How Acute and Chronic Alcohol Consumption Modulate Multiple Neurotransmitter Systems: A Review of Clinical PET Neuroimaging
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Introduction

The reinforcing effects of a moderate consumption of alcohol are a consequence of the enhancement or interactions between several neurotransmitter systems, including dopamine (DA), gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), opioid, serotonin, endocannabinoid, and glutamate signaling [1-5]. Alcohol activates the dopaminergic mesocorticolimbic pathway, by increasing the main inhibitory neurotransmitter, GABA and by inhibiting the major excitatory neurotransmitter, glutamate, particularly at the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) glutamate receptor. However, chronic excessive alcohol consumption leads to alcohol use disorder (AUD), involving various forms of short- and long-term plasticity and neuroadaptations in brain regions involved in the etiology of addiction that are responsible for reward, inhibitory control, motivation, memory and learning [3].

AUD, according to The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th edition, DSM-5) for AUD criteria, reflects a single, continuous disorder, including alcohol abuse and alcohol dependence [6]. AUD is a chronic relapsing brain disease characterized by an impaired ability to stop or control alcohol use despite adverse social, occupational, or health consequences, affecting 15.1 million American adults (according to the Results from the 2015 US National Survey on Drug Use and Health). Recovery from alcohol dependence remains challenging with high rates of relapse (80% within the first year) despite available therapies [7]. Only a minority of social drinkers will ever transit from a controlled drinking pattern to AUD and therefore understanding the factors that underlie the vulnerability to alcohol dependence has become central to alcohol research. Over the last two decades, the currently approved pharmacotherapeutic interventions developed for the treatment of AUD include naltrexone, acamprosate, disulfiram, and disulfiram. Although these drugs reduce severity of withdrawal symptoms during alcohol detoxification, reduce craving and support abstinence [8-11], there are no available drugs that can successfully antagonize the adverse effects of excessive drinking (for a recent review on drug development), see [12]. To improve treatment outcome, understanding the neurobiological mechanisms that mediate successful recovery and identifying suitable biomarkers that may predict vulnerability, relapse and/or guide therapeutic intervention is still a crucial issue in alcohol research.

Neuroimaging holds particular promise in that field because of its capacity to link both molecular processes and in vivo observations. In the last decades, neuroimaging studies have brought major insights into the neural correlates of addiction and how these relate to addictive behaviour [13-15]. In particular positron emission tomography (PET) has been an effective noninvasive imaging technique in vivo that can directly probe molecular underpinning in the brain by its unique ability to visualize and quantify neurochemical processes involved in addiction with high selectivity and specificity. The use of PET to study the effects of acute and chronic alcohol on the human brain has enhanced our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the rewarding effects of alcohol, the neuroadaptations from chronic exposure that contribute to tolerance and withdrawal, and the changes in fronto-striatal-limbic circuits that lead to loss of control and enhanced motivation to drink that characterize AUD. In the last decades, PET imaging has been extensively used to investigate various key components of the DAergic synapse, both presynaptically including DA transporters (DAT) (with [11C] raclopride) and DA synthesis capacity (with [11C] F-dopa), and postsynaptically including DA D2/3 receptors (with [11C] claclopride, [18F] fallypride, or [11C] PHNO). Nevertheless, an interplay of different neurotransmitter systems has been implicated in the development and maintenance of alcohol dependence.

In this short narrative review we focus on human PET neuroimaging studies on the effects of acute and chronic alcohol consumption on the most prominent neurotransmitter systems, including DA, GABA, glutamate, endocannabinoid and opioid signaling.

PET and the Acute Effects of Alcohol on Neurotransmitter Systems

Converging preclinical evidence has shown that acute alcohol administration selectively increases DA release in the shell of the nucleus accumbens (NAc) [16,17]. Thus far, alcohol-induced changes in DA levels and their link to rewarding responses have been investigated in humans almost exclusively with the DA D2/3 receptor antagonist [11C] raclopride, allowing striatal areas assessment only, and yielded inconsistent findings [18-22]. For example, Aalto et al. reported a significant reduction in [11C] raclopride binding potential in the ventral striatum/NAc after an intravenous alcohol administration [18]
Likewise, a recent PET study using $[^{11}C] $-(-)-PHNO, a novel DA D3 receptor-preferring radioligand, did not find any striatal DA release upon alcohol administration in social drinkers [23]. These inconsistencies are likely to reflect differences in both alcohol route of administration, type of radioligand, in approaches to quantification and differences in histories of alcohol consumption among subjects. Recently, using the high-affinity DA D2/3 receptor ligand $[^{18}F]$fallypride, a couple of clinical studies explored the effects of acute alcohol on DA levels in extrastriatal brain regions, such as the prefrontal cortex (PFC) [24,25]. In the study performed by Leurquin-Sterk et al., alcohol induced significant $[^{18}F]$fallypride displacement (hence DA release) in the PFC, temporal and parietal cortices, and thalamus (Figure 1B), and DA release in the anterior cingulate cortex and orbitofrontal and ventromedial PFCs were correlated with subjective 'liking' and 'wanting' effects [24].

