

Gross Motor Performance by Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Typically Developing Children on TGMD-2

Ting Liu^{1*}, Michelle Hamilton¹, Lyndsay Davis¹ and Sayed ElGarhy²

¹Department of Health and Human Performance, Texas State University, USA

²Fayoum University, Egypt

Abstract

Objective: To examine the gross motor skill performance using the Test of Gross Motor Development-2 (TGMD-2) on children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and their age matched peers (5-10 years).

Methods: A total of 21 children with ASD (M=7.57 years) and 21 age matched typically developing children (M=7.38 years) participated in this study. TGMD-2 is a standardized test to assess 12 gross motor skills for children. All study participants completed the TGMD-2 assessments. A MANOVA was conducted on TGMD-2 scores to compare motor performance of children with ASD and typically developing children.

Results: For the locomotor subtest, 67% children with ASD received poor standard scores and 40% of scores were very poor. About 60% children with ASD had poor standard scores and 33% of scores were very poor on object control skills as described in the TGMD-2 manual. For overall gross motor quotient scores, 81% children with ASD were below 79 and classified as poor and about 76% children scored below 70 and received very poor rating. A MANOVA analysis revealed significant performance difference between children with ASD and typically developing children ($p < .01$). The effect sizes (ES) describing motor delays of the study participants between the two groups were large ($> .80$).

Conclusion and implication: For educators, therapists, and practitioners, it is important to note that children across the autism spectrum showed significant delays in gross motor skill performance when compared to their age matched peers. Locomotion and object control skills are fundamental motor skills in which children interact with their environment and other children. Developing a therapeutic intervention includes those gross motor skills may positively impact children with ASD's movement competence.

Keywords: TGMD-2; ASD; Locomotor; Object Control; Gross Motor Skills

Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is the fastest growing developmental disorder in America with an estimated 1.148 % growth rate [1,2]. As reported by the DSM-5, ASD is characterized by a range of social and communicative impairments as well as repetitive behaviors [3]. Although language, social, and cognitive differences are characteristics of ASD, motor difficulties have also been noted [4,5].

Motor impairment is not a part of the diagnostic criteria for children with ASD. However, the abundance of research literature reporting motor delays in children with autism is significant [6-16]. Early diagnosis is critical to early educational interventions which have been found to be an effective treatment for mitigating symptoms of ASD in children and even present a promising possibility for prevention [5,17,18]. Thus, researchers are increasingly considering the importance of motor function regarding issues in ASD diagnosis due to the observable motor impairment trend [19-25].

Gross motor skills are fundamental skills necessary for movement competence and considered the basic building blocks for more complex motor skill development [26,27]. Gross motor skills refer to goal directed movement patterns that allow children to move through space and respond in a suitable way to a variety of stimuli using larger musculatures [20,28]. Mastery of these skills is prerequisite to successful introduction of specific sports and games [28,29].

The present study focused on assessing gross motor skill performance of children across the full range of autism spectrum with their aged matched typically developing children (aged 5-10) using TGMD-2. The TGMD-2 is a validated assessment that qualitatively examines children's gross motor skill performances and has been used

for children with ASD [6,13,15,30].

To our knowledge only three studies have been reported measuring gross motor performance of children with ASD using the TGMD-2 compared to standardized normative data or typically developing children; yet, these studies have some methodological limitations and inconsistencies. For example, Berkley, et al. studied the locomotor and object control skills on 15 children (10 boys and 5 girls) with high functioning autism (HFA) (aged 6-8) [6]. It was reported that 80% of children with HFA (all girls and 7 boys) were placed in the poor and very poor categories.

In contrast, Pan et al. [13] compared locomotor and object control skills of children with HFA (aged 6-10), children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and age matched typically developing children. The authors reported that 5% of children with HFA and ADHD had a mean locomotor subtest score that was equal to or more than 1.5 standard deviations below the mean. These scores placed 16%

***Corresponding author:** Ting Liu, Department of Health and Human Performance, Texas State University, San Marcos, TX 78666, USA, Tel: 512-245-8259; Fax: 512-245-8678; E-mail: tingliu@txstate.edu

Received October 25, 2013; **Accepted** January 06, 2014; **Published** January 13, 2014

Citation: Liu T, Hamilton M, Davis L, ElGarhy S (2014) Gross Motor Performance by Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Typically Developing Children on TGMD-2. J Child Adolesc Behav 2: 123. doi:10.4172/2375-4494.1000123

Copyright: © 2014 Liu T, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

of the participants with ASD and ADHD in the very poor and poor performance categories. However, only boys with HFA were included in the study which was not a true representation of autism spectrum and therefore limited the findings to be generalized to the ASD population.

