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Abstract

Natural grasslands cover approximately 14% of geographical area of Nepal and are important areas in terms of biodiversity and sources of forage for wild and domestic animals, and for medicinal and herbal plants. Karnali watershed area is very sensitive and need of scientific management of highland grasslands which are rich in number of plants and animal species that have significant role and contribution in national economy and ecology. However, these grasslands are in threat and exist in their natural state within protected areas as neighbouring grasslands and forest habitats have been rapidly degraded. At Higher altitudes, trans-Himalayan and alpine rangelands are home to a diverse array of wildlife and are grazed by livestock, which are an integral part of the livelihood of several different ethnic groups. However, these grasslands are being overgrazed, little is known about the ecology and sustainability of prevailing land use practices. The prime concern of the paper is to address the existing issues, challenges along with biodiversity characteristics of the higher altitude grasslands. Thus, the focus of the paper is to point out the major grassland ecological research conducted to date and devise effective research and management strategies suggested to management of grassland beyond the protected areas and adjacent areas. Discussion of the paper explores some applicable measures to high elevation grassland management strategies with active participation of local communities with a view to provide comprehensive policy guidance for the management of grasslands and shrub lands in the Karnali region.
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Introduction

Grasslands or pasturelands are the source of forage for free-ranging native and domestic animals as well as a source of wood products, water, energy, wildlife, minerals and recreational opportunities in Nepal, which occupy 14% area of the country (MOF, 2012). In Karnali region, almost all communities rely on it to meet basic needs as well as to provide surplus for cash income and used in both native rangelands and forest grazing lands which cover around 10% of geographical area of the region [1,2]. Grasslands resources have been used for centuries in various purposes and managed as common property resources through complex institutional arrangements among pastoral groups, farm communities and social or governmental organizations. These vital resources are equally important for intangible products like natural beauty, open space, medicinal and aromatic plants, watershed conservation and eco-tourism that satisfy important social, cultural and economic values since long. The value and benefits from grasslands are gaining increasing recognition from the climate change adaptation point of view, particularly; these resources have significant contribution for carbon sequestration, watershed management, biodiversity conservation, integration of farmland, grasslands and forestland ecology, and support to continue traditional ecosystem services. However, these resources are rapidly becoming scarce resource. Many factors threaten and contributing to the decline due to the converting land into individual tenure ship as a process of conversion of pasturelands into farmland and its intensification. Most of the grasslands of the region are unsuitable for cultivation due to physical limitations such as rouged topography, poor drainage, low precipitation and cold temperatures. Naturally, these are low in agricultural productivity and are often extremely variable in terms of climate and forage production. The marginal nature of pasturelands and adapted production system require to way out the sustainable management of grasslands in order to enhance the ecological productivity of grasslands, develop an extensive networks between grassland production systems with agricultural communities, and reduce risk associated with variable environment. It is important national task to enhance linkages between agricultural areas and extensive grasslands for interchange of products such as fodder, manure, milk, meat and food crops. next important aspect is to recognize pasturelands as viable land resources to implement the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) introduced mechanism of Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD) in Nepalese context. The ever increasing trends of deforestation, resource degradation and habitat fragmentation affects rural resource based livelihoods and severe environmental threats are appearing at nationwide. Experiences show that the proposed Nepal's REDD strategy can be implemented effectively by a great extent on watershed services and water resources, the rational given being in terms of upstream-downstream linkages to meet the goals of sustainable development, biodiversity conservation and improved livelihood for the poor. Climate change threats and challenges could be reduced and ensure the adaptation capacity by forest resources conservation and enhancement by addressing the livelihood concern of bio resource dependent people, and by establishing effective policy, regulatory, and institutional structures [3] pointed out an important way of adaptation of climate change to reduce carbon emission from land degradation and deforestation and...
said that 1 tons of carbon stored in grassland is the result of the removal of 3.67 tons of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere [4]. It is estimated that forest ecosystems can absorb up to 3 Pg of carbon (C) annually however in recent years a significant portion of carbon has been returned to atmosphere through deforestation and degradation of grasslands. Further, grassland degradation leads to a decline of the natural resources i.e., decreased biodiversity, soil and water quality, rapid runoff, lower productivity, increased poverty, and vulnerability with land use pressure which directly effects to a significant reduction in soil carbon stock and plays important role in global warming. Pasture land or rangeland ecologists said that improved grassland and legume grass can fix required amount of carbon in soil and increase overall productivity of pasture land ecosystems [5]. But some cases, the large scale cultivation of simplified grass monocultures have found more vulnerable to climate change. Therefore, focus is to improve and link cropland, forestland and grassland ecosystems by applying bioengineering technique of multifunctional landscape as the process of mitigation of climate change and harnessing of environmental benefits for the economic, ecological and social sustainability.

