Evaluating Penetration Depth of Treatment Fluids into Dentine Tubules Using the GentleWave® System
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Introduction

The goal of endodontic treatment is to eliminate microbial infection from the root canal system to allow healing of apical periodontitis [1]. Studies have shown that bacteria can invade not only the main root canal system but also into the dentinal tubules [1,2]. The extent of endotoxin penetration can be as high as 500 µm with intact cementum [3].

In order to eradicate bacteria and remove tissue debris, current protocols rely on instrumentation and effective irrigation [4]. Irrigation plays an important role in the disinfection of the root canal system. Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is the most widely used treatment fluid because of its ability to dissolve pulp tissue, kill microbes, and detach endotoxins from root surfaces [5-8]. The concentration, temperature, and contact time, and the mode of delivery of NaOCl are important determinants of its effectiveness during root canal treatments [9-11]. Many technologies, such as ultrasonics, have been employed in the clinic to maximally facilitate the effect of irrigation.

Several studies have shown that agitation of treatment fluids is directly associated with the amount of tissue debris being removed from the main root canal system [10,11]. However, very little information is available about the penetration depth of NaOCl into dentinal tubules using various endodontic devices [12,13]. In an in vitro study using crystal violet stained dentin, NaOCl penetration depths between 77 and 300 µm, depending on the concentration, temperature, and time of exposure of NaOCl [12]. The deepest penetration of 300 µm was measured at 20 min by 6% NaOCl heated to 45°C. Wong and Cheung utilized a dual-species film (Enterococcus faecalis and Porphyromonas gingivalis) and showed that 3% NaOCl showed some effectiveness for up to 200-300 µm into dentin [13]. The effect of agitation on penetration depth, e.g. by sonic or ultrasonic devices has not been studied.

A novel endodontic system, the GentleWave® System (Sonendo, Laguna Hills, CA), delivers treatment fluids non-invasively to root canals by utilizing a combination of acoustics and advanced fluid mechanics [14]. A high speed, degassed treatment fluid is delivered into the pulp chamber of the tooth by a Treatment Instrument™ positioned on the occlusal surface of an accessed tooth. The treatment fluid flow reaches the entire root canal system while a built-in suction within the treatment instrument removes the excess fluid [15,16]. Since the GentleWave System has been shown to greatly remove tissue debris [16], it is of interest to study the penetration into the dentinal tubules of NaOCl agitated by the GentleWave System in comparison to ultrasonic agitation.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

The teeth used for this study were indicated for extraction for other purposes, either periodontal reasons or for decay. Once indicated for
extraction and after extraction, deidentified human first or second molars (both mandibular and maxillary) were collected from independent clinicians and stored in phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS) at 4°C until use. Patients were informed about the research purposes and gave verbal informed consent, which was not recorded to keep the procedure anonymous.

Sample selection

Teeth were radiographically assessed and only teeth that met the study inclusion criteria were utilized. Any teeth with decay or fractures below the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ), internal or external root resorption, open apices, or previous root canal therapy were excluded. A total of 40 teeth were utilized for the study.

Sample preparation

When present, caries were removed and missing coronal tooth structure was restored using etchant (Etch-Rite, Pulpdent, Watertown, MA), bonding agent (Optibond, Kerr, Orange, CA), and Virtuo® flowable light-cure composite (Denmat, Lom poc, CA). Following endodontic access, all teeth were firmly secured and sealed within a water-saturated porous medium using an adhesive (McMaster-Carr, Los Angeles, CA) to simulate blood-saturated periapical tissue. Reproducible glide paths and working length were established using a #10 K-file (MANI K-files, Utsunomiya, Japan) and canals were instrumented with #15 K-files (MANI K-files, Utsunomiya, Japan) and #15/04 EndoSequence rotary files (Brasseler, Savannah, GA). 1 ml of saline was delivered between each file using a syringe and 30G Max-i-probe® needle to flush the dentin debris created during instrumentation. All samples were cleaned using the GentleWave System to remove tissue debris and to establish a baseline [16]. The samples were immersed in crystal violet dye (Fisher Chemical, Waltham, MA) for staining, placed in plastic vials, and incubated at 37°C overnight. Samples were then removed from the vials and rinsed under tap water for 30 minutes [3].

