Effects of a Twelve-Week Program of Lumbar-Stabilization Exercises on Multifidus Muscles, Isokinetic Peak Torque and Pain for Women with Chronic Low Back Pain
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Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate the effects of lumbar stabilization exercises on cross-sectional area (CSA) of the multifidus muscle, trunk muscles' isokinetic peak torque, pain and disability in women with chronic low back pain (cLBP).

Methods: The experimental group (EG; n=55) was enrolled in a 12-week lumbar stabilization exercises program. Control group (CG; n=51) did not undertake exercises. Before starting the exercise program, after completing it and 1 and 2 months after the intervention the following tests were carried out: isokinetic peak torque at an angular velocity of 60 deg/s was measured using an isokinetic dynamometer; measurement of the CSA of the multifidus muscle was performed using a ultrasound system, and patients were assessed using the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI), as well as visual analogue pain (VAS) rating scales.

Results: After intervention, isokinetic peak torque (41.25% extension and 21.53% flexion) and multifidus muscle CSA (right side: 37.41 ± 0.7%; left side: 37.53 ± 0.7%) increased, VAS (44.00%) and ODI (48.74%) decreased.

Conclusion: After 12-week lumbar stabilization exercises program, multifidus muscle CSA and trunk muscles peak torque increased, disability and cLBP decreased, and these changes lasted for 2 months.

Keywords: Lumbar stabilization exercise; Chronic low back pain; Isokinetic trunk flexion and extension

Introduction

Chronic low back pain (cLBP) is one of the most prevalent musculoskeletal disorders and it enhances the economic burden [1]. Over the last decade, a number of studies have reported dysfunction of voluntary activation of multifidus and abdominal muscles in connection with recurrent or cLBP [2-4]. Patients with cLBP show reduced endurance and strength of the muscles of the lumbar and hip, and decreased flexibility of the back as a result of prolonged anomalous posture, which may account for the pain [5]. A common variation is a delay in activation of the deep muscles (transversus abdominis, obliquus internus abdominus) only during rapid voluntary limb movements [6,7].

There is evidence that patients with cLBP have a smaller cross-sectional area (CSA) of the multifidus muscles compared to healthy volunteers [8-11]. The multifidus muscles act as lumbar stabilizers and control the intersegment motion of individual vertebrae [12]. Ultrasound imaging has been proved as a non-invasive method to take the measurements of the activation of selected muscles [13]. Using ultrasound imaging and magnetic resonance imaging for a research of healthy young females showed that the multifidus muscles are symmetrical on either side of each vertebral level studied (L2-S1) and grew in size from L2 to L5 [14]. A systematic review by Fortin and Macedo showed that patients with cLBP have smaller multifidus and paraspinal muscle groups than healthy subjects [12]. Dysfunction of the lumbar multifidus muscles is closely associated with cLBP as it is likely to be due to pain inhibition from the spine [15]. Perhaps future studies will determine whether muscle atrophy is a cause or a result of cLBP [12].

The stability of the lumbar spinal segments is an important component of the biomechanics of the body, a deficiency of which can affect the occurrence of cLBP [16]. Hides et al. measured the response to an abdominal drawing-in task of CSA of the waist, the thickness of the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles and the shortening of the transversus abdominis muscle and found changes in the measurements between the beginning and the finish of a 13-week cricket training camp [10]. In this research the subjects made 13-week practice of individual skills, gym sessions and weight training. Participants from the group with cLBP experienced with a 6-week stabilization training program which included performing voluntary contractions of the multifidus, pelvic floor and transversus abdominis muscles while obtaining feedback from ultrasound imaging. The motor control of cricketers with cLBP who obtained the stabilization training improved and was similar to that of the cricketers without cLBP in the end of the training.

