Effects of Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) in Preeclampsia.
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Abstract

Background: Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is a multifaceted enzyme whose effects in pregnancy related complications e.g., preeclampsia (PE) is now gaining attention. Here we present evidence that LDH levels could contribute significantly to the outcomes of PE.

Aim: To determine the effects of LDH in PE.

Methodology: The case control study was located at the Obstetrics and Gynaecology department of the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital (KBTH). STROBE consensus checklist was adopted. One hundred and forty (140) consented subjects were recruited after ethical clearance was obtained and structured questionnaire administered to them. Four (4) mL blood and 5 mL urine samples were taken for biochemical analysis and urinalysis respectively. Randox and Sysmex automated chemistry analyser was used to quantify blood chemistry. The data was captured as protected health information (PHI) and analysed with SPSS version 22.

Results: LDH exposure was associated with higher odds of outcome in preeclampsia (PE) [OR(CI)=4.76(1.26-18.72); p-value=0.0068]. However, with an adjusted OR, LDH categories were associated with birth weight. Notwithstanding the added input, in preeclampsia, increased LDH at <34 weeks of gestation related with decreased birthweight only when platelet, diastolic blood pressure (DBP), pH, bilirubin, parity and liver enzymes each served as covariates in the log linear logit analysis.

Conclusion: LDH was associated with low birth weight in PE in a concentration dependent manner under the influence of predictors like pH, platelet and diastolic pressure (DBP) for causality. Therefore, a thoughtful planned foetal delivery under a specific LDH threshold and a regular monitoring of urine pH, full blood count (FBC), and blood pressure might improve the outcomes of PE.
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Introduction

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is a multifaceted enzyme with five (5) isozymes, all of which could occur in the placenta; but its effects in pregnancy related complications e.g., preeclampsia (PE) is now gaining attention [1,2]. Following the different kinds of treatment (e.g., expectant management and placenta delivery) of PE that has challenged clinical regimens, it seems expedient to find ways of preventing PE. LDH may provide such platform of data support, since increased LDH levels had been known to up regulate vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-A) and indirectly induce basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), a critical component of embryonic stem cell [3-6]. Thus, increased levels of LDH in PE is of public health concern. Reference range for LDH level in humans is ≤ 248 U/L.

The major stimuli for LDH and its product, lactate, are pH and hypoxia. Hypoxia, when encountered in preeclampsia, increases glycolytic rate thereby increasing the activity of LDH which catalyses the reversible reaction of pyruvate to lactate [3-13]. This reaction largely occurs in anaerobic glycolysis (or hypoxic conditions) indicating fatigue in normal persons as lactate accumulates. During fatigue or after strenuous exercise, serum proteins (e.g., LDH, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine amino transferase, albumin, and creatinine) have also been reported to change. In extreme cases or disease situations, cell death ensues as leakage of LDH outside of the cell occurs.

We therefore envisaged that under strenuous conditions e.g., pregnancy, hypoxia in preeclampsia or if body's pH is high; LDH production would be triggered and contribute significantly to the outcomes of PE, hence the focus.
Materials and Methods

Aim

To determine the effects of LDH in PE.

Method

This study was part of a bigger research of which one another article was duly published [14].

For the purposes of this study, PE was defined based on American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologist criteria. Accordingly, PE is defined as diastolic blood pressure of ≥ 90 mmHg and or systolic blood pressure of ≥ 140 mmHg with proteinuria ≥ 300 mg/dL occurring after 20 weeks of gestation.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Only patients diagnosed with PE were recruited after obtaining their consent as cases. Control subjects included normotensive pregnant and non-pregnant women who gave informed consent. Patients with a history of renal disease, chronic hypertension, diabetes, molar pregnancy, urinary tract infection, thyroid dysfunction and infectious diseases were excluded.

Sample size

The minimum sample size was determined using the formula in Figure 1:

\[
\text{Sample size} = \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta}}{\text{Difference in means}} \right)^2 \frac{n}{r+1} \]

where:
- \( Z_{\alpha/2} \) = standard score for the confidence interval of 95% and equals 1.96.
- \( r \) = ratio of controls to cases
- \( \sigma \) = standard deviation of the outcome variable
- \( n \) = sample size
- \( \text{Difference in means} \) = effect size (typical 0.8 for 80% power).

