Endodontic Re-treatment of a Tooth with a Floor Perforation in a Patient in Treatment with Oral Bisphosphonate
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Abstract

Prevention of bisphosphonate related osteonecrosis of the jaws (BRONJ) represents an important challenge for clinicians. Dent alveolar surgery is usually performed to reduce the risk local and systemic complications. In fact, removing the tooth that is the source of the infectious outbreak, brings to the removal of the infected tissue and determines a correct healing of the disease. However, in patients who are undergoing bisphosphonate this procedure expose patients to an increased risk of BRONJ. However, in patients who are in treatment with systemic bisphosphonates, this procedure exposes them to an increased risk of BRONJ. When possible, avoid the surgical interventions in favor of endodontic treatment could be an important clinical options in reducing the possibility of BRONJ occurrence. In this paper, we report a case of endodontic re-treatment in a tooth with a floor perforation in a patient was assuming oral alendronate. Limits and advantages of performing endodontic retreatment for the prevention of BRONJ development have still not well elucidated. Due to the very low power of this study, no conclusions should be made to perform an endodontic retreatment instead of performing a tooth extraction. However, this case report support the execution of future studies related to the calculation of BRONJ incidence after surgical extraction vs endodontic retreatment.
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Introduction

The osteonecrosis of the jaws associated with bisphosphonate therapy (BRONJ) is a drug-related adverse event that may reduce the patient related quality of life [1]. The primary symptom of BRONJ is an exposure of necrotic bone in the oral cavity [2-4]. Bisphosphonates are a group of drugs used in the treatment of osteometabolic benign diseases, such as: osteoporosis [2], Paget’s disease and others bone pathologies characterized by altered bone turnover and skeletal fragility [5,6]. They are also widely used in blood disorders (hypercalcemia) [3] and oncological pathologies [7,8]. In these last ones, they are used in order to prevent the arising of skeletal events, like bone metastases, especially in cancer of the: breast [9-11], prostate [4], renal [5] and in patients with multiple myeloma. On the basis of the disease severity, the bisphosphonate can be administered for oral, intramuscular or intravenous use [12-16]. One of the peculiarities of the osteonecrosis is the localization almost exclusively to the jaws’ bone. Although, the possible causes of this phenomenon are unclear, a variety of factors are hypothesized, such as: high turnover bone of the jaws [17], characteristic anatomical terminal vascularization [6], fine mucoperiosteal layer over the hard tissues [7,18], and presence of a special microflora in the oral cavity [8,19,20]. Dental treatments may represent a possible cause for BRONJ occurrence. Dentoalveolar surgery is usually performed to reduce the risk local and systemic complications due to tooth infectious disease. In fact, remove the tooth that is the source of the infectious outbreak, brings to the removal of the infected tissue and determines a correct healing of the disease.
Case Presentation

Diagnosis and clinical history

A 72 years-old-female was referred to our Department, concerning a continuous dull pain in the right side of the mandible, she also referred to have undergone an endodontic treatment of the tooth 4.6 seven months before. The tooth presented an adhesive class II restoration that bound elements 4.6 and 4.7. In addition, pain after percussion on the element 4.6 was revealed. Between distal and mesial root of 4.6 clinical attachments loss of 5 mm was recorded. At X-ray examination, signs of an incongruous endodontic therapy related to distal canal of 4.6 were revealed (Figure 1).

Both mesial and distal channels were not appropriately shaped and sealed. A perforation of the floor chamber and a broken instrument were revealed in the adjacent periodontium. The patient declared to suffer of hypertensive disease; membranous glomerulonephritis stage IV and osteoporosis. Treatment of osteoporosis consisted of oral Alendronate and Vitamin D3, started 10 years before. On the basis of clinical and radiological examination, the diagnosis of apical periodontitis of the element 4.6 was made. The extraction of the element was proposed as recommended treatment, and the patient was informed to the possible risk of BRONJ development. However, the patient refused the extractive therapy, so we decided to perform an endodontic re-treatment informing the patient of the lower success rate of this therapy.

Treatment modality

The endodontic re-treatment was performed with the use of rubber dam, isolating only the element 4.6. The old canal obturation was removed with the use of a gutta-percha remover (GPR, Ogna Lab), and the use of NiTi manual instruments (ProTaper Universal, Dentsply Maillefer).

First shaping and closure of the canals were performed, than the floor perforation was treated with Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (MTA) paste (Auroseal; Ogna Pharma).

A composite restoration was performed after two weeks isolating the tooth with rubber dam, after that the absence of clinical disorders was noticed (Figure 2).

The patient was discharged after the restorative treatment and recalled for follow-up every 6 months. At 2 year follow-up the absence of clinical disorders (absence of pain and inflammation), and healing of the furcation lesion was revealed both with periodontal surgery and X-rays (Figures 3 and 4).

Discussion

Among dental procedures, those at a higher risk of BRONJ onset are tooth extraction and invasive surgical procedures [22-25], this risk has been confirmed by several studies [26-30]. Cases of BRONJ following endodontic treatment are described in literature, although in the majority of cases is associated with incorrect treatments. The aim of the endodontic treatment is to reach the cleaning and disinfection of
the root canal system [31-33] in order to obtain an apical and coronal seal which acts as a barrier to the penetration of bacteria and toxins. These last ones are responsible of the inflammatory processes in the periodontal tissues and lead to BRONJ onset. Marx et al. [19] were the first to suppose the existence of association between endodontic therapy and BRONJ development. However, the association was related only to incorrect endodontic treatments. However, larger recent studies have failed to confirm an association between nonsurgical root canal therapy and BRONJ. Although, the quality of the evidence is low and some authors recommended performing endodontic treatment in order to avoid dental extraction in patients receiving bisphosphonate [13]. To reduce the risk of development BRONJ the root canal therapy should be performed with all the necessary aids, in order to reduce the possibility of iatrogenic infection. For our experience, the use of rubber dam should be recommended despite some authors have supposed that the dam hook may represent a risk factor for the onset of BRONJ [6].

In this case the root canals re-treatment was choice as treatment modality following the patient’s demands. This is a borderline case because the tooth presented a floor chamber perforation and a broken instrument in the periodontum adjacent to the furcation. However, a correct endodontic treatment may be successful also in patients undergoing biphosphonate as demonstrated in this case. In fact, the clinical absence of symptomatology and the radiographic appearance of periodontal healing demonstrated the success of the above mentioned treatment. The primary healing obtained with MTA treatment raises the likelihood of long-term success as demonstrated in a recent study [6]. The clinical and radiological (as revealed by disappearance of radio-transparency) healing of the furcation defect has revealed that the capacity for endodontic and periodontal regeneration is preserved in BRONJ patients. However, in some cases like this, failure of endodontic retreatment could lead to worsening bone infection and increase the probability of BRONJ development. Hence, a balancing between advantages and disadvantages should be performed before to choose the appropriate treatment option for these patients [34-37].

Due to the very low power of this study, no conclusions should be made to perform an endodontic retreatment instead of performing a tooth extraction. However, this case report support the execution of future studies related to the calculation of BRONJ incidence after surgical extraction vs. endodontic retreatment.

Conclusion

In this case we reported about a case of borderline endodontic treatment in a patient in treatment with oral alendronate. In such situations, to avoid the trauma of surgery, an endodontic re-treatment could be tried in order to reduce the possibility of developing biphosphonate related osteonecrosis of the jaws. However, due to the low power of this study no conclusions can be made about what is the best treatment choice in patients undergoing systemic bisphosphonate. However, this study encourages the performance of further studies that should evaluate what is the best treatment option to reduce the incidence of BRONJ.
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