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Abstract

Background: HIV counseling and testing is one of the key strategies in the HIV/AIDS prevention and control programs in Ethiopia. However utilization of this service is very low. The aim of the study was to assess and compare factors associated with HIV counseling and testing service utilization among Ethiopian males and females.

Methods: The study utilized data from the Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS) 2011, which was a cross-sectional survey conducted on a nationally representative sample. Using cluster sampling, 14110 males aged 15-59 years and 16,834 females aged 15-49 were selected from all the 9 administrative regions and 2 administrative cities of Ethiopia. Descriptive analysis was done and chi-square test was used to test the association of each independent variable with the dependent variable. Multivariable logistic regression was used to identify potential factors associated with HIV testing service utilization.

Results: Generally, 42.5% male and 41.9% female participants had ever been tested and counseled for HIV at least once. Education level, wealth index, area of residence, knowledge about HIV/AIDS and having no stigmatizing attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS were found to be strongly and positively associated with HIV testing service utilization in both male and female genders. HIV test rate was higher among younger men and women (aged ≤ 34 years), rich in income, urban residents, exposed to mass media and those of educated secondary and above.

Conclusions: HIV testing service utilization among male and females in Ethiopia is low. HIV/AIDS-related stigma, HIV related knowledge, place of residence, educational level, marital status, wealth index, and media exposure were major factors affecting HIV testing service utilization among males and females in the country.
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Introduction

HIV testing and counseling uptake has improved, many people living with HIV in low- and middle-income countries still do not know their HIV status, undertook efforts to reduce onward transmission and refer those testing HIV-positive to appropriate care and treatment; an estimated 7.5 million people are eligible for treatment but are not accessing antiretroviral therapy because they are unaware of their HIV serostatus [1]. According to 10 recent national population-based surveys in sub-Saharan Africa, the median percentage of people living with HIV who know their HIV status is below 40% [2] and a recent survey data from sub-Saharan Africa showed that only 15% of young women aged 15-24 years old and 10% of young men have been tested and know their HIV status [3].

Ethiopia is among the countries most affected by the HIV epidemic. Approximately 1.2 million Ethiopians were living with HIV/AIDS in 2010 [4]. Ethiopia represents a stable, low-level, generalized epidemic with marked regional variations driven by most-at-risk populations (MARPs) [5]. People living in peri-urban and small market towns, as well as young women, are the most at risk segments of the population [6].

Ethiopia is one of the few sub-Saharan countries showing a decline of more than 25% in new HIV infections [5]. Among age groups women aged 20-24 (44%) and men aged 25-29 (46%) are the most likely to have ever been tested for HIV and received their test results [7]. Overall about six in every ten Ethiopians (61% of women and 59% of men) have never been tested for HIV [7].

The contextual factors contributing for HIV counseling and testing service utilization are expected to be different and evidence shows that it is caused by the interaction of multiple factors. This state’s detailed analysis of individual socio-demographic, socio-economic, and individual knowledge and practices and others factors are important. HIV counseling and testing is one of the key strategies in the HIV/AIDS prevention and control programs in Ethiopia but little is known...
about the factors influencing HIV counseling and testing service utilization. Therefore the purpose of this study is to assess and compare factors associated with HIV counseling and testing service utilization among Ethiopian males and females.

Materials and Methods

This cross sectional study design was based on 2011 EDHS data of both males and females aged 15-49 which included all the 9 regional states and 2 administrative cities of Ethiopia. Administratively, each of the 9 regional states and 2 administrative cities were divided into zones and each zone into lower administrative units called woredas. Each woreda was then further subdivided into the lowest administrative unit, called kebele.

The 2011 EDHS sample was selected using a stratified, two-stage cluster design and enumeration areas (EAs) were the sampling units for the first stage. The 2011 EDHS sample included 624 EAs, 187 in urban areas and 437 in rural areas. Households comprised the second stage of sampling. A complete listing of households was carried out in each of the 624 selected EAs from September 2010 through January 2011. Maps were drawn for each of the clusters and all private households were listed. A representative sample of 17,817 households was selected for the 2011 EDHS survey. All women aged 15-49 and all men aged 15-59 who were either permanent residents of the selected households or visitors who stayed in the household the night before the survey were eligible to be interviewed. A total of 16,834 women aged 15-49 and 14,110 men aged 15-59 representative sample was collected [7].