Another study by Staples and Reid compared children with ASD (aged 9-12) to three typically developing children groups (i.e., chronological age matched motor skill performance matched, and mental age matched) [15]. Results showed that children with ASD scored significantly lower (16%) on both locomotor and object control tests than chronologically age matched and mental age matched children. No differences were found between the children with ASD and the motor skill performance matched children. Although this study included the children with full range ASD, data were collected from an elementary school and a private school for children with developmental disabilities. The differences between children with ASD in public and private school could vary due to deprivation of mainstream affects or children in private school may benefit more from focused and specialized therapy.

Based on the few studies that have compared children with ASD to their typically developed peers, it is clear more research is needed to reconcile the inconsistencies and fill the gaps in the literature. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the gross motor skills of children with and without ASD (aged 5- 10) using the TGMD-2. It was hypothesized that children with ASD would show motor delays in overall gross motor quotient scores, and locomotor and object control standard scores when compared to their age matched typically developing children as measured with TGMD-2.

Methods

Participants

A total of 21 children with ASD (aged 5-10, $M=7.57$ years) and 21 age matched typically developing children ($M=7.38$ years) participated in this study. The children were recruited through advertisements and personal contacts from local schools. All children with ASD were originally diagnosed by their psychiatrists or a licensed psychologist using DSM-5 [3]. Eight children had a diagnosis of Asperger Syndrome, seven had a diagnosis of autistic disorder, and six had a diagnosis of pervasive developmental disorder-not otherwise specified. Typically developing children attended the same schools as the children with ASD. Participants' demographic information is presented in Table 1. Participating parents were informed of their rights and the nature of the task, and were asked to sign a consent form prior to their child's participation. The study was approved by the local University Institutional Review Board. Children with ASD were included in the study if they had (a) been diagnosed with ASD, (b) ability to follow directions, and (c) ability to perform the requested gross motor skills (Table 1).

Measures

Test of Gross Motor Development-2 (TGMD-2): The TGMD-2 is a norm and criterion-referenced test that assesses the gross motor ability of children aged 3-10 years. TGMD-2 measures performance of 12

gross motor skills [30]. There are two subtests, locomotor subtest (run, gallop, hop, leap, jump, and slide) and object-control subtest (strike, dribble, catch, kick, throw, and roll). The TGMD-2 has shown evidence of validity and reliability among children [30]. Raw scores from each subtest can be converted to standard scores from the standardized norms and overall gross motor quotient scores (combination of all 12 gross motor skills) are converted from the sum of the standard scores. The standard scores and quotient scores are described as very superior, superior, above average, average, below average, poor, and very poor [30].

Procedure

The TGMD-2 was administered for all children at a local elementary school gym by the investigators. To ensure the accuracy of the assessments, sessions were videotaped. Each child received verbal descriptions and demonstrations prior to the gross motor skill performance. Participants were also provided additional directions if they did not seem to understand the first time. Children were asked to perform each gross motor skill twice. Parents were given the opportunity to provide written consent form and children signed assent form if they were eight years or older. All children completed TMGD-2 motor assessments.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistical analyses were used to describe the TGMD-2 data. Performance raw scores were recorded for two testing trials. Children were given a "1" if they met the performance criteria. Otherwise, they received a zero. TGMD-2 locomotor and object control raw scores were then converted to standard scores for each subtest and the overall gross motor quotient scores using the TGMD-2 conversion tables in the manual. A MANOVA was conducted on TGMD-2 two subtests' standard scores and overall gross motor quotient scores to compare motor performance of children with ASD and their age matched typically developing children. Results were considered significant if p values were less than .05 and effect sizes (ES) were determined for practical significance using Cohen's d [31].


Results

For the locomotor subtest, about 67% children with ASD were given *poor* standard scores of ≤ 5 and 40% of scores were classified *very poor* (≤ 3). There were 60% of the participants with ASD that had *poor* standard scores of ≤ 5 and 33% of scores were *very poor* (≤ 3) on object control skills, as described in the TGMD-2 manual. For overall gross motor quotient scores, about 81% of children with ASD were below 79 and classified as *poor* and about 76% children scored below 70 and received *very poor* rating. In addition, children scored at or below the 30th percentile were considered developmentally delayed as indicated in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act [32]. Therefore, 91% of children with ASD in the current study were considered developmentally delayed for their gross motor skill performance and in need of early supportive interventions. The majority of typically developing children's standard scores (96%) falling the *average* or higher ratings.