Stuge et al. established that a group who performed specific stabilizing exercises (20 weeks) showed statistically and clinically lower pain intensity, lower disability, and higher quality of life contrast to a control group (group received individualized physical therapy without specific stabilizing exercises), after intervention and at one-year post-partum [17]. While changes were negligible in the control group, disability was reduced by more than 50% in the exercise group.
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Smith et al. study indicated that lumbar extension training with pelvic stabilization can be an effective treatment for cLBP; however, lumbar extension training without pelvic stabilization did not increase lumbar muscle strength. Stabilization of the lumbar spine significantly increases back extensor muscle strength, reduces soreness and improves outcomes as measured by the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) [18]. These results show that the lumbar stabilization exercise program is effective for the treatment of cLBP with the aim of preventing the recurrence of symptoms [19]. It is therefore important to assess the lasting impact of exercise programs on the treatment of cLBP. The lumbar stabilization exercises have been designed in order to enhance the neuromuscular control system and correct the dysfunction [20]. The Pilates method can be used as an adjunctive lumbar stability exercise program to improve flexibility and enhance control of trunk and pelvic segments and core stability [21].

The main aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of a program of lumbar stability exercises on multifidus muscles in parallel on both sides of the spine in the L4-L5 region, isokinetic peak torque of flexor and extensor of trunk muscles, pain and functional condition in women with cLBP. We also assessed changes in trunk muscle size and strength up to 2 months after completing a 12-week training program.

We hypothesize a 12-week lumbar stabilization program for women with cLBP will decrease and this effect will persist for months.

**Materials and Methods**

One hundred-six female volunteers suffering non-specific cLBP were divided randomly from an experimental group (EG; n=55) and a control group (CG; n=51). All subjects had been suffering from cLBP for at least 3 months. The study did not include patients with neurological symptoms, spinal damage, cancer or infectious diseases that could lead to cLBP, and other diseases that could affect physical performance. Those who had paresthesia, myoparalysis, or psychological problems with cLBP, and other diseases that could affect physical performance. Those who had difficulty in performing exercise due to lack of comprehension were also excluded. None of the study participants had undergone surgery for cLBP. Participants in the CG and EG groups had not performed lumbar stabilization exercises before. The mean age of the EG subjects was 53.3 ± 5.3 years, their body weight was 68.1 ± 8.9 kg, and height 166.4 ± 2.8 cm. The CG included women aged 51.5 ± 7.4 years, with a body weight of 65.1 ± 6.4 kg, and a height of 167.5 ± 1.9 cm. The EG subjects were enrolled in a 12-week exercise program to increase lumbar stability. None of the women had previously been involved in similar studies. All subjects were asked not to use any medication, such as muscle relaxants, analgesics, and psychotropic drugs, for at least 4 days before testing.

Inclusion criteria of EG are as follows:

- cLBP persisting for more than 3 months in the absence of an underlying pathology
- Aged between 51 and 58 years
- Pain between 3 and 6 at rest on a 0- to 10-point pain visual analog scale (VAS), where 0 represents no pain and 10 is the worst pain imaginable
- No contraindication for exercise
- No obvious deformity of the spine, pelvis, and lower extremities
- No autoimmune diseases (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis)
- No pregnancy

CG women did not undertake lumbar stabilization exercises program. This study was approved by Regional Biomedical Research Ethics Committee. Each volunteer read and signed the informed consent form before participation in the study.

Before starting the lumbar stabilization exercise program, after completing it and 1 and 2 months after the intervention the following tests were carried out: isokinetic peak torque at an angular velocity of 60 deg/s was measured using a Biodex System 3 Pro isokinetic dynamometer; measurement of the cross-sectional area of the multifidus muscle was performed using a TITAN™ ultrasound system, and patients were assessed using the ODI, as well as VAS rating scales.

