High Body Mass Index and its Effect on Total Knee Replacement
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Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the leading cause of disability and knee OA alone affects over 250 million people worldwide [1]. Joint arthroplasty for knee is one of the most common elective and cost effective surgical intervention for end stage lower-limb arthritis [1]. The National Joint Registry (NJR) indicates that by the year 2030 the demand for total knee replacement (TKR) will be nearly 3.5 million cases. The current cost burden to the National Health Service (NHS) is enormous and its estimated to rise in excess of £7000 per case [2]. This will have a huge bearing on the already cash crushed health care system.

As a population the UK is becoming older and increasingly obese. Data released in 2012 revealed that 26.2% of the UK adult population are obese (i.e. body mass index (BMI) >30 kg/m²) compared to 13.2% in 1993 [3]. NJR supports this observation, demonstrating that the number of elective primary TKR procedures performed in obese patients (BMI >30 kg/m²) is increasing. Twenty Six % of patients undergoing primary hip arthroplasty in 2011 were obese compared with 21% in 2004. Thirty two % of patients that received a TKR in 2011 were obese compared with 28% in 2004 [3]. Based on changing population demographics of age, sex, BMI; in the year 2035, about 1,219,362 TKRs will be carried out [4]. Given the prevalence of obesity, a significant percentage of these cases will be in patients outside the normal BMI range [5,6].

Both biomechanical and physiological mechanisms are compromised by obesity leading to the development of OA. Higher BMI results in elevated loading forces over the articular cartilage eventually causing tissue damage. Thereby obesity contributes to a higher rate of OA and ultimately total joint arthroplasty utilization. There are criticisms of using BMI as a measure of obesity. Due to the fact that it does not distinguish between weight associated with muscle versus weight associated with adipose tissue. However, it is widely accepted as a measure of obesity due to the ease of data collection for large cohorts [7].

Current Issue

Prospective evidence that obesity is a significant causal factor in the initiation of knee OA has been provided by the Framingham Study [6]. It has been proved that this increase is weight-related. Oliveria and co-workers demonstrated that the odds ratio for incident symptomatic OA knee increases dramatically with increasing BMI at BMI ≤ 25.5 with odds ratio of 1.0, at BMI 25.5-30 the odds ratio is 3.8, and at BMI>30 the odds ratio increased to 9.3 [1,8]. Apart from OA, obesity facilitates a number of other secondary pathologies including cardiac disease, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and dyslipidemia, which, in turn, heighten the complexities of these conditions [9].

While literature may points out an increase in perioperative complications associated with total joint replacement for obese patients, these must be balanced against the benefits of decreased pain and increased function [10]. Although obesity is assumed to bring out a negative influence on survival of TKR, there is no definitive proof in literature. Only few studies have reported significant lower functional outcomes in obese patients compared with non-obese patients, also there are questions on the power of these studies [11].

The impact of obesity on the outcomes of TKA is still debated. A recent systematic review of the literature [11] showed that deep infection and revision for any reason were more often in obese patients than in non-obese patients, but another recent systematic review [12] found that overall obese patients did not have significantly lower knee function scores and implant survivorship than those non-obese, although the outcomes were significantly worse in the morbidly obese. However, most of the previous studies were retrospective and reported small series with short-term follow-ups [13]. Therefore there is no consensus on the matter. The impact of obesity on the outcome of joint arthroplasty surgery remains controversial. The current difficult financial climate has made it even more difficult and there is a conscious drive towards reducing costs within the UK National Health Service (NHS).

It is important to realize the full impact of obesity on arthroplasty surgery [14-16]. Fears of poorer outcomes and increased financial costs associated with joint replacement surgery in the obese patient have already lead some trusts within the UK to ration hip replacement and TKR, barring joint arthroplasty access to patients with a BMI over 30 kg/m². Despite the increased risks obese patients do benefit from arthroplasty surgery [17,18]. It is therefore difficult to justify withholding this surgery based on BMI alone [18,19].

Conclusion

It is widely accepted that TKR has proved to stand the test of time in treatment for OA and it has revolutionized care and improved quality of life [8]. Success of surgical therapy has led to budgetary restriction, enforced by Health Care Systems. This has raised questions not only upon surgeons but also on the Government. Queries regarding limitations to access for joint arthroplasty surgery have cropped up. Early indications of this has come from the East Suffolk health board (UK) trust instructing surgeons to prioritize patients for lower limb joint replacement surgery based on their BMI, arguing on the grounds...
of increased risks to the patient and reduced postoperative efficacy of the surgery in obese patients. This has led to a great deal of controversy. There is no clear evidence that obesity is a strong predictor of poorer functional outcomes. Functional outcomes are partly subjective, and represent only one aspect of the potential implications of obesity on TKR, and this warrants a structured systematic review to clear the controversy.
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