Evaluation of Multi-Slice Computed Tomography in Assessment of Cochlear Implant Electrode Position: A Pictorial Essay
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Abstract

Cochlear implant has become the standard therapy to rehabilitate patients with severe to profound bilateral sensorineural hearing loss. The position of the electrode in the scala and the depth of its insertion have been shown to be predicting factors of hearing outcomes. This article serves to review the multi-slice computed tomography imaging characteristics and appearance of cochlear implant, their exact position and the depth of insertion in children who underwent cochlear implant surgery. Moreover, in order to evaluate the impact of the electrode position on clinical results following cochlear implant surgery, in this study we also compared the findings on the electrode location with the results of audiometry of children after one year of follow up. Finding the best location for the electrode results in better audiometric outcomes.
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Introduction

Electrical stimulation of the auditory nerve for auditory rehabilitation by cochlear implants with a multichannel electrode array has become the standard therapy to rehabilitate patients with severe to profound bilateral sensorineural hearing loss [1]. Surgical techniques in these patients require non traumatic insertion of the electrode array to preserve hearing and to avoid damage to the scala media, the organ of Corti, and other delicate inner ear structures. Over the past few years, many studies have focused on exact positioning of the electrode array in the cochlea to improve surgical techniques and audiometric outcomes [2-4].

Many prior studies have determined significant predicting factors of hearing outcomes in patients with cochlear implants [5-7]. These include duration of deafness [8], level of pre-implant speech recognition [9], pre-lingual / post-lingual status [10], and electrode programming configuration [11,12]. One of the most important factors that can influence the clinical outcome of CI is shown to be the position of the electrode in the scala and the depth of its insertion [13]. Therefore, being expert in postoperative radiological assessment of the electrode position deserves the special attention of clinicians.

This article serves to review the multi-slice CT imaging characteristics and appearance of the cochlear implant and the exact position and depth of the insertion of the electrode array, their clinical presentations and complications, and their audiometric outcome. The optimal positioning of the cochlear implant

Theoretically, the loudness of the sound perceived by the patient may depend on the number of auditory nerve fibers activated by the electrode array and the proximity of electrodes to the nerve fibers (perimodiolar location) [9]. Whenever the electrode is inserted closer to the hair cells along the basilar membrane, the auditory stimulus perceived by the auditory nerve will be stronger. Insertion of the electrode into the scala tympani is the preferred route because the scala lamina and basilar membrane provide the scala media a modest degree of protection. On the other hand, the scala tympani is of a larger size for the placement of electrodes; insertion of the electrode into the Scala vestibule may cause traumatic disruption of the Reissner’s membrane and damage the underlying hair cells, resulting in meningitis and loss of residual hearing ability [4].

Modifications in surgical techniques have been advanced to increase the accuracy of scala tympani insertion, but there has not been a definite method for determining the intra-cochlear location after surgery [5].

Post-operative imaging

Several imaging techniques such as multi-slice CT, cone-beam CT, high resolution CT (HRCT), digital volume tomography (DVT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are available for postoperative evaluation of cochlear implants [1]. The main purposes of this evaluation are to document electrode placement and its position and, quality control of cochlear implant surgery, and to evaluate the temporal bone in case of complications or additional central morbidities (e.g., acoustic neuroma, cerebral tumor, cerebral-vascular diseases, etc.). Each of the above-mentioned techniques has advantages and disadvantages. The selection of the technique is dependent on many factors including the accuracy of the images provided by the technique based on the goal of the examination, the rate of radiation exposure dose, its availability in the daily clinic routines and the cost-effectiveness of each specific technique. For instance, postoperative application of MRI is a specific challenge because it depends on the implant type and can result in different types of motion and metal artifacts. That is to say, MRI is possible up to 1.5 tesla (with or without a magnet depending on the recommendations of the manufacturer) [14]. In general, the indication of postoperative MRI could be the occurrence of complications or the presence of other central co-morbidities [1]. DVT does not provide sufficient delineation of soft tissue but it makes smaller metal artifacts.
In comparison to multi-slice CT, using Cone-beam CT can reduce the radiation dose to 14%. The major problem with Cone-beam CT is that acquisition of high resolution images requires a long exposure time of 40 seconds, which results in more motion artifacts. This can result in the need for “retakes,” causing a higher radiation dose exposure in patients. For reduction of motion artifacts, Cone-beam CT units that study patients in the supine position are preferred to those that study sitting and standing positions. The patient should also be trained not to swallow and to breathe through the nose [15]. So performing Cone-beam CT has dramatic limitations in children, who are the main group of patients.