The 2011 EDHS used three questionnaires: the Household Questionnaire, the Woman’s questionnaire and the Man’s questionnaire. These questionnaires were adapted from model survey instruments developed for the MEASURE DHS project to reflect the population and health issues relevant to Ethiopia. Issues were identified at a series of meetings with the various stakeholders. In addition the English questionnaires were translated into three major languages: Amharic, Oromiffa, and Tigrigna [7].

Central Statistics Agency staffs and a variety of experts from government ministries, NGOs, and donor organizations participated in a three-week pretest training and fieldwork conducted from 20th September to 8th October 2010. Fifty-five participants were trained to administer paper questionnaires. Main training of interviewers, editors and supervisors was conducted from 24th November to 23rd December 2010 [7].

The outcome variable was HIV counseling and testing service utilization and measured by asking the question: 'Have you ever tested for HIV?'

Operational definitions

**Substance use:** Referred to the respondent’s status of chat chewing, alcohol drinking and cigarette smoking.

**HIV/AIDS-related knowledge:** It was derived form 7 HIV related questions which consists prevention and misconceptions about transmission.

**Low knowledge:** Answered questions correctly three or below.

**Medium knowledge:** Answered 4-5 questions correctly.

**Comprehensive knowledge:** Answered 6-7 questions correctly.

**HIV related risk:** It was used as an independent variable based on 4 questions of HIV related risks.

**Risk:** If exposed at least one of the indicators.

**HIV related stigma:** It was referred to the respondent’s attitude towards HIV suspected and developed from 4 questions of HIV related stigma.

**No stigma:** If not experienced to any of the stigma indicators.

**Low stigma:** If experienced 1 of the stigma indicators.

**Medium stigma:** If experienced 2 stigma indicators.

**High stigma:** If experienced 3-4 stigma indicators.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using STATA version11. Descriptive analysis was done using frequencies and percentages for all categorical variables. The results were presented in tables. Chi-square test was used to test the association of each independent variable with the dependent/ outcome variable. In the bivariate logistic regression analysis, level of significance of the association between the dependent and each independent variable was considered at P-value less than or equals to 0.05 for the predictors to proceed to the next level of analysis. In the multivariable logistic regression analysis, step wise logistic regression model development technique was employed to develop the final main-effect model for the dependent variable at p-value less than or equals to 0.05.

Multi-collinearity between predictors was checked using variance inflation factors (Multi-collinearity exist if VIF>10). Interaction and confounding effects of variables was also checked. Finally model goodness of fit was checked using Hosmer and Lemshow goodness of fit and prediction power of the model was checked using ROC curve.

Ethical considerations

To undertake the study, permission was obtained from the Ethical Review Committee of Mekelle University; College of Health Sciences and official letter were sent to EDHS to get the data.

Results

**Socio demographic characteristics of respondents**

A total of 14,110 males aged 15-59 and 16,834 females aged 15-49 were enrolled in the study. From the total respondents 5,991(42.4%) males and 6,915 (41.9%) females had ever been tested and counseled for HIV.

About two third of males (64.6%) and three fourth of females (73.5%) were within the younger age group (15-34) years. More than half (50.5%) of males and three in seven (46.2%) of females within the age group 25-34 years had ever been tested for HIV. Seven in ten of males (70.1%) and two third of females (67.7%) were from the rural area. Among the urban respondents about six in ten (60.2%) of males and around two third (65.9%) of females had ever been tested for HIV (Table 1).