Figure 1: Sample size calculation for the case-control study

Z_{\alpha/2} = standard score for the confidence interval of 95% and equals 1.96.

r=1 if the ratio of controls to cases were the same

Difference in means=1.5; \( \alpha = 6.27 \); then \( n = 34.94 \) samples in the case group

A 10% factor of lost to follow-up was included (3.5 samples); thereby making a total sample size of 38.44 and this was rounded up as 38. Also, all other interacting factors and priori confounding variables were duly controlled by stratification.

Anthropometry

A questionnaire for clinical information was obtained after informed consent and ethical review (MS-Et/M.3-P.3.2/2013-2014) for this case-control study situated at the Obstetrics and Gynaecology unit, Korle-Bu teaching hospital, Accra. Korle-Bu teaching hospital is one of the biggest referral centre in Ghana, located in the capital city of the country. One hundred and seventy (170) participants showed interest in the study. However, one hundred and forty (140) participants made up of sixty-four (64) controls forty-one (41) pregnant normotensives and thirty-five (35) PE; completed the study.

Dependent variable

LDH levels.

Independent variables

Urine pH, body mass index (BMI), age, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine amino transferase, albumin, blood pressure, creatinine, lactate dehydrogenase, week of gestation and full blood count (FBC).

Blood collection and processing

Four millilitre (4 mL) blood was drawn from an antecubital vein by means of a plastic syringe and dispensed into EDTA (for FBC) and gel tubes taking careful precautions. Sysmex haematological auto analyser was used to quantify FBC whilst RANDOX auto analyser was used for the determination of albumin, bilirubin, LDH and creatinine levels.

LDH activity determination (principle)

The LDH method measured the oxidation of L-lactate to pyruvate with simultaneous reduction of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD). The change in absorbance at 340 nm due to the appearance of reduced NAD (NADH) was directly proportional to the LDH activity, since other reactants were present in non-rate limiting quantities and was measured using a biochromatic (340, 383 nm) rate technique.

Urinalysis

Five mL spot urine was obtained to determine proteinuria and to categorize subjects into normotensives and PE.

Data management

Data were created on a spreadsheet, corrected for errors, three (3) different backups created and SPSS version 22 used for analysis.

Statistical analysis

Weights and heights were measured and computed for body mass index (BMI). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for
differences in clinical parameters between PE and the unrelated normotensives. Statistical findings from ANOVA were subjected to T-test analysis to determine where the differences occurred. Bivariate analysis was also used to determine empirical relationship between LDH and other study variables. Logit Linear regression model was used to investigate if there was statistically significant relationship among LDH, platelets, blood pressure, pH and outcomes of pregnancy. Odds ratio (OR) and confidence interval (CI) was computed to show the measure of association that existed between LDH exposure and outcome. The analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.

Results and Discussion

Out of a hundred and seventy (170) participants, only 140 subjects completed the study. The frequency of maternal indicators and pregnancy outcome indicators of PE were shown in Table 1. Even though the ages and BMI of participants were apparently similar, BMI inferential statistics were not statistically significant. Age, blood pressure, liver enzymes, albumin, platelets and creatinine therefore showed strong evidence against the null hypothesis (Table 2). The mean LDH levels in PE, pregnant normotensive and non-pregnant normotensive were 312.77 ± 158.64(47); 221.29 ± 76.17(49) and 356.75 ± 108.86(47) respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Cases with LDH &lt;600</th>
<th>Cases with LDH 600 - 800 U/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Induction</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>N=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5(71.4)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2(28.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S</td>
<td>N=3</td>
<td>N=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2(66.7)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1(33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclampsia</td>
<td>N=4</td>
<td>N=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2(50)</td>
<td>1(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2(50)</td>
<td>1(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparotomy</td>
<td>N=5</td>
<td>N=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1(20)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4(80)</td>
<td>1(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>N=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7(100)</td>
<td>1(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion</td>
<td>N=6</td>
<td>N=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>2(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6(100)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory distress</td>
<td>N=6</td>
<td>N=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6(100)</td>
<td>1(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intubation</td>
<td>N=5</td>
<td>N=1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Maternal and pregnancy outcome indicators in PE according to LDH level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Cases with LDH &lt;600</th>
<th>Cases with LDH 600 - 800 U/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>30.29 ± 5.25 (41)</td>
<td>38.38 ± 11.00 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.13 ± 5.18 (37)</td>
<td>27.89 ± 6.47 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>110.05 ± 14.54 (41)</td>
<td>108.40 ± 20.43 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>71.12 ± 10.74 (41)</td>
<td>70.61 ± 12.61 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>6.14 ± 3.45 (52)</td>
<td>15.65 ± 4.34 (39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Table 3:** Odds ratio (OR) showing the risk of elevated LDH levels in the population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LDH Levels</th>
<th>Exposed</th>
<th>Unexposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases (PE)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls (Pregnant Normotensive)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Clinical variables of subjects at LDH <600 U/L

