Endoscopic Management of Pancreatic Pseuocyst Complicated with Obstructive Jaundice: Case Report and Literature Review
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Abstract

Obstructive jaundice due to pancreatic pseudocyst is not common. Local Complications of Pancreatic pseudocyst can be managed with Endoscopic drainage, achieved through transmural approach via stomach or duodenal wall into the cyst, or transpapillary/transductal stent placement. Endoscopic drainage of pancreatic pseudocyst is becoming more favorable nowadays because of shorter hospital stay, lower cost, and lower mortality rate. EUS-guided drainage is preferred over conventional endoscopic drainage because of higher technical success rate and because of higher success rate, technical feasibility and quick resolution of pancreatic pseudocyst, fully covered fully covered self-expandable metal stents are recently gaining popularity.
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Introduction

Pancreatic pseudocyst is a collection of mature fluid outside the pancreas with well-defined wall without necrosis or solid material. It usually develops at least four weeks after the acute pancreatitis episode. Management of pancreatic pseudocyst is warranted only when it is symptomatic or associate with local complications such as infection, hemorrhage, rupture, obstruction of adjacent structure (obstructive jaundice, gastric outlet obstruction). Drainage of pseudocyst can be done via surgical, percutaneous, and endoscopic approach. Endoscopic drainage has been gaining more popularity due to less morbidity, lower cost, shorter hospital stay, and post-procedural quality of life. Here in, We present a case of pancreatic pseudocyst presenting with obstructive jaundice and underwent endoscopic cyst drainage with transmural cystogastrostomy. We discuss different approach to drainage and review of current literature regarding double pigtail stent, plastic stent and fully covered metal stent.

Case Report

Eighty One year old male presented with painless jaundice. Patient had biliary pancreatitis 6 weeks before the presentation for which he underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Physical exam showed stable vital signs, scleral icterus and overt jaundice. Initial laboratory work up revealed unremarkable CBC and basic chemistry panel, total bilirubin 21.3 mg/dl, direct bilirubin 12.4 mg/dl, alkaline phosphatase 847 IU/L, AST 343 IU/L, ALT 345 IU/L, amylase 92 IU/L, lipase 56 IU/L. CT abdomen showed a complex fluid collection throughout the pancreatic bed measuring 11.6 × 21.8 cm, causing external compression over the common bile duct producing biliary obstruction (Figure 1). MRI with MRCP confirmed the findings. Endoscopic ultrasound showed anechoic large fluid collection with well-defined wall consistent with pseudocyst (Figure 2). Cyst gastrostomy was performed with Hot AXIOS catheter system with drainage of milky fluid (Figure 3). Fluid analysis was negative for malignancy but culture grew Candida Albicans and he was treated with Fluconazole. Post procedure CT imaging confirmed the proper placement of the stent with cyst resolution (Figure 4). Patient improved clinically over the next few days with complete resolution of jaundice and liver function test completely normalized after 5 weeks. Patient remained asymptomatic and Hot AXIOS stent was removed after 8 weeks.
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Figure 1: CT scan showing large pancreatic pseudocyst.

Figure 2: Endoscopic ultrasound images of the pseudocyst.
Pancreatic Necrosis (WOPN) is another mature clearly demarcated pathophysiology, content and organization [1]. Acute PFC occurs fairly more than 4 weeks defined known as pseudocysts. However, the new revised 2013 Atlanta classification as pure fluid collection with no solid or necrotic component, and no defined wall. Acute necrotic collection also occurs quickly and hence lacks a definable wall, but in contrast to acute PFC, it contains both solid and liquid components, as this is mainly a consequence of acute necrotizing pancreatitis.

Walled-off PFCs includes Pancreatic Pseudocyst (PPC) (PPC fits where in PFC-new Atlanta classification) and Walled-Off Pancreatic Necrosis (WOPN). PPC is a more mature cyst that typically forms more than 4 weeks after acute pancreatitis with clearly defined wall. However, as the name suggests, pseudocyst lacks the true epithelial lining, and is rather defined by granulation and fibrous tissue, which is further walled by adjacent structures such as stomach, colon, omentum and pancreas itself. Similar to acute PFC, pseudocyst is also purely fluid containing and lacks the solid necrotic material. Walled-Off Pancreatic Necrosis (WOPN) is another mature clearly demarcated PFC that usually builds up after 4 weeks of acute pancreatitis, and as the name suggests, it contains a mixture of both necrotic and liquid components.

Walled-off PFCs are formed by containment of the peripancreatic fluid collection that is a result of eventual liquefactive necrosis of inflamed pancreatic tissue, or progressive ductal obstruction leading to ductal disruption and consequent leakage of pancreatic fluid. Approximately 40% of walled-off PFCs can self-resolve, and hence can be managed conservatively [2].

Treatment of walled-off PFCs is warranted only when it is symptomatic or associate with local complications such as infection, hemorrhage, rupture causing pancreatic ascites, adjacent structure involvement (such as GI tract obstruction, biliary obstruction), erosion into adjacent vessel causing GI bleeding, fistulization with adjacent structures such as pancreatico-pleural fistula causing pleural effusions, GU tract fistula), or when pseudocyst itself becomes symptomatic with abdominal distention, pain, nausea/vomiting, or GI bleed [3].