More than half 53.9%, 59.6% and 59.8% of males from Tigray, Addis Ababa and Dire Dawa had ever tested and counseled for HIV. Less than six in ten females from Tigray (59.5%) and more than six in
ten females from Addis Ababa (66%) and Dire Dawa (64.9%) had ever been tested and counseled for HIV. Regarding educational level, less than half (47.3%) of male respondents had primary education. Among those secondary and above educated, about two third (64.9%) of males and more than three forth (74.8%) of females had ever been tested and counseled for HIV. About (49.6%) of males and (49.3%) of females were categorized as rich. Among the rich persons, more than half (54.8%) of males and (58.5%) of females had ever been tested for HIV/AIDS (Table 1).

From the orthodox respondents, more than half males (50.7%) and females (53.5%) had ever been tested and counseled for HIV. Majority (91.5%) of male respondents and more than half (51.2%) of female respondents had job (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>Ever tested (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>5,162 (36.6)</td>
<td>1,940 (37.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>3,956 (28.0)</td>
<td>1,998 (50.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49</td>
<td>3,749 (26.6)</td>
<td>1,621 (43.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>1,242 (8.6)</td>
<td>432 (34.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tigray</td>
<td>1384 (9.8)</td>
<td>746 (53.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afar</td>
<td>1000 (7.1)</td>
<td>294 (29.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amhara</td>
<td>1965 (13.9)</td>
<td>801 (40.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oromia</td>
<td>2060 (14.6)</td>
<td>693 (33.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>715 (5.1)</td>
<td>123 (17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benishangul gumuz</td>
<td>1138 (8.1)</td>
<td>458 (40.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNNP</td>
<td>1699 (12.0)</td>
<td>702 (41.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambela</td>
<td>940 (6.7)</td>
<td>431 (45.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harari</td>
<td>972 (6.9)</td>
<td>409 (42.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addis Ababa</td>
<td>1318 (9.3)</td>
<td>785 (59.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dire Dawa</td>
<td>918 (6.5)</td>
<td>549 (59.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>4215 (29.9)</td>
<td>2,536 (60.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>9894 (70.1)</td>
<td>3,455 (34.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education level</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No education</td>
<td>4449(31.5)</td>
<td>1,166 (26.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>6670 (47.3)</td>
<td>2,884 (43.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd and above</td>
<td>2990 (21.2)</td>
<td>1,941 (64.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wealth/index</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>4,956 (35.1)</td>
<td>1,347 (27.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>2,154 (15.3)</td>
<td>812 (37.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich</td>
<td>6,999 (49.6)</td>
<td>3,832 (54.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Religion</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthodox</td>
<td>6125 (43.4)</td>
<td>3,108 (50.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protestant</td>
<td>2216 (15.7)</td>
<td>876 (39.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concerning HIV/AIDS related knowledge of respondents, more than fifty percent (50.9%) of males and about 43.7% of females were with high knowledge on HIV/AIDS. Only 43.5% of males and 35.4% of females were with comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS. Among respondents with comprehensive knowledge of HIV, more than half (56.5%) of males and about two third (64.6%) of females had ever been tested for HIV (Table 2).

Table 1: HIV Counseling and Testing Service Utilization in relation to Socio demographic Characteristics of Male and Female in Ethiopia.

## HIV related knowledge and stigma status of respondents

Regarding HIV related stigma, 43.4% of males and 40.7% females had no HIV related stigma. But only 13.8% of males and 23.3% females had high HIV related stigma towards HIV suspected people. Among those respondents with no HIV related stigma about 56.6% of males and 59.3% of females had ever been tested (Table 2).
Table 2: HIV Counseling and Testing Service Utilization in relation to HIV related knowledge and stigma among Males and Females in Ethiopia.

Exposures and risks status of respondents

Regarding HIV risk status more than fifty percent (52%) of male respondents and only 39.4% of female respondents were at risk of HIV/AIDS. Among respondents with HIV risk about 48.0% of males and 48.4% of females had ever been tested and counseled for HIV. About 52.4% of males and 62.5% of females had only one sexual partner. Among respondents with no sex partner about 41.6% of males and 39.4% of females had ever been tested for HIV. About the variable knowing where place of HIV test, majority (82.9%) of males and 70.8% of females knew a place where to get HIV test. About 51.9% of males and 61.5% of females know place of HIV testing (Table 3).

