Expanding the Targets of Renal Sympathetic Denervation: From Resistant Hypertension to Atrial Fibrillation
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Abstract
Atrial fibrillation is the most common cardiac arrhythmia affecting millions of people worldwide. Individuals with atrial fibrillation sustain significant morbidity mainly due to stroke while their mortality risk is twice higher in comparison to those with sinus rhythm [2]. Moreover, AF poses a significant health care cost burden as a cause of repeated hospitalizations and increased rates of disability [3]. Among the risk factors of AF, hypertension has a prominent role. In the ARIC study, elevated blood pressure alone could explain 21.6% of the incident cases of paroxysmal AF in the general population [4]. Furthermore, in an elderly population with AF, the prevalence of hypertension was found as high as 84% [5]. Increased sympathetic activity is considered as one of the common pathways connecting hypertension with AF. A new interventional treatment targeting sympathetic hyperactivity has been recently introduced in order to control resistant hypertension [6]. This treatment modality, known as Renal Sympathetic Denervation (RDN), involves the ablation of the renal sympathetic nerves through radiofrequency emitting catheters inserted percutaneously inside the lumen of both renal arteries. The encouraging results from the initially performed studies has motivated clinicians to pursue new targets for this novel method among conditions closely associated with increased sympathetic activity. Indeed, RDN has demonstrated beneficial effects on sleep apnea [7], glycemic control [8] and arrhythmias [9]. Given the well established relation among sympathetic hyperactivity, hypertension and AF, trials assessing the efficacy of RDN on AF have already been initiated.

Renal Sympathetic Denervation for the Treatment of Resistant Hypertension

The role of sympathetic activation in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular diseases has been long recognized and sympathetic nervous system has become a therapeutic target mainly with pharmacological means (i.e beta-blocker administration) [10]. Surgical sympathectomy had also been applied in earlier stages as a more radical way to eliminate sympathetic tone but had never gained popularity among clinicians due to its high incidence of often debilitating side effects (orthostatic hypotension, palpitations, anhydrosis, intestinal disturbances, loss of ejaculation, thoracic duct injuries and atelectasis) [11].

Regarding hypertension, a wealth data support a pathogenetic role for enhanced sympathetic activity. Indeed, surgical attempts to disrupt this hyperactivity have been started in the early decades of the twentieth century [12]. Later on, several pharmacological substances were developed targeting the central or the peripheral divisions of the sympathetic nervous system (ganglionic blockers, a-methyl dopa, clonidine) [13,14]. Most of them are still in use. However, during the more recent decades the insight into the mechanisms associated with the renin-angiotensin system had fascinated both researchers and clinicians and the blockers of this pathway had replaced most of the old anti-sympathetics in clinical practice. Nevertheless, the interest in the role of the sympathetic overflow remained alive in the research field and has regained recently popularity with the development of the so called anti-adrenergic devices.

