

## Effects of Dietary Protein Levels on the Growth, Feed Utilization and Haemato-Biochemical Parameters of Freshwater Fish, *Cyprinus Carpio Var. Specularis*

Imtiaz Ahmed<sup>1</sup> and Amir Maqbool

<sup>1</sup>Fish Nutrition Research Laboratory, Department of Zoology, University of Kashmir, Hazratbal, Srinagar

**Corresponding author:** Imtiaz Ahmed, Fish Nutrition Research Laboratory, Department of Zoology, University of Kashmir, Hazratbal, Srinagar, India, Tel: +91 9419968539; Fax: 019422421357; E-mail: [imtiazamu1@yahoo.com](mailto:imtiazamu1@yahoo.com)

**Received date:** November 15, 2016; **Accepted date:** December 21, 2016; **Published date:** December 29, 2016

**Copyright:** © 2016 Ahmed I, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

### Abstract

An 8-week feeding trial was conducted to study the effects of dietary protein levels on the growth, feed utilization and haemato-biochemical parameters of mirror carp, *Cyprinus carpio specularis* ( $1.50 \pm 0.02$  g;  $4.5 \pm 0.05$  cm). Six casein-gelatin based isocaloric ( $367$  kcal  $100$  g<sup>-1</sup>, gross energy) diets containing graded levels of dietary protein (25%-50% CP) were formulated. 20 fish were randomly stocked in triplicate groups in 75L circular trough fitted with continuous flow-through system and fed experimental diets at 4% BW/day at 0800 and 1700h. Maximum live weight gain (258%), best feed conversion ratio (FCR) (1.63) and protein efficiency ratio (PER) (1.53) were obtained in fish fed diet containing 40% dietary protein. However, quadratic regression analysis live weight gain, FCR, PER and body protein deposition (BPD) data indicated requirements for dietary protein at 43.5%, 41.6%, 34.7% and 37.3% of dry diet, respectively. Significantly higher whole body protein, low moisture and intermediate body fat contents were recorded at 40% protein containing diet ( $P < 0.05$ ). While minimum ash content was recorded at 25% protein level. The highest HIS value (3.39%) was observed at the lowest protein level. Significant differences were also observed in Hb, HCT and RBC values of different groups fed with varying levels of dietary protein ( $P < 0.05$ ). Whereas, no significant differences were observed in their WBC count except at 25% protein level, where higher WBC count was recorded ( $P > 0.05$ ). Based on the above results, it is recommended that 41.5% protein level would be useful for optimum growth and efficient feed utilization of this fish species.

**Keywords:** *Cyprinus carpio specularis*; Dietary protein requirement; Growth; Blood; Biochemical parameters

### Introduction

The global contribution of fish as a source of protein is indeed high, ranging from 10% to 15% of the human food basket across the world. It is estimated that around 60% of people in many developing countries depend on fish, for over 30% of their animal protein supplies [1]. The protein content of most fishes averages 15 to 20% on wet weight basis [2]. Fish also contains significant amounts of all essential amino acids, which are not available in plant protein sources and the digestibility of fish is approximately 5-15% higher than the plant-source foods [3].

Precise information on nutritional requirements of cultured species to provide appropriate amount of nutrients for optimal growth is essential to reduce feed cost, which accounts for a significant portion of the costs of an aquaculture enterprise. The development of cost-effective feeds that provide balanced diet to maximize growth, while minimizing environmental effects, depends on knowing the species' nutritional requirements and meeting those requirements with balanced diet formulations and appropriate feeding practices [4].

Among all the nutrients required by fish for growth and maintenance, protein is one of the most important and initial constituent, which comprises about 65-70% of the dry weight of fish muscle [5], and is also metabolized as an energy source by fish. Protein plays an important role in supporting fish growth [6-8]. Fish consume protein to obtain the essential and non-essential amino acids, which are necessary for muscle formation and enzymatic function and in part provide energy for maintenance [9]. Inadequate protein in the diet

results in a reduction or cessation of growth and a loss of weight due to withdrawal of protein from less vital tissues to maintain the functions of more vital organs and tissues. Whereas, diet with excessive protein contents usually leads to extra energy costs, increased nitrogenous excretions and occasionally retarded fish growth [10,11]. Since protein constitutes in fish culture the single most expensive item in artificial feeds, it is logical to incorporate only that much, which is necessary for normal maintenance demand and growth. Any excess is considered wasteful, biologically as well as economically and therefore, it is important to minimize the amount of protein used for energy [12-14]. Thus an optimum dietary protein level in the diet is important for fish growth and maintenance of good farming environments [15].

*Cyprinus carpio*, as a freshwater fish species, has been one of the most widely cultured species all over the world due to its fast growth rate and easy cultivation [16]. Two varieties of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) viz: scale carp (*C. carpio var. communis*) and mirror carp (*C. carpio var. specularis*) are commercially cultured in Jammu and Kashmir. The mirror carp is detritus feeder feeding on decaying organic matter. This fish is herbivorous eating almost 80-85% plant food. It is column feeder [17]. The plant food consists of micro and macro phytes besides planktonic organisms. 15-20% of animal food consists of rotifers, annelids, crustaceans and insect larvae [18]. It is prolific breeder and has attained phenomenal population in all the lakes and rivers except for fast running cold hill stream. Almost 50% of fish population in valley lakes is mirror carp [19]. Mirror carp differs from other common carps in the development of back muscle (dorsal muscle) which is higher than the normal carp [20]. Due to this, mirror carp is also called as high back carp. Although some aspects of nutritional requirements of mirror carp have been worked out in the

past by different workers [21-25], but no information related to the dietary protein requirement for the fingerling stage is available for this fish species. Keeping this in view, the present investigation was designed to study the effects of dietary protein levels on growth, feed utilization, whole body composition and haematological parameters of mirror carp, in order to determine the optimum dietary protein requirement of this fish, with a view to develop a nutritionally balanced diet for optimum production of this fish species through aquaculture.

## Materials and Methods

### Source of fish stock and acclimatization

Induced bred fingerlings of mirror carp, *Cyprinus carpio* var. *specularis* with the same batch and in apparent good health were procured from the 'State Government Fishery Department seed farm Manasbal'. The fingerlings were transported in polythene bags filled with water and oxygen and brought to the fish feeding trial laboratory (wet-lab) at the Department of Zoology, of . These fingerlings were first given a prophylactic dip in  $\text{KMnO}_4$  ( $5 \text{ mgL}^{-1}$ ) to rule out any possible microbial infection and stocked in indoor circular aqua blue colored plastic fish tank (water volume = 600 L) for a fortnight. During this period, the fish were fed to satiation a mixture of soybean, mustard oil cake, rice bran, and wheat bran in the form of moist cake twice a day at 08:00 and 17:00 hours. These fingerlings were then acclimated for 2 weeks on H-440 diet [26] near to satiation twice a day at 08:00 and

17:00 h in the form of moist cake. A preliminary feed trial was conducted before the start of feeding trial to determine the appropriate feeding level and feeding schedule of the fish.

### Preparation of experimental diets

Six casein-gelatin based isocaloric ( $367 \text{ kcal } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , gross energy) diets containing graded levels of dietary protein (25%, 30%, 35%, 40%, 45%, and 50% crude protein) were formulated (Table 1). Diets were prepared taking into account the amount of protein contributed by casein and gelatin and made isocaloric by adjusting the amount of dextrin in the diet. Calculated quantities of dry ingredients were thoroughly mixed and stirred in a known volume of hot water ( $80^\circ\text{C}$ ) in a steel bowl attached to a Hobart electric mixer. Gelatin powder was dissolved separately in a known volume of water with constant heating and stirring and then transferred to the above mixture. Other dry ingredients and oil premix, except carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), were added to the lukewarm bowl one by one with constant mixing at  $40^\circ\text{C}$  temperature. Carboxymethyl cellulose was added in last and the speed of the blender was gradually increased as the diet started to harden. The final diet, with the consistency of bread dough was poured into plastic Petri dishes and placed in a refrigerator to gel. The prepared diets were in the form of semi-moist cake, from which cubes were cut and packed in sealed polythene bags and then stored at  $-4^\circ\text{C}$  until used. The composition of vitamin and mineral premixes were prepared as per Halver [26].