Lumbar stabilization exercises were performed twice per week; the duration of each session was 45 minutes. The program lasted for 12 weeks, thus patients underwent a total of 24 exercise sessions. Exercise program consisted from stretching, pelvic tilt, flexion and extension strengthening of the abdomen and the trunk muscles. The subjects conducted the lumbar stabilization exercise program for 45 minutes. This program is divided into 3 categories: warm up, main part and cool down [22]. The EG participants were required to perform from 4 to 16 repetitions some of exercise. The physiotherapist controlled the subject’s lumbar neutral spine position at the start of each exercise, and the subjects were asked to keep this position through the exercise. The exercises were performed in a same order. Markers were placed on the floor to standardize the position of the subject and the equipment. The exercises met suggested criteria for safety; these included the avoidance of active hip flexion with fixed feet positioning and pulling with the hands behind the head and ensuring knee and hip flexion during all upper body exercises (Figures 1A-1N).

The subjects were tested using a Biodex Medical System PRO 3 dynamometer (certified ISO 9001 EN 46001; Shirley, NY, USA). Isokinetic peak torque was measured at an angular velocity of 60 deg/s [23,24]. Mechanical brakes were applied at 60° of amplitude in order to minimize unwanted movements [25]. Prior to testing, all subjects were familiarized with the methodology of the assessment and then performed a standard warm-up which involved exercising on the ergometer (Ergo-Fit Ergo Cycle 177, Germany) at low intensity for 5 minutes (heart rate 110-130 beats/min). After warming up, the subjects sat in the Biodex System 3 PRO chair (Figure 2) and remained quiet for 2 minutes. Shoulder, torso and thigh straps were used to maintain the angle between the waist and thigh at 90° [23]. During the test the subjects were asked to minimize head movements and keep hands crossed on the chest. After several practice movements followed by 5 min rest, volunteers performed maximal isokinetic voluntary trunk flexion involving three trunk flexion and extension movements using maximal effort. For data analysis we used the value indicating the highest maximal force.

Ultrasound scanning of the muscles was carried out using a TITAN™ ultrasound system (Sonosite Inc., Bothell, WA, USA). Multifidus muscle CSAs (cm²) were measured in the B-scan mode. An HST/10-5 MHz 25 mm linear probe was used to image the surfaces of the muscles, organs and blood vessels, at a frequency of 10 MHz. During the study, subjects were positioned face down in a relaxed, neutral head position, with their arms relaxed at their sides. A small pillow was placed under the abdomen to reduce lordosis of the lumbar spine. Ultrasound scanning of multifidus muscles was performed in parallel on both sides of the spine in the L4–L5 region (Figure 3). The fourth lumbar vertebra (L4) was identified by palpation, starting from the wings of the hip bones towards the centre line [22,26].

The ODI questionnaire was used to evaluate the influence of cLBP...
Hundreds: Lie flat on the mat with your legs together. Exhale as you curl your head and shoulders up, lift and hover your arms off the mat, and raise both legs off the mat to the desired height. Begin to pump your arms (100 times). Inhale for five arm pumps and exhale for five arm pumps. Keep the abdominals drawn into the mat and your back flat and stable on the mat.

Curl Ups: Starting position is neutral spine and pelvis, inhale, with exhaling curl spine, keeping head in the palms and space between chin and chest, legs in one line with hips, or in frog position, inhale, with exhaling lay down to the starting position to perform 16 repetitions.

Rolling: like a ball: In a seated position, hug your shins into your chest and balance on your sacrum to lift your feet off the mat and hold your body in a ball shape. Your knees remain shoulder distance apart with the ankles close together. Inhale as you roll back to your shoulder blades, exhale and roll up to the start position, maintaining the curve of the spine. Maintain the C-curve shape of your spine, while continuing to pull the abdominals into the spine, and roll evenly down the middle of the spine. Your head and neck should not touch the mat as you roll back to perform 8 repetitions.

