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Abstract

Soil salinization is considered limiting factor for crop production and land management for dry land in Sudan, its spatial variation is affected by different factors of soil properties, vegetation and environment hence its interaction formulate the planning for successful sustainable agriculture in salt affected soils. This study aims to evolve the spatial prediction of soil salinity indicators by integrated remote sensing indices and geo-statistical cokriging model. Soil samples were collected from 476 square kilometer area in salt affected area, the samples were analyzed following standard procedures for electrical conductivity, sodium adsorption ratio, hydrogen ions and saturation percentage. Information of vegetation status identified by Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and soil salinization by Salinity index and Brightness index were used and utilized for prediction of the soil parameters variability by cokriging model. It was found that the method was resulted in high accuracy based on RMSE and enhances the soil spatial variability assessment and provides significant interaction of different variables and indices in the landscape.
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Introduction

Soil salinity is refer to the soluble and readily dissolvable salts in the soil or, operationally, in an aqueous extract of a soil sample and have an electrical conductivity (EC) of the saturation soil-paste extract of more than 4 dS/m at 25°C as per USDA-ARS [1] it tend to occur in all climatic conditions most in low rainfall environments that restrict leaching of salts and accumulate the salts in the soil mainly in the forms of chloride and sulphate.

Soil salinity arises naturally in subsoil (primary salinization) or as human induced (secondary salinization). In irrigated lands, it was estimated that 20% (45 million ha) of irrigated land, producing one-third of the world’s food, is salt-affected [2]. The amount of world agricultural land destroyed by salt accumulation each year is estimated to be 10 million ha [3]. Salts affect plant growth due to two processes, increasing soil osmotic pressure that reduces the ability of plants to take up water and nutrients and inhibiting cell division [4]. Salts can also affect plant growth by decreasing the rate of photosynthesis and reducing chlorophyll content [5].

Spatial variability of soil salinity essentially considered for effective management and reclamation in different environment that considering spatial dimensions of soil properties and its interaction with different factors determined the level of landscape salinity. There are many factors influence the spatial variations of soils properties [8] which are the landscape position, climate factors particularly rainfall, temperature and soil properties in addition to human interference included type of agricultural practices. Due to lack of intensive sampling geo-statistical models were used to improve the soil qualitative analysis in the absence of detailed, high cost soil maps [9]. Recent researches reported the importance of spatial distribution of soil properties and their interaction that influence its quality and stability to degradation hazards, geo-statistical analysis is enhance the spatial prediction and interpolation of soil variable becoming essential for producing continuous maps of salinity and alkalinity providing the ability to assess the risk and hazards of salt distribution [10].

Deterministic and stochastic data modeling approaches are commonly used in characterization of soil properties, however stochastic approach tend to be used in conjunction with calibrated equation like geostatistical models and spatially referenced regression models that soil properties and surface parameters are referred to, therefore they are the only models that can be achieved with limited number of soil samples (n<15) used when intensive soil sampling is lacking [11].

When two data sets were acquired representing different variables it is recommended to apply cokriging method. The most common situation is when the primary variable was collected sparsely (due to high cost, time consumption or environmental protection) whereas secondary (auxiliary) variable was sampled densely or even excessively. These variables do not need to be strongly associated, only requirement is any correlation [12].

Co-kriging involve fully sample situation where all variables determined and used for prediction by utilizing all information available in the landscape and restricting use of properties at specific locations of variable that improve prediction of targeted variable by its correlate with most intensive and fully sampled variables [10,13].
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Remote sensing appears to offer several advantages over the conventional ground methods used to map and monitor soil salinity. It is timely, faster than ground methods and provides better spatial coverage that repeatedly been used as a promising tool to obtain information regarding soil properties and land degradation processes and used as input into a geographic information system (GIS) for further analysis and comparison to other data [14,15]. Soil salinity can be mapped using remote sensing both directly, by reflectance from bare soil, or from the salt crust, and indirectly from vegetative coverage and health. In order to achieve quantitative analysis for crop status and growth development, remote-sensing-based indices provide information by means of vegetation indices, they were widespread used and considered as unique source of information that identify crops conditions and types at different regions [16,17] and reported significantly correlated with some soil attributes [13].