Worldwide experiences show that the well-managed grasslands in mountainous region like Nepal can enhance over all biomass productivity, sequestering carbon potential economic benefit to the community people with multi strata live fences for recovering the traditional ecosystem services and also integrate pasture and agro forestry systems [6,7]. In Nepalese context, grassland management and agro forestry integration could be one of the best strategies for poverty reduction, ecological restoration, enhancing agricultural productivity, carbon sequestration, and conservation of water, soil and other bio resource. This has many benefits such as farm production incensement to community level and benefits to world community and global environment. Scientific communities believe that the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) offered by Kyoto Protocol could reduce rural poverty by extending payments to low income farmers who provide carbon storage through sustainable land use system. Central American farmland- pasture land integration experience indicates that both livestock productivity and environmental services are significantly increased by adoption of Silvo-Pastoral System (SPS) [8]. Thus, the present paper tries to discourse the biophysical characteristics of high altitude grasslands of Nepal with a view to dig out the need and importance of grassland management for mitigation of climate change and harnessing the environmental services to ecologcal, economic and social sustainability that could increase the farm level production and carbon stock in soil.

Grasslands have high inherent Soil Organic Matter (SOM) content that supplies plant nutrients; increases soil aggregation, limits soil erosion, and also increases cation exchange and water holding capacities. Thus, maintenance of SOM is a key factor in the sustainability of grassland ecosystems. SOM in temperate grasslands averages 331 Mg/ha, and grasslands contain 12% of the earth’s SOM. Grassland SOM can be strongly influenced by management. Historically, intensive cultivation has resulted in the transfer of 993 Tg of SOM to the atmosphere in the form of CO2 in the United States alone, much of which was lost from native grasslands. However, historical SOM losses can potentially be reversed, and atmospheric carbon (C) sequestered, with good agricultural management. In the Nepalese context, agricultural conservation practices such as reduced tillage, improved fertilizer management, elimination of bare fallowing, the use of perennials in rotations, and the use of cover crops can potentially sequester large amounts of atmospheric carbon. Similarly, areas converted from cultivation and maintained under well managed permanent grassland, as pastures or rangelands, constitute potential carbon sinks. Within established pastures, soil carbon can be increased by eliminating disturbances to the soil and by increasing primary production.

A variety of management techniques have evolved to increase forage production for livestock, which also have the potential to increase SOM. Improved management includes fertilization, irrigation, introduction of earthworms, intensive grazing management, and sowing of favourable forages and legumes. As forage production increases, an ancillary benefit be increased sequestration of atmospheric carbon. Indeed, Gifford et al. noted that improved pasture management is an important consideration when computing the national carbon budget for Australia. The objective of this study was to examine the influence of grassland management and conversion into grassland based on published data. The research team surveyed the potential for carbon sequestration following management improvement and following conversion of both native and cultivated lands to pasture land. Factors influencing carbon sequestration potentials were investigated across the region and through different forms of improved management. Finally, the team evaluated how time, sampling depth, and soil characteristics relate to sequestration rates of atmospheric carbon, and how climate can influence management-induced changes in soil carbon.