Ingredients (g $100\text{g}^{-1}$ , dry diet)	Diet (%)					
	(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)
Casein <sup>1</sup>	24.4	29.2	34	38.8	43.6	48.6
Gelatin <sup>2</sup>	6.1	7.3	8.5	9.7	10.9	12.15
Dextrin <sup>3</sup>	50.62	44.24	37.87	31.5	25.13	18.49
Corn oil	6	6	6	6	6	6
Cod liver oil	3	3	3	3	3	3
Mineral mix <sup>4</sup>	4	4	4	4	4	4
Vitamin mix <sup>4,5</sup>	3	3	3	3	3	3
Carboxymethyl cellulose	2	2	2	2	2	2
Alpha cellulose	0.88	1.26	1.63	2	2.37	2.76
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100
Calculated crude protein (g $100\text{g}^{-1}$ )	25	30	35	40	45	50
Analysed crude protein (g $100\text{g}^{-1}$ )	24.87	29.65	34.58	40.16	44.79	50.18
Gross energy <sup>6</sup> (kcal $g100\text{g}^{-1}$ , dry diet)	367	367	367	367	367	367

**Table 1:** Formulation and proximate composition of experimental diets used for estimating the dietary protein requirement of mirror carp, *Cyprinus carpio* var. *specularis* fingerlings. <sup>1</sup>Crude protein (80%), <sup>2</sup>Crude protein (93%) Loba Chemie, India; <sup>3</sup>Loba Chemie, India. <sup>4</sup>Halver 2002 mineral ( $\text{AlCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 150;  $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 3000;  $\text{CuCl}_2$ , 100;  $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 800;  $\text{KI}$ , 150;  $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 1000  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ; plus USP # 2  $\text{Ca} (\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 135.8;  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{10}\text{CaO}_6$ , 327.0;  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}_7\text{Fe} \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 29.8;  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 132.0;  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  (dibasic), 239.8;  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 87.2;  $\text{NaCl}$ , 43.5 ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ); <sup>5</sup>vitamin mix (choline chloride 5000: thiamin HCL 50; riboflavin 200; pyridoxine HCL 50; nicotinic acid 750; calcium pantothenate 500; inositol 2000; biotin 5.0; folic acid 15; ascorbic acid 1000; menadione 40; alpha-tocopheryl acetate 400; cyanocobalamine 0.1 ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ )). <sup>6</sup>Calculated on the basis of physiological fuel values 4.5, 3.5 and 8.5  $\text{kcal g}^{-1}$  for protein, carbohydrate and fat, respectively (Jauncey, 1982).

## Experimental design and feeding trial

The fishes were sorted out from the acclimatized fish lots maintained in the wet laboratory and the desired number of *C. carpio* var. *specularis* fingerlings with almost similar body weight and size ( $1.50 \pm 0.02$  g;  $4.5 \pm 0.05$  cm) were randomly selected in triplicate groups in 75 L high-density polyvinyl circular troughs (water volume 65 L) fitted with a continuous water flow-through system at the rate of 20 fish per trough for each dietary treatment levels. The water exchange rate in each trough was maintained at  $1.0$ - $1.5$  L  $\text{min}^{-1}$ . The feeding schedule and feeding levels were chosen after carefully observing the feeding behaviour of the fish and their intake. For this purpose an 8-week preliminary feeding trial was also conducted under the same experimental setup in order to determine the appropriate ration size of the fish by feeding fish at the rate of 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 5% and 6% BW/day, results showed that the optimum ration size of the fish is approximately 4-4.5%. As per the result obtained in the preliminary feeding trial, the experimental fish were fed test diet in the form of moist cake at the rate of 4% of the body weight six days a week twice a day at 08:00 and 17:00 h, dividing into two equal feedings. The feeding trials lasted for eight weeks. Initial and weekly weights were recorded on a top loading balance (Sartorius CPA-224S 0.1 mg sensitivity, Goettingen, Germany). Fecal matter was siphoned before feeding and the daily feed offered was recorded. The uneaten feed (if any) was collected after active feeding approximately for 40 min with the help of siphoning pipe and collection tubes. The collected feed was then oven-dried at  $100^{\circ}\text{C}$  to calculate the final feed conversion ratio (FCR). No feed was offered to the fish on the day of weekly measurement. At the end of the experimental trial, desired numbers of fish were randomly sacrificed for the assessment of whole body composition.

## Water quality analysis

The physico-chemical parameters of water (temperature, dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide, total alkalinity and pH) were recorded daily, following the standard methods [27]. The water sample for analysis was collected early in the morning before the feeding was done. Water temperature ( $23.6$ - $24.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) was recorded using a mercury thermometer, dissolved oxygen ( $6.1$ - $6.8$  mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$ ) was estimated by Winkler's iodometric test, free carbon dioxide ( $3.9$ - $5.7$  mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$ ), total alkalinity ( $91$ - $112$  mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$ ) by titrimetric methods, respectively. While, pH ( $7.2$ - $7.6$ ) was measured by using a digital pH meter (pH ep-HI 98107, USA).

## Chemical analysis

The Proximate composition of casein, gelatin, and experimental diet, initial and final carcass was estimated using standard [28] methods for dry matter (oven drying at  $105 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 22 h), crude protein (N-Kjeldhal X 6.25), crude lipid (solvent extraction with petroleum ether B.P  $40$ - $60^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) by using Soxhlet extraction technique (FOSS Avanti automatic 2050, Sweden), and ash (oven incineration at  $650^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 2-4 h) were determined. At the end of the experiment, eight fish were randomly pooled from each replicate of dietary treatment and three sub-samples of each replicate from the pooled sample ( $n=3 \times 3$ ) were analysed for final body composition. Similarly, three fish were randomly selected from each replicate of dietary treatment for organ index estimation and blood collection.

## Hematological parameters

At the termination of feeding trial, blood samples for analysis were collected in heparinized (Na-heparinised) capillary tubes from the haemal arch after severing the caudal peduncle. Blood was pooled from each test group and stored in heparin coated vacutainer plastic tubes for future tests. All the hematological analysis was carried out within 2 hours after each extraction.

### Haemoglobin (Hb)

Haemoglobin content of blood was estimated by the method of Drabkin [29].  $20\mu\text{l}$  of blood was mixed with 5 ml of Drabkin solution (Loba chemie, India) and left to stand for at least 15 minutes. Haemoglobin concentration was determined by measuring the absorbance at 540 nm and compared to that of haemoglobin standard (Ranbaxy, India). Prior to reading the absorbance, hemoglobin test samples were centrifuged to remove dispersed nuclear material.

### Haematocrit (HCT)

Haematocrit (HCT%) was determined on the basis of sedimentation of blood. Heparinised blood ( $50\mu\text{l}$ ) was taken in a micro-haematocrit capillary (Na-heparinised) and spun in a micro-haematocrit centrifuge (REMI RM-12C, India) at 12,000 rpm for 5 min to obtain haematocrit value. The haematocrit value was measured using a haematocrit reader and reported as percentage [30].

### Red blood cell (RBC) and white blood cell count (WBC)

For RBC and WBC count, a blood sample ( $20\mu\text{l}$ ) was taken with a micro pipette (Finpipette, Finland), and diluted with Natt-Herrick's [31] diluent (1:200). The diluted sample was placed in a Neubauer improved haemocytometer (Marienfeld-Superior, Lauda-Konigshofen, Germany) and then the blood cells were counted using a light microscope (Magnus-MLM, India). RBC indices viz: mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) and mean corpuscular volumes (MCV) were calculated according to Dacie and Lewis [32].