Knee rolls: Position of legs slightly wider than hip-width apart, breath in preparing body to move, breath out rolling left leg in from the hip joint and simultaneously roll the right leg out, from the hip joint. Both knees will therefore roll to the right and the head to the opposite side. Breathe out and return both legs back to the center at the same time. Repeated to the other side to perform 8 repetitions in both sides.
Side band with rotation and opening the leg to the oyster: The starting position is on the left side with hip, knee and elbow in a line, keeping both legs together with inner tights connected. Breathe in and maintaining a neutral pelvic and the scapular stable open top knee and arm, keeping feet connected together, breathe out and rotate the spine to the mat, simultaneously reach with left arm the floor under the right side. Repeated to the other side and to perform 8 repetitions in both sides.

Single leg stretch: Starting position is neutral spine and pelvis, double knee fold one leg at a time with stability, connect inner tights and softy point the feet. Breath in preparing body to move, and as breath out curl up and place arms on the outside of the shins. Breathe out as lowering right leg, simultaneously placing the right hand on the left knee, and left hand to the left shinbone. Repeat on the other leg to perform 8 repetitions.

Side balance: Lie on the right side and correctly align pelvis and spine in neutral keeping head, neck and legs in line with spine, connecting inner tights in parallel with softly pointed feet. Breathe in to prepare breath out then lift both legs from the mat to the level with the top of the pelvis and stay keeping them maintaining a stable and still pelvis and spine for the 10-20 sec. Repeated to the other side.

The cat: The starting position is four point kneeling, breath in to prepare the body to move, with breath out roll the pelvic underneath, flex and round the lower back, continue this flexion to allow upper back to round gradually followed by the neck to perform 4 repetitions.
The Dart: Starting position lying on the front, correctly aligning the pelvic and spine in neutral, rest the forehead on a small cushion and lengthen arms by the side of the body on the mat, the palms are facing the ceiling, and the legs are straight. Inhale in preparing and breathe out then lift first head, then neck, then chest and upper spine of the mat. Breathe in and hold this lengthened and stable position, breath out then return the spine to the starting position.

Plank prone position: Keep neutral position of the spine and pelvis, touching the floor with elbows and toes and breathe deeply with deep breath in and breathe out. Stay in this position from 10-20 s. At the beginning of the program, is kept for 10 s, when the core stability muscles become stronger, the 20 s is applied.

Spine curl: Starting position- the relaxation position with neutral pelvis and spine, breath in to prepare breath out and curl the pelvis from the mat sequentially up from the mat vertebra by vertebra rolling the spine. Breathe out as roll the spine back to the neutral position.

Table top: The starting position is four point kneeling. Breathe in to prepare and lengthen the spine. Breathe out, maintaining a still and stable pelvis and spine, slide one leg behind, directly in line with the hip and lift the leg to hip height. Simultaneously raise the opposite arm forward, to the shoulder height. Repeated to the other side and to perform 8 repetitions in both sides.

Freeding like a needle: The starting position is four point kneeling. Inhale to prepare. Breathe out and rotate the spine in the same time sliding with the right arm to the left side, in the same time bend the left arm, keeping the scapular stable, and pelvis in neutral. Repeated to the other side and to perform 4 repetitions in both sides.

Figure 1: Lumbar stabilization exercise program.
The data were tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and all data were found to be normally distributed. A two-way mixed analysis ANOVA (General Linear Model) was used to determine the effect of the lumbar stabilization exercise program as within subject factor of two levels in group and between groups (CG and EG). A significant result was followed by LSD post hoc adjustment to determine differences between the lumbar stabilization exercise-training conditions. If Mauchly’s Test of Sphericity was significant then the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was used. The level of significance was set at $p<0.05$. The standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals are presented together with the mean values. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY).