Regarding mass media exposure, majority of males (82.5%) and less than half (45.2%) of females were exposed. Among those exposed to mass media, half (50.8%) of males and about six in ten (58.9%) of females had ever been tested for HIV. About three fourth males (74.7%) and less than half (46.9%) of females were exposed to substance use. Among those respondents exposed to substance use only 44% of males and 48% of females had been ever tested for HIV (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No risk</td>
<td>6,770 (48.0)</td>
<td>10,005 (60.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>7,339 (52.0)</td>
<td>6,496 (39.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumcision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1,097 (7.8)</td>
<td>4,639 (29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13,003 (92.2)</td>
<td>15,884 (96.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever heard AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>190 (1.4)</td>
<td>610 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13,915 (98.7)</td>
<td>15,884 (96.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know place of test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2,369 (17.3)</td>
<td>4,639 (29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11,543 (83.0)</td>
<td>11,242 (70.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of partners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No partner</td>
<td>6,180 (43.8)</td>
<td>6,103 (37.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only one</td>
<td>7,385 (52.4)</td>
<td>10,307 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one</td>
<td>535 (3.8)</td>
<td>73 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>5,114 (36.3)</td>
<td>9,032 (54.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8,982 (63.7)</td>
<td>7,455 (45.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2,452 (56.5)</td>
<td>2,817 (17.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4,340 (31.2)</td>
<td>1,820 (64.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Variables and p-values
The factors associated with HIV counseling and testing

In the bivariate logistic regression analysis the factors found to be significantly associated with HIV counseling and testing service utilization at p value less than or equal to 0.05 in both male and female genders were age of respondent, region, area of residence, educational level, religion, wealth index, marital status, occupation, mass media exposure, substance use, HIV related knowledge, HIV related risk behavior, HIV related stigma and number of sex partners. In addition wife's age among males and husband education, husband age and ANC visit among females were significantly associated with HIV counseling and testing in the bivariate logistic analysis (Table 4).

Variables significantly associated with HIV testing at binary logistic regression were entered into multivariable logistic regression analysis using stepwise regression model. The factors significantly and independently associated with HIV testing service utilization in both male and female groups were age of respondent, region, area of residence, educational level, religion, wealth index, marital status, occupation, mass media exposure, HIV related knowledge and HIV related stigma (Table 4).

Males within the age group of 25-34 years were 1.43 times more likely to be tested and counseled for HIV than the age group of 15-24 years [AOR=1.43, CI (1.28, 1.61)] and males aged from 35-49 years old were 1.15 times more likely to be tested and counseled for HIV than the age group of 15-24 years [AOR=1.15, CI (1.01, 1.33)]. Similarly after controlling the other variables, a female gender within age group 25-34 was 24% less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV as compared to 15-24 years old [AOR=0.76, CI (0.68, 0.85)] (Table 4).

Regarding the region of participants after controlling the other variables a male being from Afar, Amahara, Oromia, Somalia, Benishangul gumuz, SNNP, Gambela, Harari, Diredawa and Addis Ababa was less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV by 55% [AOR=0.45, CI (0.37, 0.56)], 23% [AOR=0.77, CI (0.66, 0.89)], 62% [AOR=0.38, CI (0.32, 0.44)], 78% [AOR=0.22, CI (0.17,0.29)], 28% [AOR=0.72, CI (0.60, 0.87)], 32% [AOR=0.68, CI (0.57, 0.81)], 42% [AOR=0.58, CI (0.48, 0.71)], 68% [AOR=0.32, CI (0.26, 0.39)], 25% [AOR=0.75, CI (0.61, 0.93)] and 29% [AOR=0.71, CI (0.58, 0.87)] as compared to a male coming from Tigray region (Table 4).