## Growth parameters

Growth performance of the fish fed diets with different protein levels was calculated as a function of the weight gain by using the following formulae:

$$\text{Weight gain (\%)} = \frac{\text{Final body weight} - \text{initial body weight}}{\text{initial weight}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Specific growth rate (SGR \%)} = 100 \times \frac{(\text{In final wet weight (g)} - \text{In initial wet weight (g)})}{\text{duration (days)}}$$

$$\text{Protein efficiency ratio (PER)} = \frac{\text{Wet weight gain (g)}}{\text{Protein consumed (g, dry weight basis)}}$$

$$\text{Body protein deposition (BPD \%)} = 100 \times \frac{(\text{BW}_f \times \text{BCP}_f) - (\text{BW}_i \times \text{BCP}_i)}{[\text{TF} \times \text{CP}]}$$

Where  $\text{BW}_i$  and  $\text{BW}_f$  = mean initial and final body weight (g),  $\text{BCP}_i$  and  $\text{BCP}_f$  = mean initial and final percentage of muscle protein, TF

=Total amount of diet consumed, and CP=Percentage of crude protein of the diet.

$$\text{Hepatosomatic index (HSI \%)} = \frac{\text{Liver weight (g)}}{\text{Body weight (g)}} \times 100$$

### Statistical analysis

Responses of mirror carp fingerlings to graded levels of dietary protein were measured by weight gain (%) feed conversion ratio (FCR), protein efficiency ratio (PER), specific growth rate (SGR %) and body composition. These response variables were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) [33,34]. To determine the significant differences among the treatments, Duncan's Multiple Range Test [35] was employed. To predict more accurate responses to the dietary protein intake, the optimum dietary protein level was estimated using second-degree polynomial regression analysis ( $Y = ax^2 + bx + c$ ) as described by Zeitoun et al. [36]. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

### Results

Growth performance of mirror carp, *Cyprinus carpio* var. *specularis* fed diets containing graded levels of protein over the 8-week feeding

trial are presented in Table 2. No mortality was observed among all the dietary treatment levels during the entire length of feeding trial. Live weight gain (LWG%), specific growth rate (SGR%), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and protein efficiency ratio (PER) were found to be significantly affected with the increase of dietary protein level in the diets. A linear relationship between the percentage of protein content in the diet and the increase in weight gain up to an incorporation rate of 40% was noted. The maximum weight gain (258%) for mirror carp was obtained with the diet containing 40% dietary protein level, although it was not significantly different from that achieved by the fish fed a 45% protein diet. However, an intermediate value of growth rate was observed in fish fed diet containing lower level of dietary protein i.e. <40% and higher level of dietary protein (>45%) diets, while the poorest growth rate was recorded for fish receiving diet with 25% protein followed by those receiving diet containing 30% protein in the diet, respectively. Feed conversion ratio decreased progressively with linearly increasing dietary protein level and was found to differ significantly among each dietary protein level ( $P < 0.05$ ). The best-FCR (1.63) was recorded with fish receiving diet at 40% dietary protein level, which was not significantly different to group that fed at 45% protein containing diet ( $P > 0.05$ ).

Dietary protein levels (g 100 g <sup>-1</sup> , dry diet)						
	25	30	35	40	45	50
Average initial weight (g)	1.557 ± 0.04	1.592 ± 0.02	1.608 ± 0.01	1.599 ± 0.02	1.605 ± 0.02	1.614 ± 0.03
Average final weight (g)	3.142 ± 0.15	3.968 ± 0.07	4.909 ± 0.07	5.731 ± 0.05	5.623 ± 0.11	5.189 ± 0.13
Live weight gain (%)	101.64 ± 5.10d	149.25 ± 7.58c	205.37 ± 6.80b	258.48 ± 8.36a	250.43 ± 8.50a	221.48 ± 5.23b
Specific growth rate (SGR)	1.25 ± 0.04 <sup>d</sup>	1.63 ± 0.04 <sup>c</sup>	1.99 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	2.28 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.24 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	2.08 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>
Feed conversion ratio (FCR)	2.93 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.45 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	1.92 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	1.63 ± 0.04 <sup>e</sup>	1.70 ± 0.05 <sup>e</sup>	2.08 ± 0.07 <sup>c</sup>
Protein efficiency ratio (PER)	1.36 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	1.37 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	1.49 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.53 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.31 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.96 ± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>
Body protein deposition (BPD)	19.46 ± 0.53 <sup>d</sup>	21.41 ± 0.44 <sup>c</sup>	24.60 ± 0.63 <sup>b</sup>	28.50 ± 0.69 <sup>a</sup>	23.86 ± 0.70 <sup>b</sup>	16.60 ± 0.69 <sup>e</sup>
HIS (%)	3.39 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	3.08 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	2.80 ± 0.07 <sup>c</sup>	2.41 ± 0.02 <sup>d</sup>	2.33 ± 0.04 <sup>d</sup>	2.72 ± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>
Survival (%)	100	100	100	100	100	100

**Table 2:** Growth, FCR, protein deposition and percentage survival of mirror carp, *Cyprinus carpio* var. *specularis* fingerlings fed diets containing varying levels of dietary protein for 8 weeks (mean values of 3 replicates + SEM; n=3). \*Mean values of 3 replicates ± SEM; Mean values sharing the same superscript are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ).

The protein efficiency ratio in fish fed varying dietary protein levels differed significantly and showed an increasing tendency with increasing dietary protein level ( $P < 0.05$ ), which increased from 1.35 to 1.53 for fish fed 20% and 40% dietary protein, respectively. Whereas, a significant decline was observed in PER for fish fed 45% and 50% protein diets, with the lowest (0.96) PER being noted at 50% dietary protein level. Overall significantly highest PER (1.53) was recorded when fish were fed a diet containing 40% protein ( $P < 0.05$ ). The hepatosomatic index (HSI) value of mirror carp in the present study also showed some significant differences between the treatments, with

maximum values observed with fish fed at lowest protein containing diet.

In order to get statistically more precise information, all the growth parameters were subjected to second-degree polynomial regression analysis. When live weight gain data (Y) and dietary protein levels (X) were analyzed using second-degree polynomial analysis, a break-point was evident at 43.5% dietary protein level (Figure 1). The relationship was described by the equation:

$$Y = -0.4568x^2 + 39.7203x - 616.0843 \quad (r = 0.969)$$




Figure 1: Dietary protein levels (g 100g<sup>-1</sup>).

The specific growth rate of mirror carp fed varied levels of dietary protein also produced somewhat similar trends as obtained in the growth rate. The SGR (Y) to dietary protein level (X) was also analyzed by using second-degree polynomial regression analysis (Figure 2) and the break point was evident at 43.22% protein level. The mathematical equation was:

$$Y = -0.0031x^2 + 0.2662x - 3.5271 \quad (r = 0.975)$$




Figure 2: Dietary protein levels (g 100g<sup>-1</sup>).

The FCR of mirror carp fed 40% and 45% dietary protein was significantly lower than those fed other dietary protein levels. The FCR (Y) to dietary protein levels (X) relationship was also best described using a second-degree polynomial regression analysis (Figure 3). The relationship being:

$$Y = 0.0048x^2 - 0.3959x + 9.9248 \quad (r = 0.977)$$




Figure 3: Dietary protein levels (g 100g<sup>-1</sup>).

Significantly (P<0.05) highest PER was recorded with fish fed at 40% protein containing diet. The PER (Y) to dietary protein level (X) was also best described using second-degree polynomial regression analysis (Figure 4). The equation being as:

$$Y = -0.0022x^2 + 0.1538x - 1.1618 \quad (r = 0.916)$$




Figure 4: Dietary protein levels (g 100g<sup>-1</sup>).

Based on the above polynomial equations the FCR and PER indicated that the optimum dietary protein requirement of mirror carp was estimated to be at 41.6% and 34.7%, respectively.

At the end of feeding trial, significant differences in whole body composition were observed among all the dietary groups (P<0.05) (Table 3). Generally, body composition was affected by increasing dietary protein levels. Whole body moisture content gradually decreased with the increase in the dietary protein content of the diet

up to 45%. However, fish fed 45% protein containing diet produced significantly lowest whole body moisture content ( $P < 0.05$ ), which was insignificantly different to the group that fed 40% protein diet ( $P > 0.05$ ). Whole body protein content was significantly higher in fish fed diet containing 40% protein followed by those receiving diet containing 45% and 50%, respectively ( $P < 0.05$ ). Whole body fat

content gradually increased with the increase of dietary protein level and significantly highest body fat content was recorded with fish group that were fed 45% protein diet ( $P < 0.05$ ), followed by those fed at 40% protein diet, while intermediate whole body fat values were recorded in those groups that fed 35% and 50% protein diets, respectively.

