Fe-bearing Clay Mineral: A Significant Fe Pool for Abiotic Electron Transfer
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Editorial

Clay minerals, which totally make up 4.5% of the earth's crust, are generally classified into three different phyllosilicates: illites, smectites and kaolins. Of these, kaolins generally has the lowest Fe content and illite the highest; however, smectite also has appreciable Fe contents (<30 wt %). In this editorial, the focus is restricted to the Fe-bearing smectites, since smectites are ubiquitous in diverse environments such as soils, sediments and non-marine weathering environments [1].

Iron may exist in both the octahedral and tetrahedral sheets of 1:1 (one octahedral sheet linked to one tetrahedral sheet) and 2:1 (one octahedral sheet sandwiched between two tetrahedral sheets) clay minerals. Iron can also occur as a interlayer compensating ion. Since clay mineral bound Fe in clay minerals has been proposed to act as renewable source of redox equivalents in anoxic environment. The structural FeIII/FeII couple in clay lattice is a significant redox buffer in many subsurface environments that influences the biogeochemical dynamics of other redox-active elements (e.g. uranium, chromium and arsenic) and nutrient (e.g. phosphate and nitrogen), and contaminant transformation. Much is known that structural FeII is the predominant reductant of a variety of organic and inorganic contaminants [2,3], such as nitroaromatic, chlorinated solvents, chloroacetanilide herbicides, radionuclides and heavy metals. In contrast, FeIII-bearing clays can catalyze the oxidation of pentachlorophenol to octachlorodibenzo-dioxin (OCDD) under environmental conditions, explaining the unique dominance of one polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) congener in soils.

Iron redox activity varies for different smectites as a consequence of mineral properties such as total Fe content and cations distribution within the structure, and local binding environments (e.g. aqueous, complexed, sorbed and structural Fe). Previous work has emphasized that not all FeIII in smectite is similarly reactive. Hofstetter, et al [2], demonstrated that basal surface-bound FeIII was not reactive toward nitroaromatic, while edge-complexed and structural FeII were effective in producing the aniline products [2]. Chemical reduction of structural FeIII in the clay by dithionite is a common "recharge" mode to attain the redox cycling of FeII/FeIII. Recently, Zhao et al. and our group [4-7] developed a novel photochemical "recharge" method based on the principle of dye photosensitization. Song et al. reported that structural FeIII can be in-situ reduced by the excited dyes, providing a sustainable way to revive structural FeII [4]. The photochemically generated structural FeII is efficient to catalyze H2O2 decomposition [4-6] or reduce toxic Cr (VI) [7]. Its efficiency can be further enhanced if a synthetic iron-rich smectite (24.4 wt %) is used [6]. This new strategy to maintain high iron redox activity will be valuable for developing novel Fenton-like reaction or technology for simultaneous removal of dye pollutants and other redox-active metal pollutants.

To date, although much is known about the important role of Fe pool of clays in biogeochemistry and contaminant transformation, there are still lots of challenges and unanswered questions about the mechanisms governing the electron transfer. For example, how is the electron passed from the reducing agent to Fe atom at the octahedral sheet which is located at a distance of several Å from the point of closest approach (basal surface)? Why cannot dissimilatory Fe-reducing bacteria and chemicals like hydrazine, hydroquinone, sulfide and ascorbic acid completely reduce the structural FeII? Are all the structural Fe in smectite reactive? In recognition of these challenges, this editorial is a call for additional research to reveal the significance and working principle of Fe-bearing clays as Fe pool for abiotic electron transfer.
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