Similarly among females after controlling the other variables, female gender being from Afar, Amahara, Oromia, Somalia, Benishangul gumuz, SNNP, Gambela, Harari and Diredawa was less likely to be tested and counseled by 67% [AOR=0.33, CI (0.27, 0.41)], 60% [AOR=0.40, CI (0.35, 0.47)], 62% [AOR=0.38, CI (0.32, 0.45)], 90% [AOR=0.10, CI (0.08, 0.13)], 52% [AOR=0.48, CI (0.40, 0.58)], 50% [AOR=0.50, CI (0.42, 0.59)], 49% [AOR=0.51, CI (0.42, 0.62)], 53% [AOR=0.47, CI (0.39, 0.58)] and 58% [AOR=0.42, CI (0.35, 0.49)] as compared to a female gender being from Tigray region (Table 4).

The other significant variable was type of residence and after adjusting the other variables, males coming from the rural area were by 14% less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV as compared to a male coming from urban area [AOR=0.86, CI (0.77, 0.96)]. In female gender, a female gender being from rural area was by 43% less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV as compared to a female coming from the urban area [AOR=0.57: CI (0.51, 0.65)] (Table 4).

Table 3: HIV Counseling and Testing Service Utilization in relation to HIV related risks and exposures among Males and Females in Ethiopia, EDHS 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>&lt;0.001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever paid for sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,562 (25.3)</td>
<td>1,317 (37.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10,542 (74.7)</td>
<td>4,673 (44.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC Visit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8,928 (90.4)</td>
<td>4,000 (44.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>952 (9.6)</td>
<td>599 (62.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: HIV Counseling and Testing Service Utilization in relation to HIV related risks and exposures among Males and Females in Ethiopia, EDHS 2011.
3.2 times [AOR=3.2, CI (2.86, 3.58)] and 3.24 times [AOR=3.24, CI (2.79, 3.76)] more likely to be tested as compared to single females, respectively (Table 4).

Exposure of media was statistically and independently associated with the dependent variable. Males without exposure of mass media was less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV by 30% [AOR=0.70, CI (0.64, 0.77)] as compared to males exposed to mass media. Similarly females without exposure of mass media was less likely to be tested and counseled HIV by 29% [AOR=0.71, CI (0.65, 0.77)] as compared to females exposed to mass media (Table 4).

Males with low and high HIV related knowledge were less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV by 49% [AOR=0.51, CI (0.45, 0.57)] and 27% [AOR=0.73, CI (0.68, 0.80)] as compared to males with comprehensive knowledge of HIV, respectively. Similarly in females after adjusting the other variables, a female gender with low and high knowledge of HIV was less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV by 60% [AOR=0.40 CI (0.42, 0.54)] and 17% [AOR=0.83 CI (0.74, 0.92)] as compared to a female with comprehensive knowledge of HIV respectively (Table 4).