Dietary protein levels (g 100 g <sup>-1</sup> , dry diet)							
	Initial	25	30	35	40	45	50
Moisture (%)	80.86 ± 0.44	77.49 ± 0.26 <sup>a</sup>	75.66 ± 0.040 <sup>b</sup>	74.34 ± 0.22 <sup>c</sup>	72.57 ± 0.17 <sup>d</sup>	72.25 ± 0.20 <sup>d</sup>	73.75 ± 0.14 <sup>c</sup>
Protein (%)	12.01 ± 0.15	13.15 ± 0.06 <sup>f</sup>	14.27 ± 0.05 <sup>e</sup>	15.06 ± 0.08 <sup>d</sup>	16.77 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	16.49 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	15.62 ± 0.06 <sup>c</sup>
Fat (%)	3.37 ± 0.13	4.81 ± 0.09 <sup>f</sup>	5.41 ± 0.11 <sup>e</sup>	6.13 ± 0.07 <sup>d</sup>	6.72 ± 0.08 <sup>b</sup>	7.61 ± 0.09 <sup>a</sup>	6.43 ± 0.07 <sup>c</sup>
Ash (%)	2.66 ± 0.05	3.16 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	2.90 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	2.61 ± 0.02 <sup>e</sup>	2.72 ± 0.04 <sup>d</sup>	2.65 ± 0.03 <sup>d,e</sup>	2.82 ± 0.02 <sup>c</sup>

**Table 3:** Whole body composition of fingerling, *Cyprinus carpio var. specularis* fed diets containing graded levels of dietary protein for 8 weeks (mean values of 3 replicates + SEM; n=3) \*. \*Mean values of 3 replicates ± SEM; Mean values sharing the same superscript are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ).

Whole body ash content was found to be significantly higher at lower dietary protein containing diets i.e. 25% and 30%, whereas significantly lower ash content values were observed in fish fed the remaining dietary protein levels ( $P < 0.05$ ). Also fish fed diet containing 40% protein resulted in highest whole body protein deposition (BPD %), which was significantly highest among all the dietary groups. Second-degree polynomial regression analysis was also employed between the body protein deposition (Y) to dietary protein level (X) and a break-point was obtained at 37.3% protein level. The mathematical equation was:

$$Y = -0.0552x^2 + 4.1281x - 50.6427 \quad (r = 0.888)$$

The haematological parameters of mirror carp fed diets containing varied dietary protein levels also produced some significant differences (Table 4). The fish fed diet containing 40% and 45% protein diets had significantly highest haemoglobin (Hb) content, followed by those receiving 50% protein diet ( $P < 0.05$ ). Whereas, intermediate values of Hb content were recorded at 35% protein diet, while poorest Hb content was estimated at lowest level of protein diet i.e. 25%. Haematocrit (HCT) values increased significantly with the increase in dietary protein levels from 25% to 45% protein containing diets ( $P < 0.05$ ). However, higher HCT value (38.26%) was recorded for fish fed 45% protein diet, while the lowest HCT value (22.19%) was noted at the lowest protein level (25%).

Dietary protein levels (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> , dry diet)						
	25	30	35	40	45	50
Hb (gdl <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	6.86 ± 0.07 <sup>e</sup>	7.77 ± 0.08 <sup>d</sup>	9.27 ± 0.07 <sup>c</sup>	10.78 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	10.92 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	10.24 ± 0.07 <sup>b</sup>
HCT (%) <sup>2</sup>	22.19 ± 0.69 <sup>e</sup>	26.403 ± 0.83 <sup>d</sup>	30.83 ± 0.90 <sup>c</sup>	36.77 ± 0.72 <sup>a</sup>	38.26 ± 0.56 <sup>a</sup>	33.58 ± 0.50 <sup>b</sup>
RBC (×106/mm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>3</sup>	1.23 ± 0.02 <sup>e</sup>	1.34 ± 0.03 <sup>d</sup>	1.52 ± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	1.78 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	1.90 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.62 ± 0.04 <sup>c</sup>
WBC (×104/mm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>4</sup>	2.42 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	2.36 ± 0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	2.33 ± 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	2.28 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	2.30 ± 0.06 <sup>ab</sup>	2.24 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>
MCV (fl) <sup>5</sup>	180.33 ± 5.61 <sup>b</sup>	196.74 ± 8.74 <sup>a</sup>	202.62 ± 6.55 <sup>a</sup>	208.61 ± 4.73 <sup>a</sup>	201.78 ± 2.83 <sup>a</sup>	207.93 ± 6.38 <sup>a</sup>
MCH (pg) <sup>6</sup>	55.71 ± 0.53 <sup>d</sup>	57.86 ± 0.81 <sup>c</sup>	60.91 ± 1.02 <sup>ab</sup>	60.58 ± 0.83 <sup>b</sup>	57.60 ± 0.91 <sup>c</sup>	63.21 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>
MCHC (gdl <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>7</sup>	30.93 ± 0.98 <sup>a</sup>	29.46 ± 0.90 <sup>ab</sup>	30.10 ± 1.03 <sup>ab</sup>	29.34 ± 0.55 <sup>ab</sup>	28.94 ± 0.44 <sup>b</sup>	30.42 ± 0.64 <sup>ab</sup>

**Table 4:** Effect of experimental diets on hematological parameters of mirror carp, *Cyprinus carpio var. specularis* fingerlings for 8 weeks (mean values of 3 replicates + SEM; n=3) \*. \*Mean values of 3 replicates ± SEM; Mean values sharing the same superscript are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ). <sup>1</sup>Haemoglobin concentration; <sup>2</sup>Haematocrit; <sup>3</sup>Red blood cell count; <sup>4</sup>White blood cell count; <sup>5</sup>Mean corpuscular volume; <sup>6</sup>Mean corpuscular haemoglobin; <sup>7</sup>Mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration.

Red blood cell counts (RBC) in fish fed various dietary protein levels also produced significant differences. Significantly highest RBC value ( $1.9 \times 10^6 \text{ mm}^{-3}$ ) was noted at 45% protein diet, followed by those receiving diet at 40% protein diet ( $P < 0.05$ ). Intermediate RBC values were recorded in fish fed other dietary protein levels, except those fed

25% and 30% protein diets, where significantly lowest RBC count values were obtained ( $P < 0.05$ ). Whereas, the fish fed varied levels of dietary protein could not produce any significant difference in their leukocyte (WBC) counts, except at lowest levels where slightly higher WBC counts were recorded.

No significant differences in mean corpuscular volume (MCV) values were observed in the present study, when fish were fed varied levels of dietary protein diets ( $P > 0.05$ ), except at lowest protein containing diet i.e. 25% where, significantly lowest MCV (180.33 fl) value was noted ( $P < 0.05$ ). Similar trends were also observed in mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC) with fish fed varied levels of dietary protein containing diets, while mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH) data in the present study showed significant differences among different groups. The highest MCH values were noted at 50% and 35% protein containing diets, which were not significantly different among each other. Whereas, the intermediate values of MCH were recorded in other dietary groups.

## Discussion

Understanding the dietary protein requirement of fingerling stage of mirror carp becomes a pre-requisite for the development of nutritionally balanced, efficient and cost effective feed for culturing practice. In the present study, graded levels of dietary protein content had a significant effect on the growth rate, feed conversion ratio, protein efficiency ratio and specific growth rate. The growth and conversion efficiencies gradually increased with the increase of dietary protein levels from 25% to 45% protein containing diet. Although the maximum growth parameters were obtained when fish were fed at 45% protein containing diet, however, this growth rate was not significantly different to those groups that were fed at 40% protein diet. Whereas, the best-FCR, PER, SGR and BPD was recorded with fish fed 40% protein diet. Therefore, inclusion of 40% protein in the diet for fingerling mirror carp is more appropriate and economical. Also the growth rate significantly fell beyond the requirement level, especially at 50% protein diet, indicating that 40% protein diet (Diet IV) satisfied the protein requirement of the fish and is considered optimum for achieving maximum growth and efficient nutrient conversion efficiency. The decrease in growth rate at protein levels above the optimum requirement may be attributed to the fact that the fish body cannot utilize the dietary protein once after reaching the optimum protein level [37]. The excessive protein content in the diet could reduce the growth performance of fish due to higher energy requirement for catabolism rather than for protein deposition. The decrease in weight gain, when the fish were fed excess level of dietary protein may also be because of a reduction in available energy for growth and due to inadequate non-protein energy necessary to deaminate the high protein feed [38,39]. The reduced growth rate and decreased protein utilization beyond requirement of dietary protein level is well documented in the past by several workers [39-46].