Several methods of remote sensing techniques resulted high separability among salt- and sodium-affected soil classes as reported by Mohamed and Mohamed & Castaneda and Herrero [18] and Metternicht and Zink [19-21]. Maximum reflectance of salt affected soils was observed in the range of 10.5 - 12.5 µm and minimum reflectance in the range of 0.76 - 0.90 µm with the help of maximum and minimum reflectance value bands. Metternicht and Zink found that salt has high spectral reflectance in the visible window particularly in the blue band. Soil salinity indices were used for the analysis of soil properties the relative maximum differences values bands were selected for developing the salinity index, due to high reflectance of salt affected soils in visible spectrum it enhance the bare soil reflectance, its application was found to be useful in determine mainly salt affected soils, enhance spectral patterns of saline soil [22,23] considerable correlation found when salinity indices used for prediction the spatial distribution for salt affected soils.

Recent satellite images (landsat 8) has repeatable spatial coverage, high spectral and radiometric resolution could provide great potentials for researches in soil and crop variability that support integration of geographic information system by means of geostatistical analysis to fill gap in soil information, so the aim of this research is to investigate the spatial variability of soil salinity status by integrating remote sensing indices for salinity (brightness index and salinity index) and vegetation (NDVI) with geostatistical co-kriging model to enhance the prediction of soil properties at detailed level as operational use of both techniques in soil managements, conservation and vegetation growth.

Study Area

The site is located in White Nile state –Sudan (32.6-32.4 N and 15.05-15.3 E) with an area of 476 km² (Figure 1), the area is characterized by arid climatic zone, the mean annual temperature is 42°C and the mean annual precipitation is 121 mm falling mainly in July and August with lower amounts in September, the annual potential evapotranspiration is 2065 mm and the annual water deficit is about 1944 mm. Evapotranspiration exceeds rainfall in almost all months of the year except in few days during the rainy season. Topography of the study area is generally flat range from 390 to 380 m sloping towards the White Nile, with some sand dunes towards White Nile. The soil texture is sandy clay loam in the surface. Aridisols and Entisols soil orders were found. Herbs and trees represent an ecological interaction which exactly reflect and indicate the soil type (salinity/sodicity), level of fertility and moisture conditions. This is evident by the abundant occurrence of Chloris virgata and Cassia acutifolia. Both are renowned as salinity tolerant species.

Research Methodology

Satellite image processing

Landsat8 image 2016 – November was used, enhanced for image interpretations that help in identify the soil sampling points. Red band and near infrared band were used to detect Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) as follow NDVI=(RD-NIR)/(RD+NIR). The NDVI values were varies between -1 and +1, then it was classified into five classes according to its values. Negative values usually represent water bodies, low positive values were bare soils, sands and rocks (0.1 and below). Moderate values represent herbs and shrubs (0.2- 0.3). Dense vegetation tends to have NDVI values more than 0.3.

Soil salinity indices have been widely acknowledged as powerful tools in identifying features of interest which are related to spectral patterns of saline soil. Two indices were used:

- **Salinity index (SI)**: $SI = \sqrt{BLUE \times RED}$
- **Brightness index (BI)**: $BI = \sqrt{(RED^2+NIR^2)}$

Soil sampling

Designed based soil sampling was used, 19 sampling points were selected to represent all image features and their sites were predetermined using GPS. Soil sampling was taken at two depths (0-20 cm and 20-40 cm) soil samples at depth of 0-20 cm were used in this study. Physical and chemical properties namely, Soil reaction (pH), Electrical conductivity (ECe), saturation percentage (SP), calcium carbonates (CaCO3), sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) and soil mechanical analysis were determined according to the procedure of the methods of soil analysis [24,25].

