are found appropriate to suggest a relationship between the change in pollution levels and climatic variables, also to derive possible predictions of sequences of climate change in regard to air pollution. By means of available data sets of observations from air monitoring and meteorological stations, calculating statistical relationship among the variables is made possible by using some statistical techniques such as regression analysis. Statistical models, establish how close relationships are between concentration estimates and values actually measured.
The relationship between monitored ambient air quality data at study area and meteorological factors, such as wind speed, temperature and relative humidity was investigated. According to the results obtained by the linear and stepwise regression analysis, results exhibit close association between air pollutants and climatic factors, especially relative humidity and ambient temperatures. To better understand the relation between different air pollutants, the correlation coefficients were calculated for each pollutant with temperatures and relative humidity and illustrated in Table 5. These results confirm the important role of meteorological parameters in air pollution formation and variation on daily basis.

**TVOC concentrations**

Statistical data analysis of monitored TVOC levels show a significant increase of TVOC concentrations of 201% when comparing measurements conducted at locations L1-L10 and at locations L11-L20. This observed significant increase in TVOC levels (aver. L11-L20/aver L1-L10=3.3) is attributed to change in meteorological components occurred during both sampling periods. The sudden increase at sampling sites (L11-L20) is mainly attributed to the simultaneous strong climb in relative humidity as illustrated in Table 1. Although there was a slight change in ambient air temperatures, the significant increase of relative humidity (170%) is the major contributor for TVOC levels variation. Table 5 illustrates statically and clearly the association between TVOC levels and climatic conditions. Concentrations of TVOC as monitored correlate strongly positive with increasing relative humidity (R²=0.5692) and moderate negative with decreasing ambient temperatures (R²=-0.4289).

A similar study by which a mathematical model is developed
correlation is found between ozone concentrations and the ambient ozone concentrations increase, whilst NO2 concentrations decrease, a change in climatic factors occurs. The analysis of data suggests that results exhibit little increase during the second sampling period after L11–L20 simultaneous with change in meteorological factors. According to experience slight increase during the second sampling period taken at temperature accounted for 1–48% of the variability in VOC concentrations generally increased with temperature and wind speed. Temperature accounted for 1–48% of the variability in VOC concentrations.

Haghighhat et al. [33] predicted that the chamber formaldehyde concentration increases with increasing temperature. A further study [34] estimated that at least 1.3 ± 0.4% increase in daily total (exhaust+evaporative) VOC emissions from motor vehicles per 1°C increase in maximum temperature. Also, Pusede et al. [35] found that tailpipe emissions from vehicles are only weakly temperature dependent, for example due to the increase in fuel consumption for air conditioning on hot days.

An Investigation carried out by Cetin et al. [48] showed that VOC concentrations varied seasonally and that concentrations were highest in summer, followed by autumn, probably due to increased evaporation of VOCs from fugitive sources as a result of higher temperatures. VOC concentrations generally increased with temperature and wind speed. Temperature accounted for 1–48% of the variability in VOC concentrations.

Carbon monoxide (CO)

Statistical analysis and presentation of data show variation of CO levels monitored at the study area on 1-h and daily basis. CO levels experience slight increase during the second sampling period taken at L11–L20 simultaneous with change in meteorological factors. According to figures of Table 5, a weak positive correlation of CO levels with both relative humidity and temperatures can be suggested. Comparative studies reported that the level of CO decreases with increasing temperature, but CO increases with increasing relative humidity [14].

Ozone concentrations

In regard to monitored 1-h and daily ozone levels at study area, results exhibit little increase during the second sampling period after a change in climatic factors occurs. The analysis of data suggests that ozone concentrations increase, whilst NO2 concentrations decrease, indicating that vehicular emissions and transport process were large contributors to daily ozone production. In fact, a very weak negative correlation is found between ozone concentrations and the ambient NO2 levels at the study area. As presented in Figure 9, a correlation coefficient of \( R^2=0.0358 \) is resulted, while a positive correlation could be seen between ozone production and ambient TVOC concentrations \( (R^2=0.2725) \) as shown in Figure 10.

Although many factors may influence ozone concentration, diurnal meteorological variations are the one that clearly explain diurnal variation of ozone concentrations. The most important meteorological parameters which influence ozone production are solar radiation (influencing the speed and amount of photochemical production of ozone), temperature (controlling, influencing the speed and amount of photochemical production of ozone), vertical temperature gradient (influencing the vertical mixing in the atmosphere surface winds) and aloft winds (responsible for the transport of ozone and its precursors), precipitation (decreasing the ozone concentration by means of wet deposition) and relative humidity [14].

As illustrated in Table 5, the correlation between relative humidity and ozone levels couldn't be clearly demonstrated. However a slight increase in ozone levels at locations L11–L20 is noticed and could be referred to the increase in ambient relative humidity during the sampling period. A very weak positive correlation between ozone concentrations and ambient RH was calculated with a correlation coefficient in the range of \( R^2=0.0002 \). While practically no correlation \( (R^2=0.0002) \) with temperatures could be observed. This result can be probably explained because ground ozone production depends mainly on temperature and sun radiation. Bright sunshine was available during both monitoring periods, whilst the temperature experienced only a slight decrease of about 8% making no clear change in effect. A similar study [39] suggested a negative correlation between ozone and relative humidity, and a positive correlation between ozone concentration and temperature. Similarly, Hosseinibalam et al. [49] suggested that temperature was positively correlated with most of the pollutant including ozone, except NO \( (r=–0.05) \) and SO2 \( (r=–0.07) \).