In general, both, feed conversion ratio and protein efficiency ratio were poor in lower protein containing diets. However, improvement in FCR and PER was noticed with increasing incorporation of dietary protein levels. The best-FCR and highest PER values were recorded with fish fed at 40% protein containing diet. The BPD and PER increased with the increase in dietary protein content up to 40% and thereafter, a significant decrease was recorded with further elevation of dietary protein level i.e. 45% and 50% protein containing diets (Diet V and Diet VI). Similar trends in PER and BPD were also reported by other workers [47].

The whole body composition data showed that whole body moisture content gradually increased with the increase of dietary protein levels, with minimum moisture content was recorded at 40% protein diet. Whole body protein content linearly increased with the increase of dietary protein level up to 40% and thereafter, a decline in body

protein content was noted. The highest protein content obtained in the present study, when fish fed at 40% protein diet could be due to the fact that at this particular level fish utilized the available protein content for growth more efficiently than those fed other dietary protein levels. Similar results on body protein content have also been reported by Kim et al. [39]. Kim and Lee [48] further reported that body protein content responded to dietary protein levels in a dose dependent manner and exhibited maximum protein content on that dietary protein level where maximum growth rate was also achieved.

Whole body fat content gradually increased with the increase of dietary protein levels and maximum body fat content was recorded at higher dietary protein containing diet (Diet VI). The higher whole body fat content beyond the optimum protein requirement level in the diet may be due to the fact that the excess dietary protein content in these diets gets deaminated and stored as body fat. The whole body ash did not show any significant difference among the treatment levels, except at lower protein containing diets where high body ash content was recorded. The fish fed varied levels of dietary protein produced some significant differences in HSI values. The highest HSI value (3.39%) was observed at the lowest dietary protein level, which was significantly higher compared to all the dietary groups. Higher values of HSI in lower protein diet could be due to the poor growth and health of the fish [49-51] and also due to more fat accumulations in the liver [11,15,47,52].

Besides biochemical analysis, haematological analysis was also carried out in the present study in order to find out the effects of dietary protein levels on these parameters, which are recognized as valuable tools for monitoring fish health, physiological responses, assessment of feed composition and nutritional status in relation to environmental stress [53-56].

In the present study, significant differences were observed in Hb, HCT and RBC values of different groups fed with varying levels of dietary protein, showing a general trend of linear increase with the increase of dietary protein levels. However, the haematological values obtained in the present study were within acceptable limits as reported by Svobodova et al. [56], for common carp. Fishes alter their metabolic profile to cope up with the different dietary conditions [57,58]. Hb and HCT values significantly increased with the increase of dietary protein levels from 25% - 45% protein containing diets. However, highest Hb ( $10.92 \text{ gdl}^{-1}$ ) and HCT values (38.26%) were recorded for fish fed 45% protein diet. An increase in RBC count was evident with the increase in dietary protein levels, which may have occurred due to its early release from the storage pool in the spleen [59,60], thus, causing a change in MCH values as well.

On the basis of second-degree polynomial regression analysis of growth parameters and body composition data, the optimum dietary protein level for optimum growth of mirror carp, *C. carpio var. specularis* fingerling is recommended to be at 41.50%. The protein requirement of fish varies from species to species and is reported with in the range from 30 to 56% [12,13]. The protein requirement of mirror carp estimated during the present study in terms of percentage is comparable with the requirements reported for other fish species (Table 5). The differences in protein requirement among the fish species may be due to different dietary formulations, fish size and different methodologies adopted [61,62]. The variations may also be attributed to different lab conditions, experimental design e.g. feeding level and frequency, water quality, water flow rate, stocking density and protein sources in the diet [63]. Moreover, the protein requirement of fish may also vary with the feeding rate adopted. It has been reported

that a decrease in the dietary protein requirement of juvenile carp and rainbow trout from 60-65% to as low as 30-32%, when feeding rate was increased from 2-4% body weight<sup>-1</sup> [12].

Fish Species	Protein requirement (%)	References
Mirror carp, <i>C. carpio var. specularis</i>	41.5	Present study
Indian major carp, <i>Catla catla</i>	40-47	Khan and Jafri [63], Singh and Bhanot [64]
Indian major carp, <i>Cirrhinus mrigala</i> ,	36	Singh et al. [65]
Jian carp, <i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	34.1	Liu et al. [66]
Indian major carp, rohu, <i>Labeo rohita</i>	25-35	Satpathy et al. [67], Khan et al. [68] Debnath et al. [69]
Big head carp, <i>Aristichthys nobilis</i>	30	Santiago and Reyes [70]
African catfish, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> ,	40-43	Degani et al. [71], Ali and Jauncey [72], Farhat and Khan [73]
Magur, <i>Clarias batrachus</i>	40	Khan and Jafri [74]
Malaysian catfish, <i>M. nemurus</i>	42	Khan et al. [75]
Juvenile sunshine bass, <i>M. chrysops x M. saxatilis</i>	41	Webster et al. [76]
Mangrove red snapper, <i>Lutjanus argentimaculatus</i> ,	40	Catacutan et al. [77]
Juvenile masu salmon, <i>Oncorhynchus masuo</i>	40	Lee and Kim [78]
Mahseer, <i>Tor putitora</i> ,	40	Hossain et al. [79]
African Cichlid, <i>Pseudotropheus socolofi</i>	40	Royes and Murie [80]
Milkfish, <i>Chanos chanos</i>	40	Jana et al. [81]
Juvenile blackspot sea bream, <i>Pagellus bogaraveo</i> ,	40	Silva et al. [82]
Cuneate drum, <i>Nibea miichthioides</i>	40	Wang et al. [83]
Persian sturgeon, <i>Acipenser persicus</i>	40	Mohseni et al. [84]
Mexican silverside, <i>Menidia estor</i>	40.9	Martinez-Palacios et al. [85]
Tiger puffer, <i>Takifugu rubripes</i>	41	Kim and Lee [47]
Singhi, <i>Heteropneustes fossilis</i>	35-40	Akand et al. [86], Qamar and Khan [87]
Black sea bream, <i>Sparus macrocephalus</i>	41.4	Zhang et al. [88]
Pacific threadfin, <i>Polydactylus sexfilis</i>	41	Deng et al. [14]
Grey mullet, <i>Mugil capito</i>	24	Papaparaskera-Papoutsoglou and Alexis [89]
Nile tilapia, <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	25-45	Abdel-tawwab et al. [11], Siddiqui et al. [90], El-Saidy and Gaber [91]
Tilapia, <i>O. mossambicus</i>	28	De Silva et al. [92]
Juvenile silver perch, <i>Bidyanus bidyanus</i> ,	42.15	Yang et al. [9]
Juvenile, <i>Spinibarbus hollandi</i> ,	32.7	Yang et al. [51]
Black catfish, <i>Rhamdia quelen</i>	37	Salhi et al. [93]
Channel catfish, <i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	28	Li et al. [94]
Golden shiner, <i>Notemigonus crysoleucas</i>	29	Lochmann and Phillips [95]
Blue streak hap, <i>Labidochromis caeruleus</i>	35	Ergun et al. [96]

Amazonian tambaqui, <i>Colossoma macropomum</i>	30	Oishi et al. [97]
Brown trout, <i>Salmo trutta</i>	57	Arzel et al. [98]
Grouper, <i>Epinephelus malabaricus</i>	44	Shiau and Lan [99]
Juvenile Florida pompano, <i>Trachinotus carolinus</i> ,	45	Lazo et al. [100]
Discus, <i>Symphysodon spp.</i>	44.9-50.1	Chong et al. [101]
American eel, <i>Anguilla rostrata</i> ,	47	Tibbetts et al. [40]
Spotted sand bass, <i>Paralabrax maculatofasciatus</i>	45	Alvarez-Gonzalez et al. [102]
Juvenile haddock, <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i> ,	49.9-54.6	Tibbetts et al. [60], Kim et al. [103]
Bagrid catfish, <i>Mystus nemurus</i>	44	Ng et al. [104]
Juvenile olive flounder, <i>Paralichthys olivaceus</i> ,	46.4-51.2	Kim et al. [38]
Mahseer, <i>Tor putitora</i> ,	45-50	Islam and Tanaka [105]
Juvenile turbot, <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>	55	Cho et al. [106]
Pike perch, <i>Sander lucioperca</i>	43	Nyina-wamwiza et al. [107]
Black sea bass, <i>Centropristis striata</i>	45-52	Alam et al. [108]
Malaysian mahseer, <i>Tor tambroides</i> ,	48	Ng et al. [109]
Silver pomfret, <i>Pampus argenteus</i> ,	49	Hossain et al. [110]
Asian red-tailed catfish, <i>Hemibagrus wyckioides</i>	44.12	Deng et al. [111]
Sharpsnout sea bream, <i>Diplodus puntazzo</i>	43	Coutinho et al. [112]
Tongue sole, <i>Cynoglossus semilaevis</i>	55	Liu et al. [113]

**Table 5:** Dietary protein requirements of various cultivated fish species compared with *C. carpio var. specularis*.

The present study indicates that the dietary protein level influences fish growth, feed conversion ratio and haemato-biochemical composition of fish and therefore, it is recommended that the inclusion of 41.50% dietary protein in the diet is optimum for the growth, efficient feed utilization of mirror carp, *C. carpio var. specularis* fingerling. Data generated in the present study would be useful in developing nutritionally balanced diets for the intensive and semi-intensive culture of this fish species [64-113].