Treatment groups

The teeth were divided into four different treatment groups (n=10 per group): 1) negative control group (untreated); 2) passive ultrasonic activation with PiezonMaster® 700 (EMS) with ESI tip; 3) active ultrasonic activation with PiezonMaster 700 (EMS) with ESI tip with maximum irrigation rate; and 4) the GentleWave System.

Group 1: Negative control

Teeth in the control group did not undergo any endodontic treatment after immersion in crystal violet and were used to establish baseline penetration values and confirm penetration of crystal violet dye into the dentinal tubules.

Group 2: Passive PiezonMaster 700 treatment

Ultrasonic activation was performed using PiezonMaster 700 with a #15/02 ESI tip (DT-011, Electro Medical Systems, Nyon, Switzerland) using Endo mode set to maximum power. The tip was placed 4 mm above the working length. 1 ml of 3% NaOCl was injected into each canal using a 30G Maxi-Probe needle. Each canal was then activated three times for 20 seconds for a total time of 5 minutes per tooth [17-21]. 1 ml of distilled water was delivered into each canal using a syringe and 30G Max-i-probe needle to flush any residual NaOCl.

Group 3: Active PiezonMaster 700 treatment

Ultrasonic activation with irrigation was performed with PiezonMaster 700 with a #15/02 ESI tip using Endo mode set to maximum power. The tip was placed 4 mm above the working length. The handpiece was set to maximum irrigation using 3% NaOCl as the treatment fluid. Each canal was activated and irrigated three times for 20 seconds for a total time of 5 minutes per tooth [17-21]. 1 ml of distilled water was delivered into each canal using a syringe and 30G Max-i-probe needle to flush any residual NaOCl.

Group 4: GentleWave system treatment

The GentleWave System was used with 3% NaOCl for 5 minutes per tooth and distilled water for 15 seconds. The treatment instrument was placed on an accessed occlusal surface to deliver the treatment fluid into the pulp chamber [14-16]. All the canals were treated simultaneously.

Sample processing

Following treatments, the teeth were cleaned with an air/water syringe for 20 seconds to remove residual NaOCl. The crowns were removed and the roots were carefully split along the longitudinal axis to expose the entire extent of the root canal using a diamond disc (NTI, Rotary Dental Instruments, Kahla, Germany). Unfortunately, some roots were not considered for further evaluation as unintentional artifacts were introduced during splitting. Also, for these studies, only roots with more complex anatomies, namely, mesiobuccals and distobuccals canals from maxillary molars and mesial canals from mandibular molars were evaluated [18,22].

Image acquisition and data analysis

Root halves free of cutting and splitting artifacts for all groups were examined and imaged with a stereo microscope (Nikon Eclipse-E, Nikon, Melville, New York, USA) at both 40× and 100× magnifications. The penetration depth of NaOCl into the dentinal tubules was analyzed using Nikon Elements software. Images were taken on both halves of the root canal at 1 mm intervals for a total of 9 mm starting at the apex of the tooth and moving toward the coronal region. The images were grouped for every 3 mm and will be referred to as apical (1-3 mm), middle (4-6 mm), and coronal (7-9 mm) regions of the canal. The depth of NaOCl penetration was analyzed using horizontal line intensity profiles from the root canal toward the periphery, where the depth of NaOCl penetration was defined as the region where crystal violet dye was removed and a white line was observed within the dentinal tubules. The white line represents the area where NaOCl “bleached” the dye and penetrated into the tubules. The line intensity profile directly corresponds to the difference in color along the horizontal axis of the image, therefore detecting intensity peaks where the “white” pixels are present. Images from all specimens were evaluated by two blinded operators.

