Eosinophilic Esophagitis and Ige-Mediated Allergy in Children: Specific Ige by Component-Based-Allergen Microarray
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Abstract

Background: Atopy is prevalent in eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) but the relative role of airborne and food allergens in the etiopathogenesis is still incompletely understood; allergic immediate and delayed reactions are involved.

Objective: We characterized the sIgE profile by a component-based allergen microarray with highly purified allergens in EoE in comparison with traditional sIgE assay and we evaluated a possible correlation between clinical features and sIgE results.

Methods: In 30 consecutive patients diagnosed with EoE, three diagnostic tests were performed: skin prick test (SPT), ImmunoCAP®, sIgE and an allergen component microarray chip called ImmunoCAP® ISAC. The ISAC chips cover 103 recombinant or purified allergen molecules including food, airborne and cross-reactive allergens.

Results: Out of the 30 patients, 15, 16 and 17 of the patients were sensitized as assessed with SPT, ISAC and ImmunoCAP® respectively. Thirteen of the patients were multi-sensitized. The three diagnostic methods were in good agreement for all patients; the ISAC method provided new information in 8 patients, not revealed by the traditional tests, either by detection of panallergens or unsuspected triggering allergens.

Conclusions: sIgE detection by the ISAC microarray revealed that airborne allergens and panallergens are more frequently involved than food allergens in our population. The ISAC data were in agreement with both traditional tests and doctor’s diagnosis/open challenge and revealed new information that can improve understanding of the EoE pathogenesis and management.

Key message: immune-solid phase allergen chip (ISAC) gives new information about cross reactive molecules and identification of panallergens, which are not possible to obtain from traditional test.
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Introduction

EoE is a chronic immuno-allergic-inflammatory disease related to multiple factors. According to Furuta et al. [1] diagnosis of EoE included clinical suspicion, ≥ 15 eosinophils/HPF and exclusion of other diseases such as GERD. In 2011, Liacouras et al. [2] introduced “proton pump inhibitors-PPI-responsive esophageal eosinophilia” to identify patients responsive to PPI therapy [2]. Endoscopy with biopsies represents the first step in defining EoE.

Atopy with sensitization to food and aeroallergens is more prevalent in EoE than in general population, but interpretation of allergy testing need to be improved [3].

According to Spergel et al. [4], skin-prick (SPT) and patch tests may be more effective than SPT alone in identifying potential allergen triggers [4]. Serum immunoglobulin (Ig)E CAP for food allergens are more effective compared to SPT and APT[5].

Molecular diagnosis is useful to characterize the pattern of food and inhalant hypersensitivity and to underline a possible cross-reactivity between food and environmental allergens [6].

Protein microarrays have recently become available for measuring sIgE. This technology has two main advantages compared to conventional SPT and ImmunoCAP specific IgE assay: it assesses simultaneously sIgE to many recombinant or highly purified allergens and it requires small amounts of blood, an advantage in children [7-14].

Aim of this study was to characterize the sIgE profile with highly purified allergens (ISAC) in children with EoE, in comparison with traditional sIgE assay and to evaluate sensitization pattern in a paediatric population.
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Methods

Thirty consecutive patients (Male: 23) affected by EoE according to the criteria of Furuta et al. [1] and Liacouras et al. [2] were enrolled. All patients with clinical signs of hypersensitivity to specific substances were considered allergic, regardless of the presence of positive testing.

In all patients SPT, ImmunoCAP and ISAC microarray tests were performed. The study was approved by the Hospital Ethical Committee and an informed consent was obtained from parents before enrolment. Laboratory personnel were not informed about the results of the SPT, performed under the control of a pediatric allergist.

Skin prick test

SPT were performed with commercial natural extracts to suspected triggering food and airborne allergens for each patient. Hence, different patients were tested with different panels of suspected allergens according to the clinical history the diagnostic routine and guidelines used at the hospital. The allergens used were: foods (milk, α-lactalbumin, β-lactoglobulin, casein, egg white, egg yolk, soybean, rice, wheat, corn, beef, chicken codfish, carp, tomato, potato, peanut and hazelnut), inhalant (dust mite, timothy grass, wall pollitory, olive, cat and dog dandruff) and with sodium chloride saline (0.9%) and histamine hydrochloride (Lofarma, Milan, Italy). The response was read 15 minutes after puncture and results expressed as the mean wheel diameter (mm). The appearance of erythema with a diameter >3 mm was defined as a positive reaction.

Fluorescence enzyme immunoassays

Similarly as for SPT, routine determination of sIgE antibodies against suspected triggering allergens were performed including milk, α-lactalbumin, β-lactoglobulin, casein), egg white and egg yolk, fish, wheat, tree pollens (cypress (Cupressus Aarizonica), olive (Olea Europea)), weed pollens (wall pollitory (Parietaria Officinalis and Parietaria Judaica)), grass pollens (bermuda (Cynodon Dactylon), ryegrass (Lolium Perenne), timothy (Phleum pratense)), mites (dust mite (Dermatophagoides Pteronyssinus), flower mite (Dermatophagoides Farinae), molds (Aspergillus Fumigatus and Alternaria Alternata) and cat and dog dandruff was performed with a widely-used fluorescence enzyme immunoassay according to the manufacturer instruction (ImmunoCAP System Phadia AB, Uppsala, Sweden). sIgE titres were quantified in protein units designated as kU/l, according to the manufacturer.