In addition, other investigation studies put the emphasis on the variations of O3 with the meteorological factors. They found that correspondent relations, more or less, existed between meteorological factors and O3 concentrations both in maximum and daily average. High O3 concentrations were related to low relative humidity, whilst a positive correlation between O3 and temperature was observed Nnenesi et al. [39,50]. Both confirmed a strong dependence of ground ozone on temperature and a correlation coefficient is found in the range of \( R^2=0.8722 \). Whilst, Gorai et al. [7] reported that temperature profile in the area rarely had any effects on the ozone concentrations due to low spatial variations. Moreover, photochemical reactions of volatile.
organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) under high temperatures lead to ozone formation [16,31].

In this study, VOC concentrations increase, whilst NOx concentrations decrease. Often, NOx alone controls the ozone formation, but increases with increasing VOC [31,43]. This is probably the reason why no clear association of ozone formation with varying meteorological components could be established. Comparative studies revealed that ozone levels are at maximum during summer while minimum ozone levels occur during winter months. Other study found that relative humidity (RH) correlated negatively with most of the air pollutants and the strongest negative correlation was found with O3 with $r=-0.38$ [51]. Allison et al. found that changes in climate variables, including temperature and atmospheric moisture, cause increases to peak ozone concentrations on the order of a few ppb.

**NO2 concentrations**

The concentrations of NO2 are spatially very variable in the industrial areas, depending on time of the day, season, reactivity and meteorological factors and, as emissions of NOx are strongly traffic-related, a rising trend regarding NO2 concentrations is to be expected in growing areas with high traffic density.

Results of this survey study show that monitored concentrations of NO2 varied between 0.38–0.66 ppm at locations L1–L10 and 0.608–0.817 ppm locations L11–L20 indicating a 53.4% decrease in mean values. A phenomenon to be attributed to the sudden increase in relative humidity and the change in ambient temperatures. Results of a related survey study showed that both SO2 and NO2 were negatively correlated in summer ($r=-0.25$ for SO2 and $r=-0.15$ for NO2) and moderately and positively correlated ($r=0.32$ for SO2 and $r=0.51$ for NO2) during post-monsoon season with temperature, which indicated that the influence of temperature on both SO2 and NOx is much more effective in summer than other seasons, due to higher temperature range. In addition it was found that no significant correlation was found between relative humidity and the gaseous pollutants SO2 and NOx.

**SO2 concentrations**

The relationship between SO2 concentrations and the meteorological situation is not clear and is difficult to establish. Recorded concentrations of SO2 varied between 0.38–0.66 ppm at locations L1–L10 and 0.461–0.817 ppm locations L11–L20 resulting an 20.6% increase in average values. A clear dependency of this result with the relative humidity couldn’t be established. However, the small increase in concentrations at locations L11–L20 compared to levels of SO2 monitored at L1–L10 could be referred to simultaneous increase in relative humidity. The overall correlation of SO2 concentrations with RH is according to Table 5 negative but weak with a correlation coefficient $R^2=0.0288$. Observed concentrations correlate negatively weak to temperature with a correlation coefficient $R^2=0.0358$.

Similar studies indicated that there is a moderate to weak level of correlation between the SO2 level and the meteorological factors [52]. As a general result, SO2 and total suspended particles (TSP) were slightly decreased with decreasing wind speed and temperature. However, SO2 was weakly increased with increasing relative humidity and atmospheric pressure [52]. A further study presented by Bridgman et al. [53], the relationship of SO2 concentrations to six major meteorological parameters has been investigated and found results suggested that SO2 concentrations strongly related to colder temperature, higher relative humidity and lower wind speed. However, a study Zhang et al. [12] has claimed that SO2 and O3 have no distinct relative humidity dependency.

**Conclusions**

This work is to our knowledge the most comprehensive analysis of air pollutants dataset related to weather variables from a country of the Middle Eastern region. Statistical models used for data analysis and explanations, establish how close relationships are between concentration estimates and values actually measured. The statistical models analysis confirmed that weather variables such as temperature and relative humidity have a significant impact on the most air pollutants. The study revealed a clear indication of the correlation between the concentrations of air pollutants and ambient temperatures and relative humidity.

i) The gaseous pollutant SO2 was negatively correlated with temperature and relative humidity ($R^2=0.0358$ for temperature and $R^2=0.0288$ for relative humidity).

ii) The correlation of NO2 with relative humidity is negatively strong with $R^2=0.7806$, but is positively correlated with ambient temperatures ($R^2=0.648$).

iii) In regard to ozone, a very weak positive correlation between ozone concentrations and ambient RH was calculated with a correlation coefficient in the range of $R^2=0.0642$. While practically no correlation ($R^2=0.0002$) with temperatures could be observed.

iv) According to findings of this study, a weak positive correlation of CO levels with both relative humidity and temperatures can be suggested ($R^2=0.1747$ for relative humidity and $R^2=0.0962$ for temperature, respectively).

v) Concentrations of collective term TVOC as monitored correlate strongly positive with increasing relative humidity ($R^2=0.5692$) and moderate negative with decreasing ambient temperatures ($R^2=0.4289$).
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