## Acknowledgements

The author are grateful to the Head, Department of Zoology, University of Kashmir, Hazratbal, Srinagar, India for providing necessary laboratory facilities and also gratefully acknowledge the State Government Fishery Department Seed Farm Manasbal for provided fish seed for this experiment. We also gratefully acknowledge the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt of India, New Delhi for provided the financial support for the establishment of Fish Nutrition Research and Feed Technology Laboratory (Wet-Lab.) in the Department of Zoology.

## References

1. Food Agricultural Organization (FAO) (2005) Nutritional elements of fish. Topics Fact Sheets. Text by Lahsen Ababouch. In: FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, Italy, Rome.
2. Murray J, Burt JR (FAO) (1983) The Composition of Fish Torry Advisory Note: 38.
3. World Health Organization (WHO) (1985) Energy and protein requirements, Geneva: World Health Organization.
4. NRC (2011) Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
5. Wilson RP, Halver JE (1986) Protein and amino acid requirement of fishes. *Ann Rev Nutr* 6: 225-244.
6. Jones PL, De Silva SS, Mitchell DB (1996) The effect of dietary protein source on growth and carcass composition in juvenile Australian freshwater crayfish. *Aquacult Int* 4: 361-367.
7. Lee SM, Cho SH, KD (2000) Effects of dietary protein and energy levels on growth and body composition of juvenile flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *J World Aquac Soc* 30: 306-315.
8. Luo Z, Liu YJ, Mai KS, Tian LX, Liu DH, et al. (2004) Optimal dietary protein requirement of grouper, *Epinephelus coioides* juveniles fed isoenergetic diets in floating net cages. *Aquacult Nutr* 10: 247-252.
9. Yang SD, Liou C, Liu F (2002) Effects of dietary protein level on growth performance, carcass composition and ammonia excretion in juvenile silver perch, *Bidyanus bidyanus*. *Aquaculture* 213: 363-372.
10. Monentcham SE, Pouomigne V, Kestemont P (2009) Influence of dietary protein levels on growth performance and body composition of African bonytongue fingerlings *Heterostis niloticus* (Cuvier, 1829). *Aquacult Nutr* 16: 144-152.
11. Abdel-Tawwab M, Ahmad MH, Khattab YAE, Shalaby AME (2010) Effect of dietary protein level, initial body weight, and their interaction on the

- growth, feed utilization, and physiological alterations of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquaculture* 298: 267-274.
12. NRC (1993) Nutrient Requirements of Warmwater Fishes and Shellfishes, National Academy Press, Washington, DC pp: 102.
  13. De Silva SS, Anderson TA (1995) Fish Nutrition in Aquaculture pp: 319.
  14. Deng D, Yong Z, Dominy W, Murashige R, Wilson RP (2011) Optimal dietary protein levels for juvenile Pacific threadfin (*Polydactylus sexfilis*) fed diets with two levels of lipid. *Aquaculture* 316: 25-30.
  15. Guo Z, Zhu X, Liu J, Han D, Yang Y, et al. (2012) Effects of dietary protein level on growth performance, nitrogen and energy budget of juvenile hybrid sturgeon, *Acipenser baerii* ♀ × *A. gueldenstaedtii* ♂. *Aquaculture* 338: 89-95.
  16. Guler GO, Kiztanir B, Aktumsek A, Cital OB, Ozparlak H (2008) Food chemistry determination of the seasonal changes on total fatty acid composition and n3/n6 ratios of carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) muscle lipids in Beysehir Lake (Turkey). *Food Chem* 108: 689-694.
  17. Subla BA (1967) Studies on the functional anatomy of the alimentary canal. part III: on the functional anatomy of feeding apparatus and the food of some Kashmir fishes. *Kashmir Sci* 4: 148-166.
  18. Das SM, Subla BA (1970) The Pamir-Kashmir theory of the origin and evolution of ichthyofauna of Kashmir. *Ichthyologica* 10: 8-11.
  19. Fotedar DN, Qadri MY (1974). Fish and fisheries of Kashmir and the impact of Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) on the endemic fishes. *J Sci* 2: 79-89.
  20. Ivantcheva E, Todorov M (1989) Carcass evaluation of a different morphologic type of the mirror carp. *Zhivotnovud Nauki* 26: 58-64.
  21. Ufodike EBC, Matty AJ (1983) Growth responses and nutrient digestibility in mirror carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) fed different levels of cassava and rice. *Aquaculture* 31: 41-50.
  22. Kim JD, Kim KS (1994) Comparisons of commercial feeds on the growth and nutrient discharge into water by growing mirror carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Korean J Anim Sci* 36: 710-717.
  23. Kim JD, Kim KS, Song JS, Jeong KS, Won CH, et al. (1994) Effects of microbial phytase supplementation to soybean meal-based diet on growth and excretion of phosphorus in mirror carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Korean J Anim Nutr Feed* 20: 109-116.
  24. Kim JD, Kim KS, Song JS, Jeong KS, Woo YB, et al. (1996) Comparison of feces collection methods for determining apparent phosphorus digestibility of feed ingredients in growing mirror carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Korean J Anim Nutr Feed* 20: 201-206.
  25. Halver JE (2002) The vitamins. In: Halver JE, Hardy RW (eds). Academic Press, San Diego, CA. *Fish Nutrition*, 3rd edn pp: 61-141.
  26. APHA (1992) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 18th edn. APHA, Washington DC pp: 1268.
  27. AOAC (1995) In: Cunniff P (ed.) Official methods of analysis of the association of official analytical chemists, 16th edn. Arlington, Virginia.
  28. Drabkin DL (1946) Spectrometric studies XIV. The crystallographic and optimal properties of the hemoglobin of man comparison with those of other species. *J Biol Chem* 164: 703-723.
  29. Del Rio-Zaragoza OB, Hernandez-Rodriguez M, Buckle-Ramirez LF (2008) Thermal stress effect on tilapia *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Pisces: Cichlidae) blood parameters. *Mar Freshwater Behav Physiol* 41: 135-145.
  30. Natt MP, Herrick CA (1952) A new blood diluent for counting erythrocytes and leucocytes of the chicken. *Poult Sci* 31: 735-738.
  31. Dacies S, Lewis S (1991) Practical hematology, 7th ed. Churchill Livingstone, London pp: 633.
  32. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG (1967) Statistical methods (6th edn.) Iowa state university press, Iowa pp: 593.
  33. Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ (1981) Biometry. Freeman New York, WH pp: 859.
  34. Duncan DB (1955) Multiple range and multiple 'F' tests. *Biometrics* 11: 1-42.
  35. Zeitoun IH, Ullrey DE, Magee WT, Gill JL, Bergen WG (1976) Quantifying nutrient requirements of fish. *J Fish Res Bd Can* 33: 167-172.
  36. Phillips AM (1972) Calories and energy requirement. In: Halver JE (ed). Academic press, New York, *Fish Nutrition* pp: 28.
  37. Jauncey K (1982) The effects of varying dietary protein level on the growth, food conversion, protein utilization and body composition of juvenile tilapias (*Sarotherodon mossambicus*). *Aquaculture* 27: 43-54.
  38. Kim KW, Wang XJ, Bai SC (2002) Optimum dietary protein level for maximum growth of juvenile olive flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus* (Temminck and Schlegel). *Aquacult Res* 33: 673-679.
  39. Jobling M, Wandshik A (1983) Quantitative protein requirement of Arctic Charr, *Salvelinus alpinus*. *J Fish Biol* 22: 705-712.
  40. Tibbetts SM, Lall SP, Anderson DM (2000) Dietary protein requirement of juvenile American eel (*Anguilla rostrata*) fed practical diets. *Aquaculture* 186:145-155.
  41. Sales J, Truter P J, Britz PJ (2003) Optimum dietary crude protein level for growth in South African abalone (*Haliotis midae* L). *Aquacult Nutr* 9: 85-89.
  42. Kalla A, Bhatnagar A, Garg SK (2004) Further studies on protein requirements of growing Indian major carps under field conditions. *Asian Fish Sci* 17: 191-200.
  43. Cho SH, Lee SM, Lee JH (2005) Effect of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and body composition of juvenile turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.) reared under optimum salinity and temperature conditions. *Aquacult Nutr* 11: 235-240.
  44. Kim LO, Lee SM (2005) Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and body composition of bagrid catfish, *Pseudobagrus fulvidraco*. *Aquaculture* 243: 323-329.
  45. Sa R, Ferreira PP, Teles AO (2006) Effect of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and feed utilization of White Sea bream (*Diplodus sarus*) juveniles. *Aquacult Nutr* 12: 310-321.
  46. Lee SM, Kim DJ, Cho SH (2002) Effects of dietary protein and lipid level on growth and body composition of juvenile ayu (*Plecoglossus altivelis*) reared in seawater. *Aquacult Nutr* 8: 53-58.
  47. Kim S, Lee K (2009) Dietary protein requirement of juvenile tiger puffer (*Takifugu rubripes*). *Aquaculture* 287: 219-222.
  48. Brauge C, Medale F, Corraze G (1994) Effect of dietary carbohydrate levels on growth, body composition and glycaemia in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, reared in seawater. *Aquaculture* 123: 109-120.
  49. Hamre K, Ofsti A, Naess T, Nortvedt R, Holm JC (2003) Macronutrient composition of formulated diets for Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* L) Juveniles. *Aquaculture* 227: 233-244.
  50. Moreira IS, Peres H, Couto A, Enes P, Teles AO (2008) Temperature and dietary carbohydrate level effects on performance and metabolic utilisation of diets in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles. *Aquaculture* 274: 153-160.
  51. Yang SD, Lin TS, Liou CH, Peng HK (2003) Influence of dietary protein level on growth performance, carcass composition and liver lipid classes of juvenile *Spinibarbus hollandi* (Oshima). *Aquavult Res* 3: 661-666.
  52. Bhaskar BR, Rao KS (1984) Influence of environmental variables on haematological ranges of milkfish, *Chanos chanos* (Forsk.) in brackish water culture. *Aquaculture* 83: 123-136.
  53. Schuett DA, Lehmann J, Goerlich R, Hamers R (1997) Haematology of swordtail, *Xiphiphorus helleri* I: blood parameters and light microscopy of blood cells. *J Appl Ichthyol* 13: 83-89.
  54. Jawad LA, Al-Mukhtar MA, Ahmed HK (2004) The relationship between haematocrit and some biological parameters of the Indian shad, *Tenuulosa ilisha* (Family Clupeidae). *Anim Biodiver Cons* 27: 47-52.
  55. Svobodova Z, Machova J, Drastichova J, Groch L, Luskova V, et al. (2005) Haematological and biochemical profiles of carp blood following nitrite exposure at different concentrations of chloride. *Aquacult Res* 36: 1177-1184.
  56. Lundstedt LM, Melo JFB, Moraes G (2004) Digestive enzymes and metabolic profile of *Pseudoplatystoma corruscans* (Teleostei: Siluriformes) in response to diet composition. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 137: 331-339.
  57. Melo JFB, Lundstedt LM, Meton I, Baanante IV, Moraes G (2006) Effects of dietary levels of protein on nitrogenous metabolism of *Rhamdia quelen* (Teleostei: Pimelodidae). *Comp Biochem Physiol* 145: 181-187.