Currently there are three major modalities of treatment available for drainage of pseudocyst: surgical intervention, percutaneous drainage and endoscopic drainage. Endoscopic drainage has been gaining more popularity over surgical drainage lately because of its non-inferiority in terms of morbidity, and its superiority in terms of lower cost, shorter hospital stay, and post-procedural quality of life [4-6]. Endoscopic drainage has significantly high success rate that ranges from 70% to 87% with lower complication rate of 11-34% [7-10]. Percutaneous drainage is another draining modality but it carries the risk of indwelling catheter providing a nidus for infection, and possible pancreatico-cutaneous fistula formation [11].

Endoscopic drainage can be achieved through transmural approach via stomach or duodenal wall into the cyst, or transpapillary/ transduodenal stent placement. The latter is more feasible when pseudocysts are small and are in direct communication with the pancreatic duct. Transduodenal stents are also recommended in combination with transmural drainage to bridge the causal main pancreatic duct leaks or a disrupted side branch to prevent recurrences [12-14]. Transmural endoscopic drainage is however more common and preferred mainly because it allows for the insertion of large and multiple stents [15]. It can either be done either in a conventional way of puncturing the apex of visible bulge in a “semi-blind” manner, or through endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided trans-luminal drainage through the stomach or duodenum. Both procedures involve creating either a cystgastrostomy or a cystoduodenostomy, which is then secured with stent placement for cystic fluid to be drained internally.

Long-term success of the trans-duodenal route is better than the trans-gastric route (83% vs. 64%) which is thought to be due to longer durability of cystoduodenal over cystogastric fistula after stent removal [16], EUS-guided drainage is preferred over conventional endoscopic drainage because of higher technical success rate (95% vs. 60%) [17,18]. Almost half of the PFCs are not obvious and bulging, increasing the risk of puncturing neighboring structures, bleeding, and perforation when draining with blind puncture [19-23]. Besides exactly locating PFC, EUS can also help differentiating the PFC from cystic tumors, gallbladder, and pseudo-aneurysm. EUS can also help determine content of the collection, which is important in case of WONC with significant necrotic content that might require endoscopic, interventional radiology, and surgical intervention [2,23-26].

Conventionally, plastic double pigtail stents have been used for almost 2 decades now with good success. European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) recommends use of at least 2 double pigtail stents based on the better success rates observed with multiple vs single stent in various studies [27-29]. However, fully covered self-expandable metal stents (FCSEMs) are recently gaining popularity because of their technical feasibility, quick resolution of PPC, and higher success rate.

---

**Figure 3:** Drainage of milky fluid from pancreatic pseudocyst through hot axios stent.

**Figure 4:** Post procedure CT scan showing resolution of the pseudocyst after placement of hot AXIOS stent.
Plastic stent placement is a multi-step procedure requiring puncture of the cyst, inserting a rigid guidewire, creation of fistula, and finally deployment of multiple stents. This can be lengthy process involving multiple device exchange over the guidewire, with chances of losing the wire access prolonging it even further. In contrast, FCSEMS deployment is a single-step, single-stent procedure, and hence time conservative and technically feasible procedure. Moreover, FCSEMS have a larger diameter that provides better drainage and faster resolution of the infected PPCs with thick content that are difficult to drain through multiple plastic stents [10,14,30,31]. Furthermore, metal stents might provide the radial force to tamponade the bleeding vessels in the cyst wall [31]. One limitation of FCSEMS is possible successful attempts to overcome this limitation with an idea of System which represents a development of the previously used AXIOS 1/31 migration, 7% stent blockage, and 94% (31/33) cyst resolution at 2 year median follow-up [33].

In our case, we used a novel catheter system to drain the PPC for the management of obstructive jaundice. This catheter system combines a cautery-enabled access catheter with the revolutionary AXIOS stent known as “Hot AXIOS” Stent and Electrocautery-Enhanced Delivery System which represents a development of the previously used AXIOS stent (FCSEMS delivery system) combined with an electrocautery component at the distal tip. Unlike the previous AXIOS stent, the electrocautery at the distal tip in new Hot AXIOS delivery system allows direct penetration of the cyst cavity without need for the puncture with 19-gauge needle and a 0.035-mm guidewire placement. This approach is easy and faster compare to the previous one. As per the large retrospective multicenter study from Europe where Ninety-three patients with PPCs (where majorities had complex collections) underwent drainage using the Hot AXIOS devise had complete resolution of the PPC in 92.5% with no recurrence during follow-up.

Conclusion

Endoscopic management of pancreatic pseudocyst causing obstructive jaundice has been gaining more popularity over surgical drainage lately because of its non-inferiority in terms of morbidity, and its superiorit in terms of lower cost, shorter hospital stay, and post-procedural quality of life and of all stents fully covered self-expandable metal stents (FCSEMS) are recently gaining popularity because of their technical feasibility and higher success rate.
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