**Method and Materials**

Data were compiled from the literature on the influence of grassland management and land use conversion to grassland on soil carbon. In order for data to be useful for this analysis, studies examining land management must have been designed so that management was the primary factor influencing soil carbon. A variety of management practices were reported, including fertilization, intensity of grazing management, introduction of earthworms, introduction of legumes and grasses, and irrigation. Management was designated as improved if adoption generally resulted in increased forage production. For example, fertilization, irrigation, sowing legumes, and introduction of grasses or earthworms were all considered management improvements. Grazing was designated as improved management if an ungrazed site was present for comparison with grazed sites. Occasionally, a range of grazing treatments was compared without an ungrazed control. For these cases, the moderate stocking rate was considered improved management since low stocking rates may undertilize forage resources and high stocking rates may be abusive, both leading to decreased production. If more than one fertilizer treatment was evaluated within a study, each was compared with an unfertilized control plot. Land conversions from cultivation to perennial grassland were included in the analysis. Conversion of native land, rangeland, or pasture to cultivation, were not included in this study, as those data have been reviewed elsewhere. Many of the papers reported data for multiple depths, permitting a soil carbon by depth comparison with nearly 400 points. In addition to soil carbon, information on latitude, longitude, soil texture, duration of treatment, Mean Annual Temperature (MAT), Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP), measurement techniques, experimental design, and primary production were recorded when present. Summary information about each data point is available. Studies included in this review generally used three different approaches to examine the influence of management on soil carbon. The most common method was to examine paired plots, whereby two proximate sites differing only in management were compared. Experiments designed to carry out
planned comparisons were also common. The third, least common, approach compared soil samples collected sometime in the past with subsequent measurements made within the same farm or field following a change in management. All three approaches require consistent measurement techniques, established differences between treatments, a well-documented site history, and unambiguous information about all pertinent aspects of the experimental design and results. Maintaining uniformity between plots is especially important for paired plot comparisons since soil characteristics can influence land use and land management decisions. Soil carbon measurement techniques and methods of reporting soil carbon data varied substantially. Soil carbon was usually oxidized by combustion or wet oxidation and measured by titration, conductivity, or chromatography. When data on SOM or percent of material lost on ignition were reported, we assumed that SOM was 58% Carbon (Nelson and Sommers). Data were often reported as %C by weight with no indication of the bulk density of the soil. Soil carbon concentration data without accompanying information about soil bulk density are less useful in making either regional extrapolations or estimates of soil C storage potential. Since data were reported both with and without bulk density measurements, data were standardized by calculating both the annual percent change following management improvement or conversion and the ratio of soil carbon under improved grassland management with that under unimproved management, native vegetation, or cultivation. This requires the assumption that bulk densities were uniform between comparative sites; this assumption was evaluated when possible. MAT and precipitation (MAP) were obtained from a 0.58 3 0.58 grid cell climate map developed for use in the POTSDAM project. Potential Evapotranspiration (PET) was evaluated when possible. MAT and precipitation (MAP) were obtained from a 0.58 3 0.58 grid cell climate map developed for use in the POTSDAM project. Potential Evapotranspiration (PET) was calculated using mean monthly temperatures, the annual heat index and a latitudinal correction factor (Thornthwaite, 1948) Native vegetation.

Ecosystem Services of Grassland

The concept of ecosystem services is important for understanding human environment relation and designing environmental policy intervention. Recently, Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) has emerged as policy solution for balancing goods (derived by individuals and services (derived by society) from natural systems. Experience with incentive-based approaches suggests that PES of grassland can always be able to simultaneously improve livelihoods and increase ecosystem services, and that no single policy fits a range of scenarios. Therefore, to implement a successful PES strategy, the social, economic, and environmental contexts need to be considered in order to determine the policy outcomes. Grasslands of Karnali watershed area provide important regulatory and buffering services to a large number of rural people; the provisioning services they provide are the backbone of the local economy. But these resources are influenced by policies in forests, agriculture, animal husbandry, land use, and rural development, the imposition of several policies and acts that are at times contradictory or overlapping has led to conflicts of tenure rights, unclear land records, faulty land use practices, and over exploitation grassland resources. With the growing importance linkage of farmland, grassland and forestland crucial ecosystem services and their potential role in mitigating climate change related impacts, future sectoral policies need to converge and focus to link and maintaining the integrity of these three land ecosystems so as to ensure the flow of goods and services.