Besides the dopaminergic signaling, the reinforcing effects of alcohol are in part mediated by endogenous opioids interacting with the $\mu$-opioid receptor (MOR) agonist which binds $\beta$-endorphins and enkephalins which, in turn, increases DA in NAc [26]. Preclinical studies suggest that release of endogenous opioids by ethanol act to promote further consumption [27,28]. A human $[^{11}C]$-carfentanil PET study showed that drinking alcohol significantly increased opioid release in the NAc and orbitofrontal cortex, areas of the brain implicated in reward valuation [29]. Moreover, changes in orbitofrontal cortex $[^{11}C]$-carfentanil binding correlated significantly with the subjective high in heavy drinkers [29].

The type 1 cannabinoid receptor (CB$_1$R) and its endogenous agonists also play an important role in the pharmacological action of alcohol [30,31]. A $[^{18}F]$MK-9470 PET study found that controlled acute alcohol administration resulted in a significant increased CB$_1$R availability in healthy social drinkers (Figure 2A), which was modulated by routine alcohol consumption (Figure 2B) [31].

**Figure 1:** A) Parametric map of T value of the analysis testing the decrease in $[^{11}C]$raclopride binding potential during alcohol intervention in comparison to the baseline (Figure adapted from Aalto et al.). B) Average parametric t map showing alcohol-induced DA release using $[^{18}F]$fallypride in relation to subjective “wanting” effects (Figure adapted from [24]).

**Figure 2:** A) Statistical parametric mapping results showing increased CB$_1$R availability after acute alcohol in social drinkers compared with the baseline condition. B) Negative correlation between the percentage change of the global CB$_1$R availability between alcohol and baseline condition in relation to the number of alcoholic consumptions per week. (Figure adapted from [32]).

**PET and the Chronic Effects of Alcohol on Neurotransmitter Systems**

Although preclinical models of AUD reveal neuroadaptation in multiple neurotransmitter systems, nowadays the majority of the PET neuroimaging studies investigating neurotransmitter changes in AUD has been focused on the DAergic system [14]. An overview of hypothesized longitudinal changes at the GABA, glutamate, and DA receptor system function during alcohol dependence and withdrawal has been summarized in detail in other reviews [2,3,33]. Overall, PET studies found downregulated DAT during early alcohol withdrawal [34], but with prolonged withdrawal, there were no differences in DATs between alcohol-dependent patients and controls.
Meanwhile, elevated striatal DAT availability in non-smoking alcohol-dependent participants was observed compared to both healthy controls and smoking alcohol-dependent participants at 1 to 5 days abstinence, further highlighting an influence of comorbid tobacco smoking on DA function during alcohol withdrawal [38]. PET studies measuring DA synthesis capacity with the PET radioligand [18F]DOPA in detoxified alcoholics have reported inconsistent findings [39-41], mainly due in part to small sample sizes limiting the power to identify relevant differences among alcoholics and controls. On the other hand, there is a vast body of literature reporting a consistent reduction in striatal DA D2/3 receptor availability in alcoholics [39,42-46], compared to controls (Figure 3). This reduction in DA D2/3 receptor availability has also been found in extrastralial regions such as thalamus, hippocampus, and insular and temporal cortex in recently abstinent alcohol-dependent patients [47,48].

![Figure 3](image-url) Comparison of baseline mean V3′′ maps (calculates as activity (voxel) / mean activity (cerebellum)-1) within alcohol-dependent patients (ALC) (top row) and control subjects (CON) (bottom row), as a quantification of DA D2/3 receptor availability. (Figure adapted from [44]).