Spatial variability of soil properties

Integrated approach of remote sensing which is considered as efficient tool for providing intensive information in spatial domain and GIS was adopted using co-kriging model to derive the prediction of soil attribute by interrelations with Salinity index, Brightness index and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index. Various combinations of the soil variables and indices resulted in prediction of soil salinity indicators over the entire study area significant of the resulted prediction was made by RMSE.

Results

Remote sensing indices

NDVI for the study area was produced as Figure 2 the study area generally represent low NDVI, it classified according to its values, negative values represent water bodies (river and irrigation channels), bare soils included sands and salt affected represent low values reached 0.03 – 0.09 most of vegetation represent very low NDVI values (0.09 – 0.13) indicated lower vigor and growth and other areas represent also low values (0.13 – 0.2), this attributed to soil salinity distribution that limit the crop development.

Brightness index

This index values indicate the presence of salt affected areas (Figure 3), the study area presented higher values in the southern side where bare areas that contains high salts and high sand percentage. It is known that salinization and desertification are coincide processes in dry lands [26].
Salinity index

High salinity levels dominated the study area (Figure 4), strongly salt affected soil represent higher reflection than do moderate salt affected soils, especially when bare soils compared with vegetated soils. This index showed that different degrees of salinity and sodicity are detectable and characterizing intensively saline and sodic soils.

Spatial variability

Prediction of soil salinity indicators, electrolyte concentration, sodium adsorption ratio and saturation percentage and soil reaction were performed using cokriging model and validated using root mean square error (RMSE) for all methods (Table 1). Enhancement of the prediction at the finer level was found due to interrelation of soil
variables with salinity and vegetation indices and using of all indices information as agreed with findings of Odeh et al. [13].

The comparison of cokriging model accuracy is based on low RMSE or near to 1 also based on relation of root mean square and average standard error if it equal or near to each other. The maps of predicted SAR collocated with, SI, BI and NDVI using cokriging performed significant results for prediction SAR that logically in the same trend of salinity indices and NDVI, thus showed lower saline effect in the middle of studied area (Figure 5). The performance of three combinations was evaluated by comparing root mean square of prediction error (Table 1), the higher prediction found for SAR when collocated by SI, NDVI and BI respectively (Figure 6).

From Table 1, it is clearly that all the variable fitted the model and the model able to explain all of the studied area so the prediction from the model considered highly efficient for prediction of soil salinity indicators ranged from 100% to 74% and High spatial correlation of ECe as soil variable with the salinity indices SI and BI was found on the other hand NDVI represent lower RMSE due to the interaction of plant and soil properties. Generally, the cokriging method improve the prediction of salinity variables and clearly present that higher soil salinity variables (EC >4 and ESP >15) were found in southwest of studied area that occupied by sand dunes which could be more saline than other areas. On the other hand, predicted SAR and EC that collocated with NDVI showed lower RMSE values which indicated the effect of sodicity and salinity on plant growth. Distributed patches of EC and SAR when predicted with other indices required attention to soil properties affected adversely by sodicity and salinity and its effect on soil management.
Figure 3: Brightness index.

Figure 4: Salinity index.
Conclusion

This study examines the spatial variability of soil salinity indicators applying the vegetation index and salinity indices derived from remote sensing techniques as secondary information layer in cokriging model, evolving the prediction details of soil salinization indicators maps at field scale and highly significant explain the variability in soil salinity indicators in salt affected area. This work indicates the potentiality of cokriging for predicting fine details in high accuracy manner, reducing the cost and sampling for saline soils detection and hazards mapping, established evidenced relation of soil salinity prediction by incorporating remote sensing indices. Further studies need to be done on secondary variables that could be suitable for predicting salinity and sodicity variables and the choices of increasing accuracy regarding soil sampling distribution in the space.
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