The goods and services provided by the grassland ecosystems are unique and varied by the geographical scale and location-specific biophysical characteristics and both local and upstream-downstream communities are being beneficiaries of the ecosystem services. Services provided by the grassland ecosystem that are provisioning, regulating, cultural and supporting are given in Table 1.

It can be stated from the Table 1 that the provisioning services of the ecosystem services are the most crucial for the wellbeing and survival of the local communities those who depend on the rural natural resources. Forest products, farm products and livestock products benefit communities at both local and regional scales. The benefits provided by the grasslands ecosystem of climate control, water regulation, flood and landslide mitigation soil erosion control and carbon sequestration occur at a large scale and benefit upstream-downstream communities in the area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provisioning services</th>
<th>Regulating services</th>
<th>Cultural services</th>
<th>Supporting services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food, Fuel, Forage</td>
<td>Climate regulation</td>
<td>Local, historical and religious</td>
<td>Nutrient cycling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivatives of Farm and Livestock products</td>
<td>Water cycle regulation</td>
<td>Recreational</td>
<td>Interlink between socio-ecological system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest products (TFP, NTFP &amp; MAPs)</td>
<td>Flood and land slide mitigation</td>
<td>Aesthetic</td>
<td>Food chain and food web maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel wood and Greener</td>
<td>Ecological balance</td>
<td>Ethical</td>
<td>Continuing traditional life support services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh water</td>
<td>Carbon sequestration</td>
<td>Educational</td>
<td>Water cycling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh air</td>
<td>GHG reduction</td>
<td>Symbolic</td>
<td>Enhancing livelihood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Grassland ecosystems services (adopted and modified from ICIMOD, 2013).

Biophysical Characteristics of Grassland

Grasslands are generally composed by a mixture of grasses, broad-leaved flowering plants, bushes, herbs, meadows and free from tall trees. They develop in area with 25-75 cm of annual rainfall and cool and cold temperatures. Plant species found in high altitude grasslands grasses; grass like sedges, bushes forbs, and meadows are known as forage medicinal herbs and shrubs which supply food and energy for domestic and wildlife animals. Some of them graze on grasslands and some others browse on leaves, twinges and shoots. A leaf tip of grassland vegetation like is nibbled off without affecting growth as long as the lower most portion of the leaf remains intact and in a short span of time the leaf can grow to its original length. So that grass leaf can be grazed again and again without any adverse effects, as long as the plant has some time to recover. Thus, in biological characteristics these grass species have provided continuous food reservoir for the grazing animals in high altitude area in Nepal. Grassland ecologists said that the upper 50% of the grass shoot (stem and leaves) as a surplus that can be safely eaten by herbivores without damaging the plants. The rest lower 50% known as the metabolic reserve i.e., necessary for grass survival [9]. This portion provides required amount of photosynthesis that needs to manufacture foods for the roots. The seasonal growth of
available grass species have been presented in Figure 1. Available grassland species in the area are to be classified into three categories with respect to the dynamics of plant succession.

![Figure 1: Grass growth rate.](image)

i) Decreases are highly nutritious, extremely palatable that generally decreases under grazing pressure and extracting of aromatic and medicinal herbs by uncontrolled human activities. These species are big bluestem, little bluestem, blue gram, wheat grass and others.

ii) Increasers are less palatable but highly nutritious climax species that tend to increase with heavily grazed. It is due to the result of reduced competition from the decreases. Severe grazing pressures over a long period the increasers being to decline and replaced by invaders.

iii) Invaders are undesirable weeds with low nutritional value and not suitable for grazing. Some are poisonous also. These species have shared seeds that can harm animals by lodging in their throats or piercing their skin. Invaders are not also effective and useful in binding the soil by their taproots.