In addition to the above variables, HIV related stigma was statistically and independently significant variable with HIV testing and counseling. Males with low, medium and high HIV related stigma were less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV by 15% [AOR=0.85, CI (0.78, 0.94), 23% [AOR=0.67, CI (0.60, 0.75) and 50% [AOR=0.50, CI (0.44, 0.58)] as compared to males with no HIV stigma, respectively. Similarly females with low, medium and high HIV related stigma were less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV by 24% [AOR=0.76, CI (0.69, 0.83)], 48% [AOR=0.52, CI (0.47, 0.59)] and by 63% [AOR=0.37, CI (0.30, 0.45)] as compared to females with no HIV related stigma, respectively (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COR (95% CI)</td>
<td>AOR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1.69 (0.56, 1.84)</td>
<td>1.43 (1.28, 1.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49</td>
<td>1.26 (1.16, 1.38)</td>
<td>1.15 (1.00, 1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>0.89 (0.78, 1.01)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.78, 1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigray</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afar</td>
<td>0.36 (0.30, 0.42)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.37, 0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amhara</td>
<td>0.59 (0.51, 0.68)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.66, 0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oromia</td>
<td>0.43 (0.38, 0.50)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.32, 0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>0.18 (0.14, 0.22)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.17, 0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benishangul Gumuz</td>
<td>0.58 (0.49, 0.68)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.60, 0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNPP</td>
<td>0.60 (0.52, 0.69)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.57, 0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambela</td>
<td>0.72 (0.61, 0.86)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.48, 0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harari</td>
<td>0.62 (0.53, 0.73)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.26, 0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dire Dawa</td>
<td>1.25 (1.08, 1.47)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.61, 0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addis Ababa</td>
<td>1.27 (1.07, 1.51)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.58, 0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rural</td>
<td>0.36 (0.33, 0.38)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.77, 0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No education</td>
<td>0.19 (0.17, 0.21)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.30, 0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary</td>
<td>0.41 (0.38, 0.45)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.58, 0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary and above</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealth index</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.31 (0.29, 0.33)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.53, 0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.58 (0.53, 0.64)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.74, 0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.21 (0.19, 0.23)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.64, 0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthodox</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protestant</td>
<td>0.64 (0.58, 0.70)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.66, 0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.43 (0.41, 0.49)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.79, 0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>0.52 (0.48, 0.56)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.79, 0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.50 (0.40, 0.61)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.64, 1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>1.12 (1.04, 1.19)</td>
<td>1.67 (1.49, 1.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>separated</td>
<td>1.65 (1.38, 1.98)</td>
<td>1.90 (1.54, 2.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.71 (0.63, 0.81)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.56, 0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV knowledge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.24 (0.22, 0.27)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.45, 0.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.55 (0.51, 0.59)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.68, 0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV risk level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No risk</td>
<td>0.62 (0.58, 0.68)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.89, 1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV stigma level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No stigma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low stigma</td>
<td>0.67 (0.62, 0.73)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.78, 0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium stigma</td>
<td>0.36 (0.33, 0.40)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.60, 0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High stigma</td>
<td>0.23 (0.21, 0.26)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.44, 0.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.38 (0.35, 0.41)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.64, 0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sex partners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No partner</td>
<td>2.11 (1.72, 2.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only one</td>
<td>2.37 (1.94, 2.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wife's age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Although the prevalence of testing and counseling HIV was higher as compared to the previous reports of EDHS 2005 [8], the coverage is still below 50% in both male and female genders. Age, residence, region, religion, educational level, wealth index, marital status, occupation status, mass media exposure, HIV related knowledge and HIV-related stigma were found to be the most important determinants of HIV counseling and testing service utilization among males and females in Ethiopia.

In this study, age was found to be significant predictors of HIV counseling and testing service utilization in both males and females. In both genders, age group between 25-34 years was more likely to use HIV testing service utilization than the age group 15-24 years. In female gender, age group between 35-49 years was less likely to be tested HIV as compared to age group 15-24 years. This was in line with the studies conducted in Ethiopia, Uganda, rural Zimbabwe, South Africa and Kenya [8-12]. This could be due to mostly this age group are supposed to be married and begin to have their own sexual partner and particularly in females the high prevalence of pregnancy rate is high within the age group. Additionally females within this age group have relatively high sexual desire [4]. Whereas older females have relatively less opportunity to gets access of HIV testing services.

Both males and females of Afar, Amahara, Oromia, Somalia, Bennishangul gumuz, SNNP, Gambela, Harari and Dire dawa were less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV as compared to males and females of Tigray. And males of Addis Ababa were less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV as compared to males of Tigray. This might be comparatively the prevalence of HIV/AIDS is high in Tigray region. So that people are conscious to know about their HIV status. In addition as institutional delivery service utilization among women in this region is high, the PIHCT service might enhances the testing uptake in both sexes especially among females [13].

In this study both males and females from the rural area were less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV as compared to the urban residents. This finding was also in agreement with studies conducted in Zimbabwe and South Africa [9,14]. This might be because the rural people perceived themselves to have no risk of HIV infection, the prevalence of HIV is less in the rural area as compared to the urban and there is less access of health facility and awareness of HIV testing in rural areas.

