Face recognition difficulties during childhood are well-documented. However, mixed results were obtained regarding the precise nature of these difficulties using different experimental tasks. Using recognition memory paradigm (learning a set of faces followed by an old/new recognition test using the previously studies faces mixed with an equal set of distractors [1], children under the age of ten were found to have lower rates of hits (the correct identification of previously-seen faces) and correct rejections (the rejection of previously-unseen faces) than older individuals [2]. In contrast, using the eyewitness identification methodology (seeing a target person through a video or live staged crime followed by an identification test using two target-present and target-absent lineups [3], children over five years of age were found to produce a hit rate that is comparable to adults [4] but older children still produce lower correct rejections [5].

This contrast supports the independence of hits and correct rejections that was frequently reported in several face processing studies [6-8]. In addition, it has been attributed to a key difference between face recognition and eyewitness identification tasks [4]. While the former typically require observers to encode and remember the faces of many individuals, the latter usually involve only a single target identity. The delayed onset of adult-like rates of correct identifications in face recognition experiments might therefore reflect the increased demands of these tasks. This points to an increase in memory load capacity with age rather than an improvement in face identification ability per se [4]. However, Megreya and Bindemann [9] reported lower hits in children under the age of 10 years using a widely used face identification task [10,11] in which only one target had to be encoded at a time and memory loads were minimized. Therefore, the delayed onset of adult-like identification rates in face recognition experiments cannot be attributed to the memory load of these tasks. Further research is required to examine why hits reach maturation later in the face recognition paradigm than in the eyewitness identification methodology.

Importantly, recent evidence indicates that face recognition problems in children are perceptual, rather than memorial [9]. Therefore, the difficulty in encoding unfamiliar faces in the first place, independent of any memory-related problems, may contribute to the eyewitness identification problems in children. This is important as researchers have already attempted to improve the reliability of eyewitness identifications in children by implementing procedural changes such as elimination lineups [12] or the inclusion of a “not sure” response option [13]. Therefore, any attempts to improve the accuracy of eyewitness identification in children also need to focus on assessing their ability to encode unfamiliar faces in the first place.
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