**Challenges and Issues of Grassland Ecology**

An excellent condition of grassland has to contain by high percentage of degreasers almost no invaders from the view point of ecological balance of grassland. However, in recent years the grassland ecology of the Karnali watershed area is being poor by the gradual decline of palatable and highly nutritious forage-value decreases and an increasing proportion of low forage invaders. Consequently, the carrying capacity of the grassland ecology of the area is to decline and loss the grazing capacity of maximum number of herbivores biomass that grazes each year without causing downward trend in forage production, forage quality and soil quality. The declining trend of carrying capacity of high altitude grassland is affected by various factors like annual climatic conditions, grassland use practice, kinds of grazing animals and duration of grazing and herbal harvesting. There is also an increasing trend to converse that grassland and other marginal lends into farmland and local communities found to move to extent their agricultural land as the main cause to decline the quality and quantity of grassland in the Karnali region. Another vital cause to decline grassland quality in the area is low level of peoples’ participation on common property resources management. It is widely observed that local people are very active to use common resources like grasslands and forestlands for their personal or household benefits but nobodies takes care to manage common resources like watersheds, water sources, grasslands and other such resources which have much more intangible value for the regions’ healthy environment and rich biodiversity. Government role in terms of policy and program in favour to conservation and management of grassland in the Karnali watershed area is not also effective because of the local government authorities are not serious to implement the grassland eco-friendly development activities and also not found any such programs that increase the public awareness on grassland conservation, management and sustainable utilization at the community level. All this creates the current state of grassland ecology which is in challenging by lowering the potential natural as well as ecological quality and has no any specific action plan in the area. Till now, Forest Department is a single responsible government authority to mobilize all national resources and policies for the proper management of grasslands. But in practice, Forest Department has given less priority to the grassland management and high emphasis has been given to forest. Therefore, grasslands in the Karnali watershed are severely abused, misused and overused due to improper grazing system, week government policy and low level of public awareness on common resource like grassland management sustainably. Similarly, limited data from partial survey on grassland management and utilization show the grasslands have been rapidly degraded in the area. Very few technical assessment made in past by governmental and non-governmental organizations show that the regions’ overgrazing trends had led large amount of soil erosion and more than 60% grasslands have been losing productivity. An example of summer grass seen has been given in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: Summer grassland scene.](image)

This trend will continue to do so unless sustainable management practices are put in action-place [10]. A case study made by Pokhrel [2] stated that grassland carrying capacity of the Karnali watershed has been declined by 50-80% and somewhere desertification process has appeared. Almost all grasslands are experiencing overgrazing and over harvesting of herbal plant species and decreased forage production grass. Particularly, grassland area of Jumla and Kalikot show signs of a rapidly deteriorating forage production and approaching towards desertification.
Grassland in Dolpa has also serious overharvesting problem where the carrying capacity of grassland is far exceeded by YARSAGUMBA collectors. YARSAGUMBA is Nepalese Tibetan name dbyar rtswa dgu'n'Mbu (summer grass, winter worm) for a rare fungus that parasites on the body of a caterpillar of a moth (genus *Thitarodes*). This so-called CATERPILLAR FUNGUS (*Cordyceps*, or also *Ophiocordyceps sinensis*) grows only at high altitudes. For its medical effects, YARSAGUMBA has been an important component for a many of years in Traditional Chinese Medicine. However, due to a constantly growing demand and the difficulties in harvesting, YARSAGUMBA has become the most expansive medicinal substance in the world (Figure 3).