**Result**

At the start of the study maximal isokinetic trunk extension and flexion peak torque did not differ between the EG and the CG ($p>0.05$). After completing the 12-week lumbar stabilization exercise program, trunk flexion ($41.25 \pm 9.25\%$) and extension ($21.53 \pm 4.48\%$) strength increased ($p<0.001$) in the EG. This increase in strength remained statistically significant after 1 month and 2 months post the lumbar stabilization exercise program ($p<0.05$) (Table 1).
The isokinetic trunk flexion muscles post intervention of the lumbar stabilization exercise program significantly depended on the isokinetic trunk flexion muscle endurance baseline the intervention \((r=0.552, p<0.05)\). The results of isokinetic trunk flexion muscle endurance tests significantly depended on the trunk extension muscle endurance baseline the intervention, and at 1 month post LSEP \((r=0.734, p<0.001)\) and 2 month post intervention \(r=0.781, p<0.001)\).

Initially, there was no significant difference in the CSA of the multifidus muscle CSA between the EG and the CG, either on the right side or on the left side \((p>0.05)\). At the end of the 12-week exercise program, the multifidus muscle CSA values were significantly higher on both the right side \((45.24 \pm 1.2\%\) and the left side \((44.81 \pm 0.9\%\) in women in the EG \((p<0.05)\). This increase in multifidus muscle's CSA was maintained after 1 month \((right side: 39.45 \pm 0.6\%; left side: 40.12 \pm 0.7\%\) \((p<0.05)\) and 2 months \((right side: 37.41 \pm 0.7\%; left side: 37.53 \pm 0.7\%\) \((p<0.05)\) (Table 2).

At the start of the study ODI scores did not differ \((p>0.05)\) between the EG and CG groups. After completing the 12-week lumbar stabilization exercise program the ODI scores decreased by 48.74 \pm 7.81\% \((p<0.05)\) in the EG, and this reduction persisted for 1 month and 2 months post the lumbar stabilization exercise program (Figure 4).

At the start of the study there was no significant difference in pain intensity between the EG and the CG \((p>0.05)\). At the end of the 12-week exercise program, cLBP intensity decreased by 1.50 \pm 0.3\% \((p<0.05)\) in the EG, and this reduction persisted for 1 month and 2 months post the lumbar stabilization exercise program (Figure 5).
Discussion

Our results show that the 12-week lumbar stabilization exercise program induces beneficial adaptations in women with cLBP. We found that multifidus muscle CSA and trunk muscle isokinetic peak torque increased while ODI decreased after this intervention, suggesting that lumbar stabilization exercises are effective in relieving cLBP. Previous studies have also shown that 4, 6, 10, 12, 16 or 20 weeks of the lumbar stabilization exercise program are effective for pain relief and improvement of trunk muscle function [17,18,22,23,30,31].

After completing the lumbar stabilization exercise program, back pain intensity and ODI decreased in the women in our study. Comparing the results obtained immediately after finishing the lumbar stabilization exercise program with the results after 2 months it was evident that without continuing lumbar stabilization exercises, the maximal isokinetic trunk flexion strength and maximal isokinetic trunk extension strength both decreased.

Our results showed a large increase in trunk muscle strength after completing the 12-week exercise program. It is likely that muscle strength was influenced by pain during initial measurements. Motor control might therefore change significantly as activity of the agonist muscles decreases while antagonist muscle activity increases to reduce speed, strength and amplitude of the movements in the presence of pain [6]. After lumbar stabilization exercise program on pain and active range of motion of trunk flexion increased significantly in the lumbar stability exercise group, but a significant increase was not found in the control group [31]. Gruther et al. considered that in chronic cLBP, dynamometric trunk muscle measures are limited to muscle functions evaluation purposes [32]. Goldby et al. applied a 10-week lumbar stabilization exercise program and collected data at baseline, and at 3, 6, 12, and 24 months after intervention [30]. Their results indicated statistically significant improvements in favour of the spinal stabilization group at the 6-month stage in pain (65.9% reduction in symptoms) and dysfunction, and at the 1-year stage in disability. In contrast, Unsgaard-Tønå et al. found no significant group differences in pain, disability, trunk flexibility, or fear-avoidance beliefs after treatment 8 weeks of motor control exercises, sling exercises, and general exercises and at a 1-year follow-up in patients with chronic nonspecific cLBP [33]. In a recent scientific research was found that were no differences between lumbar stability exercise program and general exercises with regard to pain and functionality in nonspecific cLBP subjects, but general exercises were better than lumbar stability exercise program for increasing functionality and flexibility [34].