58. Vijayan MM, Leatherland JF (1989) Cortisol-induced changes in plasma glucose, protein, and thyroid hormone levels, and liver glycogen content of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch* Walbaum). *Canadian J Zool* 67: 2746-2750.
59. Pulsford AL, Lemaire-Gony S, Tomlinson M, Collingwood N, Glynn PJ (1994) Effects of acute stress on the immune system of the dab, *Limanda limanda*. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 109: 129-139.
60. Tibbetts SM, Lall SP, Milley JE (2005) Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels and DPDE-I ratio on growth, feed utilization and hepatosomatic index of juvenile haddock, *Melanogrammus aeglefinus* L. *Aquacult Nutr* 11: 67-75.
61. Kim JD, Kim KS, Song JS, Lee JY, Jeong KS (1998) Optimum level of dietary monocalcium phosphate based on growth and phosphorus excretion of mirror carp, (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Aquaculture* 161: 337-344.
62. Kim KI, Kayes TB, Amundson CH (1992) Requirements for lysine and arginine by rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture* 106: 333-344.
63. Khan MA, Jafri AK (1991) Dietary protein requirement of two size classes of the Indian major carp, *Catla catla* Hamilton. *J Aqua Trop* 6: 79-88.
64. Singh BN, Bhanot KK (1988) Protein requirement of the fry of *Catla catla* (Ham.). In: Mohan M, Joseph M (eds.) *Proceedings of the First Indian Fisheries Forum*, AFS, India.
65. Singh RK, Chavan SL, Desai AS, Khandagale PA (2008) Influence of dietary protein levels and water temperature on growth, body composition and nutrient utilization of *Cirrhinus mrigala* (Hamilton, 1822) fry. *J Thermal Biol* 33: 20-26.
66. Liu Y, Feng L, Jiang J, Liu Y, Zhou X (2009) Effects of dietary protein levels on the growth performance, digestive capacity and amino acid metabolism of juvenile Jian carp (*Cyprinus carpio*. Var. jian). *Aquaculture* 40: 1073-1082.
67. Satpathy BB, Mukherjee D, Ray AK (2003) Effects of dietary protein and lipid level on growth, feed conversion and body composition in rohu, *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton), fingerlings. *Aquacult Nutr* 9: 17-24.
68. Khan MA, Jafri AK, Chadha NK (2005) Effects of varying dietary protein levels on growth, reproductive performance, body and egg composition of rohu, *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton). *Aquacult Nutr* 11: 11-17.
69. Debnath D, Pal AK, Sahu NP, Yengkokpam S, Baruah K, et al. (2007) Digestive enzymes and metabolic profile of *Labeo rohita* fingerlings fed diets with different crude protein levels. *Comp Biochem Physiol Part B* 146: 107-114.
70. Santiago CB, Reyes OS (1991) Optimum dietary protein level of growth of bighead carp (*Aristichthys nobilis*) fry in a static system. *Aquaculture* 93: 155-165.
71. Degani G, Yigal BZ, Levanon D (1989) The effect of different protein levels and temperatures on feed utilization, growth and body composition of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell 1822). *Aquaculture* 76: 293-301.
72. Ali MZ, Jauncey K (2005) Approaches to optimizing dietary protein to energy ratio for African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822). *Aquacult Nutr* 11: 95-101.
73. Farhat, Khan MA (2011) Growth, feed conversion, and nutrient retention efficiency of African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*, (Burchell) fingerling fed diets with varying levels of protein. *J Appl Aquacult* 23: 304-316.
74. Khan MA, Jafri AK (1990) On the dietary protein requirement of *Clarias batrachus* Linnaeus. *J Aqua Trop* 5: 191-198.
75. Khan MS, Ang KJ, Ambak MA, Saad CR (1993) Optimum dietary protein requirement of a Malaysian catfish, *Mystus nemurus*. *Aquaculture* 112: 227-235.
76. Webster CD, Tiu LG, Tidwell JH, Wyk PV, Howerton RD (1995) Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and body composition of sunshine bass (*Morone chrysops* x *Morone saxatilis*) reared in cages. *Aquaculture* 131: 291-301.
77. Catacutan MR, Pagador GE, Teshima S (2001) Effect of dietary protein and lipid level and protein to energy ratio on growth, survival and body composition of the mangrove red snapper, *Lutjanus argentimaculatus* (Forsskal 1775). *Aquacult Res* 32: 811-818.
78. Lee SM, Kim KD (2001) Effects of dietary protein and energy levels on the growth, protein utilization and body composition of juvenile masu salmon (*Oncorhynchus masou Brevoort*). *Aquacult Res* 32: 39-45.
79. Hossain MA, Hasan N, Hussain MG (2002) Optimum dietary protein requirement of mahseer, *Tor putitora* (Hamilton) fingerlings. *Asian Fisher Sci* 15: 203-214.
80. Royes JB, Murie DJ, Francis-Floyd R (2005) Optimum dietary protein level for growth and protein efficiency without hepatocyte changes in juvenile African cichlids *Pseudotropheus socolofi*. *North American J Aquacult* 67: 102-110.
81. Jana SN, Garg SK, Patra BC (2006) Effect of inland water salinity on growth performance and nutritional physiology in growing milkfish, *Chanos chanos* (Forsskal): field and laboratory studies. *J Appl Ichthyol* 22: 25-34.
82. Silva P, Andrade CAP, Timoteo VMFA, Rocha E, Valente LMP (2006) Dietary protein, growth, nutrient utilization and body composition of juvenile blackspot seabream, *Pagellus bogaraveo* (Brunnich). *Aquacult Res* 37: 1007-1014.
83. Wang Y, Guo J, Bureau DP, Cui Z (2006) Effects of dietary protein and energy levels on growth, feed utilization and body composition of cuneate drum, *Nibea miichthioides*. *Aquaculture* 252: 421-428.
84. Mohseni M, Sajjadi M, Pourkazemi M (2007) Growth performance and body composition of sub-yearling Persian sturgeon, (*Acipenser persicus*, Borodin, 1987), fed different dietary protein and lipid levels. *J Appl Ichthyol* 23: 204-208.
85. Martinez-Palacios CA, Rios-Duran MG, Ambriz-Cervantes L, Jauncey KJ, Ross LG (2007) Dietary protein requirement of juvenile Mexican silverside (*Menidia estor* Jordan 1879) a stomachless zooplanktophagous fish. *Aquacult Nutr* 13: 304-310.
86. Akand AM, Miah MI, Haque MM (1989) Effect of dietary protein level on growth, feed conversion and body composition of chingii (*Heteropneustes fossilis* Bloch). *Aquaculture* 77: 175-180.
87. Siddiqui TQ, Khan MA (2009) Effects of dietary protein levels on growth, feed utilization, protein retention efficiency and body composition of young *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch). *Fish Physiol Biochem* 35: 479-488.
88. Zhang J, Zhou F, Wang L, Shao Q, Xu Z, (2010) Dietary Protein Requirement of Juvenile Black Sea Bream, *Sparus macrocephalus*. *J World Aqua Soc* 41: 151-154.
89. Paparaskera-Papoutsoglou E, Alexis MN (1986) Protein requirement of young grey mullet, *Mugil capito*. *Aquaculture* 52: 105-115.
90. Siddiqui AQ, Howlander MS, Adam AA (1988) Effects of dietary protein levels on growth, feed conversion and protein utilization in fry and young Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquaculture* 70: 63-73.
91. El-Saidy DMSD, Gaber MMA (2005) Effect of dietary protein levels and feeding rates on growth performance, production traits and body composition of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.) cultured in concrete tanks. *Aquacult Res* 36: 163-171.
92. De Silva SS, Gunasekera RM, Atapattu D (1989) The dietary protein requirements of young tilapia and an evaluation of the least cost dietary protein levels. *Aquaculture* 80: 271-284.
93. Salhi M, Bessonart M, Chediak G, Bellagamba M, Carnevia D (2004) Growth, feed utilization and body composition of black catfish, *Rhamdia quelen*, fry fed diets containing different protein and energy levels. *Aquaculture* 231: 435-444.
94. Li MH, Robinson EH, Oberle DF (2006) Effects of dietary protein concentration and feeding regimen on channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*, production. *J World Aquac Soc* 37: 370-377.
95. Lochmann RT, Phillips H (1994) Dietary protein requirement of juvenile golden shiners (*Notemigonus crysoleucas*) and goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) in aquaria. *Aquaculture* 128: 277-285.
96. Ergun S, Guroy D, Tekesoglu H, Guroy B, Celik I (2010) Optimum dietary protein level for blue streak hap, *Labidochromis caeruleus*. *Turkish J Fisher Aquat Sci* 31: 27-31.