![Figure 3: Sample of Yarsagumba found in pasture/grassland in Karnali watershed area.](image)

The caterpillar of a moth genus *THITARODES* (Hepialus) lives underground in alpine grass and shrub lands at an altitude of 3000-5000 m spending up to 5 years underground before pupating, feeding on roots of a plants. During this larvae state, the caterpillar is attacked by a fungus of the genus *OPHIOCORDYCIPITACEAE*. It is not certain how the fungus infects the caterpillar-possibly by ingestion of a fungal spore or by the fungus mycelium, invading the insect through its breathing pores. The fungus fills its entire body cavity with mycelium, eventually killing and mummifying the insect.

Before this happens, somehow, the fungus causes the caterpillar to get near the top of its burrow. In springtime, after the snow melts, mushrooms emerge from the ground, always growing out of forehead of the caterpillar. The size of a mature mushroom reaches 5-15 cm above the surface and releases its spores onto the ground, and the cycle repeats in the high pasturlands especially in Karnali region. But recently, this valuable medicinal species in the threat to extinction by the thousands of Yarsagumba collectors go in search of during the spring season. The returns are worth the effort and the risks involved since a person can earn over 2,500 dollars in a good season (a tidy sum considering that the annual per capita of Nepal is below 500 dollars). Usable upper of Yarsagumba needs only be used in sustainable way in order to continue its production by the proper management of highland grassland in the region (Figure 4).

![Figure 4: Usable upper part of Yarsagumba.](image)

Yarasagumba has been a part of traditional Chinese medicine since ancient times. Its many attributes have been studied at some length by some scholars, particularly Chinese scholars who recommend Yarsagumba for the treatment of stress and fatigue, for respiratory diseases like tuberculosis and asthma, for disease related to the kidneys and the liver, as well as for cardiovascular distresses, and so on. However, the one use of Yarsagumba that has caught most of the...
world's attention is its use as an aphrodisiac. Tibetan medicine lists Yarsagumba as a tonic for boosting immunity and for enhancing virility aside from its supplementary role along with other medicines in kidney, lungs and heart problems. Yarsagumba is also recommended by Tibetan traditional practitioners for improving eyesight and for treating Hepatitis B.

It is evident that pastureland is an important natural resource of the Karnali watershed area from the point view of economic growth, livelihood improvement, public health promotion and ecological soundness. In overall more than 60% of population of Karnali region are directly or indirectly dependent on regions' grassland resource which has severely degraded in since the last decades.

**Grassland Management: Need and Practice**

Foregoing discussion permits to say that the life species and ecosystems of grassland are seriously threatened in Karnali region which are the prime of biological resources that are vital to regions' ecology and economy as well as for the national prosperity. But both political and economic systems fail to build sustainable linkage between grassland ecology and livelihood of the community people. It is true that the struggle to save species and ecosystems cannot be divorced from the broader struggle to achieve a new world order in which the basic needs of all are met. Scientific communities and even affected communities realized that the sustainable production of food, fuel, fodder, medicines and water in rural areas depends on ecosystem services derived from adjacent three land ecosystem (cropland, grassland and forestland) services and their interrelation. Thus, focus has to be integrated the three land ecosystem with a view to enhance the biological productivity and continuity of traditional ecosystem services in which all life species including human feel comfort and easy to grow, development and extension. Various methods, approaches and action-strategies have been practiced for the sustainable management of grassland at global scale; however, the replicable results are varied according to local geography, technology and impressive output of the action in relation to point out the role for harnessing environmental services. Biodiversity of the region clearly shows that there is an urgent need to develop a land use change biodiversity index for each change and to analyze the relationship between carbon sequestration and biodiversity for addressing multiple issues that facing by the region. From the sustainable point of view watershed management and conservation methods are more economical and environmental sound ways to prevent the natural hazards, mitigate the rising climatic problems and to enhance the ecological productivity in terms of harnessing soil fertility, water storage capacity, aquifer recharge, wildlife habitat and agriculture. Therefore, sound farming and forestry practices are to be best path ways for the protection of grassland in the region by applying watershed management approach. This approach especially preserves the natural water storage capacity and aquifer recharge zones that enhance river fed by grass species and ultimately control the soil erosion, carbon sequestration and biodiversity conservation through active participation of local communities.