Sympathetic overflow has been clearly recognized in the majority of the individuals with essential hypertension [15]. This overflow affects mainly the kidneys, the heart and the skeletal muscles. It remains unclear whether a causal relationship with the development of hypertension exists. Nevertheless, increased sympathetic tone at the level of renal vasculature was been clearly associated with increased resistance, increased renal vasodilation and increased production of macromolecules. This is in accordance with the available data showing a sustained reduction in blood pressure. Increased sympathetic activity seems necessary to induce and sustain atrial fibrillation. It can also be considered as one of the common pathways connecting hypertension with atrial fibrillation. Given the limitations of the conventional treatment of atrial fibrillation, Renal Sympathetic Denervation has been proposed as a new treatment modality for the management of this common arrhythmia. Hard data are still lacking but the early results are very promising. Two randomized trials are currently conducted and are expected to answer the question whether the targets of Renal Sympathetic Denervation can expand beyond the treatment of resistant hypertension.
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Introduction
Atrial Fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia affecting millions of people worldwide [1]. Individuals with AF sustain significant morbidity mainly due to stroke while their mortality risk is twice higher in comparison to those with sinus rhythm [2]. Moreover, AF poses a significant health care cost burden as a cause of repeated hospitalizations and increased rates of disability [3]. Among the risk factors of AF, hypertension has a prominent role. In the ARIC study, elevated blood pressure alone could explain 21.6% of the incident cases of paroxysmal AF in the general population [4]. Furthermore, in an elderly population with AF, the prevalence of hypertension was found as high as 84% [5]. Increased sympathetic activity is considered as one of the common pathways connecting hypertension with AF. A new interventional treatment targeting sympathetic hyperactivity has been recently introduced in order to control resistant hypertension [6]. This treatment modality, known as Renal Sympathetic Denervation (RDN), involves the ablation of the renal sympathetic nerves through radiofrequency emitting catheters inserted percutaneously inside the lumen of both renal arteries. The encouraging results from the initially performed studies has motivated clinicians to pursue new targets for this novel method among conditions closely associated with increased sympathetic activity. Indeed, RDN has demonstrated beneficial effects on sleep apnea [7], glycemic control [8] and arrhythmias [9]. Given the well established relation among sympathetic hyperactivity, hypertension and AF, trials assessing the efficacy of RDN on AF have already been initiated.
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showed that beyond the clinical benefit, the procedure is remarkably safe with local femoral complications and possible renal artery stenosis being the only potential significant hazards.

A recently published systematic review, which included not only the two SympliCity-HTN trials but also several other small series, confirmed the beneficial and sustained effect of RDN in resistant hypertension showing a reduction in systolic and diastolic blood pressures of 18-36 / 9-15 mmHg respectively [29].

Radiofrequency Ablation for the Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation

During the recent years radiofrequency ablation has become a standard procedure for the treatment of AF. This treatment modality is largely based on the landmark observation of Hassaguerre et al. that ectopic foci mainly located in the pulmonary veins are responsible for the initiation and the maintenance of the arrhythmia [30]. Circular ablation is Zsx usually performed at the antral area of each individual pulmonary vein aiming to isolate electrically the ectopic foci from the rest of the left atrial myocardium [31]. In addition to this procedure, other ablation techniques have been proposed especially in patients with recurrent or persistent AF. These techniques include the creation of ablation lesions on the left atrial roof and the mitral isthmus [32] as well as, the ablation at sites was highly Complex Fractionated<br>Electrograms (CFAEs) [33] are recorded or even at endocardial sites which correspond to the epicardial location of the ganglionated plexi [34]. Substantial experience has been accumulated during the last decade from the wide clinical application of this method and is as a consequence currently recommended as Class I indication for symptomatic AF refractory to at least one Class I or III antiarrhythmic medication [35]. Nevertheless, its efficacy is rather modest, reported between 66-89% in the various randomized clinical trials and even lower 55-70% in the large surveys. Of note, every third patient requires more than one procedure [35]. These data are further confirmed by the recently published head to head comparison of radiofrequency ablation as first line treatment with antiarrhythmic medications in 294 patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation [36]. In this trial the total burden of atrial fibrillation did not differ between the two groups at 2 years of follow-up (13% in the ablation group versus 19% in the drug group, p=0.10). Beyond the modest efficacy, the complications rate is also not at all negligible. In the reported surveys complications range from 4.5-6% with some been serious or even life-threatening (pulmonary vein stenosis, esophageal and phrenic nerve injuries, cardiac tamponade, stroke and silent cerebral microemboli, air embolism and local vascular complications) [37].

Renal Sympathetic Denervation as an Adjunct Treatment in Atrial Fibrillation

The relatively moderate success of radiofrequency ablation in the treatment of AF and the highly promising results of the RDN in conjunction with the close association among hypertension, sympathetic hyperactivity and AF, have been the background for a new concept: to apply RDN in the treatment of AF.