Unmarried males were less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV as compared to married males. Studies conducted in Kenya [15], Mozambique [16] and Zimbabwe [13] were in agreement with this result. One possible reason for the significant association could be those unmarried/single might perceived that HIV/AIDS is only transmitted by sexual intercourse and those married once have the opportunity of testing during marriage and as partner involvement during ANC visit. But this study was in contrast with the studies conducted in Ethiopia [17]. Among females, marital status was not found to be significantly associated with HIV testing. However a study conducted in Zimbabwe reported that never-married women have higher odds of accepting HIV testing when it is offered [14]. This could be females in Zimbabwe and Ethiopia might have different socioeconomic and cultural characteristics. Additionally the study period might influence the association.

Protestant and Muslim males and females were less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV as compared to orthodox males and females in religion. This was in agreement with the studies conducted in Ethiopia [8] and Zimbabwe [14]. This could be due to the religion influence in which individuals health seeking behavior is different according to their beliefs and lower HIV testing and counseling numbers be linked to geography that is low HIV prevalence in certain regions.

In both genders being secondary and above in education were more likely to be tested and counseled for HIV as compared to those uneducated counter parts. This study was in line with the studies conducted in Ethiopia which found that having higher educational status was associated with ever being tested for HIV in both men and women [18,19] and other studies conducted in South Africa [9] and Kenya [15]. The possible reason could be due to education is more likely to increase awareness and understanding of health related information as well as confidence in interacting with health care providers. Education also influences knowledge about which types of health care services to use, as well as when and how to use them. In
addition those more educated people have better health seeking behavior as compared to the illiterate people.

Studies conducted in Ethiopia [9], rural Uganda [11], Zambia [20,21], a cohort study in Zimbabwe [22] and Mozambique [23], were in line with this study that a male gender with poor and middle in wealth index was less likely to be counseled and tested for HIV as compared to a rich male gender. Similarly females with poor and middle wealth index were less likely to be tested and counseled HIV as compared to females with rich wealth index. This association could be as a result of those who were rich might have the probability to get a health service access easily and to choose a health service which is comfortable to them.

Another very important predictor of HIV testing and counseling in both genders was mass media exposure. Those who were not exposed to mass media were less likely to be tested for HIV. This study is in line with the study conducted in Zimbabwe [14]. This could be those people who were exposed to mass media might have the probability to know the benefits of early HIV counseling and testing, where and how HIV counseling and testing is given and their awareness towards HIV related knowledge and stigma become improved.

The present study also reported that both male and female genders that had low knowledge about HIV/AIDS were less likely to be tested and counseled for HIV as compared to those who had comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS. This finding is also in line with the studies conducted in Ethiopia [8], Uganda [24] and Nigeria [25]. The possible reason could be those who had better knowledge or comprehensive knowledge about HIV/AIDS might have the probability to know how HIV/AIDS is transmitted, the prevention mechanism and the benefit of HIV testing.

Regarding HIV related stigma, studies conducted in Humara, Ethiopia, and other SSA studies which found having no stigmatizing attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS was found to be strongly and positively associated with HIV counseling and testing service utilization in both urban and rural part [20,26-29]. This association could be as a result of those with high HIV related stigma might have thought if HIV test is performed and the test result could be positive they considered that they would be stigmatized by the society. Therefore they prefer to hide themselves from knowing their HIV status.

In addition to the significant contribution of our findings for testing and counseling HIV, the study has limitations. The information in the survey was self-reported, so to some extent under-reporting of socially unacceptable behaviors and attitudes (such as stigma) and over reporting of socially desirable behaviors were likely. Representation of the whole county is the strength of this study and it is possible to generalize the findings for the country in both genders.

Conclusion

This study found that HIV testing service utilization among male and females in Ethiopia is low. HIV/AIDS-related stigma, HIV related knowledge, place of residence, educational level, marital status, wealth index, and media exposure were major factors affecting HIV testing service utilization among males and females in the country.

Recommendation

Adult education on HIV testing should be expanded and strengthened to increase awareness on HIV/AIDS. Mass media should be addressed and strengthen particularly to the rural part of the country. So that clients can be easily aware about the importance of HIV testing. Awareness creation on HIV counseling and testing service utilization should focus on avoiding stigmatizing and discriminatory behaviors, so that clients can develop positive attitudes towards people living with HIV/AIDS.
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