We found that the lumbar stabilization exercise program resulted in a significant increase in the cross-sectional area of the multifidus muscle CSA and strength of rectus abdominis and erector spine muscles for women in the EG and these increases were maintained for 2 months. The significant improvement in the ability to contract the transversus abdominis after a program of lumbar stabilization exercises concurs with the findings of Ferreira et al. [35]. In their study, 11 patients undertook stabilization exercise therapy, and a further 23 patients either performed general exercise or received spinal manipulation. Compared with the latter groups, the stabilization group showed significantly (r=-0.35; 95% CI 0.02 to 0.62) greater changes after intervention in the ability to recruit the transversus abdominis 7.8% than participants receiving general exercise 4.9% or spinal manipulative therapy 3.7%.

The pain intensity of cLBP in the women in the EG immediately after the intervention significantly correlated with maximal isokinetic trunk flexion strength and the ratio of isokinetic trunk extension and flexion strength. After 1 month without the lumbar stabilization exercise program, pain intensity significantly depended on the maximal isometric flexion strength. Gruther et al. established that among dynamometric tests, isokinetic measurements produced the best area under the curve for discriminating between patients with cLBP and healthy controls [32]. Above mentioned authors research showed that reliability testing revealed highly significant learning effects for isokinetic trunk flexion and isokinetic measurements. Sekendiz et al. found that stabilization program exercises were an efficient training method, producing a positive significant changes in abdominal and lower back strength, posterior trunk flexibility and abdominal muscular endurance in sedentary adult females [24]. The results showed a
significant difference between pre- and post-exercise measurements
with isokinetic dynamometer of abdominal and lower back peak torque
at 60 deg/s flexion/extension and 120 deg/s flexion. The trunk muscle
peak torque was measured at an angular velocity of 60 deg/s flexion/
extension in our studies and also showed significant improvement after
stabilization program exercises.

Our results demonstrate that 12 weeks of a lumbar stabilization
exercise program involving 24 sessions carried out two times per week
is effective in relieving cLBP and improving patients' function. Even
after a month without exercise, muscle CSA and strength remained
elevated compared to the initial measurements and the CG which
did not perform exercise training. Nevertheless, the program did
not result in the permanent reduction of cLBP, which returned to
previous levels within 2 months. Stuge et al. showed that the effect of
20 weeks of a specific stabilization exercise program reduced pain and
level of disability and increased quality of life (ODI) compared to an
exercise program without stabilization exercises [17]. They found that
this improvement remained even after a year of inactivity. The most
important factors for the treatment of cLBP, ensuring improvements
in the patients' functional state and maintaining its lasting effect are
abdominal and back muscle static endurance, isometric abdominal
muscle strength and similarity between trunk flexion and extension
strength. The purpose of specific stabilization exercises is to improve
the endurance and strength of muscles responsible for dynamic stabilization
and neural-muscular control of the lumbar spine [18]. We found that, at
1 and 2 months after ending the lumbar stabilization exercise program,
the pain intensified and functional state deteriorated much faster than
the maximum trunk muscle strength, leading to the conclusion that to
reduce pain and improve function, regular exercise, not only improved
strength and endurance, is necessary. We established that, although the
12-week lumbar stabilization exercise program increased multifidus
muscle CSA and trunk muscles peak torque increased, disability and
cLBP decreased, and these changes lasted for 2 months.

The limitation of this study is its short duration, as the long-term
effects of lumbar stabilization exercise program in patients with cLBP
remain unknown after 6 months of suspended exercises.

Conclusion
After 12-week lumbar stabilization exercises program, multifidus
muscle CSA and trunk muscles peak torque increased, disability and
cLBP decreased, and these changes lasted for 2 months.
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