Statistical analysis

The mean penetration depths were compared using a Welch’s t-test (Group 4 versus Group 3, Group 4 versus Group 2, and Group 2 versus Group 3). The results indicated that the residuals were normally distributed, and uniformity was checked by plotting against predicted values; thus, none of the analysis of assumptions was violated.
Differences in mean penetration depths were considered statistically significant if the p-value was less than 0.05.

Results

74 root canals (28 mesial canals of mandibular molars, 24 mesiobuccal canals of maxillary molars, and 22 distobuccal canals of maxillary molars) were analyzed for Group 1, Group 2, Group 3, and Group 4. In summary, 15 mandibular molars and 17 maxillary molars survived the splitting process. Penetration of NaOCl into dentin was detected as a bleached zone from the root canal toward the periphery as shown in Figure 1 and evaluated with microscopy. The effectiveness of three different treatment groups was evaluated in different regions of the root canal system. The values of penetration depth are shown in Figure 2.
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**Figure 1:** The figure shows representative images of longitudinally split molars dyed with crystal violet. (A) Molars used for negative control (Group 1) and those treated with passive ultrasonic activation (Group 2), active ultrasonic activation (Group 3), and the GentleWave® System (group 4). (B) Representative plot of penetration depth of the ’bleached’ area.
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**Figure 2:** The average penetration depth of NaOCl into dentinal tubules was measured in crystal violet dyed mesials for Group 1, Group 2, Group 3, and Group 4 (Standard deviation shown by bars). The samples treated in Group 4 not only demonstrate a deeper penetration of NaOCl but also demonstrate a uniform penetration depth throughout the apical, middle, and coronal regions of the root.

The average depth (average ± standard deviation) penetrated in Group 4 was 430.9 ± 30.0 µm, Group 3 was 209.5 ± 94.3 µm, and Group 2 was 112.3 ± 65.4 µm in the coronal region. However, in the middle regions for Group 4, Group 3, and Group 2, the average depth penetration was 439.5 ± 101.46 µm, 212.2 ± 96.6 µm, 130.7 ± 72.7 µm respectively and in the apical region, it ranged from 461.3 ± 59.5 µm, 129.0 ± 102.3 µm, 54.1 ± 78.7 µm respectively. The average depth in Group 1 (controls) was 0 µm. Further, in the coronal region, the shallowest (non-zero) and deepest penetrations were 70.3 µm (Group 2) and 494.5 µm (Group 4) respectively; in the middle region, the shallowest (non-zero) and deepest penetrations were 39.7 µm (Group 2) and 586.4 µm (Group 4) respectively; and in the apical region, the shallowest and deepest penetrations were 55.6 µm (Group 2) and 521.0 µm (Group 4) respectively.

Group 4 when compared to Group 2 and Group 3 was significantly different (p<0.05) for the apical, middle, and coronal regions of the canals. No significant difference was observed between Group 2 and Group 3 (p>0.05).

Discussion

The methodology used for assessing the penetration of NaOCl solutions into dentin was adapted from the stained dentin block model developed previously [5]. It has been previously shown that mechanical instrumentation reduces the presence of bacteria from human root canals by approximately 50% [11]. In addition to mechanical instrumentation, disinfecting fluids are needed to eliminate the microbiota in locations where instruments cannot access the anatomical complexities [23-26]. Even though NaOCl is an effective disinfectant when in direct contact with biofilm, its cleaning efficiency has been demonstrated only in the coronal and middle thirds but not in the apical third of the canal [27]. Further, studies have demonstrated that although bacteria in smear layers and deeper layers of dentine could be eliminated by procedures such as ultrasonic irrigation with NaOCl [28], microorganisms within apical third, fins, and isthmi could still be relentless [29]. Even though, bacterial penetration to about 300 µm deep into the dentinal tubules has been shown previously, chemical signals namely endotoxins from bacteria, can penetrate approximately 300–500 µm into dentinal tubules [4-6]. This infected or contaminated dentin might serve as a potential source of persistent apical periodontitis.