Allergen microarray assay

All patients were tested with the same panel of 103 allergenic molecules. The commercially available allergen chips were purchased from Phadia AB (Uppsala, Sweden) and the assay performed according to the instruction provided by the manufacturer [13]. A customized version of the microarray (ISACTM version CRD103) containing 103 purified or recombinant allergenic molecules was used. Chips were washed for one hour in the washing buffer, rinsed and dried. 20 µL of undiluted serum was applied onto each reaction well. Chips were incubated for 2 hours at room temperature in a humid chamber, rinsed and washed twice in washing buffer and once in deionized water. Chips were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with 20 µL of an Alexa 546-labelled anti-human IgE antibody, washed, dried and stored in the dark until scanning. Scan Array Gx Scanner (Perkin-Helmer, Boston, MA) with two laser power settings was used in order to achieve a maximum dynamic range across different levels of IgE concentrations. Images were analysed using the MIA software (Version 3.1; Phadia AB) and sIgE were quantified as ISU (ISAC Standardized Units).

Results

Patient characteristics

Patients’ characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Twenty two out of 30 patients (73%) presented a personal history of atopy with clinical signs of allergy. Respiratory symptoms were reported in 15 EoE patients (asthma (6/22, 28%), rhinitis 9/22, 41%), symptoms suggestive of food allergy were present in 6 children (vomiting 4/22, oral allergy syndrome 1/22, 5%, anaphylaxis 1/22, 5%). Only one patient presented atopic dermatitis.

Food impaction was the onset symptom in 9 patients; the other patients presented a specific symptom of EoE at diagnosis (abdominal pain, dysphagia, heartburn, vomiting, failure to thrive). In thirteen out of 30 patients (43%) peripheral eosinophilia was present.

sIgE results

The prevalence of the sensitization to at least one allergen was 53% (16/30 patients) with microarray, 57% (17/30) with ImmunoCAP and 50% (15/30) with SPT. According to microarray results sIgE to inhalant molecules were elevated in 17/30 (57%) patients (pollens 13/30, 44%, mite 7/30, 24%, pets 5/30, 17%, fungi 4/30, 13%). Further, sIgE to panallergens were found in 7/30, (LTP 6/30, profilin 5/30, PR-10 2/30, tropomyosin 1/30) and sIgE to foods were distributed as follows: milk 1/30, egg 1/30, fish 1/30, and kiwi 3/30, peanut 1/30. The results of allergy tests (SPTs, ImmunoCAP and microarray) are summarized in Table 2.

Comparison of microarray results with extract-based ImmunoCAP and SPT results

For 22 out of 30 patients microarray results were in agreement with the results obtained with traditional diagnostics (Table 3).

Table 1: Patients’ characteristics, endoscopic and histological features.
Any clinically “false” positive results on ISAC were not observed. Neither did ISAC miss any allergy-provoking allergens according to doctor’s diagnosis and open challenge test.

In 5 out of 30 patients milk was detected low positive on ImmunoCAP (1.5, 1.7, 1.9, 2.0 and 2.8 kU/l respectively), and tested negative on ISAC. These patients did not show any symptoms upon open challenge for milk.

New information provided by microarray test

For 8 out of 30 patients ISAC gave new, relevant diagnostic information which were not possible to obtain from the traditional tests (SPT or ImmunoCAP). The new information was either detection of cross-reactive molecules or identification of unsuspected allergens (Table 4).
and dietary restriction based on the identification of cross-reacting allergens and SPT. Also, ISAC didn’t miss any allergens related to patients’ clinical signs. Therefore it is essential in the future study use a test for the determination of non-IgE mediated allergens and identify non-IgE-mediated food reaction. Thus, it is important to have a test that can identify non-IgE-mediated allergy.

Multiple sensitizations.

Panallergens might be problematic as it bears the risk of developing allergy to different types of allergens, e.g. grass, mite and mold or 3 different pollen species like birch, olive and grass).

Discussion

In our study, we limited evaluations to immediate allergic reactions. We performed ISAC testing in 16 patients and found that 74% of them had aeroallergens sensitization and that birch pollen sensitization (r Bet v1) had cross reaction with some food allergens (dust mite and birch), panallergens (latex, kiwi) and food (fish).

A good correlation between the measurement of sIgE with allergen microarray and the clinical signs was found for inhalant molecules (dust mite and birch), panallergens (latex, kiwi) and food (fish).

The number of positive allergens identified with ISAC was higher than ImmunoCAP. The high sensitivity of sIgE detection with ISAC improved identification of sensitized patients amenable to appropriate prophylaxis and possible specific therapy. Thirteen of 16 patients tested positive with ISAC were multi-sensitized (i.e. sensitized to 3 or more different types of allergens, e.g. grass, mite and mold or 3 different pollen species like birch, olive and grass).

For 8/30 patients ISAC gave new, relevant diagnostic information, not obtained before with traditional tests (SPT or ImmunoCAP): panallergens, molecules cross-acting with the more common allergens. The panallergens encompasses families of related proteins, involved in general vital processes and thus, widely distributed throughout nature. They are responsible for many IgE cross-reactions even between unrelated pollen and plant food allergen source.

In this study only 8 patients had food hypersensitivity; we couldn’t identify non-IgE-mediated food reaction. Therefore it is essential in a future study use a test for the determination of non-IgE mediated reactions in the EoE patients.

In our population, microarrays are in agreement with ImmunoCAP and SPT. Also, ISAC didn’t miss any allergens related to patients’ symptoms. In addition, the microarray allows a targeted therapy: seasonal anti-inflammatory treatment, specific immunotherapy and dietary restriction based on the identification of cross-reacting molecules. The quality of the microarray is good enough compared to traditional diagnostic tests, open challenge test and clinical diagnosis.
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