A MANOVA analysis on overall gross motor quotient scores revealed a significant performance difference between children with ASD and age matched typically developing children, $F_{1,40}=10.544$, $p=.002$. Significant performance differences were also found in object control standard scores, $F_{1,40}=12.040$, $p=.001$, and locomotor subtest scores $F_{1,40}=12.445$, $p=.001$ between children with ASD and typically developing children (Figure 1). No significant performance differences was found among children with different autism disorders ($p>.05$)

	Mean Age (year)	N	Gender	
			Male	Female
Children with ASD	7.57	21	16	5
Typically Developing Children	7.38	21	14	7

Table 1: Demographic Information of the Participants on Age and Gender.



indicating children with ASD were delayed on gross motor skill performance regardless of the disorder (Figure 1).

The effect sizes (ES) describing motor delays of the study participants between the two groups were large on locomotor subtest (ES=1.12), object control subtest (ES=1.07), and overall gross motor quotient scores (ES=1.00). The large ES (>.80) results were in agreement with the statistically significant findings indicating that the true effect of the performance differences in two populations might be large.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the gross motor skill performance in children with ASD to better understand motor impairments associated with the disorder. Prior research comparisons between typically developing children and children with ASD supported our hypothesis that children with ASD were significantly delayed on TGMD-2 gross motor skill performance. Specifically, they performed poorly on both locomotor and object control skills. These motor delays were consistent with previous research in the literature examining children with ASD, but inconsistent with methodologies [7-12,14,16]. Two studies used the same methodologies that compared children with ASD and typically developing children on TGMD-2 [13,15]. Our findings are different from the aforementioned studies in terms of motor delays in locomotor and object control skills. Several reasons may explain the inconsistent findings. Only boys with HFA were included in Pan et al. study which is likely to elicit different gross motor performance patterns due to not including the full range of ASD as well as any female participants [13]. Additionally, Staples and Reid did include the children with full range of ASD but with older children (aged 9-12) whereas our study assessed children with the full range of ASD and a broader range of younger children (aged 5-10) [15]. Berkley et al. [6] suggested that younger children with ASD tend to have more movement problems which is consistent with our findings.

This study has several important implications. First, the results of this study are valuable for educators, therapists, and practitioners with an interest in children with ASD. The participating children with ASD, regardless of how they were classified, demonstrated delays in gross motor skill performance. This information is critical to the design of appropriate intervention programs that can effectively address the delayed object and locomotor skill performance.

Secondly, although there is a plethora of research in ASD, there remains a lack of studies in the area of motor skills research. Scientific

studies and empirical evidence is necessary to help educators and practitioners to design effective early intervention programs for children with ASD. In addition, studies to inform evidence-based practices for intervention are also necessary to move the field forward.

Thirdly, it is important not to underestimate the influence of motor development on other areas of development. In fact, well developed gross motor capacities may facilitate children's cognitive functioning, language development, social communicative skills, and contribute positively to daily life skills [19,21,33,34]. Therefore, it is paramount that we understand the gross motor performance of children with ASD.

Finally, the significance of motor proficiency for children with ASD should not be overlooked. From an ecological systems perspective, locomotion and object control skills are the basic fundamental motor skills in which children interact with their environment and other children. Providing a therapeutic intervention program includes those gross motor skills that may positively impact children with ASD's movement competence and social skills.

References

1. Autism Society (2005) Facts and statistics.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2013) Data and statistics on autism spectrum disorders.
3. American Psychiatric Association (2013) Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th edn). American Psychiatric Publishing Inc, Washington DC, USA.
4. Bhat AN, Landa RJ, Galloway JC (2011) Current perspectives on motor functioning in infants, children, and adults with autism spectrum disorder. *J Am Phys Ther Assoc* 91: 1-14.
5. National Research Council (2001) Educating Children with Autism. Washington DC: National Academy Press.
6. Berkeley SL, Zittel LL, Pitney LV, Nichols SE (2001) Locomotor and object control skills of children diagnosed with autism. *Adapt Phys Act Q* 18: 405-416.
7. Green D, Baird G, Barnett AL (2002) The severity and nature of motor impairment in Asperger's syndrome: A comparison with specific developmental disorder of motor function. *J Child Psychol Psych* 43: 655-668.
8. Green D, Charman T, Pickles A, Chandler S, Loucas T, et al. (2009) Impairment in movement skills of children with autistic spectrum disorders. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 51: 311-316.
9. Hilton C, Wente L, LaVesser P, Ito M, Reed C, et al. (2007) Relationship between motor skill impairment and severity in children with asperger syndrome. *Res Autism Spect Dis* 1: 339-349.
10. Jansiewicz EM, Goldberg MC, Newschaffer CJ, Denckla MB, Landa R, et al. (2006) Motor signs distinguish children with high functioning autism and asperger's syndrome from controls. *J Autism Dev Disord* 36: 613-621.
11. Liu T, Breslin CM (2013) The effect of a picture activity schedule on performance of the MABC-2 for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Res Q Exercise Sport* 84: 206-212
12. Ming X, Brimacombe M, Wagner GC (2007) Prevalence of motor impairment in autism spectrum disorders. *Brain Dev-Jpn* 29: 565-570.
13. Pan C, Tsai C, Chu C (2009) Fundamental movement skills in children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorders and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord* 39: 1694-1705.
14. Provost B, Heimerl S, Lopez B (2007) Levels of gross and fine motor development in young children with autism spectrum disorder. *Phys Occup Ther Pediatr* 27: 21-36.
15. Staples K, Reid G (2010) Fundamental movement skills and autism spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord* 40: 209-217.
16. Whyatt CP, Craig CM (2012) Motor skills in children aged 7-10 years, diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord* 42: 1799-1809.