In the current study, the average depth penetration achieved using GentleWave System was 447.4 ± 76.5 µm. These results show that the much needed 500 µm penetration depth may be achieved using the GentleWave System. Previous studies have shown the enhanced tissue dissolution rate and removal of the GentleWave, even from the apical third region of the root canal system [14-16]. The GentleWave System cleans the dentinal tubules in the apical region at least 4 times and 8.5 times deeper than the active and passive ultrasonic system, respectively.

It is interesting to note that there was no statistical difference in the penetration depth in the dentinal tubules in apical, middle, and coronal regions when the molars were cleaned with the GentleWave System. However, when cleaned with the active ultrasonic system, we observed that the penetration depth in both the coronal and middle regions of the root canal system was similar, as this technique relies on the transmission of acoustic energy from an oscillating file to the NaOCl in the root canal space. Also, as the fluid has to propagate to the narrower anatomical area of the apical region of the root canal,
efficient penetration of NaOCl was prevented. Further, the tip of the ultrasonic system is likely to be restricted in the apical third, which dampens the efficiency of such devices. Further, the penetration depth using the passive ultrasonic system is less as it does not utilize continuous refreshment of fluid.

On the other hand, the technology of the GentleWave System employs various phenomena including a strong hydrodynamic cavitation cloud which is used to generate a broad spectrum of sound waves (Multisonic™ technology) within the degassed treatment fluid inside the canal. The degassed treatment fluid contains a reduced amount of dissolved gas to optimize the interplay of the propagating multisonic energy and fluid dynamics. Multisonic energy travels through the fluid into the entire root canal system, hence cleaning the root canal system. Existence of multisonic energy enables effective penetration of waves into micron sized tubules [30].

The temperature in the root canal increases to a maximum of 45°C, 29°C, and 40°C, when the teeth were treated with passive ultrasonic system, active ultrasonic system, and the GentleWave System, respectively [14,31]. It has been previously shown that the temperature in the root canal system is always lower than that measured at the external root surface and is dependent on the thickness of the dentin wall [31]. Of particular importance is that the critical level of temperature at the external root surface that does not cause irreversible consequences is 47°C [32]. Within the limitations of this study, the three tested modalities do not exceed the critical level of temperature.

A limitation of the present study is that the flow rates of the GentleWave System and the ultrasonic systems are different. The GentleWave System results in a flow rate of 45 ml/min, whereas the ultrasonic systems were set to 15 ml/min to maximum power in ‘endo’ modes. However, the flow rate for ultrasonic system was maintained at 15 ml/min for clinical relevance [14].

Another limitation of the current study is the extent of shaping of the root canals. This prevented any bias that may occur as a result of using different file sizes. In order to accomplish using one standardized size, care was taken to shape all the canals to #15/.04. For the ultrasonic systems, a tip of #15/.02 was used, in order to ensure the vibration of the ultrasonic tip. On the other hand, for the GentleWave System, the tip of the treatment instrument entered only the pulp inside the canal.

Future studies should probe into the type of dentin and the corresponding depth of penetration of NaOCl as the later may be dependent on the thickness of the dentin [2,5,33]. Future work should also include the comparison of GentleWave with other sonic devices. Further, since crystal violet dye was used as a surrogate to bacteria, it will be interesting to study the cleaning efficiency of GentleWave System on microbial flora.

Conclusion

In conclusion, within the limitations of this study, the GentleWave® System demonstrated at least four times deeper cleaning in the apical region than currently employed ultrasonic systems. The depth of cleaning was independent of the location within the root canal system. Even though further in vitro and in vivo studies are warranted, these results may have clinical implications in the success of root canal treatments.
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