97. Oishi CA, Nwanna LC, Filho MP (2010) Optimum dietary protein requirement for Amazonian Tambaqui, *Colossoma macropomum* Cuvier, 1818, fed fish meal free diets. *Acta Amazonica* 40: 757-762.
98. Arzel J, Metailler R, Kerleguer C, Delliou HL, Guillaume J (1995) The protein requirement of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) fry. *Aquaculture* 130: 67-78.
99. Shiau SY, Lan CW (1996) Optimum dietary protein level and protein to energy ratio for growth of grouper (*Epinephelus malabaricus*). *Aquaculture* 145: 259-266.
100. Lazo JB, Davis DA, Arnold CR (1998) The effects of dietary protein level on growth, feed efficiency and survival of juvenile Florida pompano (*Trachinotus carolinus*). *Aquaculture* 169: 225-232.
101. Chong ASC, Hashim R, Ali AB (2000) Dietary protein requirements for discus (*Symphysodon* spp.). *Aquacult Nutr* 6: 275-278.
102. Alvarez-Gonzalez CA, Civera-Cerecedo R, Ortiz-Galindo JL, Dumas S, Moreno-Legorreta M, et al. (2001) Effect of dietary protein level on growth and body composition of juvenile spotted sand bass, *Paralabrax maculatofasciatus*, fed practical diets. *Aquaculture* 194: 151-159.
103. Kim JD, Lall SP, Milley JE (2001) Dietary protein requirement of juvenile haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus* L.). *Aquacult Res* 32: 1-7.
104. Ng WK, Soon SC, Hashim R (2001) The dietary protein requirement of a bagrid catfish, *Mystus nemurus* (Cuvier & Valenciennes), determined using semipurified diets of varying protein level. *Aquacult Nutr* 7: 45-51.
105. Islam MS, Tanaka M (2004) Optimization of dietary protein requirement for pond-reared mahseer, *Tor putitora* Hamilton (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae). *Aquacult Res* 35: 1270-1276.
106. Cho SH, Lee SM, Lee JH (2005) Effect of dietary protein and lipid level on growth and body composition of juvenile turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.) reared under optimum salinity and temperature conditions. *Aquacult Nutr* 11: 235-250.
107. Nyina-wamwiza L, Xu LX, Blanchard G, Kestemont P (2005) Effect of dietary protein, lipid and carbohydrate ratio on growth, feed efficiency and body composition of pike-perch *Sander lucioperca* fingerlings. *Aquacult Res* 36: 486-492.
108. Alam MS, Watanabe WO, Carroll PM (2008) Dietary protein requirements of juvenile black sea bass, *Centropristis striata*. *J World Aquac Soc* 39: 656-663.
109. Ng W, Abdullah N, De Silva SS (2008) The dietary protein requirement of the Malaysian mahseer, *Tor tambroides* (Bleeker), and the lack of protein sparing action by dietary lipid. *Aquaculture* 284: 201-206.
110. Hossain MA, Almatar SM, James CM (2010) Optimum dietary protein level for juvenile silver pomfret, *Pampus argenteus* (Euphrasen). *J World Aquac Soc* 41: 710-720.
111. Deng J, Zhang X, Bi B, Kong L, Kang B (2011) Dietary protein requirement of juvenile Asian red-tailed catfish *Hemibagrus wyckioides*. *Anim Feed Sci Tech* 170: 231-238.
112. Coutinho F, Peres H, Guerreiro I, Pousao-Ferreira P, Oliva-Teles (2012) Dietary protein requirement of sharpsnout sea bream (*Diplodus puntazzo*, Cetti 1777) juveniles. *Aquaculture* 356-357: 391-397.
113. Liu X, Mai K, Ai Q, Wang X, Liufu ZG, et al. (2013) Effects of Protein and Lipid Levels in Practical Diets on Growth and Body Composition of Tongue Sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis* Gunther. *J World Aquac Soc* 44: 97-104.