Multi-slice CT is frequently used for postoperative assessment of the CI. It is an easily available non-invasive method that decreases motion artifacts and improves the patient's comfort by reducing the examination time, which is an important aspect especially in children [16]. Moreover, it provides the ability to determine the exact position and depth of the insertion of the electrode. In a study of 17 patients who underwent cochlear implant surgery, a multi-detector 64-slice CT scan was used to determine the location of the electrode array in the cochlea. However, the study did not assess the depth of the insertion of the electrode, which is a very important factor for hearing low frequency signals [2]. In another study, the visibility of cochlear walls in postoperative images of multi-slice CT has also been considered an important factor in determining of electrode positioning [17]. In this study, we assessed both the exact position and the depth of the insertion of the electrode array with the help of multi-slice computed tomography. Therefore, it has been used in this study as a post-procedural evaluation technique.

Details about the imaging technique

All acquisitions were made with a 16-slice multi-detector computed tomography scanner (General Electronic, Bright Speed) in axial plane, parallel to the infra-orbito meatal base line and in some cases parallel to the orbitomeatal base line with 0 to 15 degrees angle to caudal with the purpose of not including the lens of orbit (voltage, 140 kv; amperage, 250 mA; pitch 0.56, matrix 512 × 512, slice thickness 0.6 mm, collimation 0.6 mm, rotation time 1s, and without an interslice gap). The field of view was 100 mm, and the images were reconstructed at the bony algorithm.

The suitable window width and window level were 4500 and 1050 CT number respectively.

Images were reconstructed with software AW4 / 4 in the oblique coronal plane, parallel to the cochlea (modified stenverse view) and oblique sagittal plane (perpendicular to the modiolus) with the help of a volume rendering technique. Three-dimensional images were reconstructed by maximal intensity projection. The field of view in the reconstructed images was 70 mm. Multi-planar reconstructions and three-dimensional images were then evaluated to determine the location of the electrode array and the depth of the electrode insertion. Oblique coronal and oblique sagittal reformatations were helpful in detecting the location of the intra-cochlear electrode position, relative to the scala media and lateral wall of the scala tympani. True axial graphs were helpful in detecting the depth of insertion. Three-dimensional reconstructs were not helpful in detecting the depth of insertion, and they underestimated the number of turns of implant array inside the cochlea (Figure 1).

**Figure 1**: Consecutive axial and 3D images from a 2 year-old girl, one year after CI surgery, which is depicted the electrode was not reached the end of cochlea (black arrow in 3, 4 and 5) and unfortunately after one year follow up audiometric results were not fine.

Electrodes rotated at least two turns inside the cochlea were considered deeply inserted (Figures 2 and 3).

**Figure 2**: Consecutive axial images of a 2.5 year old boy in whom the electrode was inserted deeply in the cochlea. The audiometric outcome after one year follow up was good.
Clinical rehabilitation outcome

To evaluate the impact of the electrode position on rehabilitation results following cochlear implant surgery, in this study we also compared the findings on the electrode location with the results of audiometry of children after one year of follow up. Finding the best location for the electrode results in better audometric outcomes. Patients were examined pre-operatively and one year after CI by pure tone audiometry (PTA) and speech reception threshold (SRT). As described in the figure legends, cases in which the electrodes were deeply inserted and located in the medial compartment had better clinical results after CI surgery. Results demonstrated that electrodes that were inserted deeply inside the cochlea and rotated at least two turns inside the cochlea produced better audiology results (Figures 4 and 5).

Summary

MSCT is a useful tool for the exact positioning of an implant array inside the cochlea. High resolution and artifact-free images allow for determining the electrode position inside the perimodiolar location or lateral compartment of the scala tympani. The electrode position inside the medial compartment accompanies better audiometric results in children (Figures 6 and 7).
The common goal of all imaging procedures in cochlear implant patients is the improvement of surgical planning and results, the control of surgical quality, and the reduction of complications. Therefore, these findings may be a useful guideline for surgeons to obtain a better outcome of cochlear implant surgery.
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