The association between AF and sympathetic overflow has long been recognized. B-adrenergic agonists (i.e. isoproterenol) have been successfully used in conjunction with rapid atrial pacing to induce AF in experimental animals [38,39]. Moreover, sympathetic activity seems necessary to sustain AF [40]. It has been postulated that the interference of sympathetic stimulation with intracellular calcium handling may lead to increased calcium concentration with consequent shortening of the action potential and of the refractoriness of atrial myocardium
This type of “electrical remodeling” is known to predispose to the development of AF. Elegant animal studies have also shown that sympathetic inhibition through RDN may suppress the development of AF induced with rapid atrial pacing [42] or even prevent atrial remodeling after prolonged AF [43].

Initial data in human studies are also encouraging. Recently, a case was reported where persistent drug-resistant AF was successfully treated with RDN instead of pulmonary venous isolation. Left atrial size was also significantly reduced from 45 mm to 36 mm at 6 months of follow-up [44]. A break through trial was also recently reported. In this trial, Pokuschalov et al. [45] showed that pulmonary venous isolation plus RDN was superior to pulmonary venous isolation alone in the prevention of paroxysmal AF in patients with resistant hypertension. From the combined treatment group 9 out of 13 patients (69%) were free of episodes at 1 year of follow up, while only 4 out of 14 (29%) patients were free of episodes in the pulmonary venous isolation alone group.

These data are definitively positive. However, they are still very weak to support of potential anti-arrhythmic role of RDN. Nevertheless, more evidence is expected to come in the near future. The RSD for AF trial is a randomized, controlled multicenter Chinese study expected to give more evidence in this issue [46]. A total of 200 patients with resistant hypertension and symptomatic AF will be assigned to antiarrhythmic and antihypertensive medications or RDN. The burden of AF at 1-year follow-up will be the primary end-point of the study.

Another study, the H-FIB trial [47] will examine the role of adjunctive RDN at time of AF ablation. In this multicenter prospective, double-blind, randomized controlled trial patients with hypertension who have their first procedure for the treatment of AF, will be allocated to radiofrequency ablation alone or radiofrequency ablation plus RDN. The end point will be the incidence of drug-free freedom of AF in the 12 months of follow up.

An argument can be made about the additional benefit that RDN can offer over the administration of beta-blockers in patients with AF. Beta-blockers have long been used for both rate and rhythm control of AF. Their beneficial effect has been proven especially in certain categories of patients like in those with congestive heart failure [48] as well as after cardiac surgery [49], conditions associated with high adrenergic tone. Furthermore, chronic treatment with beta-blockers promotes anti-arrhythmic electrical remodeling of the atria [50]. Nevertheless, a direct comparison between a novel therapy like RDN and a standard treatment modality like beta-blocker administration is unlikely to be performed. Indeed, in the Symplicity HTN trials most of the patients were already taking beta-blockers. In any case, RDN offers the potential to selectively inhibit efferent and afferent sympathetic renal activity without affecting peripheral receptors in the heart and other organs, avoiding this way the negative inotropic and chronotropic effect of beta blockers on the heart as well as their adverse effect on lipid and glucose metabolism.

Conclusions

The rapidly accumulating positive evidence about the role of RDN in the treatment of hypertension has challenged clinicians who have been tempted to expand its application to other conditions associated with increased sympathetic tone. Atrial fibrillation consist a major and difficult to solve public health problem. The limitations of both antiarrhythmic drugs and left atrial radiofrequency ablation in conjunction with the primary role of sympathetic hyperactivity in the development of this particular arrhythmia have supported the use of RDN in the treatment of AF. It should be acknowledged that the method is new and strong data are lacking. The published results, although encouraging, come from non-randomized trials making the exclusion of a placebo effect impossible. Randomized controlled trials have just started to recruit patients. In addition, only patients with resistant hypertension have been treated so far. Thus, the efficacy of the method in milder types of hypertension and possibly in milder types of sympathetic hyperactivity will remain unknown until the data of new trials come out [51]. Regarding AF, only one non randomized study and a few case reports has been published in the field. Nevertheless, the data are very promising so far. The results of the ongoing trials may eventually offer the evidence which will permit us to use RDN as an adjuvant or event as a first line treatment of AF.
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