Until now, only two longitudinal PET DA studies evaluated the effects of detoxification on the recovery of striatal D2/D3R. Volkow et al. showed persistent reductions in striatal D2/3 receptors after 4 months of abstinence [49,50] while Rominger et al. reported significant D2/3 receptors increases in the subgroup of alcohol-dependent subjects who remained abstinent for 1 year [47]. Further DA PET studies are needed to determine whether DA D2/3 receptor can recover as a function of individual alcohol detoxification trajectories and/or whether it could be predict any type of clinical outcome. Lastly, similarly to cocaine and methamphetamine addiction, alcohol-dependent subjects reported a strong blunted DA response to amphetamine in the NAc [44,46]. Insofar as DA in the NAc is thought to serve as a behavioral switching device, this deficit in DA release may represent an impaired ability of alcohol-dependent individuals to shift from the compulsive, maladaptive patterns of behavior that are indicative of addiction.

Other PET studies focusing on the effects of alcohol effects on neurotransmitter systems have found that chronic alcohol consumption alters the activities of GABA, the brain’s principal inhibitory neurotransmitter. The majority of the PET studies measuring GABA-benzo diazepine receptor availability in AUD using the PET radiotracers [11C]flumazenil, [123I]iomazenil and [11C]Ro154513, have found a reduced signaling through GABA_A receptors in several cortical regions, cerebellum, thalamus, hippocampus and NAc of alcohol-dependent subjects [51-55].

PET studies investigating the opioid system in AUD have found increased MOR availability in abstinent alcohol-dependent subjects using the MOR ligand [11C]carfentanil [26,39]. In a recent combined MOR PET and post-mortem brain analysis, a significant interaction of opioid receptor μ 1 OPRM1 genotype, [11C]carfentanil binding in the ventral striatum, and relapse risk was found [56].

The EC system has been shown to modulate ethanol-motivated behavior, and it has also been demonstrated that chronic ethanol exposure can have potentially long-lasting effects on the EC system [30,31]. In the last decades, several PET radioligands have been developed to visualize the CBₐR [57,58]. Most small-animal studies have indicated that chronic ethanol treatment caused decreased CBₐR protein expression and G protein coupling [31,59-63]. Brain PET studies in alcohol-dependent subjects, have reported decreases in CBₐR binding [32,64], compared to controls, that persists during abstinence for at least one month (Figure 4).

![Figure 4](image-url) CBₐR decreases in alcoholic patients (ALC) after chronic heavy drinking (Chronic ALC) and abstinence (Abstinence ALC), compared to control condition (Figure adapted from[32]).

According to the glutamate homeostasis hypothesis of addiction proposed by Kalivas [65,66], impaired metabotropic glutamate subtype 5 receptor (mGluR5)-dependent signaling is hypothesized to represent a key component for compulsive drug-seeking that drives AUD. The role of mGluR5 signaling on alcohol addiction has been recently reviewed [67]. In clinical setting, besides regional mGluR5 decreases in both nicotine and cocaine dependent subjects [68,69], mGluR5 PET has recently showed a lower limbic mGluR5 availability in mainly limbic regions of recently abstinent alcohol-dependent subjects [24] (Figure 5). However, after at least a 25-day abstinence, mGluR5 levels have reported a reversible neuroadaptation [70,71].

**Future Pathways for PET Neuroimaging in Alcoholism**

PET neuroimaging allows us to visualize and quantify in living human beings what a binge drinking episode might cause to the brain and what damage results from chronic excessive alcohol consumption on different neurotransmitter systems. Currently, PET brain imaging has been mainly focused on changes in DAergic system, and to a lesser extent also the glutamatergic, GABA, opioid and EC system. In summary, consistent long-lasting DAergic and EC signaling changes, a reversible decreased metabotropic glutamatergic receptor system and reduced GABA signaling has been found in alcohol-dependent subjects. However, the full potential of this imaging technique has not yet been realized. Indeed, instead of descriptive pathophysiological
brain studies, PET could be used to a greater extent if neurotransmitter changes could predict vulnerability and clinical outcome, and they could be used to evaluate proof-of-principle targets or novel pharmacological therapeutics. As an ultimate goal, molecular imaging measures might be used as clinical biomarkers for prognosis, and for supporting and guiding treatment interventions.

Figure 5: Lower mGluR5 availability ([18F]FPEB V) in recently abstinent alcohol-dependent subjects (ALC) than in healthy controls (HC). (Figure adapted from [72]).
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