Exercise Sciences in the Aging World
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In 2010, WHO edited the “Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health” for various age groups. In adults aged 65 and older, WHO recommended that older adults should do at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity (PA) or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity PA per week, or any equivalent combination. Muscle-strengthening activities and exercise to enhance balance and prevent falls should also be done two to three times a week. For additional health benefits, WHO recommended to double the dose of aerobic PA. Based on the scientific evidence available, these recommendations are thought to improve cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness, bone and functional health, and to reduce the risk of noncommunicable diseases, depression and cognitive decline. This evidence came from many studies in the field of exercise sciences, investigating, often independently, the physiological, psychological, cognitive, biomechanical, social or medical benefits of regular PA. Understanding and following those recommendations is not obvious however, and open questions still remain, as I will briefly exemplify below.

Although the definitions and the different ways to objectively or subjectively identify categories of exercise intensity is well accepted [1], their application to the older population is poorly understood and understudied. For instance, a moderate-intensity PA is defined as ranging from 3-6 METs (Metabolic Equivalent; 1 MET=3.5 mL O_2/kg/min) or to have a relative intensity of 55 < 70% HRmax (maximal heart rate). Common examples of moderate-intensity PAs are brisk walking, gentle swimming, double tennis or golf. A compendium of PA intensity (MET values) classifying the energy costs of hundred of PA, was developed by Ainsworth and collaborators in 1993 and updated in 2000 and 2011 [2]. However those reported values, based on normal adults’ measures, have been recently challenged by Hall et al. [3], who showed that they may underestimate actual PA intensities for older adults aged 65 and older, maybe in part because their resting metabolic rate could be lower than 3.5 mL O_2/kg/min. Moreover, considering an absolute intensity of 3-6 METs to be a moderate-intensity PA without considering the individual aerobic fitness level (VO_{max}) may cause misinterpretations of actual energy expenditures. For instance, doing water aerobics (5.5 METs) for a 75 year old woman whose VO_{max} level is 20 mL O_2/kg/min (5.7 METS) will not be experienced as a misinterpretations of actual energy expenditures. For instance, doing a moderate-intensity PA is defined as ranging from 3-6 METs (Metabolic Equivalent; 1 MET=3.5 mL O_2/kg/min) or to have a relative intensity of 55 < 70% HRmax (maximal heart rate). Common examples of moderate-intensity PAs are brisk walking, gentle swimming, double tennis or golf. A compendium of PA intensity (MET values) classifying the energy costs of hundred of PA, was developed by Ainsworth and collaborators in 1993 and updated in 2000 and 2011 [2]. However those reported values, based on normal adults’ measures, have been recently challenged by Hall et al. [3], who showed that they may underestimate actual PA intensities for older adults aged 65 and older, maybe in part because their resting metabolic rate could be lower than 3.5 mL O_2/kg/min. Moreover, considering an absolute intensity of 3-6 METs to be a moderate-intensity PA without considering the individual aerobic fitness level (VO}_{max}) may cause misinterpretations of actual energy expenditures. For instance, doing water aerobics (5.5 METs) for a 75 year old woman whose VO}_{max} level is 20 mL O_2/kg/min (5.7 METS) will not be experienced as a moderate-intensity PA, but will represent nearly her maximal intensity level. This simple example illustrates the need to use relative or subjective measures of intensity category for exercise training design and underscores the importance of conducting validation studies in the field of exercise physiology in older adults.

At a more fundamental level, several studies in the area of exercise sciences investigated the effects of regular PA on more basic specific functions or processes, such as aerobic and muscular fitness, balance and falls prevention, or cognitive vitality and brain health. These studies tended to define the best regiments of PA programs to exhibit specific improvements and to establish, where possible, dose-response relationships. Inspection of their conclusions show a great qualitative and quantitative heterogeneity of recommended PAs for expected specific benefits. The nature and quantity of exercises seem to differ depending on the principal outcome measure, though WHO recommendations broadly encompass all of them. Even in the same research domain, some discrepancies are noticeable. For instance, research in the recent but promising area of exercise effects on brain structure and function does not provide unequivocal conclusions. Authoritative and often cited studies reported that walking three times a week for at least six to twelve months can lead to great benefits in executive functions performance and structural and functional brain improvements [4-6], whereas other studies controlling more rigorously PA intensity levels or aerobic fitness improvements failed to show such positive relationship [7,8]. According to many researchers, aerobic exercise, at least at a moderate-intensity level, is necessary (often sufficient) to obtain gains in cognitive and cerebral health, but others argue that resistance training at least two times a week can promote cognitive and functional brain plasticity in older adults [9]. An excellent recent review on this question argues that aerobic PA may have a different effect on cognitive and brain functions than coordinative PA [10]. Moreover, this review highlights the need to conduct studies testing directly the causal relationship between different PAs, brain changes and cognitive performance. The underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms of this causal relationship are not well understood and such studies are still too sparse. Recently, Erickson et al. [5] reported that a one-year moderate-intensity aerobic exercise program three days/week significantly increased hippocampal volume by 2% and improved spatial memory in adults aged 55-80 years. Another group who participated in a stretching and toning program experienced a 1.4% decline in hippocampal volume during the same period, but showed the same improvements in memory scores. The authors claimed that level of aerobic fitness could mediate the relationship between hippocampal volume and memory performance. These interesting results however leave open the question of causality because, if true, the stretching and toning group should have shown memory decline because of reduction in hippocampal volume. The clinical relevance of these results is also challenged by other results showing a substantial 6% grey matter volume reduction in middle-aged ultramarathon runners during the two-month Trans-Europe foot race [11]. Although not specifically studied, there was no reported cognitive deficit along this grey matter reduction. This process was shown to be reversible because eight months after the race, global brain volume came back to baseline level without new brain lesion. Collectively, this kind of result questions the exact mechanisms responsible for this brain plasticity, the dose-response relationship, but also the behavioral consequences of these changes.

Finally, as I pointed out in the introduction, following WHO recommendations on PA appears difficult considering about 30 to 50% of the population does not follow them and this prevalence is often
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more important within the elderly. Everyone knows that exercise is
good for health but does not practice at the recommended level for
health. Another challenge of exercise sciences in the field of health
psychology is to understand the barriers to PA and to develop efficient
strategies for behavior changes and exercise adherence. Some trials
and surveys are conducted worldwide and can now give important
insights to better promote physically active behavior as well as advice
or recommendations to public authorities. To increase our level of
knowledge, the various fields of exercise sciences not only must be
aware of what is done and found but should cooperate to develop
cross-disciplinary research projects. As a multi-disciplinary forum for
the study of aging, the Journal of Aging Science and its readership are
directly interested by these questions and are hopefully aware of the
importance to develop real interdisciplinary research to investigate and
resolve some of the above detailed open questions.
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