The main goal of grassland management is to maximize the livestock or herbivore productivity without degrading grassland quality. Thus, grassland management is an interdisciplinary field of task that uses inputs from soil and plant sciences, geomorphology and climatology, animal and wildlife sciences, forestry, hydrology, political economics and other related applied disciplines for the proper understanding of grassland ecosystem services so that past changes can be explained and future influence predicted. The best strategy of grassland management in the Karnali region is to prevent grassland from deteriorating and measures have to be applied for determining the carrying capacity of grassland ecosystems that help to avoid overgrazing as well as uncontrolled herbal harvesting, practicing stock manipulation and artificial seeding. Managers, policy makers and ranchers need to understand that animals tend to concentrate in meadows and along stream margins and to avoid ridges and slopes. So, proper management of grassland has to focus to locate water holes and salt blocks. Because cattle and other herbivores normally congregate around water sources so that salt blocks need to be placed roughly 0.8 kilometre from the nearest water source, preferably in ungrazed areas on ridges, sloppy area where livestock normally avoid to graze. Sustainable grassland management community initiations and policy efforts would enhance the productivity of bio resources in the region. It would help to improve the commercial livestock farming and production of medicinal species. There is high potentiality to promote the commercial livestock farming for the sustainable livelihood improvement of the inhabitant of the Karnali region. The perspective of the scientific grassland management in the region is too high as given in Figure 5.

![Figure 5: Perspective of sheep farming in Karnali region.](image)

**Conclusion**

Grassland management concerns the production and utilization of grass. In the region the formal management of grassland can be traced back to the past over 500 years ago as farmers sought to convert grass into useful products, for example milk and meat. It considers highland grassland management in the context of present ecology and farming life in the region. Amongst the many different types of grass that are found throughout the Karnali region, all share a common characteristic in their seasonality of growth. Grass hardly grows at all when the temperature is below 0°C, but grows vigorously in the warm wet conditions that are typical of the April, May and June period. The productive capacity of grassland depends on a large number of factors but in the main is dependent upon the climate and the soil. Mild temperate weather; with deep rich soils; being the most favourable. Other factors such as the topography of the land, species mix, and sward damage can be important, but generally to a lesser extent. Grass, like all other crops, responds to soil conditions that are high in fertility. This may be naturally occurring or it can be artificially enhanced by the application of fertilizer. The principal nutrients that grasslands require are nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P), potassium (K) and sulphur.
The challenge for grassland management is to exploit the potential of grass growth in the early summer period by growing lots of it, but in such a manner that it is utilized without waste. This necessitates part of the grassland area being allocated for the production of silage or hay for use as a winter fodder. Surplus grass growth in relation to livestock requirements is illustrated in the graph alongside. In the spring local people may be reserving as much as 2/3 of their grassland acreage for the production of silage or hay. By midsummer this may have fallen to 1/3 with the entire grassland acreage being grazed by late summer. However, grassland management is about more than just production. It needs to conserve grass (silage and hay) that is of the right palatability and nutritional quality. In this respect commercial livestock farming needs lots of young nutritious grass or silage to support milk production whereas cattle do best on grass that is more mature and of lower palatability. Sheep require swards where the grass length is short and will not utilize grassland efficiently if the grass is more than a few inches tall. The effective management and utilization of grassland therefore often involves different livestock grazing the same grassland in a complimentary manner. The case of sulphur is interesting sulphur used to be discharged into the atmosphere in large quantities by power stations, a process that gave rise to acid rain. Although acid rain was detrimental to some ecosystems it nonetheless provided necessary sulphur to grassland and arable crops. With the advent of much cleaner burning technology and a move towards cleaner fuels (gas instead of fuel wood), the amount of sulphur in the atmosphere has greatly reduced and grass can become deficient without additional application by the local people.
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