17. Corsello CM (2005) Early intervention in autism. *Infant Young Child* 18: 74-85.
18. Mulligan S, White BP (2012) Sensory and motor behaviors of infant siblings of children with and without autism. *Am J Occup Ther* 66: 556-566.
19. Hill EL (2010) The importance of motor skill in general development. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 52: 888.
20. Liu T (2012) Motor milestone development in young children with autism spectrum disorders: An exploratory study. *Edu Psych Prac* 28: 315-326.
21. Lloyd M, MacDonald M, Lord C (2013) Motor skills of toddlers with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism* 17: 133-146.
22. Ozonoff S, Young GS, Goldring S, Greiss-Hess L, Herrera AM, et al. (2008) Gross motor development, movement abnormalities, and early identification of autism. *J Autism Dev Disord* 38: 644-656.
23. Papadopoulos N, McGinley J, Tonge B, Bradshaw J, Saunders K, et al. (2012) Motor proficiency and emotional/behavioral disturbance in autism and asperger's disorder: Another piece of the puzzle? *Autism* 16: 627-640.
24. Teitelbaum O, Benton T, Shah P, Prince A, Kelly JL, et al. (2004) EshkolWachman movement notation in diagnosis: The early detection of Asperger's syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 11909-11914.
25. Vasileva N (2012) Diagnosis and therapy of motor disturbances in children with autism. *J Spec Edu and Reh* 13: 55-68.
26. Clark JE, Metcalfe JS (2002) The mountain of motor development: A metaphor. In: Clark JE, Humphrey JH (Eds). *Motor Development: Research and Review*. NASPE Publications, Reston, VA.
27. Robinson LE, Goodway JD (2009) Instructional climates in preschool children who are at risk, part II: Perceived physical competence. *Res Q Exercise Sport* 80: 543-551.
28. Haywood KM, Getchell N (2009) *Lifespan Motor Development*. (5th edn) Human Kinetics, Champaign, IL.
29. Stodden DF, Goodway JD, Langendorfer SJ, Robert MA, Rudisill ME, et al. (2008) A developmental perspective on the role of motor skill competence in physical activity: An emergent relationship. *Quest* 60: 290-306.
30. Ulrich DA (2000) *Test of gross motor development* (2nd edn) Pro-Ed Inc, Austin, TX.
31. Cohen J (1988) *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd edn) Academic Press, New York, USA.
32. Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 2004 (2004) Part C and H Pub L No 108-446, 3, 118 Stat. 2647.
33. Piek JP, Dawson L, Smith LM, Gasson N (2008) The role of early fine and gross motor development on later motor and cognitive ability. *Hum Movement Sci* 27: 668-681.
34. Watkinson EJ, Causgrove DJ, Cavaliere N, Calzonetti K, Wilhelm L, et al. (2001) Engagement in playground activities as a criterion for diagnosing developmental coordination disorder. *Adapt Phys Act Q* 18: 8-34.

Citation: Liu T, Hamilton M, Davis L, ElGarhy S (2014) Gross Motor Performance by Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Typically Developing Children on TGMD-2. *J Child Adolesc Behav* 2: 123. doi:[10.4172/2375-4494.1000123](https://doi.org/10.4172/2375-4494.1000123)

Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of OMICS Group submissions

Unique features:

- User friendly/feasible website-translation of your paper to 50 world's leading languages
- Audio Version of published paper
- Digital articles to share and explore

Special features:

- 300 Open Access Journals
- 25,000 editorial team
- 21 days rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at PubMed (partial), Scopus, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://scholarscentral.com>

