Has the Antiplatelet Therapy a Role in the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death?
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Abstract

Coronary artery disease is a well-known cause of sudden cardiac death, and the key mechanisms are platelet aggregation and thrombosis. Furthermore, experimental studies have revealed that platelets have a direct influence on arrhythmic events. However, current antiplatelet therapy has failed to prevent sudden cardiac death in primary prevention trials, although a protective effect has been found in patients with advanced cardiovascular disease, reducing cardiac sudden death in this scenario.
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Introduction

It is widely recognized that coronary artery disease is the cause of a large proportion of cases of sudden cardiac death. There are several mechanisms involved in this relationship, which are summarized in a scheme proposed years ago by Robert Myerburg [1]. Firstly, a previously stable and silent atherosclerotic plaque may become unstable and cause transient ischemia. Secondly, an episode of plaque instability may be severe enough to cause an acute coronary syndrome, and finally an acute myocardial infarction. Third, the chronic phase is characterized by myocardial formation or scar. And finally, the infarcted left ventricle may suffer a remodeling process, which leads to ventricular dilatation and ventricular dysfunction. These four scenarios are subjected to the presence of environment modifiers: electrolytes, hemodynamic, neurohormonal imbalance and genetic predisposition. Any of these scenarios may be responsible for the episode of sudden cardiac death, either arrhythmic or mechanic (Figure 1).

The onset of these situations is platelet aggregation and thrombosis. Although platelet is the centre of thrombosis, its role in sudden cardiac death goes far beyond thrombosis [1,2]. Platelets contain three types of granules: dense granules (also called delta), alpha granules and lysosomes. When the platelets are activated, the granules fuse with the platelet membrane, releasing their contents and modifying the shape and functions of the cell. Delta granules have a high content of histamine, serotonin, adenosine diphosphate (ADP), adenosine triphosphate (ATP), guanosine triphosphate (GTP), guanosine diphosphate (GDP), calcium, and magnesium. Alpha granules contain more than 2000 different molecules, including P-selectin or the platelet factor 4. In addition, they contain several receptors that are crucial in the process of thrombosis, as the glycoprotein IIbβ3 receptor, which are exteriorized and activated with this process. Finally, following a more powerful stimulus, lysosomes content is released, namely modulator factors as proteases, cathepsin or glucocerebrosidases. These groups of molecules released from platelets are called “secretome”. They have actions that can be involved in the production of arrhythmias, by a mechanism different from thrombosis (Figure 2,3,4).

In a recent study, Dhanjal et al. [3] investigated and proved this double role of platelets in the production of arrhythmias. They took a group of Wistar rats, from which they obtained blood and platelets. A portion of the platelet samples were stimulated to provoke the release of the secretome. Then they applied a model of ischemia producing a limited necrosis, in which only 30% of them had ventricular fibrillation, according to their previous experience. To produce entrapment of platelets in the infarct zone, they infused platelets directly in the coronary artery distal to the obstruction. They compared the effect of infused platelets with microspheres of the same size, or the infusion of the secretome previously obtained. Control animals had ventricular fibrillation in 30%, as expected, while it occurred in 90% with platelet infusion. This result was not obtained neither with spheres of the same size nor with isolated secretome. As all the groups had arterial obstruction, a direct role of platelets beyond the obstruction is demonstrated. In a second part of the experiment, some of the animals received previous treatment with clopidogrel or indomethacin. When the blood was infused into the occluded artery there was a significant increase in ventricular fibrillation. This effect could not be prevented significantly with the previous treatment.

This study shows that we still have much to learn from platelets and their role in sudden death. But we already have a lot of clinical experience in the management of antiplatelet, and we need today clear instructions. In this sense we have to look at the clinical guidelines from the scientific societies. First, the European Guidelines on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention establish about preventive antiplatelet therapy [4]: “In the chronic phase (>12 months) after myocardial infarction, aspirin is recommended for secondary prevention” (Class I Recommendation). And “Aspirin or clopidogrel cannot be recommended in individuals without cardiovascular or cerebrovascular disease due to the increased risk of major bleeding” (Class III recommendation).

These recommendations are based on two publications that are pivotal: First, the Collaborative Meta-analysis of 2002 concerning secondary prevention [5]. In it the investigators included 287 studies with 212000 high-risk patients, comparing antiplatelet agents against placebo, or different antiplatelet regimens. Serious vascular events occurred in 10.7% of treated patients compared with 13.2% of controls.
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The authors conclude that "Aspirin or another antiplatelet drug is protective in patients with increased risk of occlusive vascular events, including: myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, stable or unstable angina, old myocardial infarction, cerebral ischemia, peripheral vascular disease, or atrial fibrillation."

On the other hand, the negative recommendation regarding primary prevention is based on another meta-analysis from the same Collaborative Group, published in 2009 [6]. It included 95000 patients who had participated in 6 primary prevention trials, besides analysing separately several studies on secondary prevention. While the reduction of adverse cardiovascular events was significant, the magnitude was modest (from 0.57 to 0.51% per year). The cardiovascular mortality was not different, and the number of bleeding episodes was significantly higher.

However, this conclusion is not closed yet, and there are different recommendations in other scientific societies. Thus, the statement from the American College of Chest Physicians, also from 2012, states [7]: "For persons aged 50 years or older without symptomatic cardiovascular disease, we suggest low-dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg daily over no aspirin therapy (Grade 2B recommendation)." This recommendation is based on a meta-analysis posterior to that from the Collaborative Group, and published in 2011. This study, from Raju et al. [8], included the previously mentioned 6 primary prevention trials, plus 3 other studies in which 7000 more patients were added. In total, they studied 100076 patients, finding for the first time a significant reduction in the mortality from all causes. As the favourable effect is seen almost exclusively in individuals older than 50 years, this condition is included in the recommendation.

But after analysing all these data, what can we say about the prevention of sudden death? Have any of the studies measured the sudden death as an outcome?

Concerning the issue of secondary prevention, we find again that it is not easy to find data concerning the effect of antiplatelet agents in sudden death. In the SOLVD studies, 6797 patients with left ventricular dysfunction were included with a mean follow up of 39.9 months [12]. At baseline, 46% had antiplatelet therapy, 13% anticoagulants, and 2% both. The antiplatelet therapy was associated with a significant reduction in total mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and sudden death. The reduction in sudden death remained significant
in the multivariate analysis. Another study that offers some data is the Veterans Cooperative Study of diabetic patients with severe vascular disease [13]. It included 231 diabetic patients with gangrene or amputation due to vascular causes. Treatment with dipyridamole plus aspirin reduced significantly the incidence of sudden death. Although these data are suggestive, we do not know if these findings can be generalized to the population with cardiovascular disease. On the other hand, this population already has indication for antiplatelet therapy, making it impractical to do randomized studies versus placebo.

Conclusion

Experimental studies have clearly shown that platelets have a role on sudden cardiac death, not only through the thrombotic occlusion of coronary arteries, but also an arrhythmic role. However, current antiplatelet agents have not proven to be effective in the primary prevention of sudden cardiac death. Some studies suggest a role of them in secondary prevention.
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