LDH activity was seen to be associated with higher odds of outcome in PE [OR(CI)=4.76 (1.26-18.72); p-value=0.0068]. With an adjusted OR from binary logistics (Tables 3–6), confounding variables controlled and adjustment made with SBP increment of 10 plus BMI increment of 5, LDH categories were seen to be associated with birth weight.

**Table 4:** Adjusted Odds ratio using IDCat1a and IDCat2a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDCat1a</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>Wald df</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 0a</td>
<td>Con</td>
<td>-1.299</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>11.938</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDCat2a</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td>Wald df</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>Exp(B)</td>
<td>95% Confidence Interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 0a</td>
<td>Con</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Variable(s) entered in step 1: BMI5, sbp10, BMicat, SBPcat, birth weight, IDCat1a or IDCat2a.
b. BMicat=BMI categories; SBPcat=SBP categories; BMI5=BMI increment of 5; Exp (B)=Odds ratio; S.E.=standard error; df=degree of freedom; IDCat1a=ID categories e.g., PE and pregnant Normotensive only; IDCat2a=ID categories e.g., PE and non-pregnant Normotensive only

Inferences from logit showed increased LDH (at a lesser week of gestation) relating with decreased birthweight under the influence of platelet, DBP, pH, bilirubin, parity and liver enzymes which duly served as covariates. The bivariate analysis indicated a significant correlation of LDH with SBP, DBP, creatinine, liver enzymes (ALT and AST), total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, unconjugated bilirubin and urine pH, whilst pregnancy outcome (birth weight) correlated with DBP; total bilirubin, and direct bilirubin. Wherever DBP, total bilirubin, and direct bilirubin correlated with birthweight, those parameters were also found to correlate with LDH. Other notable bivariate correlation(s) were: age of subjects correlating with platelets; liver enzymes correlating with SBP and LDH; weeks of gestation correlating with creatinine, haematocrit and urine pH; BMI correlating with direct bilirubin; bilirubin (total, conjugated or unconjugated) correlating with weeks of gestation; and creatinine correlating with urea, LDH and urine pH.

Indeed, the current study provided information for the first time in Ghana on LDH levels in PE and how adjusted odds ratio with SBP increments of ten (10) and BMI increment of five (5) could make LDH closely relate with birth weight. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study of a kind in the country to elucidate the effects of LDH on the outcomes of PE.

In PE, an intense systemic inflammatory response associated with changes in hemodynamics was observed. In contrast, a milder trend was duly observed in normal pregnancy. However, it did not indicate illness except for the changes in hemodynamics [15]. As pregnancy advanced, the systemic inflammatory response strengthened, reaching a peak at the third trimester. Therefore, the systemic inflammatory response combined with systemic endothelial dysfunction which became much more pronounced in PE [16,17].

The incidence of PE complications recorded might have been influenced by our study size, socioeconomic status and hemodynamic changes. The alternate approach in enrolling non-pregnant normotensive duly compensated for almost all the blood chemistry with the exception of a few outliers in LDH levels.

Also, a good anticipation from literature points to reducing equivalents (e.g., NADH) formed in the cell during the reaction of lactate to pyruvate under the influence of LDH. NADH, by this process are taken up by malate dehydrogenase in the malate-aspartate shuttle [18,19]. This could possibly explain why the liver enzymes especially AST levels increased in PE.

### Conclusion

The relationship between LDH and birth weight in PE seemed to be concentration dependent which needed predictors like pH, platelet and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) for causality. Therefore, a routine monitoring of LDH levels, urine pH, FBC and blood pressure in PE as well as a planned foetal delivery under a specific LDH threshold might improve the outcomes of PE.

### Limitation

Selection of a suitable control was a major challenge since those from the hospital setting had outcomes related to the exposure being studied, hence two control(s) e.g., pregnant normotensive and non-pregnant normotensive per case were recruited to improve the statistical power of the study.
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